# There are no Phantom Pairs of Mappings to 1-Dimensional CW-Complexes 
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Summary. Two mappings from a CW-complex to a 1-dimensional CW-complex are homotopic if and only if their restrictions to finite subcomplexes are homotopic.

1. Introduction. Let $f, g: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ be two mappings between CWcomplexes. Clearly, if $f$ and $g$ are homotopic, $f \simeq g$, then for every finite subcomplex $Q \subseteq P$, the restrictions $f|Q, g| Q$ are also homotopic. In this paper we will prove that the converse implication holds provided $P^{\prime}$ has dimension $\leq 1$, i.e., we will prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let $P, P^{\prime}$ be $C W$-complexes and let $f, g: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ be mappings such that, for every finite subcomplex $Q \subseteq P$, the restrictions $f|Q, g| Q$ are homotopic. If $\operatorname{dim} P^{\prime} \leq 1$, then $f \simeq g$.

In homotopy theory a mapping $f: P \rightarrow Y$ from a CW-complex $P$ to a topological space $Y$ is called an essential phantom mapping of the second kind provided $f$ is essential, i.e., it is not homotopic to a constant mapping, but its restriction to any finite subcomplex $Q$ of $P$ is homotopic to a constant mapping [5]. A generalization of this notion is the notion of an essential phantom pair of mappings of the second kind. This is a pair of nonhomotopic mappings $f, g: P \rightarrow Y$ whose restrictions $f|Q, g| Q$ to every finite subcomplex $Q$ of $P$ are homotopic. Consequently, Theorem 1 can be restated as follows.

Theorem 1'. There are no essential phantom pairs of mappings of the second kind from a $C W$-complex $P$ to a $C W$-complex $P^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{dim} P^{\prime} \leq 1$.

[^0]REmark 1. In Theorem 1 the assumption $f|Q \simeq g| Q$ for finite subcomplexes $Q \subseteq P$ cannot be replaced by the assumption that the restrictions of $f$ and $g$ to 1-cells of $P$ be homotopic (see Example 2 in Section 4).
2. Equivalent forms of Theorem 1. Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following theorem (the author owes this remark to J. Dydak).

Theorem 2. Let $P, P^{\prime}$ be connected $C W$-complexes and let $f, g: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ be mappings such that, for every finite subcomplex $Q \subseteq P$, the restrictions $f|Q, g| Q$ are homotopic. If $\operatorname{dim} P=\operatorname{dim} P^{\prime}=1$, then $f \simeq g$.

Obviously, Theorem 1 implies Theorem 2. To prove the converse, consider $f, g: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ such that $f|Q \simeq g| Q$ for all finite subcomplexes $Q$ of $P$. Since every point $u \in P$ belongs to a finite subcomplex $Q$ of $P$, the points $f(u), g(u)$ belong to the same component of $P^{\prime}$. Hence, $f$ and $g$ map a component of $P$ to the same component of $P^{\prime}$. Therefore, it suffices to prove Theorem 1 under the additional assumption that $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are connected.

If $\operatorname{dim} P^{\prime}=0$, then $P^{\prime}$ is a point $*^{\prime}$, and thus $f=g$. Therefore, it suffices to consider the case when $\operatorname{dim} P^{\prime}=1$. If $\operatorname{dim} P=0$, then $P$ is a point $*$, and thus $f=f|* \simeq g| *=g$. Therefore, we can assume that $\operatorname{dim} P \geq 1$. Consider the 1-skeleton $P^{1}$ of $P$. Clearly, the restrictions $f\left|P^{1}, g\right| P^{1}: P^{1} \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2, and therefore there exists a homotopy $h^{1}$ : $P^{1} \times I \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ which connects $f \mid P^{1}$ and $g \mid P^{1}$.

