

# On Some Correspondence between Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Disc and Holomorphic Functions in the Left Halfplane

by

Ewa LIGOCKA

*Presented by Józef SICIĄK*

**Summary.** We study a correspondence  $L$  between some classes of functions holomorphic in the unit disc and functions holomorphic in the left halfplane. This correspondence is such that for every  $f$  and  $w \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\exp(L(f)(w)) = f(\exp w)$ .

In particular, we prove that the famous class  $S$  of univalent functions on the unit disc is homeomorphic via  $L$  to the class  $S(\mathbb{H})$  of all univalent functions  $g$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  for which  $g(w + 2\pi i) = g(w) + 2\pi i$  and  $\lim_{\operatorname{Re} z \rightarrow -\infty} (g(w) - w) = 0$ .

**1. Introduction and preliminaries.** A usual way to establish a correspondence between holomorphic functions in the left halfplane and those in the unit disc is to take the composition with the fractional linear map  $\varphi(z) = \frac{z+1}{z-1}$ . Note that  $\varphi \circ \varphi = \operatorname{Id}$ .

In this note we shall use the fact that the exponential function  $\exp(z) = e^z$  is a covering map from the left halfplane onto the punctured unit disc to define another correspondence between some classes of holomorphic functions.

Let us introduce some notations.  $\mathbb{D}$  will denote the unit disc and  $\mathbb{H}$  will denote the left halfplane  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$ . Let  $\ln$  stand for the branch of the logarithm such that  $-\pi < \operatorname{Im} \ln z \leq \pi$  and  $\ln 1 = 0$ .

We now define some classes of functions holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ .

- 1)  $S_0 = \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f(z) \neq 0 \text{ for } z \neq 0\}$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C45.

*Key words and phrases*: holomorphic functions, univalent functions, class  $S$ , exponential function, logarithm.

- 2)  $S'_k$  consists of the functions from  $S_0$  which have a zero of order  $k$  at zero.

Each function from  $S_k$  can be written as

$$f(z) = cz^k f_1(z), \quad \text{where } c \neq 0, f_1(0) = 1$$

and  $f_1(z)$  does not vanish on  $\mathbb{D}$ . We have  $S_0 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k$ .

- 3)  $S_k^1$  consists of the functions from  $S_k$  for which  $c = 1$ .
- 4)  $S$  contains all functions from  $S_1^1$  which are *univalent* on  $\mathbb{D}$ . In other words, it is the class of functions  $f$  univalent on  $\mathbb{D}$  and such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . This is the most important of all the classes considered. Note that  $f(z) = z + z^2$  belongs to  $S_1^1$  but not to  $S$ .
- 5)  $S_0(\mathbb{H})$  consists of the functions  $h$  holomorphic on  $\mathbb{H}$  for which there exist  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $-\pi < \text{Im } a \leq \pi$  such that
  - (i)  $h(w) - kw$  is a  $2\pi i$ -periodic function,
  - (ii) if  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{H}$  for which  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Re } w_n = -\infty$  then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h(w_n) - kw_n) = a.$$

- 6)  $S_k(\mathbb{H})$  consists of all functions from  $S_0(\mathbb{H})$  for which (i) and (ii) hold with the given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 7)  $S_k^0(\mathbb{H})$  consists of all functions from  $S_k(\mathbb{H})$  for which  $a = 0$ .
- 8)  $S(\mathbb{H})$  is the class of *univalent* functions from  $S_1^0(\mathbb{H})$ .

If  $h \in S_k(\mathbb{H})$  then  $h(w + 2\pi i) = h(w) + 2k\pi i$ .

In particular, if  $h \in S_1(\mathbb{H})$  then

$$h(z + 2\pi i) = h(z) + 2\pi i.$$

Note that all sets  $S_k(\mathbb{H})$  and  $S_k^0(\mathbb{H})$  are *convex*.

