

# Krasinkiewicz Maps from Compacta to Polyhedra

by

Eiichi MATSUHASHI

*Presented by Czesław BESSAGA*

**Summary.** We prove that the set of all Krasinkiewicz maps from a compact metric space to a polyhedron (or a 1-dimensional locally connected continuum, or an  $n$ -dimensional Menger manifold,  $n \geq 1$ ) is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of the space of all maps. We also investigate the existence of surjective Krasinkiewicz maps from continua to polyhedra.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper all spaces are separable and metrizable, and all maps are continuous. We denote the interval  $[0, 1]$  by  $I$ . A compact metric space is called a *compactum*, and a *continuum* means a connected compactum. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compacta. Then  $C(X, Y)$  denotes the set of all continuous maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  endowed with the sup metric.

If  $X, Y$  are compacta, a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *Krasinkiewicz map* if any continuum in  $X$  either contains a component of a fiber of  $f$  or is contained in a fiber of  $f$  (cf. [5] and [8]). In [5] J. Krasinkiewicz showed that the set of all Krasinkiewicz maps from a compactum to a 1-dimensional manifold is a dense subset of the space of all maps. In fact, he proved this result as follows. First, he defined a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to be *singular* if there exists a Bing map (see [3], [4], [6] and [10])  $F : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $F_0 = f$ . Next he proved that the set of all singular maps from a compactum to an  $n$ -dimensional manifold ( $n \geq 1$ ) is a dense subset of the space of all maps, and also that a singular map from a compactum to a 1-dimensional manifold is a Krasinkiewicz map. On the other hand, in [8] M. Levin and W. Lewis proved that the set of Krasinkiewicz maps from a compactum to  $I$  is a dense subset of the space of all maps by their own method.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54C05, 54C35; Secondary 54F15, 54F45.

*Key words and phrases*: Krasinkiewicz map, component, dense  $G_\delta$ -subset, polyhedron, compactum, continuum, Menger manifold, locally connected continuum.

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a compactum and  $P$  a polyhedron. Then the set of all Krasinkiewicz maps from  $X$  to  $P$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, P)$ .*

In Section 3, as an application of Theorem 1.1, we prove that the set of all Krasinkiewicz maps from a compact metric space to a 1-dimensional locally connected continuum (or an  $n$ -dimensional Menger manifold,  $n \geq 1$ ) is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of the space of all maps. Also, we investigate the existence of surjective Krasinkiewicz maps from continua to polyhedra.

**2. Main theorem.** In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. First we introduce our notation and terminology. By  $\overset{\circ}{I}$  we denote the manifold interior of  $I$ ,  $\overset{\circ}{I} = (0, 1)$ , and by  $\partial I$  its manifold boundary,  $\partial I = \{0, 1\}$ . Analogous symbols are used for the unit cube  $I^n$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping. For a point  $x \in X$  we denote by  $C(x, f)$  the component of the fiber  $f^{-1}(f(x))$  containing  $x$ , and call it the *component of  $f$  at  $x$* . Any component of  $f$  at a point is said to be a *component of  $f$* . A mapping  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be an *alteration of  $f$  on  $U$  over  $V$* , where  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  are arbitrary sets, if  $g(x) = f(x)$  for each  $x \notin U \cap f^{-1}(V)$ , and  $g(U \cap f^{-1}(V)) \subset V$ .

The easy proof of the next lemma is left to the reader.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $q : X \rightarrow X'$  be a mapping between compacta, and let  $u : X \rightarrow I$  and  $u' : X' \rightarrow I$  be mappings such that  $u = u' \circ q$ .*

- (i) *If  $v' : X' \rightarrow I$  is an alteration of  $u'$  on  $G'$  over  $(a, b)$ , then  $v = v' \circ q$  is an alteration of  $u$  on  $G = q^{-1}(G')$  over  $(a, b)$ . Moreover,  $d(u, v) \leq d(u', v')$ .*
- (ii) *If  $q$  is a monotone surjection and  $C'$  is a component of  $u'$  then  $C = q^{-1}(C')$  is a component of  $u$ .*

The next lemma is a strengthening of a result proved by M. Levin [7].