It is well known that every connected CW-complex of dimension 1 is an Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex of type $K(G, 1)$ (see, e.g., [1, Example 1B.1]). Therefore, the homotopy groups $\pi_{n}\left(P^{\prime}\right)$ are zero for $n \geq 2$. Consequently, there are no obstructions to extending a homotopy $h^{n-1}: P^{n-1} \times I \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ of the $(n-1)$-skeleton $P^{n-1}$ of $P$ to a homotopy $h^{n}: P^{n} \times I \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ of its $n$-skeleton $P^{n}$ (use, e.g., Lemma 4.7 of [1]). Proceeding in this way one obtains a homotopy connecting $f$ to $g$.

We will now prove that Theorem 2 is equivalent to the following theorem.
Theorem 3. Let $P, P^{\prime}$ be connected 1-dimensional $C W$-complexes having only one 0 -cell $*$ and $*^{\prime}$, respectively and let $f, g: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$. If for every finite subcomplex $Q \subseteq P$ the restrictions $f|Q, g| Q$ are homotopic, then $f \simeq g$.

Obviously, Theorem 2 implies Theorem 3. To prove the converse, consider $f, g: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ such that $f|Q \simeq g| Q$ for all finite subcomplexes $Q$ of $P$. It is well known that every connected 1-dimensional CW-complex contains a maximal tree (see, e.g., [1, Proposition 1A.1]). Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be maximal trees in $P$ and $P^{\prime}$, respectively. Since the pair $(P, T)$ has the homotopy extension property and $T$ is contractible, the quotient mapping $q: P \rightarrow P / T$ is a homotopy equivalence, and thus admits a homotopy inverse $r: P / T \rightarrow P$ (see, e.g., [1, Proposition 0.17]). Analogously, the quotient mapping $q^{\prime}: P^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{\prime} / T^{\prime}$ admits a homotopy inverse $r^{\prime}: P^{\prime} / T^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{\prime}$. If $P^{\prime}=T^{\prime}$, then $P^{\prime}$ is contractible,
and thus $f \simeq g$. Otherwise, $R^{\prime}=P^{\prime} / T^{\prime}$ is a connected 1-dimensional CWcomplex having the point $*^{\prime}=T^{\prime}$ as its only 0-cell. Analogously, if $P=T$, then $P$ contracts to a point $u_{0} \in P$, which is a 0 -cell of $P$. Consequently, $f$ is homotopic to the constant $f\left(u_{0}\right)$ and $g$ is homotopic to the constant $g\left(u_{0}\right)$. But $f\left|u_{0} \simeq g\right| u_{0}$, and thus $f \simeq g$. Otherwise, $R=P / T$ is a connected 1-dimensional CW-complex having the point $*=T$ as its only 0-cell. To complete the proof it suffices to consider the case when $P=T$ and $P^{\prime}=T^{\prime}$. Let $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$ be defined by $f^{\prime}=q^{\prime} f r$ and $g^{\prime}=q^{\prime} g r$. If $S \subseteq R$ is a finite subcomplex of $R$, then $S$ and $r(S)$ are compact. Therefore, $r(S)$ is contained in a finite subcomplex $Q$ of $P$. By assumption, $f|Q \simeq g| Q$ and thus also $f r|S \simeq g r| S$. It follows that $f^{\prime}\left|S=q^{\prime} f r\right| S \simeq q^{\prime} g r\left|S=g^{\prime}\right| S$, i.e., the restrictions of $f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ to all finite subcomplexes of $R$ are homotopic. Now Theorem 3 shows that $f^{\prime} \simeq g^{\prime}$, i.e., $q^{\prime} f r \simeq q^{\prime} g r$. Since $q^{\prime}$ and $r$ are homotopy equivalences, it follows that also $f \simeq g$.
3. A theorem on free groups. To prove Theorem 3 we need the following theorem on free groups.

Theorem 4. Let $F$ be a free group and let $\left(\alpha_{i}\right),\left(\beta_{i}\right), i \in M$, be two collections of elements from $F$. If for every finite subset $L \subseteq M$, there exists an element $\gamma_{L} \in F$ such that $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{L} \beta_{i} \gamma_{L}^{-1}$ for every $i \in L$, then there exists an element $\gamma \in F$ such that $\alpha_{i}=\gamma \beta_{i} \gamma^{-1}$ for every $i \in M$.

