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#### Abstract

Yang-Baxter (YB) map systems (or set-theoretic analogs of entwining YB structures) are presented. They admit zero curvature representations with spectral parameter depended Lax triples $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ derived from symplectic leaves of $2 \times 2$ binomial matrices equipped with the Sklyanin bracket. A unique factorization condition of the Lax triple implies a 3-dimensional compatibility property of these maps. In case $L_{1}=L_{2}=L_{3}$ this property yields the set-theoretic quantum Yang-Baxter equation, i.e. the YB map property. By considering periodic 'staircase' initial value problems on quadrilateral lattices, these maps give rise to multidimensional integrable mappings which preserve the spectrum of the corresponding monodromy matrix.


1. Introduction. The connection between set theoretical solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equations and integrable mappings has been recently investigated by many authors. First Veselov in [12, 13 proved that for such a solution, admitting a Lax matrix, there is a family of commuting transfer maps which preserve the spectrum of the corresponding monodromy matrix. He also proposed the shorter term 'Yang Baxter map' for a set-theoretic solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. In the present article we present systems of maps that admit a Lax triple of matrices $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$. They satisfy 3-dimensional compatibility conditions that constitute set-theoretic analogs of entwining quantum Yang-Baxter equations. The latter term was introduced in [1 for systems of quantum YB equations. Such entwining structures for the quantum Yang-Baxter equation appeared already in [2, 6, 14] and their study continued in [3, 4].

The basic definitions and notations about Lax pairs and integrable mappings are introduced in section 2. The transfer map and the monodromy matrix are defined for a periodic 'staircase' initial value problem on a lattice for each pair $L_{i}, L_{j}$ and integrals of
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motion are obtained from the spectrum of the monodromy matrix, which are in involution with respect to the Sklyanin bracket. Section 3 deals with the 3-d compatibility condition (entwining Yang-Baxter equation) of maps that admit Lax pairs giving rise to Lax triples. A construction of Lax triples and their corresponding set theoretical solutions of the entwining YB equation is presented in section 4. while in the next section the whole theory is applied to a concrete example. We end in section 6 by giving some conclusions and perspectives for future work on this subject.
2. Lax pairs and integrable mappings. Let $S$ be the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:((x, \alpha),(y, \beta)) \mapsto((u, \alpha),(v, \beta))=((u(x, \alpha, y, \beta), \alpha),(v(x, \alpha, y, \beta), \beta)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x, y$ belong to a set $\mathcal{X}$, from our consideration the set $\mathcal{X}$ has the structure of an algebraic variety, and the parameters $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$. We usually denote $S((x, \alpha),(y, \beta))$ by $S_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)$. We can represent $S_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)$ as a map assigned to the edges of an elementary quadrilateral as in Fig. 1


Fig. 1. A map assigned to the edges of a quadrilateral

Definition 2.1. The ordered pair of square matrices $(L(x, \alpha, \zeta), M(x, \alpha, \zeta))$ that depends on a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$, on a parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{m}$ and on a spectral parameter $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ is called a Lax pair for the parametric map $S_{\alpha, \beta}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u, \alpha, \zeta) M(v, \beta, \zeta)=M(y, \beta, \zeta) L(x, \alpha, \zeta) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore if equation $\sqrt{2}$ is equivalent to $(u, v)=S_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)$ then we will call $(L(x, \alpha, \zeta), M(x, \alpha, \zeta))$ a strong Lax pair.

We usually omit the spectral parameter $\zeta$ and denote the matrices $L(x, \alpha, \zeta)$ and $M(x, \alpha, \zeta)$ by $L(x ; \alpha)$ and $M(x ; \alpha)$ respectively.

REmark. It is instructive to think of a Lax pair as functions $L, M: \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Mat}(k \times k)$. In this consideration if $L=M$ the definition coincides with the definition of the Lax matrix which is given in [11]. The aim of this work is to study the case where $L \neq M$.

Let $S_{\alpha, \beta}$ be a map that admits the Lax pair $L(x ; \alpha), M(x ; \alpha)$. Next we consider the standard periodic 'staircase' initial value problem, as in [7], for integrable lattices difference equations. Initial values $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ are assigned to the edges of a 'staircase' on a quadrilateral lattice as in Fig. 2, with periodic boundary conditions
$x_{n+1}=x_{1}, y_{n+1}=y_{1}$. The edge with the $x_{i}$ value carries the parameter $\alpha_{i}$, while the one with the $y_{i}$ value the parameter $\beta_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Now, having in mind the representation of $S_{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}}$ to the edges of a quadrilateral as in Fig. 1, we can compute the values of the next level of the lattice according to Fig. 2. By $\left(x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ we denote the values $S_{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, while $k$-primed variables $x_{i}^{(k)}$ and $y_{i}^{(k)}$ denote the corresponding values:

$$
\left(x_{i}^{(k)}, y_{j}^{(k)}\right)=S_{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j}}\left(x_{i}^{(k-1)}, y_{j}^{(k-1)}\right)
$$

with $j \equiv i+k-1(\bmod n)$.


Fig. 2. $n$-period mapping

For any $n$-periodic 'staircase' initial value problem we define the 'transfer' map:

$$
T_{n}:\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{n}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and the $k$-'transfer' map:

$$
T_{n}^{k}:\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \mapsto(x_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{(k)}, \underbrace{y_{d+1}^{(k)}, \ldots, y_{n}^{(k)}}_{n-d}, \underbrace{y_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, y_{d}^{(k)}}_{d})
$$

with $d \equiv k(\bmod n)$ and $T_{n}^{1}=T_{n}$. We observe that

$$
T_{n}^{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{(n)}, y_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, y_{n}^{(n)}\right)
$$

We also define the monodromy matrix $M_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} M\left(y_{i} ; \beta_{i}\right) L\left(x_{i} ; \alpha_{i}\right)$, where $\curvearrowleft$ indicates that the elements $M\left(y_{i} ; \beta\right) L\left(x_{i} ; \alpha\right)$ in the product are arranged from right to left.

