

*KEMPISTY'S THEOREM FOR THE  
INTEGRAL PRODUCT QUASICONTINUITY*

BY

ZBIGNIEW GRANDE (Bydgoszcz)

**Abstract.** A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the condition  $Q_i(x)$  (resp.  $Q_s(x)$ ,  $Q_o(x)$ ) at a point  $x$  if for each real  $r > 0$  and for each set  $U \ni x$  open in the Euclidean topology of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (resp. strong density topology, ordinary density topology) there is an open set  $I$  such that  $I \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $|(1/\mu(U \cap I)) \int_{U \cap I} f(t) dt - f(x)| < r$ . Kempisty's theorem concerning the product quasicontinuity is investigated for the above notions.

For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and positive reals  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  put

$$I_i = (x_i - r_i, x_i + r_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$P(x; r_1, \dots, r_n) = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n, \quad Q(x, r) = P(x; r, \dots, r).$$

Denote by  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure and by  $\mu_e$  the outer Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we define the *upper* (resp. *lower*) *outer strong density*  $D_u(A, x)$  (resp.  $D_l(A, x)$ ) of  $A$  at  $x$  as

$$\limsup_{h_1, \dots, h_n \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_e(A \cap P(x; h_1, \dots, h_n))}{\mu(P(x; h_1, \dots, h_n))}$$

and

$$\liminf_{h_1, \dots, h_n \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_e(A \cap P(x; h_1, \dots, h_n))}{\mu(P(x; h_1, \dots, h_n))}$$

respectively. Similarly for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we define the *upper* (resp. *lower*) *outer ordinary density*  $d_u(A, x)$  (resp.  $d_l(A, x)$ ) of  $A$  at  $x$  as

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_e(A \cap Q(x, h))}{\mu(Q(x, h))} \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu_e(A \cap Q(x, h))}{\mu(Q(x, h))}$$

respectively. A point  $x$  is said to be an *outer strong density point* (resp. a *strong density point*) of  $A$  if  $D_l(A, x) = 1$  (resp. if there is a Lebesgue measurable set  $B \subset A$  such that  $D_l(B, x) = 1$ ).

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 26B05, 26A03, 26A15.

*Key words and phrases*: density topology, integral quasicontinuity, quasicontinuity, functions of two variables.

Similarly we define the notions of an outer ordinary density point and of an ordinary density point.

The family  $T_{s,d}$  (resp.  $T_{o,d}$ ) of all sets all of whose points are strong (resp. ordinary) density points is a topology called the *strong* (resp. *ordinary*) *density topology* ([1, 2, 9, 7]). If  $n = 1$  then  $T_{s,d} = T_{o,d}$  is called the *density topology*.

If  $T_e$  denotes the Euclidean topology in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then evidently  $T_e \subset T_{s,d} \subset T_{o,d}$  and all sets in  $T_{o,d}$  are Lebesgue measurable ([1, 2, 9]).

The continuity of mappings  $f$  from  $(\mathbb{R}^n, T_{s,d})$  (resp. from  $(\mathbb{R}^n, T_{o,d})$ ) to  $(\mathbb{R}, T_e)$  is called the *strong* (resp. *ordinary*) *approximate continuity* ([1, 2, 9]).

In [5, 6] the following notion is investigated.

A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *quasicontinuous at a point*  $x$  (written  $f \in Q(x)$ ) if for each  $r > 0$  and each  $U \in T_e$  containing  $x$  there is a nonempty set  $I \in T_e$  such that  $I \subset U$  and  $|f(t) - f(x)| < r$  for all  $t \in I$ .

A function  $f$  is *quasicontinuous* if  $f \in Q(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *integrally quasicontinuous at a point*  $x$  ( $f \in Q_i(x)$ , [4]) if for each  $r > 0$  and each  $U \in T_e$  containing  $x$  there is a nonempty set  $I \in T_e$  such that  $I \subset U$  and

$$\left| \frac{\int_I f(t) dt}{\mu(I)} - f(x) \right| < r.$$

A function  $f$  is *integrally quasicontinuous* ( $f \in Q_i$ ) if  $f \in Q_i(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belongs to  $Q_s(x)$  (resp.  $f \in Q_o(x)$ , [4]) if for each  $\eta > 0$  and each  $U \in T_{s,d}$  (resp.  $U \in T_{o,d}$ ) containing  $x$  there is a nonempty set  $I \in T_e$  such that  $I \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f$  is Lebesgue integrable on  $I \cap U$  and

$$\left| \frac{1}{\mu(I \cap U)} \int_{I \cap U} f(t) dt - f(x) \right| < \eta.$$

If  $f \in Q_s(x)$  (resp.  $f \in Q_o(x)$ ) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then we write  $f \in Q_s$  (resp.  $f \in Q_o$ ).