In the proof of Theorem 4 we will use some well-known facts concerning free groups. They are stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 1. In a free group $F$ the following statements hold:
(i) If $a \in F, a \neq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a^{n}=1$, then $n=0$.
(ii) If $a, b \in F$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are integers different from 0 such that $a^{m}$ and $b^{n}$ commute, then there exist an element $c \in F$ and integers $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a=c^{r}, b=c^{s}$.
(iii) If $a, b \in F, a \neq 1, b \neq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0$, then $a^{n}=b^{n}$ implies $a=b$.
(iv) If $a, b, c \in F$ are different from 1 , if $a$ and $b$ commute and if $b$ and $c$ commute, then also $a$ and $c$ commute.

For a proof of (i) see [3, Corollary 1.2.2] or [2, Proposition 2.16]. For (ii) see [3, 1.4, Problems 4 and 6] or [2, Proposition 2.17]. For (iii) note that $a^{n}$ and $b^{n}$ commute, and therefore, by (ii), there exist $c \in F$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a=c^{r}$ and $b=c^{s}$. Consequently, $c^{n r}=c^{n s}$, and thus $c^{n r-n s}=1$. Since $c \neq 1$, (i) implies that $n r-n s=0$, and thus $r=s$, which yields the desired conclusion that $a=b$. For (iv) see [2, Proposition 2.18].

Proof of Theorem 4. For an arbitrary $i \in M$, consider the singleton $\{i\}$ and put $\gamma_{i}=\gamma_{\{i\}}$. Note that $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i} \beta_{i} \gamma_{i}^{-1}$. If for a given $i \in M, \beta_{i}=1$, then
$\alpha_{i}=1$, and thus $\alpha_{i}=\gamma \beta_{i} \gamma^{-1}$ for any $\gamma \in F$. Therefore, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\beta_{i} \neq 1$ for all $i \in M$. Denote by $B$ the subgroup of $F$ generated by all $\beta_{i}, i \in M$. Being a subgroup of a free group, $B$ is also a free group (see [3, Corollary 2.9] or [2, Proposition 3.3]). We distinguish two cases: I, when $B$ is commutative, and II, when $B$ is not commutative.

In case I, we fix an arbitrary $k \in M$. We will show that $\gamma=\gamma_{k}$ is as required, i.e., $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{k} \beta_{i} \gamma_{k}^{-1}$ for all $i \in M$. Indeed, since the only commutative group which is free is the free cyclic group (see [3, 2.4, Problem 2] or [2, Proposition 3.1]), $B$ is cyclic. Let $\{\beta\}$ be a basis of $B$. Then every element $\beta_{i}, i \in M$, is of the form $\beta_{i}=\beta^{r_{i}}$, where $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the assumptions of Theorem 4, for an arbitrary $i \in M$ and the finite set $L=\{i, k\} \subseteq M$, there exists $\gamma_{i k}=\gamma_{\{i, k\}} \in F$ such that $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i k} \beta_{i} \gamma_{i k}^{-1}$ and $\alpha_{k}=\gamma_{i k} \beta_{k} \gamma_{i k}^{-1}$. Since $\beta_{i}=\beta^{r_{i}}$, we see that

$$
\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i} \beta^{r_{i}} \gamma_{i}^{-1}=\left(\gamma_{i} \beta \gamma_{i}^{-1}\right)^{r_{i}} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i k} \beta^{r_{i}} \gamma_{i k}^{-1}=\left(\gamma_{i k} \beta \gamma_{i k}^{-1}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

