

## On a Problem of Best Uniform Approximation and a Polynomial Inequality of Visser

by

M. A. QAZI

*Presented by Wiesław PLEŚNIAK*

**Summary.** In this paper, a generalization of a result on the uniform best approximation of  $\alpha \cos nx + \beta \sin nx$  by trigonometric polynomials of degree less than  $n$  is considered and its relationship with a well-known polynomial inequality of C. Visser is indicated.

### 1. Introduction

**1.1. A classical result on best approximation.** Let us denote by  $\mathcal{T}_m$  the class of all trigonometric polynomials  $t(x) := \sum_{\mu=-m}^m c_\mu e^{i\mu x}$  of degree at most  $m$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $t$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_m$  and  $t(x)$  is real for all real  $x$  then we say that  $t$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_m^{(\mathbb{R})}$ .

The following result [1, p. 66] gives the best uniform approximation of the function  $\alpha \cos nx + \beta \sin nx$  by trigonometric polynomials in  $\mathcal{T}_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{R})}$ .

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be any real numbers. Then, for any trigonometric polynomial  $t \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{R})}$ , we have*

$$(1.1) \quad \max_{-\pi \leq x \leq \pi} |\alpha \cos nx + \beta \sin nx - t(x)| \geq \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}.$$

**REMARK 1.** If  $t$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_{n-1}$  then  $s(x) := (t(x) + \overline{t(x)})/2$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{R})}$  and

$$|\alpha \cos nx + \beta \sin nx - t(x)| \geq |\alpha \cos nx + \beta \sin nx - s(x)| \quad (-\pi \leq x \leq \pi).$$

Hence, (1.1) also holds for any  $t \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30D15, 42A05, 42A10, 42A75.

*Key words and phrases:* uniform best approximation, trigonometric polynomials, functions of exponential type, polynomials, Visser's inequality.

REMARK 2. Clearly, we could have written

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |\alpha \cos nx + \beta \sin nx - t(x)|$$

instead of  $\max_{-\pi \leq x \leq \pi} |\alpha \cos nx + \beta \sin nx - t(x)|$  on the left-hand side of (1.1).

Note that  $\sum_{\mu=-m}^m c_{\mu} e^{i\mu z}$  is well defined for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and is holomorphic throughout  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus, a trigonometric polynomial  $t(x) := \sum_{\mu=-m}^m c_{\mu} e^{i\mu x}$  is the restriction of an entire function, to  $\mathbb{R}$ . It may be added that  $t(z)$  is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau \geq m$ . In order to elaborate on this statement we recall some definitions.

**1.2. Functions of exponential type.** Let  $f$  be an entire function and let  $M(r) := \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ . The function  $f$  is said to be of *order*  $\rho$  (see [3, p. 8]) if

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r} = \rho \in [0, \infty].$$

A constant has order 0, by convention. An entire function  $f$  of finite positive order  $\rho$  is of *type*  $T$  if  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\rho} \log M(r) = T \in [0, \infty]$ .

Let  $S$  be an unbounded subset of the complex plane, like the open angle  $\mathcal{A}(\theta_1, \theta_2) := \{z = re^{i\theta} : \theta_1 < \theta < \theta_2\}$  or its closure  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ . A function  $f$  is said to be of *exponential type*  $\tau$  in  $S$  if it is differentiable at every interior point of  $S$  and, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $K$  depending on  $\varepsilon$  but not on  $z$ , such that  $|f(z)| < Ke^{(\tau+\varepsilon)|z|}$  for all  $z \in S$ .

In view of the preceding definitions, an entire function of order less than 1 is of exponential type  $\tau$  for any  $\tau \geq 0$ ; functions of order 1 and type  $T \leq \tau$  are also of exponential type  $\tau$ . As mentioned above, a trigonometric polynomial  $t$  of degree at most  $m$  is the restriction of an entire function of exponential type  $\tau (\geq m)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Trigonometric polynomials are bounded on the real axis and they are  $2\pi$ -periodic. It is known (see [3, Theorem 6.10.1]) that if  $f(z)$  is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  which is periodic on the real axis with period  $\Delta$  then it must be of the form  $f(z) = \sum_{\nu=-n}^n a_{\nu} e^{2\pi i \nu z / \Delta}$  with  $n \leq \lfloor \Delta \tau / (2\pi) \rfloor$ .

Let  $f$  be of exponential type in the angle  $\mathcal{A}(\alpha, \beta)$ . The dependence of its growth on the direction in which  $z$  tends to infinity is characterized by the function

$$h(\theta) = h_f(\theta) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |f(re^{i\theta})|}{r} \quad (\alpha < \theta < \beta),$$

called the *indicator function* of  $f$ . Unless  $h_f(\theta) \equiv -\infty$ , it is continuous. For this and other properties of the indicator function see [3, Chapter 5]. For an entire function  $f$  of exponential type, the indicator function  $h_f(\theta)$  is defined

for all  $\theta$ . It is clear that if  $f$  is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  then  $h_f(\theta) \leq \tau$  for  $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ .

**1.3. Statement of the main result.** Returning to Theorem A we note that (1.1) can be written as

$$\max_{-\pi \leq x \leq \pi} \left| \frac{\alpha + i\beta}{2} e^{-inx} - g(x) + \frac{\alpha - i\beta}{2} e^{inx} \right| \geq \left| \frac{\alpha + i\beta}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{\alpha - i\beta}{2} \right|.$$

With this it should be clear that the following result says considerably more than Theorem A.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $0 < \sigma < \tau$ . Then for any  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$  and any entire function  $g$  of exponential type  $\sigma$ , we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |Ae^{-i\tau x} - g(x) + Be^{i\tau x}| \geq |A| + |B|.$$

The following result is contained in Theorem 1.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $\{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=0}^n$  be an increasing sequence of  $n+1$  numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\{a_\nu\}_{\nu=0}^n$  a sequence of  $n+1$  numbers in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then*

$$(1.3) \quad |a_0| + |a_n| \leq \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \left| a_0 e^{i\lambda_0 x} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_\nu e^{i\lambda_\nu x} + a_n e^{i\lambda_n x} \right|.$$

**REMARK 3.** It may be noted that  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_\nu e^{i\lambda_\nu x}$  is in general not periodic; it is *uniformly almost periodic* in the sense of H. Bohr (see [2, p. 6]).

In the case where  $\lambda_\nu = \nu$  for  $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , Corollary 1 says that for any sequence of  $n+1$  numbers in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $|a_0| + |a_n| \leq \max_{-\pi \leq x \leq \pi} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu e^{i\nu x} \right|$ . This may also be stated as follows.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $p(z) := \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu z^\nu$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  such that  $|p(z)| \leq M$  for  $|z| = 1$ . Then  $|a_0| + |a_n| \leq M$ .*

Corollary 2 is known as *Visser's inequality* [7, p. 84, Theorem 3]. In [4], [5] and [6, Chapter 16], the reader will find various generalizations of that inequality; Corollary 1 seems to be a new one. Visser's proof of Corollary 2 is based on certain properties of the  $n$ th roots of unity. We do not see how his approach would get us anywhere under the conditions of Corollary 1.

**2. Some auxiliary results.** The following result [3, Theorem 6.2.4], a consequence of the *Phragmén-Lindelöf principle*, plays an important role in the study of functions of exponential type. We need it too.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $f$  be a function of exponential type in the open upper half-plane such that  $h_f(\pi/2) \leq c$ . Furthermore, let  $f$  be continuous in*

the closed upper half-plane and suppose that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  on the real axis. Then

$$(2.1) \quad |f(x + iy)| \leq Me^{cy} \quad (-\infty < x < \infty, y > 0).$$

For our proof of Theorem 1 we also need the following result [3, p. 129].

LEMMA 2. Let  $\omega(z)$  be an entire function of exponential type having no zeros in the open upper half-plane and having

$$h_\omega(\alpha) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\omega(re^{i\alpha})|}{r} \geq h_\omega(-\alpha) := \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |\omega(re^{-i\alpha})|}{r}$$

for some  $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ . Then  $|\omega(z)| \geq |\omega(\bar{z})|$  for  $\Im z > 0$ .

### 3. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $f(z) := Ae^{-i\tau z} - g(z) + Be^{i\tau z}$ . We have to prove that  $|A| + |B| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|$  if  $g$  is an entire function of exponential type  $\sigma < \tau$ .

There is nothing to prove if  $A$  and  $B$  are both zero or if  $|f(x)|$  is unbounded. So, let at least one of the two numbers  $A$  and  $B$  be different from 0. By considering  $f(-z)$  if necessary we may suppose that  $A \neq 0$ . Let  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)| = M$ . Clearly,  $h_f(\pi/2) = \tau$ . Hence, by Lemma 1,  $|f(z)| \leq Me^{\tau y}$  for  $y := \Im z > 0$ . In particular,

$$(3.1) \quad |Ae^{\tau y} - g(iy) + Be^{-\tau y}| \leq Me^{\tau y} \quad (y > 0).$$

Note that  $|g(x)| \leq M + |A| + |B|$  on the real axis. Since  $g(z)$  is of exponential type  $\sigma$ , we not only have  $h_g(\pi/2) \leq \sigma$  but also  $h_g(-\pi/2) \leq \sigma$ . So, Lemma 1 may be applied to  $g(z)$  if  $y > 0$ , and to  $\overline{g(\bar{z})}$  if  $y < 0$ , in order to see that

$$(3.2) \quad |g(iy)| \leq (M + |A| + |B|) e^{\sigma|y|} \quad (-\infty < y < \infty).$$

Now, divide the two sides of (3.1) by  $e^{\tau y}$  and let  $y \rightarrow \infty$ . Taking into consideration inequality (3.2) for  $y > 0$ , we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad |A| \leq M.$$

This completes the proof if  $B$  is 0. So, hereafter we suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are both different from 0.

