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#### Abstract

In his 1930 paper, Kuratowski proves that a finite graph $\Gamma$ is planar if and only if it does not contain a subgraph that is homeomorphic to $K_{5}$, the complete graph on five vertices, or $K_{3,3}$, the complete bipartite graph on six vertices. This result is also attributed to Pontryagin. In this paper we present an $\ell^{2}$-homological method for detecting non-planar graphs. More specifically, we view a graph $\Gamma$ as the nerve of a related Coxeter system and construct the associated Davis complex, $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$. We then use a result of the author regarding the (reduced) $\ell^{2}$-homology of Coxeter groups to prove that if $\Gamma$ is planar, then the orbihedral Euler characteristic of $\Sigma_{\Gamma} / W_{\Gamma}$ is non-positive. This method not only implies as subcases the classical inequalities relating the number of vertices $V$ and edges $E$ of a planar graph (that is, $E \leq 3 V-6$ or $E \leq 2 V-4$ for triangle-free graphs), but it is stronger in that it detects non-planar graphs in instances the classical inequalities do not.


1. Introduction. Let $S$ be a finite set of generators. A Coxeter matrix on $S$ is a symmetric $S \times S$ matrix $M=\left(m_{s t}\right)$ with entries in $\mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ such that each diagonal entry is 1 and each off-diagonal entry is $\geq 2$. The matrix $M$ gives a presentation of an associated Coxeter group $W$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.W=\langle S|(s t)^{m_{s t}}=1 \text { for each pair }(s, t) \text { with } m_{s t} \neq \infty\right\rangle \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pair $(W, S)$ is called a Coxeter system. Denote by $L$ the nerve of $(W, S)$. It is a simplicial complex with vertex set $S$; the precise definition will be given in Section 2. In several papers (e.g., 11, 2], and [3), M. Davis describes a construction which associates to any Coxeter system ( $W, S$ ) a simplicial complex $\Sigma(W, S)$, or simply $\Sigma$ when the Coxeter system is clear, on which $W$ acts properly and cocompactly. The two salient features of $\Sigma$ are that (1) it is contractible, and (2) it admits a cellulation under which the nerve of each vertex is $L$. It follows that if $L$ is a triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then $\Sigma$ is an aspherical $n$-manifold. Hence, there is a variation of Singer's Conjecture, originally regarding the (reduced) $\ell^{2}$-homology of aspherical manifolds, for such Coxeter groups.

Conjecture 1.1 (Singer's Conjecture for Coxeter groups). Let ( $W, S$ ) be a Coxeter group such that its nerve, $L$, is a triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(\Sigma_{L}\right)=0$ for all $i \neq n / 2$.

For details on $\ell^{2}$-homology theory, see 3], 4] and [5. Conjecture 1.1 holds for elementary reasons in dimensions 1 and 2. In [8], Lott and Lück prove that the general statement of Singer's Conjecture holds for those aspherical 3 -manifolds for which Thurston's Geometrization Conjecture is true. (Hence, by Perelman, for all aspherical 3-manifolds.) Thurston proved in [11] that the Geometrization Conjecture holds for Haken 3-manifolds; and in [4], Davis and Okun show that when $(W, S)$ is right-angled (this means that generators either commute, or have no relation), Davis' construction yields examples of Haken 3-manifolds. Thus, they show that Thurston's Geometrization Conjecture holds for closed aspherical 3-manifolds arising as quotient spaces of right-angled Davis complexes. Also in [4], Davis and Okun prove that if Conjecture 1.1 holds for right-angled Coxeter systems in some odd dimension $n$, then it also holds in dimension $n+1$. They prove directly that Conjecture 1.1 holds for right-angled systems in dimension 3, and thus by the previous statement, also in dimension 4. In [10], the author proves that Conjecture 1.1 holds for arbitrary Coxeter systems with nerve $\mathbb{S}^{2}$, and in 9], that Conjecture 1.1 holds for $n=4$ if $(W, S)$ is even (that is, for $s \neq t, m_{s t}$ is either even or infinite) and if the nerve is a flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{3}$.

Much of [4] is devoted to variations of Conjecture 1.1, including the following generalization.

