

*CB-DEGENERATIONS AND RIGID DEGENERATIONS
OF ALGEBRAS*

BY

ADAM HAJDUK (Toruń)

Abstract. The main aim of this note is to prove that if k is an algebraically closed field and a k -algebra A_0 is a CB-degeneration of a finite-dimensional k -algebra A_1 , then there exists a factor algebra \bar{A}_0 of A_0 of the same dimension as A_1 such that \bar{A}_0 is a CB-degeneration of A_1 . As a consequence, \bar{A}_0 is a rigid degeneration of A_1 , provided A_0 is basic.

Introduction. There are at least three different concepts of geometric degenerations for k -algebras: degenerations in the classical sense referring to the geometry of orbits in a variety of algebras (the idea goes back to nineteenth century algebraists, see [8]), the so-called rigid degenerations using the notion of degeneration of (ordered) locally bounded categories (see [5]), and the CB-degenerations introduced by Crawley-Boevey in [2] (see [3] for the precise definitions). All these three concepts are useful for deciding in some specific situations whether a fixed algebra is tame. This method is based on three “degeneration theorems” (see [5, 6, 2]), each of which states that, if a finite-dimensional tame k -algebra A_0 is a degeneration of a fixed algebra A_1 , then A_1 is also tame. For classical and rigid degenerations this was proved by Geiss, who uses ordered locally bounded categories, avoiding the so-called Gabriel lemma whose proof is rather involved and requires at least the use of projective geometry (see [7] and also [4, 10]). The result of Crawley-Boevey appeared a little later and is mainly applied in the study of biserial algebras.

In the last fifteen years the degeneration technique has found many interesting applications; in particular, it was successfully used in solving several important classification problems for tame algebras. Also certain natural theoretical questions concerning degenerations have been considered. In [3] some interrelations between the three notions of degeneration are studied. It is shown there that a basic algebra A_0 is a CB-degeneration of a (basic) algebra A_1 of the same dimension as A_0 over a field k if and only if A_0 is a rigid degeneration of A_1 ([3, Theorem 5.1]). Moreover, a reduction of CB-

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16G60, 14A10.

Key words and phrases: algebra, degeneration, algebraic variety.

degeneration problems for nonbasic algebras to those for their basic representatives in Morita equivalence classes is discussed. Finally, it is proved that for every CB-degeneration of an algebra A_1 to A_0 , obtained along an affine line, there exists a factor algebra \overline{A}_0 of A_0 such that $\dim_k \overline{A}_0 = \dim_k A_1$ and \overline{A}_0 is also a CB-degeneration of A_1 . As a consequence, \overline{A}_0 is also a rigid degeneration of A_1 , provided A_0 is basic ([3, Theorem 6.1]).

The aim of this note is to prove a generalization of this result to the case of all CB-degenerations, without any restriction on the variety involved (see Theorem of Section 2). Consequently, the theoretical scope of [2, Theorem B] is exactly the same as that of the original version of the Geiss theorem from [5].

1. Preliminaries. Throughout the paper, we use the well known definitions (see [2, 6]) and notation introduced in [3]. We now briefly recall the most important of them.

Throughout the paper k denotes an algebraically closed field. By an *algebra* we mean a finite-dimensional k -algebra.

For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $M_{m \times n}(k)$ the set of all $m \times n$ -matrices with coefficients in k , by $M_n(k)$ the algebra $M_{n \times n}(k)$ of square $n \times n$ -matrices and by $\text{Gl}_n(k)$ the group of invertible matrices in $M_n(k)$. For a fixed dimension vector $d \in \mathbb{N}^{n^2}$, we set

$$H_d(k) = \prod_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \text{Gl}_{d_{i,j}}(k).$$

Following [2], we introduce a useful definition (see [2, Theorem B]).

DEFINITION. Given two algebras A_0 and A_1 , the algebra A_0 is a *CB-degeneration* of A_1 if there exists a finite-dimensional algebra A , an irreducible variety X and regular maps $f_1, \dots, f_r : X \rightarrow A$ such that $A_1 \cong A_x$ for all x in some nonempty open subset U of X , and $A_0 \cong A_{x_0}$ for some $x_0 \in X$, where $A_y = A/(f_1(y), \dots, f_r(y))$ for any $y \in X$.

In the situation as above, the data sequence $\mathcal{D} = (A, X, \mathcal{F}, U, x_0)$ is called a *degenerating collection* defining a CB-degeneration of A_1 to A_0 along X by use of A , where $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$.