So, for example, for the 1-periodic initial value problem on the lattice the transfer map will be $T_{1}(x, y)=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=S_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y)$, with corresponding monodromy matrix $M_{1}(x, y)=M(y ; \beta) L(x ; \alpha)$, while for the 2-periodic case,

$$
T_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $x_{i}^{\prime}$ and $y_{i}^{\prime}$ are given by: $\left(x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}\right)=S_{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, for $i=1,2$. The monodromy matrix in this case is $M_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=M\left(y_{2} ; \beta_{2}\right) L\left(x_{2} ; \alpha_{2}\right) M\left(y_{1} ; \beta_{1}\right) L\left(x_{1} ; \alpha_{1}\right)$.

The equivalent proposition to the one in [12] for the $n$-periodic 'staircase' initial value problem holds.

Proposition 2.2. The transfer map preserves the spectrum of the monodromy matrix.

Proof. The definition of the transfer map and the monodromy matrix implies:

$$
M_{n}\left(T_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) M\left(y_{1}^{\prime} ; \beta_{1}\right)=M\left(y_{1}^{\prime} ; \beta_{1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} L\left(x_{i}^{\prime} ; \alpha_{i}\right) M\left(y_{i}^{\prime} ; \beta_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $L(x ; \alpha), M(x ; \alpha)$ is a Lax pair for the map and $\left(x_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i}^{\prime}\right)=S_{\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ we have that $L\left(x_{i}^{\prime} ; \alpha_{i}\right) M\left(y_{i}^{\prime} ; \beta_{i}\right)=M\left(y_{i} ; \beta_{i}\right) L\left(x_{i} ; \alpha_{i}\right)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}\left(T_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right) M\left(y_{1}^{\prime} ; \beta_{1}\right) & =M\left(y_{1}^{\prime} ; \beta_{1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} M\left(y_{i} ; \beta_{i}\right) L\left(x_{i} ; \alpha_{i}\right) \\
& =M\left(y_{1}^{\prime} ; \beta_{1}\right) M_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or $M_{n}\left(T_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right)=M\left(y_{1}^{\prime} ; \beta_{1}\right) M_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) M^{-1}\left(y_{1}^{\prime} ; \beta_{1}\right)$.
Similarly proposition 2.2 holds also for any $k$-transfer map. So the spectrum of the monodromy matrix gives integrals of the transfer map. If we derive $N$ functionally independent integrals, the transfer map is integrable provided that a symplectic structure $\omega$ exists in a 2 N -dimensional phase space such that: i) the transfer map is symplectic with respect to $\omega$ and ii) the integrals are in involution. In the case of polynomial Lax matrices that we are dealing with below, the Sklyanin bracket gives rise to a symplectic structure after reduction to the symplectic leaves [10].
3. Entwining Yang-Baxter equation and Lax triples. Now we consider three parametric maps of the form (11), $S_{\alpha, \beta}, R_{\alpha, \beta}, T_{\alpha, \beta}: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$. In correspondence with [1] we call the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} \circ R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12}=S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12} \circ R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the entwining quantum Yang-Baxter equation or just the entwining YB equation. Here by $S^{i j}$ (respectively $T^{i j}$ and $R^{i j}$ ) for $i, j=1,2,3$, we denote the map that acts as $S$ (resp. $T$ and $R$ ) on the $i$ and $j$ factor of $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ and identically on the others.

A Lax triple (resp. strong Lax triple) of three maps $\left(S_{\alpha, \beta}, R_{\alpha, \beta}, T_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ is a triple of matrices

$$
\left(L_{1}(x ; \alpha), L_{2}(x ; \alpha), L_{3}(x ; \alpha)\right),
$$

such that the pairs $\left(L_{1}(x ; \alpha), L_{2}(x ; \alpha)\right),\left(L_{1}(x ; \alpha), L_{3}(x ; \alpha)\right),\left(L_{2}(x ; \alpha), L_{3}(x ; \alpha)\right)$ are Lax pairs (resp. strong Lax pairs) of the maps $S_{\alpha, \beta}, R_{\alpha, \beta}, T_{\alpha, \beta}$ respectively.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\left(S_{\alpha, \beta}, R_{\alpha, \beta}, T_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ be three maps on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ that admit the Lax triple $\left(L_{1}(x ; \alpha), L_{2}(x ; \alpha), L_{3}(x ; \alpha)\right)$. If the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\hat{x} ; \alpha) L_{2}(\hat{y} ; \beta) L_{3}(\hat{z} ; \gamma)=L_{1}(x ; \alpha) L_{2}(y ; \beta) L_{3}(z ; \gamma) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies that $\hat{x}=x, \hat{y}=y$ and $\hat{z}=z$, then $S_{\alpha, \beta}, R_{\alpha, \beta}, T_{\alpha, \beta}$ satisfy the entwining YB equation (3).

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12}(x, y, z) & =\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z\right), \\
R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12}(x, y, z) & =\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right), \\
T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} \circ R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12}(x, y, z) & =\left(x^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime \prime}, z^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can represent the above maps as consecutive compositions of the maps at the bottom, back and left face of a cube as in $(i)$ of Fig. 3. All the parallel edges to the $x$ (resp. $y, z$ ) axis carry the parameter $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta, \gamma$ ).