Lemma 1.2 ([4, Lemma 9.2.3]). Suppose ( $W, S$ ) is a right-angled Coxeter system with nerve $L$, a flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. Let $A$ be a full subcomplex of $L$. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(W \Sigma_{A}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } i>1
$$

Here, $\Sigma_{A}$ is the Davis complex associated to the Coxeter system $\left(W_{A}, A^{0}\right)$, where $W_{A}$ is the subgroup of $W$ generated by vertices in $A$, with nerve $A$. It is a subcomplex of $\Sigma$. Finally, $W \Sigma_{A}$ is the collection of all the $W$-translates of $\Sigma_{A}$ in $\Sigma$.

Lemma 1.2 is the key to what Davis and Okun call "a complicated proof of the classical fact that $K_{3,3}$ is not planar," where $K_{3,3}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph on six vertices (See Section 11.4.1 of [4). We outline that argument in Section 3. The key observation there is that $K_{3,3}$ can correspond to the nerve of a right-angled Coxeter system. We generalize this to non-right-angled systems by labeling the edges of a graph $\Gamma$ with integers $\geq 2$ in such a way that (the labeled) $\Gamma$ defines, and is the nerve of, a Coxeter system with generators corresponding to the vertices of $\Gamma$. We call such a labeling metric flag. (See Sections 2 and 4) The purpose of this paper is to use $\ell^{2}$-homological methods to prove the following classification of planar graphs.

Corollary 1.3 (see Corollary 4.8). Let $\Gamma$ be a simple, connected graph, with $V>2$ vertices. If $\Gamma$ admits a metric flag labeling where $n_{e}$ (an inte-
ger $\geq 2$ ) is the label on the edge $e$ with

$$
1-\frac{V}{2}+\left(\sum_{\text {edges } e} \frac{1}{n_{e}}\right) \frac{1}{2}>0
$$

then $\Gamma$ is not planar.
The key step for us is proving a result analogous to Lemma 1.2 , but for subcomplexes of arbitrary Coxeter systems.

Main Theorem (see Theorem 4.5). Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system with nerve $L$, a triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. Let $A$ be a full subcomplex of $L$ with right-angled complement. Then

$$
\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(W \Sigma_{A}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } i>1
$$

The subcomplex $A$ having a "right-angled complement" means that for generators $s$ and $t$, the Coxeter relation $m_{s t} \neq 2$ nor $\infty$ implies that the vertices corresponding to $s$ and $t$ are both in $A$.
2. The Davis complex. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system. Given a subset $U$ of $S$, define $W_{U}$ to be the subgroup of $W$ generated by the elements of $U$. A subset $T$ of $S$ is spherical if $W_{T}$ is a finite subgroup of $W$. In this case, we will also say that the subgroup $W_{T}$ is spherical. Denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the poset of spherical subsets of $S$, partially ordered by inclusion. Given a subset $V$ of $S$, let $\mathcal{S}_{\geq V}:=\{T \in \mathcal{S} \mid V \subseteq T\}$. Similar definitions exist for $<,>, \leq$. For any $w \in W$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}$, we call the coset $w W_{T}$ a spherical coset. We will denote by $W \mathcal{S}$ the poset of all spherical cosets.

Let $K=|\mathcal{S}|$, the geometric realization of the poset $\mathcal{S}$. It is a finite simplicial complex. Denote by $\Sigma(W, S)$, or simply $\Sigma$ when the system is clear, the geometric realization of the poset $W \mathcal{S}$. This is the Davis complex. The natural action of $W$ on $W \mathcal{S}$ induces a simplicial action of $W$ on $\Sigma$ which is proper and cocompact. Observe that $K$ includes naturally into $\Sigma$ via the map induced by $T \rightarrow W_{T}$. So we view $K$ as a subcomplex of $\Sigma$, and note that $K$ is a strict fundamental domain for the action of $W$ on $\Sigma$.

The poset $\mathcal{S}_{>\emptyset}$ is an abstract simplicial complex. This simply means that if $T \in \mathcal{S}_{>\emptyset}$ and $T^{\prime}$ is a non-empty subset of $T$, then $T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{>\emptyset}$. Denote this simplicial complex by $L$ and call it the nerve of $(W, S)$. The vertex set of $L$ is $S$ and a non-empty subset of vertices $T$ spans a simplex of $L$ if and only if $T$ is spherical.