The inclusions  $Q_o \subset Q_s \subset Q_i$  are true and each measurable quasicontinuous function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is integrally quasicontinuous ([4]). If  $n = 1$  then  $Q_o = Q_s$ .

Now let  $n_1, n_2$  be two positive integers with  $n_1 + n_2 = n$  and let  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ . For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $y = (x_{n_1+1}, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  we write  $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, x_{n_1+1}, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and for points  $t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  we define the sections  $f_t : \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f^y : \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_t(y) = f(t, y) \quad \text{and} \quad f^y(t) = f(t, y).$$

If  $n = n_1 + n_2$  with  $n_1, n_2 > 0$  then we refer to different types of quasi-continuity of functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as *product quasicontinuities*.

The following theorem of Kempisty is well known ([5, 6]).

**THEOREM 1.** *If all sections  $f_t$  and  $f^y$  of a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are quasicontinuous then  $f$  is also quasicontinuous.*

To prove that analogues of Kempisty's theorem for integral quasicontinuities are not true, we start from the following lemma.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be disjoint countable nonempty sets. There are disjoint measurable sets  $E, G$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} E \supset A, \quad G \supset B, \quad E \cup G = \mathbb{R}, \\ D_u(E, x) > 0 \quad \text{for each } x \in E, \\ D_u(G, y) > 0 \quad \text{for each } y \in G. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3 from [3].

**REMARK 1.** *Assume the Continuum Hypothesis CH. There is a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that all sections  $f_t$  and  $f^y$ ,  $t, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , belong to  $Q_s = Q_o$  and the restriction  $f|A$  is not measurable for any measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let

$$a_0, a_1, \dots, a_\alpha, \dots, \quad \alpha < \omega_1,$$

be a transfinite sequence of all reals such that  $a_\alpha \neq a_\beta$  for  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ , where  $\omega_1$  denotes the first uncountable ordinal.

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be such that the inner Lebesgue measures  $\mu_i(S)$  and  $\mu_i(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S)$  are 0 and  $\text{card}(p \cap S) \leq 2$  for each straight line  $p$  ([8]).

For  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we will define by transfinite induction two functions  $g_\alpha, h_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

If the vertical straight line  $p_0$  defined by the equation  $t = a_0$  is such that  $p_0 \cap S = \emptyset$  then we put  $h_0(y) = 0$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Analogously if the horizontal straight line  $q_0$  defined by  $y = a_0$  is such that  $q_0 \cap S = \emptyset$  then we put  $g_0(t) = 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $p_0 \cap S \neq \emptyset$  then we put  $h_0(y) = 1$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ; if  $q_0 \cap S \neq \emptyset$  then we put  $g_0(t) = 1$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Fix a countable ordinal number  $\alpha > 0$  and assume that we have defined  $g_\beta, h_\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ .

Let  $p_\alpha$  be defined by  $t = a_\alpha$  and let  $q_\alpha$  be defined by  $y = a_\alpha$ . Set

$$A_{1,\alpha} = \{a_\beta; \beta < \alpha \text{ and } h_\beta(a_\alpha) = 1\} \cup \{(t \in \mathbb{R}; (t, a_\alpha) \in q_\alpha \cap S\}.$$