**2. The correspondence.** Let  $f \in S_0$ . Then  $f \in S_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f$  can be written as  $f(z) = cz^k f_1(z)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $f_1(0) = 1$ . By a monodromy argument there exists  $g$  holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $g(0) = 0$  such that  $f_1(z) = e^{g(z)}$ . For  $w \in \mathbb{H}$  put

$$L(f)(w) := \ln c + kw + g(e^w).$$

It is easy to check that  $L(f)(w) \in S_0(\mathbb{H})$ . Since  $e^w$  is  $2\pi i$ -periodic, (i) holds. Condition (ii) is satisfied because if  $\text{Re } w_n \rightarrow -\infty$  then  $e^{w_n} \rightarrow 0$  and  $g(e^{w_n}) \rightarrow 0$ . We have

$$(*) \quad e^{L(f)(w)} = f(e^w) \quad \text{for each } w \in \mathbb{H}.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the mapping  $L$  is one-to-one and maps  $S_k$  onto  $S_k(\mathbb{H})$ . It also maps  $S_k^1$  onto  $S_k^0(\mathbb{H})$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $L$  is one-to-one follows directly from (\*). If  $f_1, f_2 \in S_k$  and  $L(f_1) = L(f_2)$  then  $f_1(e^w) = f_2(e^w)$  for each  $w \in \mathbb{H}$ , and so  $f_1 = f_2$ .

Now let  $h \in S_k(\mathbb{H})$ . Put  $g_1(w) = h(w) - kw - a$ . If  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $z \neq 0$ , then there exists  $w \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $e^w = z$ . Define  $g(z) = g_1(w)$ . Since  $g_1$  is  $2\pi i$ -periodic,  $g$  is well defined on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . We have  $g(z) = g_1(\ln z)$  for each branch of  $\ln z$ . Since  $\exp(z) = e^z$  is a covering map,  $g(z)$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . Condition (ii) implies that  $g_1(w) \rightarrow 0$  if  $\operatorname{Re} w \rightarrow -\infty$ . Hence  $g(z) \rightarrow 0$  for  $z \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $g(z)$  extends to a function holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$  by setting  $g(0) = 0$ . Now define  $f(z) = e^a z^k e^{g(z)}$ . Then  $f \in S_k$  and  $L(f) = h$ .

It also follows from the above proof that  $L$  maps  $S_k^1$  onto  $S_k^0(\mathbb{H})$ .

Let now  $H(\mathbb{D})$  and  $H(\mathbb{H})$  be the spaces of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mathbb{H}$  respectively, endowed with the compact-open topology. We shall consider  $S_k^1$  and  $S_k^0(\mathbb{H})$  as topological subspaces of  $H(\mathbb{D})$  and  $H(\mathbb{H})$  respectively.

We have

**THEOREM 2.** *The mapping  $L$  is a homeomorphism between  $S_k^1$  and  $S_k^0(\mathbb{H})$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S_k^1$  converge almost uniformly to  $f_0 \in S_k^1$ . We have  $f_n(z) = z^k e^{g_n(z)}$ ,  $g_n(0) = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f_0(z) = z^k e^{g_0(z)}$ ,  $g_0(0) = 0$ . The almost uniform convergence of  $f_n$  implies that  $e^{g_n}$  converges almost uniformly to  $e^{g_0}$ . Since  $g'_n = (e^{g_n})'/e^{g_n}$  and  $g'_0 = (e^{g_0})'/e^{g_0}$  we see that  $g'_n$  converges almost uniformly to  $g'_0$ . Since  $g_n(0) = g_0(0) = 0$  for all  $n$ , we have  $g_n(z) = \int_0^z g'_n(\xi) d\xi$ . Thus  $g_n$  converges almost uniformly to  $g_0$ . It follows that  $L(f_n)(w) = kw + g_n(e^w)$  converges almost uniformly on  $\mathbb{H}$  to  $L(f_0)(w) = kw + g_0(e^w)$ .