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $u : X \rightarrow I$  be a mapping of a compactum  $X$  and let  $(a, b) \subset I$ . Suppose  $Z$  is a nonvoid closed subset of  $X$  whose components lie in fibers of  $u$ , and  $u(Z) \subset (a, b)$ . Then, for any open neighborhood  $G$  of  $Z$  in  $X$ ,  $u$  can be approximated by mappings  $v : X \rightarrow I$  such that:*

- (i)  *$v$  is an alteration of  $u$  on  $G \setminus Z$  over  $(a, b)$ ,*
- (ii) *each component of  $Z$  is a component of  $v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X'$  denote the quotient space obtained from  $X$  by shrinking the components of  $Z$  to points, and let  $q : X \rightarrow X'$  denote the quotient mapping. Then  $Z' = q(Z)$  is a closed 0-dimensional subset of  $X'$  and  $G' = q(G)$  is an open neighborhood of  $Z'$  in  $X'$ . Since components of  $Z$  lie in fibers of  $u$ , there is a map  $u' : X' \rightarrow I$  such that  $u = u' \circ q$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In view of Lemma 2.1, if  $v' : X' \rightarrow I$  is an alteration of  $u'$  on  $G' \setminus Z'$  over  $(a, b)$

with  $d(v', u') < \varepsilon$ , then  $v = v' \circ q$  satisfies the conclusion of our lemma, and  $d(v, u) < \varepsilon$ . Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$(1) \dim Z = 0.$$

Let  $U = G \cap u^{-1}((a, b))$ . Since  $U \subset X$  is open and  $Z \subset U$ , by (1) there exist open sets  $U_1, \dots, U_{n(\emptyset)}$  such that for each  $i, i' = 1, \dots, n(\emptyset)$  we have:

$$(2_1) Z \subset U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_{n(\emptyset)}, Z \cap U_i \neq \emptyset, \text{cl } U_i \subset U,$$

$$(3_1) \text{cl } U_i \cap \text{cl } U_{i'} = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq i',$$

$$(4_1) \text{diam } U_i < 1/2^1, \text{diam } u(\text{cl } U_i) < \varepsilon/2^1.$$

Hence  $Z_i = Z \cap U_i$  is a nonvoid closed subset of the open set  $U_i$ . Therefore, we can repeat the procedure for  $U_i, Z_i$  in place of  $U, Z$ ; and so on. Thus, for each  $k \geq 1$ , we construct open sets  $U_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ , satisfying conditions  $(2_k)-(4_k)$ . Then we pick open sets  $V_\alpha, W_\alpha$  and intervals  $[a_\alpha, b_\alpha] \subset [a, b]$  such that

$$(5_k) \text{cl } U_\alpha \subset V_\alpha \subset \text{cl } V_\alpha \subset W_\alpha \subset \text{cl } W_\alpha \subset U_\beta, \text{ where } \beta = (i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}),$$

$$(6_k) \text{cl } W_\alpha \cap \text{cl } W_{\alpha'} = \emptyset, \text{ where } \alpha' = (i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, i'_k), i_k \neq i'_k,$$

$$(7_k) \text{diam } W_\alpha < 1/2^k,$$

$$(8_k) u(\text{cl } W_\alpha) \subset [a_\alpha, b_\alpha] \text{ and } \text{diam } [a_\alpha, b_\alpha] < \varepsilon/2^k.$$

Moreover, we can choose the intervals so that

$$(9) \text{ all the initial points } a_\alpha \text{ are different.}$$

Then take any mappings  $v_\alpha : \text{cl } W_\alpha \setminus U_\alpha \rightarrow [a_\alpha, b_\alpha]$  which map  $\partial V_\alpha$  to  $a_\alpha$ , and which coincide with  $u$  on  $\partial W_\alpha \cup \partial U_\alpha$ . Finally, define  $v : X \rightarrow I$  to be the union of the maps  $v_\alpha$  on  $\text{cl } W_\alpha \setminus U_\alpha$ , and  $u$  on the complement of these sets.