The concept of rigid degenerations is based on the notion of degeneration for finite locally bounded categories R with a fixed linearly ordered set (x_1, \dots, x_n) of objects and with the dimension vector $d \in \mathbb{N}^{n^2}$, $n \geq 1$, where $d_{i,j} = \dim_k \mathcal{J}_R(x_i, x_j)$ for all i, j and \mathcal{J}_R is the Jacobson radical of R .

Given d as above, we consider a group action

$$\cdot : H_d(k) \times \text{lbc}_d(k) \rightarrow \text{lbc}_d(k),$$

where $\text{lbc}_d(k)$ is the affine variety of constant structures for locally bounded k -categories with a fixed object set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and dimension vector d (see [3]).

Suppose we are now given two basic k -algebras A_0 and A_1 of the same dimension. We say that A_0 is a *rigid degeneration* of A_1 if there exist complete sequences $e^{(0)} = (e_1^0, \dots, e_n^0)$ and $e^{(1)} = (e_1^1, \dots, e_n^1)$ of primitive pairwise orthogonal idempotents in A_0 and A_1 , respectively, such that

$$\dim_k(e_i^0 A_0 e_j^0) = \dim_k(e_i^1 A_1 e_j^1)$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and that for any constant structures $c^{(0)}, c^{(1)} \in \text{lbc}_d(k)$ of finite locally bounded k -categories $R_0 = R(A_0, e^{(0)})$ and $R_1 = R(A_1, e^{(1)})$ respectively, we have the inclusion

$$H_d \cdot c^{(0)} \subset \overline{H_d \cdot c^{(1)}}.$$

Here we treat R_0 and R_1 via the correspondence $e_i^0 \leftrightarrow i \leftrightarrow e_i^1$, as categories with the object set $\{1, \dots, n\}$; see [3] for more details.

2. Main theorem. Now we are able to formulate the main result of the paper, generalizing [3, Theorem 6.1].

THEOREM. *Let A_0 and A_1 be finite-dimensional k -algebras. Assume that A_0 is a CB-degeneration of A_1 (with respect to a finite-dimensional algebra A). Then A_1 admits a CB-degeneration (with respect to A) to some factor algebra \bar{A}_0 of A_0 such that $\dim_k \bar{A}_0 = \dim_k A_1$. In particular, if A_0 is basic, then A_1 admits a rigid degeneration to the same \bar{A}_0 .*

For the proof we need some auxiliary facts.

LEMMA. *Let X be an irreducible affine k -variety, $X' \subseteq X$ a nonempty open subset, and $x_0 \in X \setminus X'$. Then there exists an irreducible closed curve $\Gamma \subseteq X$ such that $x_0 \in \Gamma$ and $X' \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\dim X$. If $\dim X = 1$, then obviously $\Gamma = X$. Suppose that $\dim X > 1$ and the lemma is proved for all varieties of dimension less than $\dim X$. We can assume that $X \subseteq \mathring{A}^n(k)$ is a closed set (in the Zariski topology). Let $X \setminus X' = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_s$ be a decomposition of $X \setminus X'$ into irreducible components, and $x_1 \in X_1, \dots, x_s \in X_s$ a fixed selection of elements. Choose a polynomial $F \in k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ such that $F(x_0) = 0$ and $F(x_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then the set $V = X \cap V(F)$ contains no X_i for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let Z be an irreducible component of V passing through x_0 . Then Z contains no X_i since $Z \subseteq V$. By [9, Theorem 3.3] we have $\dim X_i \leq \dim(X \setminus X') \leq \dim X - 1 = \dim Z$. Thus no X_i contains Z , since otherwise $\dim X_i = \dim Z$ and by [9, Proposition 3.2] we get $X_i = Z$, a contradiction. Therefore the open subset $Z' = Z \cap X'$ of Z is nonempty, and by definition of Z the point x_0 belongs to Z . By inductive assumption

($\dim Z = \dim X - 1$) there exists an irreducible affine curve $\Gamma \subseteq Z$ such that $x_0 \in \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \cap Z' \neq \emptyset$. Notice that $\Gamma \subseteq X$ is closed and $\Gamma \cap X' \neq \emptyset$, hence Γ is the required curve. ■

COROLLARY. *Every CB-degeneration A_0 of an algebra A_1 can be obtained along a nonsingular irreducible affine curve.*

Proof. Let A_0, A_1 be fixed finite-dimensional algebras and $\mathcal{D} = (A, X, \mathcal{F}, U, x_0)$ a collection defining a CB-degeneration from A_1 to A_0 , where $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ are regular maps from X to A . Changing X to a suitable principal open set containing x_0 , we can assume that X is an irreducible affine variety. By the Lemma there exists an irreducible curve $\Gamma \subseteq X$ such that $x_0 \in \Gamma$ and $\Gamma \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Then replacing \mathcal{F} by $\mathcal{F}|_\Gamma = \{f_1|_\Gamma, \dots, f_s|_\Gamma\}$ and U by $U|_\Gamma = U \cap \Gamma$ we can assume that X is an irreducible affine curve.

Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a normalization of X (see [11]). It is known that \tilde{X} is a nonsingular curve, since $\dim Y - \dim \text{Sing } Y \geq 2$ for any normal variety Y , where $\text{Sing } Y$ denotes the set of singular points of Y . We now define a collection $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = (A, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{U}, \tilde{x}_0)$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{f_1 \circ p, \dots, f_s \circ p\}$, $\tilde{U} = p^{-1}(U)$, \tilde{x}_0 is a fixed point in $p^{-1}(x_0)$. It is easily seen that $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ defines a CB-degeneration from A_1 to A_0 . ■

Now we can prove the main result of this note.

Proof of Theorem. We carry out the proof by induction on $n = \dim_k A_0 - \dim_k A_1$. If $n = 0$ then we simply get $\bar{A}_0 = A_0$. Assume that $n > 0$ and let $\mathcal{D} = (A, X, \mathcal{F}, U, x_0)$ be a collection defining a CB-degeneration from A_1 to A_0 , where as usual $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$. Denote by $v_1, \dots, v_m \in A$ a basis of A , where $m = \dim_k A$. By the Corollary we can assume that X is an irreducible nonsingular curve. Without loss of generality we can also assume that $I_x = \langle f_1(x), \dots, f_s(x) \rangle$ for all $x \in X$. For any $i = 1, \dots, s$ we denote by $\{f_i^j\}_{j=1, \dots, m}$ the family of regular functions on X such that $f_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m f_i^j(x)v_j$ for $x \in X$. We set

$$f(x) = [f_i^j(x)]$$

for any $x \in X$ ($[f_i^j(x)] \in M_{s \times m}(k)$) and $r = r(f(x_0))$. Note that $r < r(f(x))$ for all $x \in U$, since $r = \dim_k I_{x_0}$ and $r(f(x)) = \dim_k I_x$. By the definition of r there exists a nonzero $r \times r$ -minor of the matrix $f(x_0)$. We can assume that it is the determinant of the upper-left $r \times r$ -submatrix of $f(x_0)$. Let $h : X \rightarrow k$ be the regular function defined by $x \mapsto \det(f(x)_r)$ for $x \in X$, where $f(x)_r = [f_i^j(x)]_{i,j=1, \dots, r} \in M_r(k)$. Clearly $h(x_0) \neq 0$. Now we use the identification

$$M_{s \times m}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} M_{r \times r}(k) & M_{r \times (m-r)}(k) \\ M_{(s-r) \times r}(k) & M_{(s-r) \times (m-r)}(k) \end{bmatrix}$$

($m, s > r$, since $r(f(x)) > r$ for any $x \in U$). By applying two-step Gaussian-

row elimination, we transform $f(x)$ to a matrix $\bar{f}(x) = [\bar{f}_i^j(x)] \in M_{s \times m}(k)$, for $x \in X$ such that $h(x) \neq 0$, as follows:

$$f(x) \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{id}_r & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{id}_r & * \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} (= \bar{f}(x)),$$

where the first transformation corresponds to multiplication of $f(x)$ from the left by the block diagonal matrix $\begin{bmatrix} (f(x)_r)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id}_{s-r} \end{bmatrix}$. In this way all functions $\bar{f}_i^j(x)$ are rational and belong to the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{x_0}(X)$, since $h(x_0) \neq 0$ and therefore $1/h \in \mathcal{O}_{x_0}(X)$. We regard here $\mathcal{O}_{x_0}(X)$ as a subring of $k(X)$ (X is irreducible). Moreover, observe that $\bar{f}_i^j = 0$ for all $r < i \leq s$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, and $\bar{f}_i^j(x_0) = 0$ for all $r < i \leq s$, $r < j \leq m$.