Conversely, if  $L(f_n)$  converges almost uniformly to  $L(f_0)$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  then  $g_n$  converges almost uniformly to  $g_0$  and hence  $f_n$  converges almost uniformly to  $f_0$ .

**WARNING.** The mapping  $L$  is **not** continuous between  $S_k$  and  $S_k(\mathbb{H})$  (with compact-open topology) (because of the term  $a = \ln c$  in the definition of  $L$ ).

We can also prove

**PROPOSITION 1.** *The class  $S_k^1$  is a closed subset of  $H(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $S_k^0(\mathbb{H})$  is a closed subset of  $H(\mathbb{H})$ .*

*Proof.* The Hurwitz theorem implies that  $S_k^1$  is a closed subset of  $H(\mathbb{D})$ . If the sequence  $h_n(w) = L(f_n)(w)$  converges almost uniformly on  $\mathbb{H}$  then the sequence  $g_n(e^w)$  converges almost uniformly on  $\mathbb{H}$  since for  $f_n = z^k e^{g_n(z)}$  with  $g_n(0) = 0$  we have  $h_n(w) = kw + g_n(e^w)$ . Then  $g_n$  converges almost uniformly on  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$  and therefore on  $\mathbb{D}$ . It follows that  $f_n$  converges on  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $f_0 \in S_k^1$ . We have  $L(f_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n \in S_k^0(\mathbb{H})$ .

**REMARK 1.** The assumptions  $g(0) = 0$  and  $-\pi < \operatorname{Im} a \leq \pi$  were introduced to ensure that  $L$  is a one-to-one correspondence between  $S_0$

and  $S_0(\mathbb{H})$ . If we omit them we obtain a  $1-\infty$  correspondence. For every  $f \in S_0$  we shall have a countable family of functions  $\{L_m(f)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $L_m(f) = L(f) + 2m\pi i$ .

REMARK 2. Let  $f \in S_k$  and let

$$f_m := \sqrt[m]{f(z^m)} = c^{1/m} \cdot z^k \cdot e^{g(z^m)/m} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$L(f_m)(w) = \frac{\ln c}{m} + kw + \frac{1}{m} g(e^{mw}).$$

REMARK 3. The correspondence  $L$  can be used to construct other classes of holomorphic functions. Let  $\varphi(z) = \frac{z+1}{z-1}$ . Let  $f \in S_1$ . We have  $L(f)(w + 2\pi i) = L(f)(w) + 2\pi i$ . Put  $\Lambda(f) = \varphi \circ L(f) \circ \varphi$ . The function  $\Lambda(f)$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  into the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  and has the following properties.

- 1) The nontangential limit of  $\Lambda(f)$  at 1 is equal to 1.
- 2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad u_k \circ \Lambda(f) &= \Lambda(f) \circ u_k, \quad u_0 = \text{Id}, \\ u_k(z) &= \frac{\bar{a}_k}{a_k} \cdot \frac{z - a_k}{1 - \bar{a}_k z}, \quad a_k = \frac{k\pi i}{1 + k\pi i} \quad \text{if } k \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $f(z) = ze^{g(z)}$  with  $g(0) = 0$ ,

$$\Lambda(f)(z) = \frac{2z + g(e^{\frac{z+1}{z-1}}) \cdot (z - 1)}{2 + g(e^{\frac{z+1}{z-1}}) \cdot (z - 1)}.$$

**3. The case of univalent functions.** We start from

THEOREM 3. *Let  $f \in S_1$ . The function  $f$  is univalent iff  $L(f)$  is univalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $f = cze^{g(z)} \in S_1$ . Assume that  $f$  is univalent. Let  $L(f)(w_1) = L(f)(w_2)$ . Since  $f$  is univalent and  $e^{L(f)(w)} = f(e^w)$ , we see that  $e^{w_1} = e^{w_2}$  and  $w_1 = w_2 + 2m\pi i$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We have  $L(f)(w) = \ln c + w + g(e^w)$ . Hence  $L(f)(w_1) = L(f)(w_2)$  and  $w_1 = w_2 + 2m\pi i$  imply that  $m = 0$  and  $w_1 = w_2$ .