Moreover let  $A_{2,\alpha} \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus A_{1,\alpha}$  be a countable dense set. By Lemma 1, there are disjoint measurable sets  $E_{1,\alpha} \supset A_{1,\alpha}$  and  $E_{2,\alpha} \supset A_{2,\alpha}$  such that  $\mathbb{R} = E_{1,\alpha} \cup E_{2,\alpha}$ ,  $D_u(E_{1,\alpha}, t) > 0$  for each  $t \in E_{1,\alpha}$  and  $D_u(E_{2,\alpha}, t) > 0$  for each  $t \in E_{2,\alpha}$ . Put

$$g_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \in E_{1,\alpha}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise on } \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$B_{1,\alpha} = \{a_\beta; \beta \leq \alpha \text{ and } g_\beta(a_\alpha) = 1\} \cup \{y \in \mathbb{R}; (a_\alpha, y) \in p_\alpha \cap S\}$$

and let  $B_{2,\alpha} \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus B_{1,\alpha}$  be a countable dense set. By Lemma 1, there are disjoint measurable sets  $G_{1,\alpha} \supset B_{1,\alpha}$ , and  $G_{2,\alpha} \supset B_{2,\alpha}$  such that  $\mathbb{R} = G_{1,\alpha} \cup G_{2,\alpha}$ ,  $D_u(G_{1,\alpha}, t) > 0$  for each  $t \in G_{1,\alpha}$  and  $D_u(G_{2,\alpha}, t) > 0$  for each  $t \in G_{2,\alpha}$ . Let

$$h_\alpha(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \in G_{1,\alpha} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise on } \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Now for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we find an ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $x = a_\alpha$  and put

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, v) &= h_\alpha(v) & \text{for } v \in \mathbb{R}, \\ f(u, x) &= g_\alpha(u) & \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\text{Pr}(S) = \{t; \exists y(t, y) \in S\}.$$

Since  $f(t, y) = 1$  for  $(t, y) \in S$  and  $\mu_i(f_t^{-1}(0)) > 0$  for  $t \in \text{Pr}(S)$ , the restriction  $f|A$  is not measurable for any measurable  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ . If  $t = a_\alpha$  then  $f^t = g_\alpha \in Q_s$  and  $f_t = h_\alpha \in Q_s$  (see [4, Th. 2]). This finishes the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *The function  $f$  constructed in the proof of Remark 1 is not in  $Q_i$ , so analogues of Kempisty’s theorem for the integral quasicontinuities are not true.*

A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *strongly* (resp. *ordinarily*) *approximately quasicontinuous* at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if for each  $\eta > 0$  and each  $U \in T_{s,d}$  (resp.  $U \in T_{o,d}$ ) containing  $x$  there is a nonempty set  $V \subset U$  belonging to  $T_{s,d}$  (resp. to  $T_{o,d}$ ) for which  $f(V) \subset (f(x) - \eta, f(x) + \eta)$  (cf. [3]). If  $n = 1$  then the notions of strong and ordinary approximate quasicontinuity are equivalent and in this case we say that  $f$  is *approximately quasicontinuous*.

Observe that all sections  $f_t$  and  $f^y$ ,  $t, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , of the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  constructed in the proof of Remark 1 are approximately quasicontinuous at each point.

By the Lebesgue density theorem, functions strongly (and ordinarily) approximately quasicontinuous at all points are measurable (cf. [3]).

For  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  define the sections

$$A_t = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}; (t, v) \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad A^y = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}; (u, y) \in A\}.$$

Let

$$T_{s,d}^+ = \{A \subset \mathbb{R}^n; A \text{ is measurable and}$$

$$A_u, A^v \in T_{s,d} \text{ for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}\},$$

$$T_{o,d}^+ = \{A \subset \mathbb{R}^n; A \text{ is measurable and}$$

$$A_u, A^v \in T_{o,d} \text{ for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \text{ and } v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}\}.$$

In connection with Remark 1 we have the following.

**THEOREM 2.** *If all sections  $f_u$  and  $f^v$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ , of a measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are strongly (resp. ordinarily) approximately quasicontinuous at all points then for each  $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , each  $\eta > 0$  and each  $A \in T_{s,d}^+$  (resp.  $A \in T_{o,d}^+$ ) containing  $(t, y)$  there is a measurable subset  $B \subset A$  such that  $\mu(B) > 0$  and  $f(B) \subset (f(t, y) - \eta, f(t, y) + \eta)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \in T_{s,d}^+$  containing  $(t, y)$ , and  $\eta > 0$ . Since  $f^y$  is strongly approximately quasicontinuous at  $t$ , there is a measurable set  $U \subset A^y$  such that  $\mu(U) > 0$  and  $f^y(U) \subset (f(t, y) - \eta/3, f(t, y) + \eta/3)$ . Since all sections  $f_u$ ,  $u \in U$ , are strongly approximately quasicontinuous at  $y$ , for each  $u \in U$  there is a measurable set  $V(u) \subset A_u$  of positive measure such that  $f_u(V(u)) \subset (f(u, y) - \eta/3, f(u, y) + \eta/3)$ . Let