It follows from (8) that  $d(v, u) < \varepsilon$ . Suppose there is a nondegenerate component  $D$  of  $v$  which meets  $Z$ . It follows from (5) and (7) that  $D$  meets two different boundaries  $\partial V_\alpha$ . Hence  $v(D)$  contains at least two different points  $a_\alpha$ , a contradiction. ■

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $f : X \rightarrow I$  be a mapping of a compactum  $X$  and let  $G$  be an open subset of  $X$ . Then  $f$  can be approximated by mappings  $g : X \rightarrow I$  such that*

$$(i) \text{ } g \text{ is an alteration of } f \text{ on } G \text{ over } \mathring{I},$$

$$(ii) \text{ if } L \subset G \text{ is a continuum lying in no fiber of } g, \text{ then } L \text{ contains a component of } g.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We need a map  $g : X \rightarrow I$  which is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $f$  and satisfies the conclusion of our lemma. It will be defined as the limit of a sequence of maps  $u_0, u_1, \dots$  from  $X$  to  $I$ . To define the latter, first choose a sequence of closed intervals  $[a_1, b_1], [a_2, b_2], \dots$  in  $\mathring{I}$ , and a sequence of Cantor sets  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  satisfying the following conditions (for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ ):

- (1)  $\text{diam } [a_i, b_i] < \varepsilon/2^i$ ,
- (2)  $C_i \subset (a_i, b_i)$ ,
- (3)  $([a_i, b_i] \cap [a_j, b_j] \neq \emptyset \text{ and } i < j) \Rightarrow ([a_j, b_j] \subset (a_i, b_i) \setminus C_i)$ ,
- (4) each nonvoid open subset of  $I$  contains some  $[a_i, b_i]$ .

Next, take an increasing sequence  $G_0, G_1, \dots$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $G = G_0 \cup G_1 \cup \dots$  and  $\text{cl } G_{i-1} \subset G_i$  for each  $i$ . Then define the mappings  $u_{i-1}$  by induction. Put

$$(5) \quad u_0 = f.$$

If  $u_{i-1}$  has been defined, define  $u_i$  as follows. Take a continuous surjection  $\varphi_i : C_i \rightarrow 2^X$ , where  $2^X$  denotes the hyperspace of closed subsets of  $X$ , and set

$$Z_i = \bigcup \{ \varphi_i(c) \cap u_{i-1}^{-1}(c) \cap \text{cl } G_{i-1} \mid c \in C_i \}.$$

Then  $Z_i$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , its components lie in fibers of  $u_{i-1}$ , and  $u_{i-1}(Z_i) \subset C_i$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, there is a mapping  $u_i : X \rightarrow I$  such that

- (6)  $u_i$  is an alteration of  $u_{i-1}$  on  $G_i \setminus Z_i$  over  $(a_i, b_i)$ ,
- (7) each component of  $Z_i$  is a component of  $u_i^{-1}(c)$  for some  $c \in C_i$ .

Let us observe that

- (8) if  $L \subset G_{i-1}$  is a continuum and  $C_i \subset u_{i-1}(L)$ , then  $L$  contains a component of  $u_i^{-1}(c)$  for some  $c \in C_i$ .

In fact, there is  $c_0 \in C_i$  such that  $\varphi_i(c_0) = L$ . Since  $c_0 \in u_{i-1}(L)$ , we have  $L \cap u_{i-1}^{-1}(c_0) \neq \emptyset$ . On the other hand,

$$L \cap u_{i-1}^{-1}(c_0) = \varphi_i(c_0) \cap u_{i-1}^{-1}(c_0) \cap \text{cl } G_{i-1}$$

is a subset of  $Z_i$ . Let  $D$  be a component of  $L \cap u_{i-1}^{-1}(c_0)$ . Then  $D$  is a component of  $Z_i$ . Since  $u_i(D) = u_{i-1}(D) = \{c_0\}$ , by (7) we infer that  $D$  is a component of  $u_i^{-1}(c_0)$ . Thus,  $L$  contains a component of  $u_i^{-1}(c_0)$ , which proves (8).