Therefore, $\left(\gamma_{i} \beta \gamma_{i}^{-1}\right)^{r_{i}}=\left(\gamma_{i k} \beta \gamma_{i k}^{-1}\right)^{r_{i}}$. Note that $r_{i} \neq 0$, because $r_{i}=0$ would imply $\beta_{i}=1$. Moreover, $\gamma_{i} \beta \gamma_{i}^{-1} \neq 1$, because $\gamma_{i} \beta \gamma_{i}^{-1}=1$ would imply $\beta=1$ hence, also $\beta_{i}=1$. Similarly, $\gamma_{i k} \beta \gamma_{i k}^{-1} \neq 1$. By Proposition 1(iii), one concludes that $\gamma_{i} \beta \gamma_{i}^{-1}=\gamma_{i k} \beta \gamma_{i k}^{-1}$. An analogous argument shows that $\gamma_{k} \beta \gamma_{k}^{-1}=\gamma_{i k} \beta \gamma_{i k}^{-1}$. Consequently, $\gamma_{i} \beta \gamma_{i}^{-1}=\gamma_{k} \beta \gamma_{k}^{-1}$, and thus $\left(\gamma_{i} \beta \gamma_{i}^{-1}\right)^{r_{i}}=$ $\left(\gamma_{k} \beta \gamma_{k}^{-1}\right)^{r_{i}}$. Since $\alpha_{i}=\left(\gamma_{i} \beta \gamma_{i}^{-1}\right)^{r_{i}}$ and $\gamma_{k} \beta_{i} \gamma_{k}^{-1}=\left(\gamma_{k} \beta \gamma_{k}^{-1}\right)^{r_{i}}$, we obtain the desired relation $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{k} \beta_{i} \gamma_{k}^{-1}$ for all $i \in M$.

In case II, $B$ is not commutative, so there exist $k, l \in M$ such that $\beta_{k}$ and $\beta_{l}$ do not commute. Consider the fixed subset $\{k, l\} \subseteq M$ and put $\gamma_{k l}=\gamma_{\{k, l\}}$. For an arbitrary $i \in M$, consider the subset $\{i, k, l\} \subseteq M$ and put $\gamma_{i k l}=\gamma_{\{i, k, l\}}$. Let us show that

$$
\gamma_{i k l}=\gamma_{k l} \quad \text { for all } i \in M
$$

Indeed, since $k \in\{k, l\} \cap\{i, k, l\}$, we see that $\alpha_{k}=\gamma_{k l} \beta_{k} \gamma_{k l}^{-1}$ and $\alpha_{k}=$ $\gamma_{i k l} \beta_{k} \gamma_{i k l}^{-1}$. Consequently,

$$
\gamma_{k l} \beta_{k} \gamma_{k l}^{-1}=\gamma_{i k l} \beta_{k} \gamma_{i k l}^{-1}
$$

This shows that $\gamma_{k l}^{-1} \gamma_{i k l}$ commutes with $\beta_{k}$. Analogously, $\gamma_{k l}^{-1} \gamma_{i k l}$ commutes with $\beta_{l}$. Recall that $\beta_{k} \neq 1$ and $\beta_{l} \neq 1$. Therefore, if one would also have $\gamma_{k l}^{-1} \gamma_{i k l} \neq 1$, Proposition 1(iv) would imply that $\beta_{k}$ commutes with $\beta_{l}$, which is not the case. We have thus proved that $\gamma_{k l}^{-1} \gamma_{i k l}=1$, i.e., $\gamma_{k l}=\gamma_{i k l}$, as desired.

Since $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i k l} \beta_{i} \gamma_{i k l}^{-1}$ for all $i \in M$, the equality $\gamma_{i k l}=\gamma_{k l}$ implies that $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{k l} \beta_{i} \gamma_{k l}^{-1}$ for all $i \in M$. Consequently, $\gamma=\gamma_{k l}$ is as required.

Example 1. Let $F$ be the free group of rank 2 with basis $\left\{\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\}$. Let $\alpha_{1}=\beta_{2}^{-1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}$ and $\alpha_{2}=\beta_{1}^{-1} \beta_{2} \beta_{1}$. Then there is no $\gamma \in F$ such that $\alpha_{i}=$ $\gamma \beta_{i} \gamma^{-1}$ for $i=1,2$.