Define a labeling on the edges of $L$ by the map $m:$ Edge $(L) \rightarrow\{2,3, \ldots\}$, where $\{s, t\} \mapsto m_{s t}$. This labeling accomplishes two things: (1) the Coxeter system ( $W, S$ ) can be recovered (up to isomorphism) from $L$, and (2) the 1-skeleton of $L$ inherits a natural piecewise spherical structure in which the edge $\{s, t\}$ has length $\pi-\pi / m_{s t}$. The complex $L$ is then a metric flag sim-
plicial complex (see [2, Definition I.7.1]). This means that any finite set of vertices, which are pairwise connected by edges, spans a simplex of $L$ if and only if it is possible to find some spherical simplex with the given edge lengths. In other words, $L$ is "metrically determined by its 1 -skeleton".

Recall that a simplicial complex $L$ is flag if every non-empty, finite set of vertices that are pairwise connected by edges spans a simplex of $L$. Thus, it is clear that any flag simplicial complex can correspond to the nerve of a right-angled Coxeter system. For the purpose of this paper, we will say that labeled (with integers $\geq 2$ ) simplicial complexes are metric flag if they correspond to the labeled nerve of some Coxeter system. We then treat vertices of metric flag simplicial complexes as generators of a corresponding Coxeter system. Moreover, for a metric flag simplicial complex $L$, we write $\Sigma_{L}$ to denote the associated Davis complex.

A cellulation of $\Sigma$ by Coxeter cells. The complex $\Sigma$ has a coarser cell structure: its cellulation by "Coxeter cells". (References include [2] and [4].) The features of the Coxeter cellulation are summarized by [2, Proposition 7.3.4]. We point out that under this cellulation the link of each vertex is $L$. It follows that if $L$ is a triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then $\Sigma$ is a topological $n$-manifold.

Full subcomplexes. Suppose $A$ is a full subcomplex of $L$. Then $A$ is the nerve for the subgroup generated by the vertex set of $A$. We will denote this subgroup by $W_{A}$. (This notation is natural since the vertex set of $A$ corresponds to a subset of the generating set $S$.) Let $\mathcal{S}_{A}$ denote the poset of the spherical subsets of $W_{A}$ and let $\Sigma_{A}$ denote the Davis complex associated to ( $W_{A}, A^{0}$ ), with fundamental domain $K_{A}$. The inclusion $W_{A} \hookrightarrow W_{L}$ induces an inclusion of posets $W_{A} \mathcal{S}_{A} \hookrightarrow W_{L} \mathcal{S}_{L}$ and thus an inclusion of $\Sigma_{A}$ as a subcomplex of $\Sigma_{L}$. Note that $W_{A}$ acts on $\Sigma_{A}$ and that if $w \in W_{L}-W_{A}$, then $\Sigma_{A}$ and $w \Sigma_{A}$ are disjoint copies of $\Sigma_{A}$ in $\Sigma_{L}$. Denote by $W_{L} \Sigma_{A}$ the union of all translates of $\Sigma_{A}$ in $\Sigma_{L}$.
3. Previous results in $\ell^{2}$-homology. Let $L$ be a metric flag simplicial complex, and let $A$ be a full subcomplex of $L$. The following notation will be used throughout.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{h}_{i}(L) & :=\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(\Sigma_{L}\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
\mathfrak{h}_{i}(A) & :=\mathcal{H}_{i}\left(W_{L} \Sigma_{A}\right),  \tag{3.2}\\
\beta_{i}(A) & :=\operatorname{dim}_{W_{L}}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{i}(A)\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\operatorname{dim}_{W_{L}}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{i}(A)\right)$ is the von Neumann dimension of the Hilbert $W_{L}$-module $W_{L} \Sigma_{A}$ and $\beta_{i}(A)$ is the $i$ th $\ell^{2}$-Betti number of $W_{L} \Sigma_{A}$. The notation in (3.2) and (3.3) will not lead to confusion since $\operatorname{dim}_{W_{L}}\left(W_{L} \Sigma_{A}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{W_{A}}\left(\Sigma_{A}\right)$. (see 4] and 5).

0-dimensional homology. Let $\Sigma_{A}$ be the Davis complex constructed from a Coxeter system with nerve $A$, so $W_{A}$ acts geometrically on $\Sigma_{A}$. The reduced $\ell^{2}$-homology groups of $\Sigma_{A}$ can be identified with the subspace of harmonic $i$-cycles (see [5] or [4]). That is, $x \in \mathfrak{h}_{i}(A)$ is an $i$-cycle and $i$ cocycle. 0-dimensional cocycles of $\Sigma_{A}$ must be constant on all vertices of $\Sigma_{A}$. It follows that if $W_{A}$ is infinite, and therefore the 0 -skeleton of $\Sigma_{A}$ is infinite, then $\beta_{0}(A)=0$.