For  $\lambda := |\lambda|e^{i\gamma}$  with  $|\lambda| > 1$ , let

$$\omega(z) = \omega_\lambda(z) := \lambda Me^{-i\tau z} - f(z) = (\lambda M - A)e^{-i\tau z} + g(z) - Be^{i\tau z}.$$

Then  $\omega_\lambda$  is an entire function of exponential type such that

$$h_{\omega_\lambda}(\pi/2) = h_{\omega_\lambda}(-\pi/2) = \tau$$

and  $\omega(z) \neq 0$  for  $y := \Im z \geq 0$ . By Lemma 2,  $|\omega(z)| \geq |\omega(\bar{z})|$  for  $y := \Im z > 0$ . In particular, for any  $y > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(|\lambda|M e^{i\gamma} - A)e^{\tau y} + g(iy) - B e^{-\tau y}| \\ & \geq |(|\lambda|M e^{i\gamma} - A)e^{-\tau y} + g(-iy) - B e^{\tau y}|. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (3.3) it is possible to choose  $\gamma$  such that

$$|(|\lambda|M e^{i\gamma} - A)| = |\lambda|M - |A|.$$

Hence, for any  $y > 0$ , we have

$$(|\lambda|M - |A|)e^{\tau y} + |g(iy) - B e^{-\tau y}| \geq |B|e^{\tau y} - (|\lambda|M - |A|)e^{-\tau y} - |g(-iy)|,$$

which may also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (|\lambda|M - |A|) + |g(iy) - B e^{-\tau y}|e^{-\tau y} \\ & \geq |B| - (|\lambda|M - |A|)e^{-2\tau y} - |g(-iy)|e^{-\tau y}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $y \rightarrow \infty$ . Clearly,  $(|\lambda|M - |A|)e^{-2\tau y}$  tends to 0. Because of (3.2) and the fact that  $\sigma < \tau$ , so do  $|g(iy) - B e^{-\tau y}|e^{-\tau y}$  and  $|g(-iy)|e^{-\tau y}$ . We thus see that  $|\lambda|M \geq |A| + |B|$ , where  $|\lambda|$  can be any number greater than 1. This is possible only if (1.2) holds. ■

*Proof of Corollary 1.* Set

$$\phi(z) := a_0 e^{i\lambda_0 z} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_\nu e^{i\lambda_\nu z} + a_n e^{i\lambda_n z}.$$

We have to show that  $\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |\phi(x)| \geq |a_0| + |a_n|$ . This holds if and only if

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |e^{-i(\lambda_n + \lambda_0)x} \phi(2x)| \geq |a_0| + |a_n|.$$

In order to prove (3.4), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i(\lambda_n + \lambda_0)z} \phi(2z) &= a_0 e^{-i(\lambda_n - \lambda_0)z} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_\nu e^{-i(\lambda_n - 2\lambda_\nu + \lambda_0)z} + a_n e^{i(\lambda_n - \lambda_0)z} \\ &= a_0 e^{-i(\lambda_n - \lambda_0)z} - g(z) + a_n e^{i(\lambda_n - \lambda_0)z}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad g(z) := - \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} a_\nu e^{-i(\lambda_n - 2\lambda_\nu + \lambda_0)z}.$$

Since  $\lambda_n - 2\lambda_\nu + \lambda_0$  decreases as  $\nu$  increases,  $g(z)$  is an entire function of exponential type  $\sigma$ , where

$$\sigma := \max\{|\lambda_n - 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_0|, |\lambda_n - 2\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_0|\} < \lambda_n - \lambda_0.$$

Applying Theorem 1 with  $A := a_0$ ,  $B := a_n$ ,  $\tau := \lambda_n - \lambda_0$  and  $g(z)$  as in (3.5), we obtain (1.3). ■

**References**

- [1] N. I. Akhiezer, *Theory of Approximation*, Frederick Ungar, New York, 1956.
- [2] A. S. Besicovitch, *Almost Periodic Functions*, Dover Publ., 1954.
- [3] R. P. Boas, Jr., *Entire Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1954.
- [4] J. G. van der Corput and C. Visser, *Inequalities concerning polynomials and trigonometric polynomials*, Indag. Math. 8 (1946), 238–247.
- [5] Q. I. Rahman, *Inequalities concerning polynomials and trigonometric polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 6 (1963), 303–324.
- [6] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, *Analytic Theory of Polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [7] C. Visser, *A simple proof of certain inequalities concerning polynomials*, Indag. Math. 7 (1945), 81–86.

M. A. Qazi  
Department of Mathematics  
Tuskegee University  
Tuskegee, AL 36088, U.S.A.  
E-mail: qazima@aol.com

*Received April 27, 2013*

(7930)