To verify the assertion, assume that $\gamma \in F$ is such that $\alpha_{i}=\gamma \beta_{i} \gamma^{-1}$ for $i=1,2$, i.e.,

$$
\beta_{2}^{-1} \beta_{1} \beta_{2}=\gamma \beta_{1} \gamma^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta_{1}^{-1} \beta_{2} \beta_{1}=\gamma \beta_{2} \gamma^{-1} .
$$

The first of these relations shows that $\beta_{2} \gamma$ commutes with $\beta_{1}$. By Proposition 1(ii), there exist $\xi \in F$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\beta_{1}=\xi^{r}$ and $\beta_{2} \gamma=\xi^{s}$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $r \geq 0$ (if not, replace $\xi$ by $\xi^{-1}$ ). Since $\beta_{1}$ belongs to a basis of $F$, one cannot have $r \geq 2$, and thus $r=1$. Consequently, $\beta_{2} \gamma=\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{s}$. Analogously, there exists an integer $s^{\prime}$ such that $\beta_{1} \gamma=\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{s^{\prime}}$. It follows that

$$
\beta_{2}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{s}=\gamma=\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{s^{\prime}} .
$$

However, this is impossible because $\beta_{2}^{-1}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{s}$ and $\beta_{1}^{-1}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{s^{\prime}}$ are reduced words, beginning with $\beta_{2}^{-1}$ and $\beta_{1}^{-1}$, respectively. Therefore, they cannot represent the same element of $F$.
4. Proof of Theorem 3. To prove Theorem 3, we will use Theorem 4 and some elementary facts concerning the homotopy of loops in a pointed space $\left(Y, *^{\prime}\right)$. In particular, denote by $\left(S^{1}, *\right)$ the standard 1 -sphere $\{z \in \mathbb{C}$ : $|z|=1\}$ with the basepoint $*=1$ and let $e: I \rightarrow S^{1}$ be the exponential mapping, $e(t)=e^{2 \pi i t}, t \in I=[0,1]$. By a loop $a$ in $Y$, based at $*^{\prime}$, we mean a mapping $a: S^{1} \rightarrow Y$ such that $a(*)=*^{\prime}$. Note that $a$ determines the path $\widetilde{a}=a e: I \rightarrow Y$, which has the property that $\widetilde{a}(0)=\widetilde{a}(1)=*^{\prime}$. Conversely, every path $\widetilde{a}: I \rightarrow S^{1}$ having the latter property determines a unique loop $a$ such that $\widetilde{a}=a e$. The composition of two loops $a_{1}, a_{2}: S^{1} \rightarrow Y$, based at $*^{\prime}$, is the only loop $a_{1} a_{2}$ such that $\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) e=\widetilde{a}_{1} \widetilde{a}_{2}$. We will say that the loops $a, b$, based at $*^{\prime}$, are (freely) homotopic provided there exists a homotopy $H: S^{1} \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $H(u, 0)=a(u)$ and $H(u, 1)=b(u)$ for $u \in S^{1}$. Note that the formula $\widetilde{c}(t)=H(*, t)$ determines a path $\widetilde{c}: I \rightarrow Y$ such that $\widetilde{c}(0)=H(*, 0)=a(*)=*^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{c}(1)=H(*, 1)=b(*)=*^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{c}$ determines a loop $c: S^{1} \rightarrow Y$ based at $*^{\prime}$. We will say that $H$ is a $c$-homotopy and the loops $a$ and $b$ are $c$-homotopic. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi_{1}\left(Y, *^{\prime}\right)$ be the homotopy classes of the loops $a, b, c$. Then the following elementary lemma holds (see [4, Theorem II.8.2]).

Lemma 1. Let $a, b, c$ be loops in a pointed space $\left(Y, *^{\prime}\right)$ and let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be the corresponding classes in $\pi_{1}\left(Y, *^{\prime}\right)$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
(i) the loops a and b are c-homotopic;
(ii) $\alpha=\gamma \beta \gamma^{-1}$.