$$E = \{(u, v); u \in U \text{ and } v \in V(u)\}$$

and let  $H \subset A$  be a measurable cover of  $E$ , i.e.  $H \supset E$  is a measurable set and each measurable subset of  $B \setminus E$  is of measure zero. Evidently the set

$$B = H \cap \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n; |f(u, v) - f(t, y)| < \eta\}$$

is as required. The proof for the case of ordinary approximate quasicontinuity is the same.

Theorem 2 implies the following:

**THEOREM 3.** *If all sections  $f_u$  and  $f^v$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ , of a bounded measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are strongly (resp. ordinarily) approximately quasicontinuous at all points then for each  $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , each  $\eta > 0$  and each  $A \in T_{s,d}^+$  (resp.  $A \in T_{o,d}^+$ ) containing  $(t, y)$  there is a bounded set  $E \in T_e$  such that  $E \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and*

$$\left| \frac{\int_{A \cap E} f}{\mu(A \cap E)} - f(t, y) \right| < \eta.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \in T_{s,d}^+$  containing  $(t, y)$ , and  $\eta > 0$ . By Theorem 2 there is a measurable set  $B \subset A$  such that  $\mu(B) > 0$  and

$f(B) \subset (f(t, y) - \eta/2, f(t, y) + \eta/2)$ . So,

$$\left| \frac{\int_B f}{\mu(B)} - f(t, y) \right| \leq \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

From the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral it follows that there is a nonempty set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  belonging to  $T_e$  such that  $E \supset B$  and

$$\left| \frac{\int_{A \cap E} f}{\mu(A \cap E)} - f(t, y) \right| < \eta.$$

This completes the proof.

Let  $Z$  be a nonempty set of indices. We will say that functions  $h_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \in Z$ , are *strongly* (resp. *ordinarily*) *integrally equiquasicontinuous at a point*  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  if for each set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  containing  $v$  and belonging to  $T_{s,d}$  (resp. to  $T_{o,d}$ ) and for each  $\eta > 0$  there is a set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  belonging to  $T_e$  and such that  $\emptyset \neq V \cap G$  and

$$\left| \frac{\int_{V \cap G} f_\alpha}{\mu(G \cap V)} - f_\alpha(v) \right| < \eta \quad \text{for } \alpha \in Z.$$

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally bounded measurable function such that*

- (i) *for each  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there is a set  $A(u, v) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  belonging to  $T_{s,d}$  and containing  $u$  for which the sections  $f_t$ ,  $t \in A(u, v)$ , are strongly integrally equiquasicontinuous at  $v$ .*

*If  $f^y \in Q_s$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ , then  $f$  satisfies the following condition:*

- (a) *for each  $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , each  $\eta > 0$  and all  $U \in T_{s,d}$  with  $t \in U$  and  $V \in T_{s,d}$  with  $y \in V$  there are  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  belonging to  $T_e$  and such that  $\emptyset \neq U \cap Z$ ,  $\emptyset \neq V \cap Y$  and*

$$\left| \frac{\int_{(U \times V) \cap (Z \times Y)} f}{\mu((U \times V) \cap (Z \times Y))} - f(t, y) \right| < \eta.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and  $U, V \in T_{s,d}$  such that  $(t, y) \in U \times V$ . Since  $f^y \in Q_s$  and  $t \in U \cap A(t, y) \in T_{s,d}$ , there is a bounded set  $W \in T_e$  such that  $K = W \cap U \cap A(t, y) \neq \emptyset$  and

$$\left| \frac{\int_K f^y}{\mu(K)} - f(t, y) \right| < \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

By our hypothesis (i) there is a set  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  belonging to  $T_e$  and such that  $V \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  and

$$\left| \frac{\int_{V \cap Y} f_u}{\mu(Y \cap V)} - f(u, y) \right| < \frac{\eta}{2} \quad \text{for } u \in K.$$