Singer's Conjecture in dimensions 1 and 2. As mentioned in Section 1. Conjecture 1.1 is true in dimensions 1 and 2 . Indeed, let $L$ be $\mathbb{S}^{0}$ or $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, the nerve of a Coxeter system $(W, S)$. Then $W$ is infinite and so, as stated above, $\beta_{0}(L)=0$. Poincaré duality then implies that the top-dimensional $\ell^{2}$-Betti numbers are also 0 .

Orbihedral Euler characteristic. Since $\Sigma_{L}$ is a geometric $W$-complex, there are only a finite number of $W$-orbits of cells in $\Sigma_{L}$, and the order of each cell stabilizer is finite. The orbihedral Euler characteristic of $\Sigma_{L} / W$, denoted $\chi^{\text {orb }}\left(\Sigma_{L} / W\right)$, is the rational number defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}\left(\Sigma_{L} / W\right)=\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(K)=\sum_{\sigma} \frac{(-1)^{\operatorname{dim} \sigma}}{\left|W_{\sigma}\right|} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is over the simplices of $K$, and $\left|W_{\sigma}\right|$ denotes the order of the stabilizer of $\Sigma$ in $W$. Then, if the dimension of $L$ is $n-1$, a standard argument (see [5]) proves Atiyah's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}(K)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} \beta_{i}(L) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Joins. If $L=L_{1} * L_{2}$, the join of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, where each edge connecting a vertex of $L_{1}$ with a vertex of $L_{2}$ is labeled 2 , we write $L=L *_{2} L_{2}$ and then $W_{L}=W_{L_{1}} \times W_{L_{2}}$ and $\Sigma_{L}=\Sigma_{L_{1}} \times \Sigma_{L_{2}}$. We may then use the Künneth formula to calculate the (reduced) $\ell^{2}$-homology of $\Sigma_{L}$, and the following equation from [4, Lemma 7.2.4] extends to our situation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}\left(L_{1} *_{2} L_{2}\right)=\sum_{i+j=k} \beta_{i}\left(L_{1}\right) \beta_{j}\left(L_{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L=P *_{2} L_{2}$, where $P$ is one point, then we call $L$ a right-angled cone. Since $\Sigma_{P}=[-1,1]$, there are no 1 -cycles in $\Sigma_{P}$ and $\beta_{1}(P)=0$. But $\chi^{\operatorname{orb}}\left(\Sigma_{P} / W_{P}\right)=1 / 2$. So by equation (3.5), $\beta_{0}(P)=1 / 2$. Thus, in reference to the right-angled cone $L$, equation (3.6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i}(L)=\overline{\frac{1}{2} \beta_{i}}\left(L_{2}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kuratowski's $K_{3,3}$ graph. Along with Lemma 1.2 , the above gives us enough to prove that $K_{3,3}$ is not planar. Indeed, let $P_{3}$ denote 3 disjoint
points. Then $K_{3,3}=P_{3} *_{2} P_{3}$ is the nerve of a right-angled Coxeter system. If $K_{3,3}$ were a planar graph, it could be embedded as a full subcomplex of a flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$, where each edge is labeled 2 . That is, $K_{3,3}$ is a full-subcomplex of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$, the nerve of a right-angled Coxeter system. Since $W_{K_{3,3}}$ is infinite, $\beta_{0}\left(K_{3,3}\right)=0$, and equations (3.4) and 3.5 imply that $\beta_{1}\left(P_{3}\right)=1 / 2$. It then follows from (3.6) that $\beta_{2}\left(K_{3,3}\right)=1 / 4$. But this contradicts Lemma 1.2. For details on this proof see [4, Sections 8, 9 and 11].
4. Planar graphs and planar complexes. Now suppose $\Gamma$ is a simple, connected graph. The idea is similar to that above: We understand $\Gamma$ as the labeled nerve of some Coxeter system and, if possible, embed $\Gamma$ as a full subcomplex of a metric flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. The problem here is that if $\Gamma$ contains triangles, or 3 -cycles, then we must place restrictions on the labels of these edges in order for $\Gamma$ itself to be metric flag or for $\Gamma$ to embed as a full subcomplex of a metric flag simplicial complex. In particular, if $r$, $s$ and $t$ are vertices of a 3 -cycle, then, using the notation from Section 2 , we must have the corresponding edge labels satisfy