Proof. If (i) holds, then there is a $c$-homotopy $H: S^{1} \times I \rightarrow Y$ which connects $a$ and $b$ and $H(*, t)=\widetilde{c}(t)$ for $t \in I$. Therefore, $\widetilde{H}: I \times I \rightarrow Y$ given by $\widetilde{H}=H(e \times 1)$ is a homotopy which connects $\widetilde{a}$ to $\widetilde{b}$. Moreover, $\widetilde{H}(0, t)=$
$H(e(0), t)=H(*, t)=\widetilde{c}(t)$ and $\widetilde{H}(1, t)=H(e(1), t)=H(*, t)=\widetilde{c}(t)$. Clearly, $\widetilde{H}$ gives rise to a homotopy $\widetilde{G}: I \times I \rightarrow Y$ which connects the loops $\widetilde{a}$ and $\widetilde{c} \widetilde{b} \widetilde{c}^{-1}$ and is fixed at the two end-points 0,1 , i.e., it is a homotopy rel $\partial I$. Now $\widetilde{G}$ determines a homotopy $G: S^{1} \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $\widetilde{G}=G(e \times 1)$. Note that $G$ connects the loops $a$ and $c b c^{-1}$ and is fixed at the basepoint $*$, i.e., it is a homotopy rel $*$. Indeed, if $s \in I$ and $u=e(s)$, then

$$
G(u, 0)=G(e(s), 0)=\widetilde{G}(s, 0)=\widetilde{a}(s)=a e(s)=a(u)
$$

Similarly,

$$
G(u, 1)=G(e(s), 1)=\widetilde{G}(s, 1)=\widetilde{c} \widetilde{b} \widetilde{c}^{-1}(s)=c b c^{-1}(e(s))=c b c^{-1}(u)
$$

Moreover, $G(*, t)=G(e(0), t)=\widetilde{G}(0, t)=*^{\prime}$. It follows that $\alpha=\gamma \beta \gamma^{-1}$, as required by (ii).

To prove (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i), it suffices to follow the steps of the above proof in the opposite order.

Proof of Theorem 3. First note that every mapping $f: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ is homotopic to a mapping $f^{\prime}: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ such that $f^{\prime}(*)=*^{\prime}$. Indeed, since $P^{\prime}$ is pathwise connected, there is a path $\omega: I \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ such that $\omega(0)=f(*)$ and $\omega(1)=*^{\prime}$. By the homotopy extension property for the pair $(P, *)$, there is a homotopy $H: P \times I \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ such that $H(u, 0)=f(u)$ for $u \in P$ and $H(*, s)=\omega(s)$ for $s \in I$. Define $f^{\prime}: P \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ by putting $f^{\prime}(u)=H(u, 1)$. Clearly, $f \simeq f^{\prime}$ implies $f^{\prime}|Q \simeq f| Q$ for every finite subcomplex $Q \subseteq P$. Moreover, $f^{\prime}(*)=H(*, 1)=\omega(1)=*^{\prime}$. Repeating the argument for $g$, we see that there is no loss of generality in assuming that $f$ and $g$ preserve the basepoints, i.e., $f(*)=g(*)=*^{\prime}$.

Being a connected 1-dimensional CW-complex with a single 0-cell $*, P$ is the wedge $\bigvee_{i \in M} P_{i}$ of a collection of copies $\left(P_{i}, *_{i}\right)$ of $\left(S^{1}, *\right), i \in M$. It is obtained from the coproduct $\bigsqcup_{i \in M} P_{i}$ by identifying all the basepoints $*_{i} \in$ $P_{i}$ to a single basepoint $*$ of $P$. Let $e_{i}: I \rightarrow P_{i}, i \in M$, denote the exponential mappings. It is well known that $\pi_{1}(P, *)$ is a free group, having as a basis the collection $\left[e_{i}\right], i \in M$, of homotopy classes (rel $\partial I$ ) of the loops $e_{i}$ (see, e.g., [1, Proposition 1A.2]). Analogous assertions hold for $P^{\prime}=\bigvee_{i \in M^{\prime}} P_{i}^{\prime}$.