Now, since $\dim_k I_x > \dim_k I_{x_0}$ for $x \in U$, and $I_x = \langle \bar{f}_1(x), \dots, \bar{f}_s(x) \rangle$ for $x \in X$ such that $h(x) \neq 0$, we infer that, for all $x \in U$ such that $h(x) \neq 0$, there exists a pair (i, j) with $r < i \leq s$, $r < j \leq m$ such that $\bar{f}_i^j(x) \neq 0$. Consequently, all functions \bar{f}_i^j , $r < i \leq s$, $r < j \leq m$, belong to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{x_0}(X)$ and not all of them are zero. By the Auslander–Buchsbaum theorem (see [1]), $\mathcal{O}_{x_0}(X)$ is a unique factorization domain, hence $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}(X)$ is a principal ideal generated by some $g \in \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}(X)$, since $\text{Krull. dim } \mathcal{O}_{x_0}(X) = 1$.

Note that $p = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N}; g^k \mid \bar{f}_i^j, r < i \leq s, r < j \leq m\}$ is finite. We get now equations $\bar{f}_i^j = g^p \cdot \tilde{f}_i^j$, $r < i \leq s$, $r < j \leq m$, in $\mathcal{O}_{x_0}(X)$, for some rational functions $\tilde{f}_i^j \in \mathcal{O}_{x_0}(X)$. By definition of p , not all \tilde{f}_i^j belong to $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}(X)$. We can assume there exists $r < j \leq m$ such that $\tilde{f}_{r+1}^j \notin \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}(X)$. We now define a regular map $\tilde{f}_{s+1} : X' \rightarrow A$ by $\tilde{f}_{s+1}(x) = \sum_{j=r+1}^m \tilde{f}_{r+1}^j(x)v_j$ for $x \in X'$, where X' is an open set (a neighbourhood of x_0) obtained as the intersection of the domains of all rational functions \tilde{f}_{r+1}^j , $r < j \leq m$. Observe that \tilde{f}_{s+1} is a regular function on X' and that $\tilde{f}_{s+1}(x_0) \notin I_{x_0}$, since $\tilde{f}_{r+1}^j \notin \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}(X)$ for some $r < j \leq m$, and $\tilde{f}_{s+1}(x) \in \text{Span}\{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_m\}$ for $x \in X'$.

We set $\tilde{f}_i = f_i|_{X'}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$, thus obtaining a collection $\mathcal{D}' = (A, X', \mathcal{F}', U', x_0)$ defining a CB-degeneration from A_1 to some factor algebra \bar{A}_0 of A_0 such that $\dim_k \bar{A}_0 < \dim_k A_0$, where $\mathcal{F}' = \{\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_s, \tilde{f}_{s+1}\}$ and $U' = U \cap X'$. By the inductive assumption ($\dim_k \bar{A}_0 - \dim_k A_1 < n$), A_1 admits a CB-degeneration to a factor algebra $\bar{\bar{A}}_0$ of \bar{A}_0 such that $\dim_k \bar{\bar{A}}_0 = \dim_k A_1$. But $\bar{\bar{A}}_0$ is also a factor algebra of A_0 . This completes the proof of the first assertion.

The second assertion follows immediately from [3, Theorem 5.1], since \bar{A}_0 is a basic algebra, and consequently so is A_1 (see [3, Corollary 4.1]), provided A_0 is basic. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Balcerzyk and T. Józefiak, *Commutative Rings*, Horwood, Chichester, 1989.
- [2] W. W. Crawley-Boevey, *Tameness of biserial algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 65 (1995), 399–407.
- [3] P. Dowbor and A. Hajduk, *A comparison of various types of degenerations for algebras*, preprint, 2005, 30 pp.
- [4] P. Gabriel, *Finite representation type is open*, in: Representations of Algebras, Lecture Notes in Math. 488, Springer, Berlin, 1975, 132–155.
- [5] Ch. Geiss, *On degenerations of tame and wild algebras*, preprint, UNAM, 1992.
- [6] —, *On degenerations of tame and wild algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 64 (1995), 11–16.
- [7] A. Hajduk, *A new proof of the Gabriel Lemma*, preprint, 2005, 4 pp.
- [8] D. Happel, *Klassifikationstheorie endlich-dimensionaler Algebren in der Zeit von 1880 bis 1920*, Enseign. Math. 26 (1980), 91–102.
- [9] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Springer, New York, 1975.
- [10] H. Kraft, *Geometric methods in representation theory*, in: Representations of Algebras (Puebla, 1980), Lecture Notes in Math. 944, Springer, Berlin, 1982, 180–258.
- [11] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Nicolaus Copernicus University
Chopina 12/18
87-100 Toruń, Poland
E-mail: ahajduk@mat.uni.torun.pl

Received 22 February 2006

(4726)