

Stern Polynomials as Numerators of Continued Fractions

by

A. SCHINZEL

Summary. It is proved that the n th Stern polynomial $B_n(t)$ in the sense of Klavžar, Milutinović and Petr [Adv. Appl. Math. 39 (2007)] is the numerator of a continued fraction of n terms. This generalizes a result of Graham, Knuth and Patashnik concerning the Stern sequence $B_n(1)$. As an application, the degree of $B_n(t)$ is expressed in terms of the binary expansion of n .

The diatomic sequence b_n defined by the formula

$$b_1 = 1, \quad b_{2n} = b_n, \quad b_{2n+1} = b_n + b_{n+1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

has been studied by many authors (see [7]). In particular, Graham, Knuth and Patashnik [2, Exer. 6.50] have proved that if n has binary representation

$$(1) \quad n = \overset{a_1 a_2}{\underset{1}{1}} 0 \dots \overset{a_k}{\underset{1}{1}} \quad (a_i > 0),$$

then b_n is the numerator of the continued fraction

$$a_1 + \cfrac{1}{\left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ a_2 \end{array} \right|} + \dots + \cfrac{1}{\left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ a_k \end{array} \right|}.$$

The sequence b_n has been generalized to polynomials in two different ways [1], [3]. We shall follow the definition given by Klavžar, Milutinović and Petr [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(t) &= 0, \\ B_1(t) &= 1, \\ B_{2n}(t) &= tB_n(t), \\ B_{2n+1}(t) &= B_n(t) + B_{n+1}(t) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots), \end{aligned}$$

and we shall prove the following generalization of the last result.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B83.

Key words and phrases: Stern polynomials, continued fractions.

THEOREM 1. *If (1) holds, then $B_n(t)$ is the numerator of the continued fraction*

$$T_{a_1} + \sqrt{\frac{t^{a_1}}{T_{a_2}}} + \cdots + \sqrt{\frac{t^{a_{k-1}}}{T_{a_k}}},$$

where

$$T_a = 1 + \cdots + t^{a-1} = \frac{t^a - 1}{t - 1}.$$

As an application we shall prove

THEOREM 2. *If (1) holds, then the degree of $B_n(t)$ equals*

$$a_1 + \cdots + a_k - k + \left\lfloor \frac{l_1 + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{l_2 + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + \cdots + \left\lfloor \frac{l_j + 1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where l_1, \dots, l_j are the lengths of blocks of 1's occurring in this order in the sequence a_2, \dots, a_k .

For the proof of Theorem 1 we need the following:

DEFINITION. $K_0 = 1$, $K_1(x_1) = T_{x_1}$, and for $k = 2, 3, \dots$,

$$K_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = T_{x_k} K_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) + t^{x_{k-1}} K_{k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}).$$

LEMMA 1 ([6, Corollary 2.2]). *For $\alpha \geq 0$,*

$$B_{2^{\alpha-1}}(t) = T_\alpha.$$

LEMMA 2 ([5, Lemma 1]). *For $m \geq 0$ and $2^\alpha \geq r \geq 0$,*

$$B_{2^\alpha m+r}(t) = B_{2^\alpha-r}(t) B_m(t) + B_r(t) B_{m+1}(t).$$

LEMMA 3. *For $\beta \geq \alpha \geq 0$,*

$$B_{2^{\beta-2^\alpha+1}}(t) = T_\alpha T_{\beta-\alpha} + t^{\beta-\alpha}.$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 2 with $m = 2^{\beta-\alpha} - 1$, $r = 1$. ■

LEMMA 4. *For every integer $k \geq 2$ and all positive integers x_i ($i < k$),*

$$K_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} - 1, 1) = K_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

Proof. For $k = 2$ we have

$$K_2(x_1 - 1, 1) = K_1(x_1 - 1) + t^{x_1-1} = T_{x_1} = K_1(x_1).$$

For $k \geq 3$, by the definition above,

$$\begin{aligned} & K_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} - 1, 1) \\ &= K_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} - 1) + t^{x_{k-1}-1} K_{k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}) \\ &= K_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} - 1) + (T_{x_{k-1}} - T_{x_{k-1}-1}) K_{k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}) \\ &= K_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) + K_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} - 1) \\ &\quad - T_{x_{k-1}-1} K_{k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}) - t^{x_{k-2}} K_{k-3}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-3}) \\ &= K_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We shall prove by induction on k a slightly more general formula

$$(2) \quad B_n(t) = K_k(a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

provided k is odd and

$$(3) \quad n = \overset{a_1 a_2}{1} \overset{a_k}{0} \dots \overset{a_k}{1},$$

where $a_i > 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$, $i \neq k-1$), $a_{k-1} \geq 0$.

For $k=1$ the formula (2) follows from Lemma 1. Assume now that $k \geq 3$ is odd, (3) holds and the formula (2) is true for $k-2$. Then

$$n = 2^{a_{k-1}+a_k} m + 2^{a_k} - 1, \quad m = \overset{a_1 a_2}{1} \overset{a_{k-2}}{0} \dots \overset{a_{k-2}}{1}.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$B_n(t) = B_{2^{a_{k-1}+a_k} m + 2^{a_k} - 1}(t) B_m(t) + B_{2^{a_k} - 1}(t) B_{m+1}(t),$$

and by Lemmas 1 and 3,

$$B_n(t) = (T_{a_k} T_{a_{k-1}} + t^{a_{k-1}}) B_m(t) + T_{a_k} t^{a_{k-2}} B_{\frac{m+1}{2^{a_{k-2}}}}(t).$$

Now, by the inductive assumption and by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} B_m(t) &= K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}), \\ B_{\frac{m+1}{2^{a_{k-2}}}}(t) &= K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-3} - 1, 1) = K_{k-3}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$B_n(t) = (T_{a_k} T_{a_{k-1}} + t^{a_{k-1}}) K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}) + T_{a_k} t^{a_{k-2}} K_{k-3}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-3}),$$

while by the definition

$$\begin{aligned} K_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) &= T_{a_k} K_{k-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) + t^{a_{k-1}} K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}) \\ &= T_{a_k} T_{a_{k-1}} K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}) + T_{a_k} t^{a_{k-2}} K_{k-3}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-3}) \\ &\quad + t^{a_{k-1}} K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$B_n(t) = K_k(a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

and the inductive proof is complete. ■

Now Theorem 1 follows in view of §5 of [4].