$$
\frac{1}{m_{r s}}+\frac{1}{m_{s t}}+\frac{1}{m_{r t}} \leq 1
$$

For then $\{r, s, t\}$ is not a spherical subset of generators and this set does not span a 2 -simplex in the nerve of the corresponding Coxeter system. Note that for a given graph, there are many labelings that result in the graph being a metric flag complex. So, our emphasis will not only be on a given graph, but also on a specific labeling of edges. Thus, we say a labeling of edges of a graph $\Gamma$ is metric flag if the labeled $\Gamma$ corresponds to the labeled nerve of a Coxeter system. We observe that if $\Gamma$ contains 3 -cycles, then $\Gamma$ cannot correspond to the labeled nerve of a right-angled Coxeter system. So, we require the following definition.

Definition 4.1. We say a full subcomplex $A$ of a metric flag simplicial complex $L$ has a right-angled complement if the label on all edges not in $A$ is 2 .

The following two lemmas will be used in the set-up and proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 4.2. Let $L$ be a metric flag simplicial complex, and $A \subseteq L$ a full subcomplex with a right-angled complement. Let $B$ be a full subcomplex of $L$ such that $A \subseteq B$ and let $v \in B-A$ be a vertex. Then $B_{v}$, the link of $v$ in $B$, is a full subcomplex of $L$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a subset of vertices contained in $B_{v}$ and the vertex set of a simplex $\sigma$ of $L$. Then $T$ defines a spherical subset of the corresponding

Coxeter system. Since the elements of $T$ are in $B_{v}, v$ commutes with each vertex of $T$. Thus $T \cup\{v\}$ is a spherical subset and therefore $\sigma$ is in $B_{v}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $L$ be a metric flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, and $A$ a full subcomplex of $L$. Then $\beta_{i}(A)=0$ for $i>1$.

Proof. Consider the long exact sequence of the pair $\left(\Sigma_{L}, W \Sigma_{A}\right)$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{2}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{2}(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{2}(L, A) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Since Conjecture 1.1 is true in dimension $2, \mathfrak{h}_{2}(L)=0$ and exactness implies the result.

For convenience, we restate the relevant result from [10] needed to prove our main result, Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.4 (see Corollary 4.4 of [10). Let $L$ be a metric flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{i}(L)=0 \quad \text { for all } i .
$$

Theorem 4.5. Let $L$ be a metric flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$, and $A \subseteq L a$ full subcomplex with right-angled complement. Then

$$
\beta_{i}(A)=0 \quad \text { for } i>1 .
$$

Proof. Let $B$ be a full subcomplex of $L$ such that $A \subseteq B \subseteq L$. We induct on the number of vertices of $L-B$, the case $L=B$ being given by Theorem 4.4. Assume $\mathfrak{h}_{i}(B)=0$ for $i>1$. Let $v$ be a vertex of $B-A$ and set $B^{\prime}=B-v$. Then $B=B^{\prime} \cup C_{2} B_{v}$ where $B_{v}$ (by Lemma 4.2) and $B^{\prime}$ are full subcomplexes. We have the following Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{i}\left(B_{v}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{i}\left(B^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{i}\left(C_{2} B_{v}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{i}(B) \rightarrow \cdots .
$$

Observe that $B_{v}$ is a full subcomplex of $L_{v}$, the link of $v$ in $L$, a metric flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. So Lemma 4.3 implies $\mathfrak{h}_{i}\left(B_{v}\right)=0$ for $i>1$. Thus, by (3.7), $\mathfrak{h}_{i}\left(C_{2} B_{v}\right)=0$ for $i>1$. It follows from exactness that $\mathfrak{h}_{i}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=0$.