For every $i \in M$, consider the loops $a_{i}=f \mid P_{i}$ and $b_{i}=g \mid P_{i}$ in $P^{\prime}$, based at $*^{\prime}$. By the assumptions of Theorem 3, $f|Q \simeq g| Q$ for every finite subcomplex $Q$ of $P$. In particular, this holds for $Q=P_{L}=\bigvee_{i \in L} P_{i}$ for any finite subset $L \subseteq M$. Therefore, there exists a homotopy $H_{L}: P_{L} \times I \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ which connects $f \mid Q$ and $g \mid Q$. Let $\widetilde{c}_{L}: I \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ be given by $\widetilde{c}_{L}(t)=H(*, t)$. Clearly, $H_{L} \mid P_{i} \times I$ is a $c_{L}$-homotopy connecting $a_{i}$ to $b_{i}$. Therefore, the implication $(\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{ii})$ in Lemma 1 shows that the homotopy classes $\alpha_{i}=$ $\left[a_{i}\right], \beta_{i}=\left[b_{i}\right], \gamma_{L}=\left[c_{L}\right] \in \pi_{1}\left(P^{\prime}, *^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy $\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{L} \beta_{i} \gamma_{L}^{-1}$ for all $i \in L$. Now

Theorem 4 shows that there exists $\gamma \in \pi_{1}\left(P^{\prime}, *^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\alpha_{i}=\gamma \beta_{i} \gamma^{-1}$ for all $i \in M$. Let $c$ be a representative of the class $\gamma$. Using the implication $(\mathrm{ii}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{i})$ of Lemma 1, we conclude that, for every $i \in M$, there is a $c$-homotopy $H_{i}: P_{i} \times I \rightarrow P^{\prime}$, which connects the loops $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$. Since $H_{i}(*, t)=\widetilde{c}(t)$ does not depend on $i$, the homotopies $H_{i}, i \in M$, extend to a well-defined homotopy $H: P \times I \rightarrow P^{\prime}$ which connects $f$ and $g$, because $H\left|P_{i} \times 0=H_{i}\right| P_{i} \times 0=a_{i}=f \mid P_{i}$ and $H\left|P_{i} \times 1=H_{i}\right| P_{i} \times 1=b_{i}=g \mid P_{i}$.

Example 2. Let $P=P_{1} \vee P_{2}$ be the wedge of two copies of $S^{1}$. Let $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \pi_{1}(P, *), i=1,2$, be as in Example 1. Let $a_{i}, b_{i}$ be loops in $P$, based at $*$, such that $\alpha_{i}=\left[a_{i}\right], \beta_{i}=\left[b_{i}\right], i=1,2$, and let $f, g: P \rightarrow P$ be defined by $f\left|P_{i}=a_{i}, g\right| P_{i}=b_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then $f\left|P_{i} \simeq g\right| P_{i}$ for $i \in M$, but $f \not \approx g$.

Consider the loops $c_{1}=b_{2}^{-1}$ and $c_{2}=b_{1}^{-1}$ and the corresponding classes $\gamma_{1}=\left[c_{1}\right]$ and $\gamma_{2}=\left[c_{2}\right]$. Since $\alpha_{1}=\gamma_{1} \beta_{1} \gamma_{1}^{-1}$ and $\alpha_{2}=\gamma_{2} \beta_{2} \gamma_{2}^{-1}$, Lemma 1 shows that $f\left|P_{i} \simeq g\right| P_{i}$ for $i \in M$. Now assume that $f \simeq g$. More precisely, let $g$ be $c$-homotopic to $f$, where $c: I \rightarrow P$ is a loop based at $*$. If $\gamma$ denotes the class of $c$, Lemma 1 shows that $\alpha_{i}=\gamma \beta_{i} \gamma^{-1}$ for $i=1,2$, which contradicts the assertions of Example 1.
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