Assume now that  $L(f)$  is univalent. Since  $f \in S_1$  we have  $L(f)(w + 2\pi i) = L(f)(w) + 2\pi i$ . Assume that  $f(z_1) = f(z_2)$ . If it is equal to zero then  $z_1 = z_2 = 0$  by the definition of  $S_1$ . Hence we can assume that there exist  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $z_1 = e^{w_1}$  and  $z_2 = e^{w_2}$ . This implies, as before, that  $e^{L(f)(w_1)} = e^{L(f)(w_2)}$ , so there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $L(f)(w_1) = L(f)(w_2) + 2m\pi i = L(f)(w_2 + 2m\pi i)$ . Thus  $w_1 = w_2 + 2m\pi i$  and  $e^{w_1} = e^{w_2}$ . Hence  $z_1 = z_2$ .

Theorem 3 is not true for  $S_k$  with  $k > 1$ . The function  $f(z) = z^k, k > 1$ , is not univalent but  $L(f)(w) = kw$  is univalent.

PROPOSITION 2. *For every  $f \in S_k$  there exists  $f_1 \in S_1$  such that  $f = f_1^k$ .*

*Proof.* For  $f(z) = cz^k e^{g(z)}$  take  $f_1(z) = c^{1/k} z e^{g(z)/k}$ .

Theorem 3 and Proposition 2 yield

**THEOREM 3'.** *Let  $f \in S_k$ . The function  $L(f)$  is univalent iff  $f = f_1^k$  where  $f_1 \in S_1$  is univalent.*

*Proof.* There exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$L(f) = L(f_1^k) = k \cdot L(f_1) + 2m\pi i.$$

EXAMPLES.

1. Let  $f(z) = z + z^2/2$ . Then  $f \in S_1$  is univalent and hence  $L(f)(w) = w + \ln(1 + e^w/2)$  is univalent on  $\mathbb{H}$ .
2. If  $f(z) = z + z^2$  then  $L(f)(w) = w + \ln(1 + e^w)$ . The function  $L(f)$  is not univalent because  $f$  is not.

In the rest of this note we shall study the famous class  $S$  of univalent functions from  $S_1^1$ .

Let us consider  $S$  as a subset of  $H(\mathbb{D})$  with compact-open topology and  $S(\mathbb{H})$  as a subset of  $H(\mathbb{H})$  with compact-open topology. Recall that  $S(\mathbb{H})$  is the set of univalent functions from  $S_1^0(\mathbb{H})$ .

The Hurwitz theorem implies that  $S$  is closed in  $H(\mathbb{D})$ . Proposition 1 together with Theorems 1, 2 and 3 shows that  $S(\mathbb{H})$  is closed in  $H(\mathbb{H})$ .

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 we have

**THEOREM 4.** *The mapping  $L$  is a homeomorphism from  $S$  onto  $S(\mathbb{H})$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *The class  $S(\mathbb{H})$  is compact in  $H(\mathbb{H})$ . More generally, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the class  $\tilde{S}_k^0(\mathbb{H})$  consisting of all univalent functions from  $S_k^0(\mathbb{H})$  is compact in  $H(\mathbb{H})$ .*

*Proof.* The class  $S$  is compact since for each  $f \in S$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $|f(z)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|z|^n = |z|/(1 - |z|)^2$  (De Branges Theorem). Thus  $S(\mathbb{H})$  must also be compact. Moreover, by Theorem 3',  $\tilde{S}_k^0(\mathbb{H})$  is the continuous image of  $S$  under the mapping  $f \mapsto L(f^k)$ . Hence  $\tilde{S}_k^0(\mathbb{H})$  is compact.