From (3) and (6) we infer that

- (9) if  $u_i(x) \in [a_j, b_j]$  for some  $j > i$ , and  $j_0 = \min\{j > i \mid u_i(x) \in [a_j, b_j]\}$ , then  $u_k(x) \in [a_{j_0}, b_{j_0}]$  for each  $k > i$ .

Now we are ready to complete the proof. We are going to show that  $g = \lim u_i$  satisfies the conclusion of our lemma. The limit is well defined in view of (6) and (1). Then, by (1), (5) and (6),  $g$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $f$ . Condition (i) follows from (6). It remains to prove (ii). To this end, consider a continuum  $L \subset G$  such that  $g(L)$  is not a singleton. Then there is  $i \geq 1$  such that  $L \subset G_{i-1}$  and  $[a_i, b_i] \subset u_{i-1}(L)$ . By (2) and (8),  $L$  contains a component of  $u_i^{-1}(c)$  for some  $c \in C_i$ . Therefore, it is enough to show that

$$(10) \quad g^{-1}(c) = u_i^{-1}(c).$$

By (3) and (6) one easily sees that  $u_i^{-1}(c) \subset g^{-1}(c)$ . To prove the converse, suppose on the contrary that there is a point  $x \in g^{-1}(c) \setminus u_i^{-1}(c)$ . Then  $g(x) = c \neq u_i(x)$ . It follows that  $u_i(x) \in [a_j, b_j]$  for some  $j > i$  (otherwise  $g(x) = u_i(x)$ ). By (9),  $u_k(x) \in [a_{j_0}, b_{j_0}]$  for each  $k \geq i$ , where  $j_0 > i$ . It follows that  $g(x) \in [a_{j_0}, b_{j_0}]$ . On the other hand,  $[a_{j_0}, b_{j_0}] \subset (a_i, b_i) \setminus C_i$ , by (3). Hence  $c = g(x) \notin C_i$ , a contradiction. This proves (10), and ends the entire proof. ■

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $X$  be a compactum and let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : X \rightarrow I^n$  be a mapping such that  $f|f^{-1}(\partial I^n) : f^{-1}(\partial I^n) \rightarrow \partial I^n$  is a Krasinkiewicz map. Then  $f$  can be approximated by Krasinkiewicz maps  $g : X \rightarrow I^n$  which are alterations of  $f$  on  $f^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{I}^n)$  over  $\overset{\circ}{I}^n$ . In other words, each  $g$  extends  $f|f^{-1}(\partial I^n)$  and transforms  $f^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{I}^n)$  into  $\overset{\circ}{I}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $G = f^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{I}^n)$ . By Lemma 2.3, for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , there is a mapping  $g_i : X \rightarrow I$  which is  $(\varepsilon/\sqrt{n})$ -close to  $f_i$  and such that

- (1)  $g_i$  is an alteration of  $f_i$  on  $G$  over  $\overset{\circ}{I}$ ,
- (2) if  $L \subset G$  is a continuum lying in no fiber of  $g_i$ , then  $L$  contains a component of  $g_i$ .

We shall show that  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n) : X \rightarrow I^n$  satisfies the conclusion. First note that  $g$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $f$ , and  $g$  is an alteration of  $f$  on  $f^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{I}^n)$  over  $\overset{\circ}{I}^n$ . It remains to show that  $g$  is a Krasinkiewicz map. To this end, consider a continuum  $L \subset X$  such that  $g(L)$  is not a singleton. We must show that  $L$  contains a component of  $g$ . If  $L \cap G = \emptyset$ , then  $L \subset f^{-1}(\partial I^n)$  hence, by our hypothesis  $L$  contains a component of  $f|f^{-1}(\partial I^n)$ , which is also a component of  $f$ . Next, assume  $L \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . Then there is a continuum  $L' \subset L \cap G$  such that  $g(L')$  is not a singleton. Hence  $g_i(L')$  is not a singleton for some  $i$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $L'$  contains a component  $C(x, g_i)$ . Since  $C(x, g) \subset C(x, g_i) \subset L' \subset L$ , this ends the proof. ■