Planar complexes. Consider a connected, metric flag complex $A$ of dimension $\leq 2$. If $A$ is planar, then it can be embedded as a subcomplex of the 2 -sphere. In this case, our goal is to attain a flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ with $A$ as a full subcomplex. To that end, we introduce a new vertex in the interior of each complementary region, and cone off the boundary of each region. Now, it could be the case that an $n$-cycle in $A, n \geq 4$, in which non-adjacent vertices are connected by an edge in $A$, bounds a complementary region in $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. In this case, coning off this $n$-cycle as above and labeling the cone edges with 2 's results in a non-metric flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$. So, after coning off the boundary of each complementary region, we take the barycentric subdivision of each coned region, though to keep $A$ intact as a full subcomplex, we do not subdivide edges included in $A$. Finally, we label each new edge with 2 and obtain a metric flag triangulation of $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ in which every edge not in $A$ is
labeled 2, i.e. $A$ has a right-angled complement. Thus, we have the following restatement of Theorem 4.5 (cf. 4, Theorem 11.4.1]).

Theorem 4.6. Let $A$ be a metric flag complex of dimension $\leq 2$. Suppose $A$ is planar (that is, it can be embedded as a subcomplex of the 2 -sphere). Then

$$
\beta_{2}(A)=0 .
$$

Proof. By Mayer-Vietoris, we may assume $A$ is connected. Take the embedding of $A$ in $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ described above; then the result follows from the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.7. Suppose $\Gamma$ is a planar, metric flag, simple graph, not a single edge nor a single vertex. Let $W_{\Gamma}$ denote the corresponding Coxeter group and $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$ the corresponding Davis complex with fundamental domain $K_{\Gamma}$. Then $\chi^{\text {orb }}\left(K_{\Gamma}\right) \leq 0$.

Proof. Since $W_{\Gamma}$ is infinite, we know $\beta_{0}(\Gamma)=0$. By Theorem 4.6. we know $\beta_{2}(\Gamma)=0$. Thus, the result follows from Atiyah's formula (3.5). -

Planar graphs. We do have specific calculations of $\chi^{\text {orb }}$ in the case described in Corollary 4.7. Indeed, consider a metric flag labeling of a graph $\Gamma$ with $V$ vertices and $E$ edges in which $n_{e}$ is the label on the edge $e$. Let $\Sigma_{\Gamma}$ denote the corresponding Davis complex with fundamental domain $K_{\Gamma}$, and consider the simplicial decomposition of $K_{\Gamma}$ in which simplices correspond to linearly ordered (with respect to containment) chains of spherical subsets. Then $K_{\Gamma}$ has one 0 -simplex with trivial stabilizer, corresponding to the empty set, $V 0$-simplices with stabilizers of order 2 , and for each edge $e$, a 0 -simplex with a stabilizer of order $2 n_{e}$. Moreover, $K_{\Gamma}$ has $E+V$ 1-simplices with trivial stabilizers, each corresponding to chains of the form $\emptyset \subset\{r\}$ or $\emptyset \subset\{r, s\}$, where $r \neq s$ are vertices $\Gamma$, and $2 E 1$-simplices with stabilizers of order 2 , corresponding to chains of the form $\{r\} \subset\{r, s\}$, where $r \neq s$ are vertices of $\Gamma$. Finally, $K_{\Gamma}$ has $2 E$ 2-simplices with trivial stabilizers corresponding to chains of the form $\emptyset \subset\{r\} \subset\{r, s\}$, where $r \neq s$ are vertices of $\Gamma$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}\left(K_{\Gamma}\right) & =\left(1+\frac{V}{2}+\left(\sum_{e} \frac{1}{n_{e}}\right) \frac{1}{2}\right)-\left(V+E+\frac{2 E}{2}\right)+(2 E)  \tag{4.1}\\
& =1-\frac{V}{2}+\left(\sum_{e} \frac{1}{n_{e}}\right) \frac{1}{2}
\end{align*}
$$

So, using this formula with the contrapositive of Corollary 4.7, we have the following test for detecting non-planar graphs.

Corollary 4.8. Let $\Gamma$ be a simple, connected graph with $V>2$ vertices. If $\Gamma$ admits a metric flag labeling where $n_{e}($ an integer $\geq 2)$ is the label on
the edge e with

$$
1-\frac{V}{2}+\left(\sum_{\text {edges } e} \frac{1}{n_{e}}\right) \frac{1}{2}>0
$$

then $\Gamma$ is not planar.
Corollary 4.8 does detect that both of Kuratowski's graphs are nonplanar. Indeed, if $\Gamma$ is the complete bipartite graph on six vertices, we can label each edge with 2 and we get $\chi^{\text {orb }}\left(K_{\Gamma}\right)=1-\frac{6}{2}+\frac{9}{4}=\frac{1}{4}$. If $\Gamma$ is the complete graph on five vertices, we can use a uniform labeling with 3 's and we have $\chi^{\text {orb }}\left(K_{\Gamma}\right)=1-\frac{5}{2}+\frac{10}{6}=\frac{1}{6}$.