From the corresponding Lax pairs we have $L_{2}(y ; \beta) L_{1}(x ; \alpha)=L_{1}\left(x^{\prime} ; \alpha\right) L_{2}\left(y^{\prime} ; \beta\right)$, so $L_{3}(z ; \gamma) L_{2}(y ; \beta) L_{1}(x ; \alpha)=\left(L_{3}(z ; \gamma) L_{1}\left(x^{\prime} ; \alpha\right)\right) L_{2}\left(y^{\prime} ; \beta\right)=L_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime} ; \alpha\right)\left(L_{3}\left(z^{\prime} ; \gamma\right) L_{2}\left(y^{\prime} ; \beta\right)\right)=$ $L_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime} ; \alpha\right) L_{2}\left(y^{\prime \prime} \beta\right) L_{3}\left(z^{\prime \prime} ; \gamma\right)$.

We also assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23}(x, y, z) & =(x, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}), \\
R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23}(x, y, z) & =(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tilde{y}}, \tilde{\tilde{z}}), \\
S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12} \circ R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23}(x, y, z) & =(\tilde{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\tilde{y}}, \tilde{\tilde{z}})
\end{aligned}
$$

These maps are represented at the right, front and top face of the cube as in (ii) of Fig. 3 . Similarly, from the Lax pairs we get $L_{3}(z ; \gamma) L_{2}(y ; \beta) L_{1}(x ; \alpha)=L_{1}(\tilde{\tilde{x}} ; \alpha) L_{2}(\tilde{\tilde{y}} ; \beta) L_{3}(\tilde{\tilde{z}} ; \gamma)$. So finally we have

$$
L_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime} ; \alpha\right) L_{2}\left(y^{\prime \prime} \beta\right) L_{3}\left(z^{\prime \prime} ; \gamma\right)=L_{1}(\tilde{\tilde{x}} ; \alpha) L_{2}(\tilde{\tilde{y}} ; \beta) L_{3}(\tilde{\tilde{z}} ; \gamma)
$$

which implies (from the assumptions of the proposition) that $x^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{\tilde{x}}, y^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{\tilde{y}}, z^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{\tilde{z}}$. i.e. $T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} \circ R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12}=S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12} \circ R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23}$.


Fig. 3. Cubic representation of the 3-d compatibility condition

Corollary 3.2. If $\left(L_{1}(x ; \alpha), L_{2}(x ; \alpha), L_{3}(x ; \alpha)\right)$ is a strong Lax triple that satisfies Prop. 3.1 and $L_{1}(x ; \alpha)=L_{2}(x ; \alpha)=L_{3}(x ; \alpha)$, then $S_{\alpha, \beta}: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is a parametric Yang-Baxter map.

Proof. Since the Lax pairs $\left(L_{1}(x ; \alpha), L_{2}(x ; \alpha)\right),\left(L_{1}(x ; \alpha), L_{3}(x ; \alpha)\right),\left(L_{2}(x ; \alpha), L_{3}(x ; \alpha)\right)$ of $S_{\alpha, \beta}, R_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ are a strong, then for $L_{1}=L_{2}=L_{3}, S_{\alpha, \beta}=R_{\alpha, \beta}=T_{\alpha, \beta}$. So equation (3) becomes the Yang-Baxter equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} \circ S_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12}=S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12} \circ S_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ S_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the matrix $L_{1}(x ; \alpha)=L_{2}(x ; \alpha)=L_{3}(x ; \alpha)$ is called a (strong) Lax matrix of the Yang-Baxter map $S_{\alpha, \beta}$ [11].
4. Binomial Lax pairs and triples. A matrix re-factorization procedure provides a way of constructing strong Lax pairs and consequently Lax triples. Following the lines of [5] 8] we consider the set $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ of first degree $2 \times 2$ polynomial matrices $X-\zeta A$, and we denote by $p_{X}^{A}$ the polynomial

$$
p_{X}^{A}(\zeta):=\operatorname{det}(X-\zeta A)=f_{2}(X ; A) \zeta^{2}-f_{1}(X ; A) \zeta+f_{0}(X ; A)
$$

For $X=\left[x_{i j}\right]$ and $A=\left[\alpha_{i j}\right]$, we have

$$
f_{0}(X ; A)=\operatorname{det} X, f_{1}(X ; A)=a_{22} x_{11}-a_{21} x_{12}-a_{12} x_{21}+a_{11} x_{22}, \quad f_{2}(X ; A)=\operatorname{det} A
$$

We also consider the matrix functions $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{1}(X, Y)=f_{2}(X ; A)(Y A+B X)-f_{1}(X ; A) A B  \tag{6}\\
& \Pi_{2}(X, Y)=f_{2}(X ; A) Y X-f_{0}(X ; A) A B \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 4.1. Let $A, B$ be invertible $2 \times 2$ matrices such that $A B=B A$ and $X, Y \in$ $\operatorname{Mat}(2 \times 2)$ with $\operatorname{det} \Pi_{1}(X, Y) \neq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(U-\zeta A)(V-\zeta B)=(Y-\zeta B)(X-\zeta A) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p_{U}^{A}(\zeta)=p_{X}^{A}(\zeta)$ (equivalently $p_{V}^{B}(\zeta)=p_{Y}^{B}(\zeta)$ ), if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=U(X, Y):=\Pi_{2}(X, Y) \Pi_{1}(X, Y)^{-1} A  \tag{9}\\
& V=V(X, Y):=A^{-1}(Y A+B X-U(X, Y) B) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is given in Appendix A. For $A=B$, the proof has appeared in 5]. The fact that $p_{U}^{A}(\zeta)=p_{X}^{A}(\zeta)$ and $p_{V}^{B}(\zeta)=p_{Y}^{B}(\zeta)$, or equivalently

$$
f_{i}(U ; A)=f_{i}(X ; A), f_{i}(V ; B)=f_{i}(Y ; B), \text { for } i=0,1,2,
$$

is crucial and leads to the construction of Lax pairs and symplectic solutions of the entwining YB equation.