Before the proof of Theorem 2.5 we give some notations. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are compacta, then  $K(X, Y)$  denotes the set of all Krasinkiewicz maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ . If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a simplicial complex, we write  $\mathcal{K}^n = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{K} \mid \dim \sigma \leq n\}$  and denote the polyhedron of  $\mathcal{K}$  by  $|\mathcal{K}|$ . Let  $X$  be a compactum,  $\mathcal{K}$  a simplicial complex and  $f : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}|$  a mapping. A mapping  $g : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}|$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{K}$ -modification of  $f$  if  $f(x) \in \overset{\circ}{\sigma}$  implies  $g(x) \in \overset{\circ}{\sigma}$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ . One easily sees that

- (\*) if  $g$  is a  $\mathcal{K}$ -modification of  $f$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a subcomplex of  $\mathcal{K}$  then  $g^{-1}(|\mathcal{L}|) = f^{-1}(|\mathcal{L}|)$  and the mapping  $g^{-1}(|\mathcal{L}|) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  determined by  $g$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -modification of the mapping  $f^{-1}(|\mathcal{L}|) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  determined by  $f$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a compactum and  $P$  a polyhedron. Then  $K(X, P)$  is a dense subset of  $C(X, P)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a simplicial complex  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $P = |\mathcal{K}|$  and  $\text{mesh } \mathcal{K} < \varepsilon$ . Therefore our theorem readily follows from the following assertion:

(\*\*) *for any mapping  $f : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}|$ , where  $X$  is a compactum and  $\mathcal{K}$  is a simplicial complex, there is a Krasinkiewicz map  $g : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}|$  which is a  $\mathcal{K}$ -modification of  $f$ .*

We prove (\*\*) by induction on  $\dim \mathcal{K}$ . For  $\dim \mathcal{K} = 0$  it is obvious because every map from a compactum into a discrete space is a Krasinkiewicz map. Then consider any mapping  $f : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}|$ , where  $n = \dim \mathcal{K} > 0$ , and assume (\*\*) holds for any  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional complex. It suffices to find a Krasinkiewicz map  $g : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}|$  which is a  $\mathcal{K}$ -modification of  $f$ . To this end consider the mapping  $f_0 : f^{-1}(|\mathcal{K}^{n-1}|) \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}^{n-1}|$  determined by  $f$  (i.e.  $f_0(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x$ ). By the inductive assumption there is a Krasinkiewicz map  $g_0 : f^{-1}(|\mathcal{K}^{n-1}|) \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}^{n-1}|$  which is a  $\mathcal{K}^{n-1}$ -modification of  $f_0$ . For any  $n$ -simplex  $\sigma \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{K}^{n-1}$ , by (\*),  $g_0^{-1}(\partial\sigma) = f^{-1}(\partial\sigma)$  and the mapping  $g_{\partial\sigma} : g_0^{-1}(\partial\sigma) \rightarrow \partial\sigma$  determined by  $g_0$  is a  $\partial\sigma$ -modification of the mapping  $f^{-1}(\partial\sigma) \rightarrow \partial\sigma$  determined by  $f$ . Since  $\sigma$  is homeomorphic to  $I^n$  and the mapping  $g_{\partial\sigma}$  is a Krasinkiewicz map, by Lemma 2.4, there is a Krasinkiewicz map  $g_\sigma : f^{-1}(\sigma) \rightarrow \sigma$  such that  $g_\sigma(x) = g_0(x)$  for all  $x \in f^{-1}(\partial\sigma)$ , and  $g_\sigma(x) \in \overset{\circ}{\sigma}$  if  $f(x) \in \overset{\circ}{\sigma}$ . Now we define the mapping  $g : X \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}|$  to be  $g_0$  on the inverse  $f^{-1}(|\mathcal{K}^{n-1}|)$ , and  $g_\sigma$  on  $f^{-1}(\sigma)$  for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{K}^{n-1}$ . One easily verifies that  $g$  is well defined and has the desired properties, which ends the proof. ■