Of course, the straight application of Corollary 4.7 to equation 4.1 is that, for a planar graph, all metric flag labelings satisfy $1-V / 2+\sum\left(1 / n_{e}\right) / 2$ $\leq 0$. Now note that for any graph $\Gamma$, a uniform labeling of each edge with 3 's is metric flag, and if $\Gamma$ contains no 3 -cycles, then a uniform labeling with 2 's is metric flag. So the classical inequalities relating the number of edges and vertices of a planar graph follow from Corollary 4.8.

Corollary 4.9. Let $\Gamma$ be a simple, connected, planar graph with $V>2$ vertices and $E$ edges. Then $E \leq 3 V-6$. If, moreover, $\Gamma$ contains no 3 -cycles, then $E \leq 2 V-4$.

Proof. Take a uniform labeling of 3's on the edges of $\Gamma$. Then

$$
\chi^{\text {orb }}\left(K_{\Gamma}\right)=1-\frac{V}{2}+\frac{E}{6} \leq 0
$$

which implies that $E \leq 3 V-6$. If $\Gamma$ contains no 3 -cycles, then take a uniform labeling of 2 's on the edges to find that

$$
\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}\left(K_{\Gamma}\right)=1-\frac{V}{2}+\frac{E}{4} \leq 0
$$

which implies that $E \leq 2 V-4$.
A stronger inequality . . . but not too strong. Note that in equation (4.1), increasing any one edge label of $\Gamma$ decreases $\chi^{\text {orb }}\left(K_{\Gamma}\right)$. So, in the case $\Gamma$ contains no 3 -cycles, it is clear that a labeling of 2's on each edge will give you the largest possible orbihedral Euler characteristic. In other words, the $\ell^{2}$-homological method, i.e. the calculation of the orbihedral Euler characteristic described in Corollary 4.8, is not stronger than the classical inequality $E \leq 2 V-4$, meaning it will not detect non-planar graphs the classical inequality misses.

However, in the case of a graph containing 3-cycles, there are choices that can be made. It is not the case that a uniform labeling of 3's will always lead to the largest possible orbihedral Euler characteristic for the orbifold $K_{\Gamma}$.

EXAMPLE 4.10. Let $\Gamma$ be the graph pictured in Figure 1, a member of the Petersen family of graphs. Then $\Gamma$ does contain 3 -cycles and we have
$V=7$ and $E=15$. So the classical inequality (or the labeling by 3 's) does not detect that $\Gamma$ is non-planar. However, with the indicated metric flag labeling,

$$
\chi^{\mathrm{orb}}\left(K_{\Gamma}\right)=1-\frac{7}{2}+\left(\frac{7}{2}+\frac{8}{4}\right) \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{4} .
$$

So, by Corollary 4.8, we can conclude $\Gamma$ is not planar.
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Fig. 2

The Petersen family of graphs provides another example in Figure 2. Here $V=8$ and $E=15$, so $E<3 V-6$, but with the indicated labeling, we again have $\chi^{\text {orb }}=1 / 4$ and thus the graph is not planar.

The previous examples do indeed show that the $\ell^{2}$-methods culminating in Corollary 4.8 are stronger than the classical inequality reproved in Corollary 4.9. But there are cases in which non-uniform labelings do not detect a known non-planar graph. Consider the non-planar graph $\Gamma$ in Figure 3,


Fig. 3
again a member of the Petersen family of graphs. Since $\Gamma$ has one 3 -cycle, it is clear that the indicated labeling maximizes the orbihedral Euler characteristic of $K_{\Gamma}$. But here $\chi^{\text {orb }}\left(K_{\Gamma}\right)=0$, and so Corollary 4.8 does not tell us that this graph is non-planar.

Conclusion. As Davis and Okun state in [4, they have found a "complicated proof of the classical fact" $[\mathrm{s}]$ that certain (known to be non-planar) graphs are indeed non-planar. However, the $\ell^{2}$-homological methods presented reduce to an accessible and straightforward calculation that provides a stronger test for planarity than the classical inequalities.
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