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need two lemmas.

LEMMA 5. *If in the notation of [4],*

$$(4) \quad \frac{A_\nu}{B_\nu} = \beta_0 + \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \right\rfloor + \dots + \left\lfloor \frac{\alpha_\nu}{\beta_\nu} \right\rfloor,$$

then

$$A_\nu = \beta_0 \beta_1 \cdots \beta_\nu \left(1 + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\lfloor (\nu+1)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_\mu < \nu} \frac{1}{\beta_{i_1} \cdots \beta_{i_\mu}} \prod_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} \frac{\alpha_{i_\lambda+1}}{\beta_{i_\lambda+1}} \right),$$

where $i_{\lambda+1} \geq i_\lambda + 2$ ($1 \leq \lambda \leq \mu$).

Proof. See [4, formula (13) on p. 9], where to avoid the collision of notation we have replaced a by α , b by β and where B_n (not $B_n(t)$) does not represent the Stern polynomial, but in accordance with the notation of [4] the denominator of the continued fraction (4). ■

LEMMA 6. If $\alpha_i = t^{a_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k-1$), $\beta_i = T_{a_{i+1}}$ ($i = 0, \dots, k-1$) and integers i_λ ($1 \leq \lambda \leq \mu \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$) satisfy the conditions

$$(5) \quad 0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_\mu < k-1, \quad i_{\lambda+1} \geq i_\lambda + 2 \quad (\lambda < \mu),$$

then the polynomial

$$P = \frac{\beta_0 \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{k-1}}{\beta_{i_1} \cdots \beta_{i_\mu}} \prod_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} \frac{\alpha_{i_\lambda+1}}{\beta_{i_\lambda+1}}$$

is monic of degree

$$a_1 + \cdots + a_k - k + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} (2 - a_{i_\lambda+2}).$$

Proof. The polynomials $\alpha_i(t)$ and $\beta_i(t)$ are monic and

$$\begin{aligned} \deg P &= a_1 + \cdots + a_k - k - \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} (a_{i_\lambda+1} - 1) + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} (a_{i_\lambda+1} - a_{i_\lambda+2} + 1) \\ &= a_1 + \cdots + a_k - k + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} (2 - a_{i_\lambda+2}). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. In view of Theorem 1 and Lemmas 5 and 6, if (1) holds, then the degree of B_n equals $a_1 - 1$ for $k = 1$, while for $k \geq 3$ it is the maximum of

$$(6) \quad a_1 + \cdots + a_k - k + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\mu} (2 - a_{i_\lambda+2})$$

over all sequences of integers i_λ satisfying (5). If blocks of 1 occurring in the sequence a_2, \dots, a_k start at positions p_1, \dots, p_j and thus end at positions $p_1 + l_1 - 1, \dots, p_j + l_j - 1$ ($p_1 > 1, p_{i+1} > p_i + l_i$), then the maximum of (6)

is attained at

$$i_1 = p_1 - 2, \quad i_2 = p_1, \quad \dots, \quad i_{\lfloor l_1+1/2 \rfloor} = p_1 + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{l_1+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 4,$$

$$i_{\lfloor (l_1+1)/2 \rfloor + 1} = p_2 - 2, \quad \dots, \quad i_{\lfloor (l_1+1)/2 \rfloor + \lfloor (l_2+1)/2 \rfloor} = p_2 + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{l_2+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 4, \quad \dots,$$

$$i_{\lfloor (l_1+1)/2 \rfloor + \lfloor (l_2+1)/2 \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor (l_j+1)/2 \rfloor} = p_j + 2 \left\lfloor \frac{l_j+1}{2} \right\rfloor - 4.$$

The value of the maximum is that given in the theorem. ■

References

- [1] K. Dilcher and K. B. Stolarsky, *A polynomial analogue to the Stern sequence*, Int. J. Number Theory 3 (2007), 85–103.
- [2] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1994.
- [3] S. Klavžar, U. Milutinović and C. Petr, *Stern polynomials*, Adv. Appl. Math. 39 (2007), 86–95.
- [4] O. Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*, 2nd ed., Teubner, 1927; reprint, Chelsea, New York, 1950.
- [5] A. Schinzel, *On the factors of Stern polynomials (remarks on the preceding paper of M. Ulas)*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 79 (2011), 83–88.
- [6] M. Ulas, *On certain arithmetic properties of Stern polynomials*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 79 (2011), 55–81.
- [7] I. Urbiha, *Some properties of a function studied by de Rham, Carlitz and Dijkstra and its relation to the (Eisenstein-)Stern's diatomic sequence*, Math. Comm. 6 (2001), 181–198.

A. Schinzel
 Institute of Mathematics
 Polish Academy of Sciences
 Śniadeckich 8
 00-656 Warszawa, Poland
 E-mail: schinzel@impan.pl

Received May 30, 2014

(7971)