**REMARK 4.** The class  $S_1^0(\mathbb{H})$  is **not** compact since  $S_1^1$  is **not** compact. It contains all functions  $f_c(z) = ze^{cz}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . The set of values  $f_c''(0) = 2c$  is not bounded.

We now consider two important definitions:

**DEFINITION 1.** A univalent function  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  with  $f(0) = 0$  is *starlike* iff  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is a domain starlike with respect to zero.

**DEFINITION 2.** A univalent function  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  with  $f(0) = 0$  is *convex* iff  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is a convex domain.

The following facts are well known and can be found in [D] or [P].

**THEOREM A.** *A function  $f$  is starlike iff  $\operatorname{Re}\{zf'(z)/f(z)\} > 0$ .*

**THEOREM B.** *A function  $f$  is convex iff the function  $f_1(z) = zf'(z)$  is starlike. (One assumes here that  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  and  $f(0) = 0$ .)*

**THEOREM 5.**

(1)  *$f \in S$  is starlike iff  $\operatorname{Re}(L(f))'(w) > 0$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{H}$ .*

(2)  *$f \in S$  is convex iff*

$$\forall w \in \mathbb{H} \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( (L(f))'(w) + \frac{(L(f))''(w)}{(L(f))'(w)} \right) > 0.$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $f(z) = ze^{g(z)}$ . We have

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{z(e^{g(z)} + ze^{g(z)}g'(z))}{ze^{g(z)}} = 1 + zg'(z),$$

$L(f)(w) = w + g(e^w)$  and  $(L(f))'(w) = 1 + g'(e^w)e^w = 1 + zg'(z)$  for  $z = e^w$ . If  $z = 0$  then  $zf'(z)/f(z) = 1$ . Hence and by Theorem A,  $f$  is starlike iff  $\operatorname{Re}(L(f))'(w) > 0$ .

(2) By Theorem B,  $f(z) = ze^{g(z)}$  is convex iff  $f_1(z) = zf'(z) = z(e^{g(z)} + ze^{g(z)}g'(z)) = ze^{g(z)+\ln(1+zg'(z))}$  is starlike. Note that  $\operatorname{Re}(1 + zg'(z)) > 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Hence and by the first part of Theorem 5,  $f$  is convex iff  $\operatorname{Re}(L(f_1))'(w) > 0$  for each  $w \in \mathbb{H}$ . We have

$$L(f_1) = L(f) + \ln((L(f))'(w))$$

since  $L(f_1)(w) = w + g(e^w) + \ln(1 + e^w g'(e^w))$ . We obtain

$$(L(f_1))' = (L(f))' + \frac{(L(f))''}{(L(f))'}.$$

Thus  $f$  is convex iff

$$\forall w \in \mathbb{H} \quad \operatorname{Re}(L(f_1))'(w) = \operatorname{Re} \left( (L(f))'(w) + \frac{(L(f))''(w)}{(L(f))'(w)} \right) > 0. \blacksquare$$

**REMARK.** We thank the referee for pointing out that the operator very similar to our  $L$  was used in Krzyż's paper [K, proof of Theorem 1] to study quasiconformal automorphisms of the unit disc. Very recently Chéritat [Ch] used Krzyż's operator to construct a holomorphic function with a strange Siegel disc.

### References

[Ch] A. Chéritat, *Relatively compact Siegel discs with non-locally connected boundaries*, arXiv:0906.1048, 2009.

- 
- [D] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer, New York, 1983.  
[K] J. Krzyż, *Quasircles and harmonic measure*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI Math. 12 (1987), 19–24.  
[P] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

Ewa Ligocka  
Pasteura 4/6 m. 31  
02-093 Warszawa, Poland  
E-mail: elig@mimuw.edu.pl

*Received April 21, 2009;*  
*received in final form October 20, 2009*

(7708)