A Poisson structure on $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ is defined by the Sklyanin bracket [9:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{L(\zeta) \stackrel{\otimes}{,} L(\eta)\}=\left[\frac{r}{\zeta-\eta}, L(\zeta) \otimes L(\eta)\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(\zeta)=X-\zeta A$ and $r$ denotes the permutation matrix, $r(x \otimes y)=y \otimes x$. There are six Casimir functions on $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ which are the elements $a_{i j}$ of the matrix $A$ and the coefficients of the polynomial $p_{X}^{A}(\zeta), f_{0}(X ; A), f_{1}(X ; A)$. For any constant matrix $A$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{A}^{2}$ the level set $\mathcal{L}_{A}^{2}=\{X-\zeta A / X \in \operatorname{Mat}(2 \times 2)\}$.

By a direct computation we can prove that the map $(X, Y) \mapsto(U, V)$, for $U, V$, (9) and 10 respectively, is a Poisson map $\mathcal{L}^{2} \times \mathcal{L}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{2} \times \mathcal{L}^{2}$ (we extend the Sklyanin bracket to the cartesian product $\mathcal{L}^{2} \times \mathcal{L}^{2}$ in the natural way).

Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ be three invertible matrices that commute with each other. We restrict to a level set on $\mathcal{L}_{A_{1}}^{2}$, of the Casimir functions $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$, by solving the system $f_{0}\left(X ; A_{1}\right)=\alpha_{0}, f_{1}\left(X ; A_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1}$, with respect to two elements of $X$. We denote the two remaining elements of $X$ by $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. In this way we define the matrix $L_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right)$, with $\bar{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right)$, such that

$$
f_{0}\left(L_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right) ; A_{1}\right)=\alpha_{0} \text { and } f_{1}\left(L_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right) ; A_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1}
$$

and the two dimensional symplectic leaves of $\mathcal{L}_{A_{1}}^{2}$

$$
\Sigma_{A_{1}}(\bar{\alpha})=\left\{L^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right)-\zeta A_{1} / x_{1}, x_{2} \in I \subset \mathbb{C}\right\}
$$

with respect to the reduced Sklyanin structure 11. In a similar way we define the matrices $L_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right)$ and $L_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right)$ from the restriction on the level sets of the Casimir functions on $\mathcal{L}_{A_{2}}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{A_{3}}^{2}$ respectively, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}\left(L_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right) ; A_{2}\right)=\alpha_{0}, f_{1}\left(L_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right) ; A_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \\
& f_{0}\left(L_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right) ; A_{3}\right)=\alpha_{0}, f_{1}\left(L_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right) ; A_{3}\right)=\alpha_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the corresponding symplectic leaves $\Sigma_{A_{2}}(\bar{\alpha})$ and $\Sigma_{A_{3}}(\bar{\alpha})$.
Theorem 4.2. The equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}^{i j}, u_{2}^{i j} ; \bar{\alpha}\right)-\zeta A_{i}\right) & \left(L_{j}^{\prime}\left(v_{1}^{i j}, v_{2}^{i j} ; \bar{\beta}\right)-\zeta A_{j}\right) \\
& =\left(L_{j}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \bar{\beta}\right)-\zeta A_{j}\right)\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right)-\zeta A_{i}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i, j=1,2,3$, are uniquely solvable with respect to $u_{1}^{i j}, u_{2}^{i j}, v_{1}^{i j}$ and $v_{2}^{i j}$. The parametric maps $\left(S_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}, R_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}, T_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}\right)$, with

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}:\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \mapsto\left(\left(u_{1}^{12}, u_{2}^{12}\right),\left(v_{1}^{12}, v_{2}^{12}\right)\right), \\
R_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}:\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \mapsto\left(\left(u_{1}^{13}, u_{2}^{13}\right),\left(v_{1}^{13}, v_{2}^{13}\right)\right), \\
T_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}:\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \mapsto\left(\left(u_{1}^{23}, u_{2}^{23}\right),\left(v_{1}^{23}, v_{2}^{23}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

are symplectic and admit the strong Lax triple $\left(L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{\alpha}\right), L_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{\alpha}\right), L_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{\alpha}\right)\right)$, where

$$
L_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{\alpha}\right)=L_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{\alpha}\right)-\zeta A_{i}, \text { for } i=1,2,3
$$

Moreover they satisfy the entwining YB equation $T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12}=S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12} R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23}$.
The proof of this theorem is given in appendix $B$.
As it is remarked in [5], there are cases where limits of quadrirational YB maps give rise to new degenerate maps. A similar procedure can be applied here as well. For example we can consider commuting invertible matrices $A_{i}=A_{i}(\varepsilon)$, depending on a parameter $\varepsilon$, such that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{det} A_{i}(\varepsilon)=0$, for some $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and construct the corresponding maps $\left(S_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(\varepsilon), R_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(\varepsilon), T_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(\varepsilon)\right)$ that we described. A new solution of the entwining YB equation can be derived by taking the limit (if it exists) of $\left(S_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(\varepsilon), R_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(\varepsilon), T_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}(\varepsilon)\right)$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
5. Integrable rational maps on $\mathbb{C}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{2}$. We give here an example of two solutions of the entwining YB equation from a pair of commuting matrices.