A set  $A \subset X$  is said to be *residual in  $X$*  if  $A$  contains a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $X$ . In [8] M. Levin and W. Lewis claimed that if  $X$  is a compactum, then  $K(X, I)$  is a residual set of  $C(X, I)$ . In fact, they claimed that the set of maps  $f$  in  $C(X, I)$  satisfying the following condition is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, I)$ :

(#) *for every continuum  $F \subset X$  such that  $f(F)$  is not a singleton there exists a subset  $D \subset f(F)$  dense in  $f(F)$  such that for every  $d \in D$ ,  $f^{-1}(d) \cap F$  is the union of some components of  $f^{-1}(d)$ .*

Contrary to this assertion, one can show that there exist continua  $X$  such that the set of maps in  $C(X, I)$  satisfying (#) is not dense in  $C(X, I)$ . For instance, take any mapping  $f : I \times I \rightarrow I$  close enough to the first projection  $\text{pr}_1 : I \times I \rightarrow I$ . Then  $f$  does not satisfy (#). In fact, let  $F = I \times \{1/2\}$ . Then  $f(F)$  is not a singleton, but if  $d \in f(F)$  and  $1/2 \in f(F)$  are sufficiently near, then there exists a component  $C$  of  $f^{-1}(d)$  such that  $C \cap (I \times \{0\}) \neq \emptyset \neq C \cap (I \times \{1\})$ . So there does not exist a subset  $D \subset f(F)$  as in (#).

So  $K(X, I)$  need not be a residual subset of  $C(X, I)$ . However, we prove that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are compacta, then  $K(X, Y)$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, Y)$ .

If  $\delta > 0$  and  $A \subset X$ , we denote the set  $\{x \in X \mid d(x, A) < \delta\}$  by  $B(A, \delta)$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compacta. Then  $K(X, Y)$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, Y)$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $H_{m,n}$  be the set of all maps  $f \in C(X, Y)$  satisfying the condition:

- ( $\star$ ) if  $L \subset X$  is a subcontinuum with  $\text{diam } f(L) \geq 1/n$ , then there exists  $x \in L$  such that  $C(x, f) \subset B(L, 1/m)$ .

We claim that

- (A)  $H_{m,n}$  is an open subset of  $C(X, Y)$ ,  
 (B)  $K(X, Y) = \bigcap_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} H_{m,n}$ .

To prove (A), we show that  $C(X, Y) \setminus H_{m,n}$  is a closed subset of  $C(X, Y)$ . Note that  $C(X, Y) \setminus H_{m,n}$  is the set of all maps  $f \in C(X, Y)$  satisfying:

- ( $\star\star$ ) there exists a subcontinuum  $K \subset X$  such that  $\text{diam } f(K) \geq 1/n$  and  $C(x, f) \not\subset B(K, 1/m)$  for each  $x \in K$ .

Let  $f \in \text{cl}(C(X, Y) \setminus H_{m,n})$ . Then there exists a sequence of maps  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset C(X, Y) \setminus H_{m,n}$  such that  $\lim f_i = f$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , there exists a subcontinuum  $K_i \subset X$  such that  $\text{diam } f_i(K_i) \geq 1/n$  and  $C(x, f_i) \not\subset B(K_i, 1/m)$  for each  $x \in K_i$ . We may assume that  $K_i$  converges to a subcontinuum  $K \subset X$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\text{diam } f(K) \geq 1/n$ . Let  $x \in K$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset X$  such that  $x_i \in K_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\lim x_i = x$ . Hence  $C(x_i, f_i) \not\subset B(K_i, 1/m)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . We may assume that  $C(x_i, f_i)$  converges to a subcontinuum  $C \subset X$ . Then it is easy to see that  $x \in C \subset C(x, f)$  and  $C \not\subset B(K, 1/m)$ . So  $f \in C(X, Y) \setminus H_{m,n}$ . This completes the proof of (A).