Let  $H = K \times (Y \cap V)$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\int_H f(u, v) \, du \, dv}{\mu(H)} - f(t, y) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{\int_K (\int_{Y \cap V} f(u, v) \, dv) \, du}{\mu(H)} - \frac{\int_K f(u, y) \mu(V \cap Y) \, du}{\mu(H)} \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \frac{\int_K f(u, y) \mu(V \cap Y) \, du}{\mu(H)} - f(t, y) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\int_K \left| \frac{\int_{Y \cap V} f(u, v) \, dv}{\mu(Y \cap V)} - f(u, y) \right| \, du}{\mu(K)} + \left| \frac{\int_K f(u, y) \, du}{\mu(K)} - f(t, y) \right| \\ & < \frac{\eta}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} = \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f$  is locally bounded and measurable, from the absolute continuity of the Lebesgue integral it follows that there is a bounded set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  containing  $K$ , belonging to  $T_e$  and such that for  $M = X \times (Y \cap V)$  we have

$$\left| \frac{\int_M f(u, y) \, du \, dv}{\mu(M)} - f(t, y) \right| < \eta.$$

So the proof is finished.

In the same way we can prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally bounded measurable function such that*

- (ii) *for each  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there is a set  $A(u, v) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  belonging to  $T_{o,d}$  and containing  $u$  for which the sections  $f_t, t \in A(u, v)$ , are ordinarily integrally equiquasicontinuous at  $v$ .*

*If  $f^y \in Q_o$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ , then  $f$  satisfies the following condition:*

- (b) *for each  $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , each  $\eta > 0$  and all  $U \in T_{o,d}$  with  $t \in U$  and  $V \in T_{o,d}$  with  $y \in V$  there are  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  belonging to  $T_e$  and such that  $\emptyset \neq U \cap Z, \emptyset \neq V \cap Y$  and*

$$\left| \frac{\int_{(U \times V) \cap (Z \times Y)} f}{\mu((U \times V) \cap (Z \times Y))} - f(t, y) \right| < \eta.$$

**PROBLEM.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally bounded function satisfying condition (i) of Theorem 4 (resp. condition (ii) of Theorem 5) and having measurable sections  $f^y$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ . Is  $f$  measurable?

Let  $Z$  be a nonempty set of indices. We will say that functions  $h_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in Z$ , are *integrally equiquasicontinuous at a point  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$*  if for each set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$  containing  $y$  and belonging to  $T_e$  and for each  $\eta > 0$

there is a nonempty set  $V \subset U$  belonging to  $T_e$  such that

$$\left| \frac{\int_V f_\alpha}{\mu(V)} - f_\alpha(y) \right| < \eta \quad \text{for } \alpha \in Z.$$

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded measurable function such that  $f^v \in Q_i$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ , and for each  $(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there is a set  $A(t, y) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$  containing  $t$  and belonging to  $T_e$  such that the sections  $f_u$ ,  $u \in A(t, y)$ , are integrally equiquasicontinuous at  $y$ . Then  $f \in Q_i$ .*

The proof of Theorem 6 is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 4.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of Real Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 659, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] C. Goffman, C. Neugebauer and T. Nishiura, *Density topology and approximate continuity*, Duke Math. J. 28 (1961), 497–506.
- [3] Z. Grande, T. Natkaniec and E. Strońska, *Algebraic structures generated by  $d$ -quasi-continuous functions*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 35 (1987), 717–723.
- [4] Z. Grande and E. Strońska, *On the integral quasicontinuity*, J. Appl. Anal., submitted.
- [5] S. Kempisty, *Sur les fonctions quasicontinues*, Fund. Math. 19 (1932), 184–197.
- [6] T. Neubrunn, *Quasi-continuity*, Real Anal. Exchange 14 (1988–89), 259–306.
- [7] S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral*, Warszawa, 1937.
- [8] W. Sierpiński, *Sur un problème concernant les ensembles mesurables superficellement*, Fund. Math. 1 (1920), 112–115.
- [9] F. D. Tall, *The density topology*, Pacific J. Math. 62 (1976), 275–284.

Institute of Mathematics  
Kazimierz Wielki University  
Plac Weyssenhoffa 11  
85-072 Bydgoszcz, Poland  
E-mail: grande@ukw.edu.pl

*Received 30 March 2005;  
revised 19 December 2005*

(4583)