We consider $X-\zeta A_{i} \in \mathcal{L}_{A_{i}}^{2}$, for $i=1,2$, with

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & x_{2} \\
x_{3} & x_{4}
\end{array}\right), A_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { and } A_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \varepsilon
\end{array}\right), \varepsilon \neq 0
$$

First we will construct symplectic rational maps on symplectic leaves of $\mathcal{L}_{A_{1}}^{2} \times \mathcal{L}_{A_{1}}^{2}$, $\mathcal{L}_{A_{2}}^{2} \times \mathcal{L}_{A_{2}}^{2}, \mathcal{L}_{A_{1}}^{2} \times \mathcal{L}_{A_{2}}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{A_{2}}^{2} \times \mathcal{L}_{A_{1}}^{2}$ respectively and their corresponding Lax pairs. Next by considering two cases $A_{3}=A_{1}$ and $A_{3}=A_{2}$ we derive two solutions of the entwining YB equation. All these maps are integrable.
5.1. A Yang-Baxter map on $\Sigma_{A_{1}}(\alpha, 1) \times \Sigma_{A_{1}}(\beta, 1)$. The Casimir functions on $\mathcal{L}_{A_{1}}^{2}$ are

$$
f_{0}\left(X ; A_{1}\right)=x_{1} x_{4}-x_{2} x_{3}, f_{1}\left(X ; A_{1}\right)=x_{1}+x_{4}
$$

We set $f_{0}\left(X ; A_{1}\right)=\alpha, f_{1}\left(X ; A_{1}\right)=1$ and solve with respect to $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ to get the matrix

$$
L_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} & x_{2}  \tag{13}\\
-\frac{\alpha+\left(x_{1}-1\right) x_{1}}{x_{2}} & 1-x_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the Lax matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)=L^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)-\zeta A_{1} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As expected from theorem 4.2 and corollary 3.2, the equation

$$
L_{1}\left(u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}^{\prime} ; \alpha\right) L_{1}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime} ; \beta\right)=L_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \beta\right) L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)
$$

is uniquely solvable with respect to $u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}$ and implies the parametric Yang-Baxter map

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\left(u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}^{\prime}\right),\left(v_{1}^{\prime}, v_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}^{\prime} & =y_{1}+\frac{x_{2} y_{2}}{N}(\alpha-\beta)\left(x_{1}+y_{1}-1\right) \\
u_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{y_{2}}{N}\left(\alpha\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}\left(y_{1}-1\right)-x_{1} y_{2}\right)\left(x_{2} y_{1}-x_{1} y_{2}+y_{2}\right)\right) \\
v_{1}^{\prime} & =x_{1}-\frac{x_{2} y_{2}}{N}(\alpha-\beta)\left(x_{1}+y_{1}-1\right) \\
v_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{x_{2}}{N}\left(\beta\left(x_{2}+y_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}\left(y_{1}-1\right)-x_{1} y_{2}\right)\left(x_{2} y_{1}-x_{1} y_{2}+y_{2}\right)\right) \\
N & =\alpha y_{2}^{2}+\beta x_{2}^{2}+(\alpha+\beta-1) y_{2} x_{2}+\left(x_{2} y_{1}-x_{1} y_{2}\right)\left(x_{2} y_{1}-x_{1} y_{2}+y_{2}-x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can verify that $u_{1}^{\prime}=U_{11}^{\prime}, u_{2}^{\prime}=U_{12}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime}=V_{11}^{\prime}$ and $v_{2}^{\prime}=V_{12}^{\prime}$, where $U_{i j}^{\prime}$ and $V_{i j}^{\prime}$ are the $i j$ elements of the matrices

$$
U^{\prime}:=U\left(L^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), L^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \beta\right)\right), V^{\prime}:=V\left(L^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), L^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \beta\right)\right)
$$

defined by (9) and (10) respectively.
5.2. A Yang-Baxter map on $\Sigma_{A_{2}}(\alpha, 1) \times \Sigma_{A_{2}}(\beta, 1)$. The construction of the following Yang-Baxter map appears in [5]. The Casimir functions on $\mathcal{L}_{A_{2}}^{2}$ are

$$
f_{0}\left(X ; A_{2}\right)=x_{1} x_{4}-x_{2} x_{3}, f_{1}\left(X ; A_{2}\right)=\varepsilon x_{1}+x_{4}
$$

We set here as well $f_{0}\left(X ; A_{2}\right)=\alpha, f_{1}\left(X ; A_{2}\right)=1$ and solve with respect to $x_{1}, x_{4}$. By performing the change of variables: $x_{2} \mapsto x_{1}$ and $x_{3} \mapsto x_{2}$ we derive the matrix

$$
M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1-\left(1-4 \varepsilon\left(\alpha+x_{1} x_{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 \varepsilon} & x_{1}  \tag{16}\\
x_{2} & \frac{1}{2}\left(1-4 \varepsilon\left(\alpha+x_{1} x_{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the corresponding Lax matrix $M_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)=M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)-\zeta A_{2}$. In this way we obtain the non-degenerate Yang-Baxter map