Next we prove (B). It is easy to see that  $K(X, Y) \subset \bigcap_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} H_{m,n}$ . So we only prove the reverse inclusion. Let  $f \in \bigcap_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} H_{m,n}$  and let  $L \subset X$  be a subcontinuum such that  $\text{diam } f(L) > 0$ . Let  $L_1 = L$ . Now we prove that there exists a subcontinuum  $L_2 \subset L_1$  such that  $\text{diam } f(L_2) > 0$  and  $C(x, f) \subset B(L_1, 1/2)$  for each  $x \in L_2$ . Since  $\text{diam } f(L_1) > 0$ , there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{diam } f(L_1) \geq 1/n_1$ . Since  $f \in H_{2,n_1}$ , there exists  $x_0 \in L_1$  such that  $C(x_0, f) \subset B(L_1, 1/2)$ . Since  $B(L_1, 1/2)$  is open and  $C(x_0, f)$  is a component of the compact set  $f^{-1}(f(x_0))$ , there exist two disjoint open sets  $U, U'$  such that

- (1)  $f^{-1}(f(x_0)) \subset U \cup U'$ ,
- (2)  $C(x_0, f) \subset U \subset B(L_1, 1/2)$ .

Since  $f$  is a closed mapping, by (1) there is an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(x_0)$  in  $Y$  such that

$$(3) f^{-1}(\text{cl} V) \subset U \cup U'.$$

Since  $\text{diam } f(L_1) > 0$ , we can also assume that

$$(4) f(L_1) \setminus V \neq \emptyset.$$

Consider the open set  $W = f^{-1}(V) \cap U$ . Note that

$$(5) C(x_0, f) \subset W.$$

Let  $C_0$  denote the component of  $W \cap L_1$  which contains  $x_0$ . We are going to show that  $L_2 = \text{cl} C_0$  is a continuum with the desired properties. First we show that

$$(6) \text{diam } f(L_2) > 0.$$

Since  $L_1$  is a continuum and  $L_1 \not\subset W$  by (4), we infer that  $\text{cl} C_0 \cap \partial_L(W \cap L_1) \neq \emptyset$ . Note that  $\partial_L(W \cap L_1) \subset \partial W$ . The set  $f^{-1}(\text{cl} V) \cap U$  is closed, because it is a closed subset of the closed set  $f^{-1}(\text{cl} V)$ , by (3). It follows that  $\text{cl} W \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl} V) \cap U$ , hence  $\partial W = \text{cl} W \setminus W \subset (f^{-1}(\text{cl} V) \cap U) \setminus (f^{-1}(V) \cap U) \subset f^{-1}(\partial V)$ . Consequently,  $\partial_L(W \cap L_1) \subset f^{-1}(\partial V)$ , hence  $\text{cl} C_0 \cap f^{-1}(\partial V) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $f(\text{cl} C_0)$  contains  $f(x_0)$  and meets  $\partial V$ , which proves (6).

Now consider any  $x \in \text{cl} C_0$ . In order to end the proof it is enough to show that

$$(7) C(x, f) \subset B(L_1, 1/2).$$

Since  $f^{-1}(\text{cl} V) \cap U$  is closed,  $\text{cl} C_0 \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl} V) \cap U$ , so  $x \in f^{-1}(\text{cl} V) \cap U$ . Therefore,  $x \in f^{-1}(f(x)) \cap U$ . The set  $f^{-1}(f(x)) \cap U$  is closed and open in  $f^{-1}(f(x))$ , as  $f^{-1}(\text{cl} V) \cap U$  is closed and open in  $f^{-1}(\text{cl} V)$ , by (3), and  $f^{-1}(f(x)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl} V)$ . It follows that  $C(x, f) \subset f^{-1}(f(x)) \cap U \subset U$ . Thus, by (2), we get (7).

By induction, we can find a decreasing sequence  $\{L_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of subcontinua of  $L$  such that if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

- $\text{diam } f(L_k) > 0$ ,
- $C(x, f) \subset B(L_k, 1/(k+1))$  for each  $x \in L_{k+1}$ .

Then it is easy to see that  $C(x, f) \subset L$  for each  $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} L_k$  ( $\subset L$ ). This implies  $f \in K(X, Y)$ , and completes the proof. ■

By Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, we get Theorem 1.1.

**3. Applications.** In this section we give some applications of Theorem 1.1. First we prove the following result.



PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  be compacta. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a Krasinkiewicz map and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  is a 0-dimensional map, then  $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$  is a Krasinkiewicz map.*

*Proof.* Let  $h = g \circ f$  and  $L \subset X$  a continuum such that  $h(L)$  is not a singleton. Since  $f(L)$  is not a singleton and  $f$  is a Krasinkiewicz map, there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $L$  contains a component  $C$  of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $g$  is a 0-dimensional map,  $\{y\}$  is a component of  $g^{-1}(g(y))$ . Then  $C$  is a component of  $h^{-1}(g(y))$ . This completes the proof. ■

By Theorem 1.1, Proposition 3.1 and the proofs of Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4 in [10] we obtain the following results.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $X$  be a compactum and  $Y$  a 1-dimensional locally connected continuum. Then  $K(X, Y)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, Y)$ .*

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $X$  be a compactum and  $M$  an  $n$ -dimensional Menger manifold ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then  $K(X, M)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C(X, M)$ .*

(See [1] for properties of Menger manifolds.)

We denote the space of all surjective maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  by  $C_s(X, Y)$ . Also, we denote the set of all surjective Krasinkiewicz maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  by  $K_s(X, Y)$ . By Theorem 1.1, Proposition 3.1 and the argument in [3] we get the following result.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $X$  be a continuum and  $P$  a connected polyhedron. Then  $K_s(X, P)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C_s(X, P)$ .*

By Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.4 and the proofs of Theorem 6 and Corollary 7 in [3] we get the following results.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let  $X$  be a continuum and  $Y$  a 1-dimensional locally connected continuum. Then  $K_s(X, Y)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C_s(X, Y)$ .*

THEOREM 3.6. *Let  $X$  be a continuum and  $M$  an  $n$ -dimensional Menger manifold ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then  $K_s(X, M)$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $C_s(X, M)$ .*

Also we give an application of Theorem 3.4. We need the following well known theorem.

THEOREM 3.7 (M. Brown [2]). *Let  $\{X_i, f_i\}$  be an inverse sequence such that  $X_i$  is compact for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then there exist  $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \dots > 0$  such that if  $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  ( $g_i : X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) satisfies  $d(f_i, g_i) < \varepsilon_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\varprojlim \{X_i, g_i\}$  is homeomorphic to  $\varprojlim \{X_i, f_i\}$ .*

By Theorems 3.4 and 3.7, we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 3.8. *For each continuum  $X$ , there exists an inverse sequence  $\{P_i, g_i\}$  such that  $P_i$  is a compact connected polyhedron,  $g_i : P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i$  is a surjective Krasinkiewicz map for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $X = \varprojlim \{P_i, g_i\}$ .*

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referee for his careful reading of the paper.

### References

- [1] M. Bestvina, *Characterizing  $k$ -dimensional universal Menger compacta*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 380 (1988).
- [2] M. Brown, *Some applications of an approximation theorem for inverse limits*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1960), 478–483.
- [3] H. Kato and E. Matsuhashi, *On surjective Bing maps*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 52 (2004), 329–333.
- [4] J. Krasinkiewicz, *On mappings with hereditarily indecomposable fibers*, *ibid.* 44 (1996), 147–156.
- [5] —, *On approximation of mappings into 1-manifolds*, *ibid.* 44 (1996), 431–440.
- [6] M. Levin, *Bing maps and finite-dimensional maps*, Fund. Math. 151 (1996), 47–52.
- [7] —, *Certain finite dimensional maps and their application to hyperspaces*, Israel J. Math. 105 (1998), 257–262.
- [8] M. Levin and W. Lewis, *Some mapping theorems for extensional dimension*, *ibid.* 133 (2003), 61–76.
- [9] J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology. Prerequisites and Introduction*, North-Holland, 1989.
- [10] J. Song and E. D. Tymchatyn, *Free spaces*, Fund. Math. 163 (2000), 229–239.

Eiichi Matsuhashi  
Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Ibaraki, 305-8571 Japan  
E-mail: aichi@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

*Received December 12, 2005;*  
*received in final form October 9, 2006*

(7493)