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\alpha, \beta}^{\varepsilon}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}\right),\left(\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}\right)\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{u}_{1}=\mathbf{U}_{12}, \mathbf{u}_{2}=\mathbf{U}_{21}, \mathbf{v}_{1}=\mathbf{V}_{12}, \mathbf{v}_{2}=\mathbf{V}_{21}$ the corresponding elements of $\mathbf{U}=$ $U\left(M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \beta\right)\right), \mathbf{V}=V\left(M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \beta\right)\right)$. As in [5] we take the limit of 17 , for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, in order to derive the degenerate Yang-Baxter map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} R_{\alpha, \beta}^{\varepsilon}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right)=\left(\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right),\left(\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{2}\right)\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\bar{u}_{1}=y_{1}-\frac{(\alpha-\beta) x_{1}}{1+x_{1} y_{2}}, \bar{u}_{2}=y_{2}, \bar{v}_{1}=x_{1}, \bar{v}_{2}=x_{2}+\frac{(\alpha-\beta) y_{2}}{1+x_{1} y_{2}}
$$

and strong Lax matrix

$$
L_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} M_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} x_{2}+\alpha-\zeta & x_{1}  \tag{19}\\
x_{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

5.3. The maps on $\Sigma_{A_{1}}(\alpha, 1) \times \Sigma_{A_{2}}(\beta, 1)$ and on $\Sigma_{A_{2}}(\alpha, 1) \times \Sigma_{A_{1}}(\beta, 1)$. The equation

$$
L_{1}\left(u_{1}, u_{2} ; \alpha\right) L_{2}\left(v_{1}, v_{2} ; \beta\right)=L_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \beta\right) L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)
$$

with $L_{1}, L_{2} 14$ and 19 respectively, yields the unique solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1} & =y_{2} y_{1}-\frac{x_{1} y_{1}}{x_{2}}+\frac{\left(\alpha+\beta\left(x_{2} y_{2}-x_{1}\right)\right)\left(x_{2}+y_{1}\right)}{x_{2}\left(x_{2} y_{2}-x_{1}-\beta+1\right)} \\
u_{2} & =-\frac{\alpha\left(x_{2}+y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2} x_{2}-x_{1} y_{1}+y_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}\left(\beta+y_{1} y_{2}-1\right)-x_{1} y_{1}\right)}{x_{2}\left(x_{2} y_{2}-x_{1}-\beta+1\right)} \\
v_{1} & =x_{2}+y_{1}, \\
v_{2} & =\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}}-\frac{\alpha+\beta\left(x_{2} y_{2}-x_{1}\right)}{x_{2}\left(x_{2} y_{2}-x_{1}-\beta+1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This solution can be obtained as the limit for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ of the $U_{11}, U_{12}, V_{12}$ and $V_{21}$ elements of the matrices

$$
U:=U\left(L_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \beta\right)\right), V:=V\left(L_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \beta\right)\right)
$$

defined by (9) and 10 with $L_{1}^{\prime}, M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(13)$ and 16 respectively. So the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha, \beta}:\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \mapsto\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits the strong Lax pair $\left(L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), L_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)\right)$.
Furthermore the twisted equation

$$
L_{2}\left(\tilde{u}_{1}, \tilde{u}_{2} ; \alpha\right) L_{1}\left(\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2} ; \beta\right)=L_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \beta\right) L_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)
$$

implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{u}_{1}=\frac{\left(\alpha x_{1}-y_{1} x_{1}-y_{2}\right) y_{2}}{\beta x_{1}+\left(y_{1}-1\right) y_{1} x_{1}+\left(\alpha+y_{1}-1\right) y_{2}}, \\
& \tilde{u}_{2}=x_{2}-\frac{\beta+\left(y_{1}-1\right) y_{1}}{y_{2}}, \\
& \tilde{v}_{1}=\frac{\beta\left(\alpha x_{1}-y_{2}\right)+\left(y_{1} x_{1}-x_{1}+y_{2}\right)\left(\alpha y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}\right)+x_{1} x_{2}\left(x_{1}\left(y_{1}^{2}-y_{1}+\beta\right)+y_{1} y_{2}\right)}{\beta x_{1}+\left(y_{1}-1\right) y_{1} x_{1}+\left(\alpha+y_{1}-1\right) y_{2}}, \\
& \tilde{v}_{2}=x_{1}-\frac{\left(\alpha x_{1}-y_{1} x_{1}-y_{2}\right) y_{2}}{\beta x_{1}+\left(y_{1}-1\right) y_{1} x_{1}+\left(\alpha+y_{1}-1\right) y_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\alpha, \beta}:\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right) \mapsto\left(\left(\tilde{u}_{1}, \tilde{u}_{2}\right),\left(\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}\right)\right), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with strong Lax pair $\left(L_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)\right)$.
5.4. Solutions of the entwining YB equation and integrability. We consider two cases. First we choose a third matrix $A_{3}=A_{1}$ and the corresponding Lax matrix $L_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)=L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)$. Theorem 4.2 implies that the maps $\left(S_{\alpha, \beta}, R_{\alpha, \beta}, T_{\alpha, \beta}\right) 20$. 15 and 21 respectively) admits the strong Lax triple

$$
\left(L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), L_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)\right)
$$

and satisfy the entwining Yang-Baxter equation

$$
T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} \circ R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12}=S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12} \circ R_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ T_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} .
$$

Now if choose $A_{3}=A_{2}, L_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)=L_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)$, we derive the strong Lax triple $\left(L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), L_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right), L_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)\right)$ of the maps $\left(S_{\alpha, \beta}, S_{\alpha, \beta}, \bar{R}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$, from 20) and (18), that satisfy the entwining YB equation

$$
\bar{R}_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} \circ S_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12}=S_{\alpha, \beta}^{12} \circ S_{\alpha, \gamma}^{13} \circ \bar{R}_{\beta, \gamma}^{23} .
$$

The map $S_{\alpha, \beta} 20$ is symplectic with respect to the reduced Sklyanin bracket on $\Sigma_{A_{1}}(\alpha, 1) \times \Sigma_{A_{2}}(\beta, 1):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}=-x_{2}, \quad\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}=1, \quad\left\{x_{i}, y_{j}\right\}=0, i, j=1,2 \quad\left(x_{2} \neq 0\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the 1-periodic 'staircase' initial value problem the trace of the corresponding monodromy matrix, $M_{1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=L_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \beta\right) L_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \alpha\right)$, gives the two first integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}^{s}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=x_{2} y_{2}+x_{1}\left(y_{1} y_{2}+\beta-1\right)-\frac{y_{1}}{x_{2}}\left(x_{1}^{2}-x_{1}+\alpha\right) \\
& J_{2}^{s}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=x_{1}+y_{1} y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which are in involution with respect to the Poisson bracket 22).
Similarly $\bar{R}_{\alpha, \beta}, T_{\alpha, \beta}$ are integrable and $R_{\alpha, \beta}$ is an involution i.e. $R_{\alpha, \beta} \circ R_{\alpha, \beta}=I d$. The reduced Sklyanin bracket on the corresponding symplectic leaves is given by the brackets of the coordinates

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}=-x_{2}, & \left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}=-y_{2}, & \left\{x_{i}, y_{j}\right\}=0, \text { on } \Sigma_{A_{1}}(\alpha, 1) \times \Sigma_{A_{1}}(\beta, 1), \\
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}=1, & \left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}=1, & \left\{x_{i}, y_{j}\right\}=0, \text { on } \Sigma_{A_{2}}(\alpha, 1) \times \Sigma_{A_{2}}(\beta, 1), \\
\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}=1, & \left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}=-y_{2}, & \left\{x_{i}, y_{j}\right\}=0, \text { on } \Sigma_{A_{2}}(\alpha, 1) \times \Sigma_{A_{1}}(\beta, 1) . \tag{25}
\end{array}
$$

The corresponding integrals of the YB map $\bar{R}_{\alpha, \beta}$ are

$$
J_{1}^{\bar{R}}=\alpha y_{1} y_{2}+b x_{1} x_{2}+\left(x_{2} y_{1}+1\right)\left(x_{1} y_{2}+1\right), J_{2}^{\bar{R}}=x_{1} x_{2}+y_{1} y_{2}
$$

and of the map $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ are

$$
J_{1}^{T}=x_{2} y_{2}+y_{1}\left(x_{1} x_{2}+\alpha-1\right)-\frac{x_{1}}{y_{2}}\left(y_{1}^{2}-y_{1}+\beta\right), J_{2}^{T}=y_{1}+x_{1} x_{2}
$$

The integrals of each pair are in involution with respect to the Poisson brackets (24) and (25) respectively.
6. Perspectives. We presented the construction of entwining Yang-Baxter maps following the procedure of using Lax matrices as symplectic leaves of the Sklyanin bracket of polynomial matrices. These maps give rise to, in principle, asymmetric discrete integrable systems on the 2-dimensional integer lattice. We believe an extensive study as well as their relation to scalar asymmetric integrable systems on quad-graphs deserves more attention.
7. Appendix A. The proof of proposition 4.1.

Proof. From equation (8) we derive the system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U V=Y X, \quad U B+A V=Y A+B X \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U A^{-1}\right)^{2} A B=U A^{-1}(Y A+B X)-Y X \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p_{U}^{A}(\zeta)=p_{X}^{A}(\zeta)$, then $f_{i}(U ; A)=f_{i}(X ; A)$ so from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(X ; A)\left(U A^{-1}\right)^{2}-f_{1}(X ; A) U A^{-1}+f_{0}(X ; A) I=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By solving 27) and 28) with respect to $U$ we get that:

$$
\left.U=\left(f_{2}(X ; A) Y X-f_{0}(X ; A) A B\right)\left(f_{2}(X ; A)(Y A+B X)-f_{1}(X ; A) A B\right)\right)^{-1} A
$$

and from 26) that $V=A^{-1}(Y A+B X-U(X, Y) B)$.
On the other hand let us assume that $U$ and $V$ are given by (9) and (10). By performing some calculations we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{2}(X, Y) & =\Pi_{2}(X, Y)+\Pi_{1}(X, Y) A^{-1} X-\Pi_{1}(X, Y) A^{-1} X \\
& =\Pi_{1}(X, Y) A^{-1} X-B A\left(f_{2}(X ; A)\left(A^{-1} X\right)^{2}-f_{1}(X ; A) A^{-1} X+f_{0}(X ; A) I\right) \\
& =\Pi_{1}(X, Y) A^{-1} X-B A p_{X}^{A}\left(A^{-1} X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem states that $p_{X}^{A}\left(A^{-1} X\right)=0$ so $\Pi_{2}(X, Y)=\Pi_{1}(X, Y) A^{-1} X$ and from (9) we get $U A^{-1}=\Pi_{1} A^{-1} X \Pi_{1}^{-1}$ which means that $f_{i}(U ; A)=f_{i}(X ; A)$ or $p_{U}^{A}(\zeta)=p_{X}^{A}(\zeta)$ and $p_{V}^{B}(\zeta)=p_{Y}^{B}(\zeta)$. Also from (9) and 10 we derive that $U B+A V=$ $Y A+B X$ and

$$
U A^{-1}\left(f_{2}(X ; A)(U B+A V)-f_{1}(X ; A) A B\right)=f_{2}(X ; A) Y X-f_{0}(X ; A) A B
$$

or

$$
\left(f_{2}(X ; A)\left(U A^{-1}\right)^{2}-f_{1}(X ; A) U A^{-1}+f_{0}(X ; A) I\right) A B=f_{2}(X ; A)(Y X-U V)
$$

Since $f_{i}(X ; A)=f_{i}(U ; A)$ from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem we get $U V=Y X$.

## 8. Appendix B. The proof of theorem 4.2,

Proof. The proof of the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of 12 is a consequence of the construction of the matrices $L_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{\alpha}\right)$ in addition with Prop. 4.1. for $X=$ $L_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{\alpha}\right), Y=L_{j}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \bar{\alpha}\right), A=A_{i}$ and $B=A_{j}$. The elements $u_{1}^{i j}, u_{2}^{i j}, v_{1}^{i j}$ and $v_{2}^{i j}$ can be determined by the corresponding elements of the matrices

$$
U\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right), L_{j}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \bar{\beta}\right)\right), V\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right), L_{j}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \bar{\beta}\right)\right)
$$

of (9) and 10). Since the map of Prop. 4.1, $(X, Y) \mapsto(U, V)$, is Poisson, the reduced map $S_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}: \Sigma_{A_{1}}(\bar{\alpha}) \times \Sigma_{A_{2}}(\bar{\beta}) \rightarrow \Sigma_{A_{1}}(\bar{\alpha}) \times \Sigma_{A_{2}}(\bar{\beta})$ is symplectic (resp. the maps $R_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ and $T_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$ ). In order to prove the entwining YB property it suffices to show that the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(L_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime} ; \bar{\alpha}\right)-\zeta A_{1}\right)\left(L_{2}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime} ; \bar{\beta}\right)-\zeta A_{2}\right)\left(L_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime} ; \bar{\gamma}\right)-\zeta A_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(L^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right)-\zeta A_{1}\right)\left(L_{2}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \bar{\beta}\right)-\zeta A_{2}\right)\left(L_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, z_{2} ; \bar{\gamma}\right)-\zeta A_{3}\right) \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

implies that $x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{i}, y_{i}^{\prime}=y_{i}, z_{i}^{\prime}=z_{i}$, for $i=1,2$, then the proof follows from Prop. 3.1. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =L_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} ; \bar{\alpha}\right), Y=L_{2}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}, y_{2} ; \bar{\beta}\right), Z=L_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, z_{2} ; \bar{\gamma}\right) \\
X^{\prime} & =L_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime} ; \bar{\alpha}\right), Y^{\prime}=L_{2}^{\prime}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime} ; \bar{\beta}\right), Z^{\prime}=L_{3}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}, z_{2} ; \bar{\gamma}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $X Y Z=K, X Y A_{3}+X A_{2} Z+A_{1} Y Z=L, X A_{2} A_{3}+A_{1} Y A_{3}+A_{1} A_{2} Z=M$. Substituting to 29) we derive the system

$$
X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime}=K, X^{\prime} Y^{\prime} A_{3}+X^{\prime} A_{2} Z^{\prime}+A_{1} Y^{\prime} Z^{\prime}=L, X^{\prime} A_{2} A_{3}+A_{1} Y^{\prime} A_{3}+A_{1} A_{2} Z^{\prime}=M
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X^{\prime} A_{1}^{-1}\right)^{3} A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}-\left(X^{\prime} A_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2} M+X^{\prime} A_{1}^{-1} L=K \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(X^{\prime}-\zeta A_{1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(X-\zeta A_{1}\right)=\alpha_{2} \zeta^{2}-\alpha_{1} \zeta+\alpha_{0}
$$

with $\alpha_{2}=f_{2}\left(X ; A_{1}\right), \alpha_{1}=f_{1}\left(X ; A_{1}\right), \alpha_{0}=f_{0}\left(X ; A_{1}\right)$, from the Cayley-Hamilton theorem we have that

$$
p_{X^{\prime}}^{A_{1}^{\prime}}\left(X^{\prime} A_{1}^{-1}\right)=\alpha_{2}\left(X^{\prime} A_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2}-\alpha_{1}\left(X^{\prime} A_{1}^{-1}\right)+\alpha_{0} I=0 .
$$

By evaluating the powers of $X^{\prime} A_{1}^{-1}$ from the last equation, equation becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
X^{\prime} A_{1}^{-1}\left[\alpha_{2}^{2} L\right. & \left.-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{1} M+\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{0}\right) A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}\right]=\alpha_{2}^{2} K-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{0} M+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{0} A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} \\
& =X A_{1}^{-1}\left[\alpha_{2}^{2} L-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{1} M+\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{0}\right) A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}\right]+Q \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
Q=\alpha_{2}^{2} K-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{0} M+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{0} A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}-X A_{1}^{-1}\left[\alpha_{2}^{2} L-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{1} M+\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{0}\right) A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}\right] .
$$

If we replace again $K, L, M$ by $X Y Z, X Y A_{3}+X A_{2} Z+A_{1} Y Z, X A_{2} A_{3}+A_{1} Y A_{3}+A_{1} A_{2} Z$ respectively, we can factorize $Q$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =\left(\alpha_{2}\left(X A_{1}^{-1}\right)^{2}-\alpha_{1} X A_{1}^{-1}+\alpha_{0} I\right)\left(\alpha_{1} A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}-\alpha_{2}\left(A_{1} Y A_{3}+A_{1} A_{2} Z\right)\right) \\
& =p_{X}^{A_{1}}\left(X A_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha_{1} A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}-\alpha_{2}\left(A_{1} Y A_{3}+A_{1} A_{2} Z\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $p_{X}^{A_{1}}\left(X A_{1}^{-1}\right)=0, Q=0$. So from 31 we conclude that $X^{\prime}=X$. In a similar way we can prove that $Z^{\prime}=Z$ which means that also $Y^{\prime}=Y$.
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