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#### Abstract

Let $C$ be a coalgebra over an arbitrary field $K$. We show that the study of the category $C$-Comod of left $C$-comodules reduces to the study of the category of (co)representations of a certain bicomodule, in case $C$ is a bipartite coalgebra or a coradical square complete coalgebra, that is, $C=C_{1}$, the second term of the coradical filtration of $C$. If $C=C_{1}$, we associate with $C$ a $K$-linear functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}: C$-Comod $\rightarrow H_{C}$-Comod that restricts to a representation equivalence $\mathbb{H}_{C}: C$-comod $\rightarrow H_{C}$-comod ${ }_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}$, where $H_{C}$ is a coradical square complete hereditary bipartite $K$-coalgebra such that every simple $H_{C^{-}}$ comodule is injective or projective. Here $H_{C}$ - $\operatorname{comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}$ is the full subcategory of $H_{C}$-comod whose objects are finite-dimensional $H_{C}$-comodules with projective socle having no injective summands of the form $\left[\begin{array}{c}S\left(i^{\prime}\right) \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ (see Theorem 5.11). Hence, we conclude that a coalgebra $C$ with $C=C_{1}$ is left pure semisimple if and only if $H_{C}$ is left pure semisimple. In Section 6 we get a diagrammatic characterisation of coradical square complete coalgebras $C$ that are left pure semisimple. Tameness and wildness of such coalgebras $C$ is also discussed.


1. Introduction. Throughout this paper we fix an arbitrary field $K$ and we use the coalgebra representation theory notation and terminology introduced in [14], [29]-[35]. The reader is referred to [1], [2], [12], [27], [37], and [38] for the representation theory terminology and notation, and to [16], [39] for the coalgebra and comodule terminology. In particular, given a finite-dimensional $K$-algebra $R$, we denote by $\bmod (R)$ the category of all finite-dimensional $R$-modules.

Let $C$ be a $K$-coalgebra with comultiplication $\Delta$ and counit $\varepsilon$. We recall that a left $C$-comodule is a $K$-vector space $X$ together with a $K$-linear map $\delta_{X}: X \rightarrow C \otimes X$ such that $\left(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X}\right) \delta_{X}=\left(\mathrm{id}_{C} \otimes \delta_{X}\right) \delta_{X}$ and $\left(\varepsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X}\right) \delta_{X}$ is the canonical isomorphism $X \cong K \otimes X$, where $\otimes=\otimes_{K}$. Given a left $C$-comodule $X$, we denote by $X_{0}=\operatorname{soc} X$ the socle of $X$, that is, the sum of all simple $C$-subcomodules of $X$.

[^0]A $K$-linear map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between two left $C$-comodules $X$ and $Y$ is a $C$-comodule homomorphism if $\delta_{Y} f=\left(\mathrm{id}_{C} \otimes f\right) \delta_{X}$. The $K$-vector space of all $C$-comodule homomorphisms from $X$ to $Y$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(X, Y)$. The $K$-algebra of all $C$-comodule endomorphisms of $X$ is denoted by $\operatorname{End}_{C} X$.

We denote by $C$-Comod the category of all left $C$-comodules, and by $C$-comod the full subcategory of $C$-Comod formed by $C$-comodules of finite $K$-dimension.

We recall that a $K$-coalgebra $C$ is semisimple (resp. hereditary) if $\operatorname{Ext}{ }_{C}^{1}(M, N)=0\left(\operatorname{resp} . \operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{2}(M, N)=0\right)$ for all $M$ and $N$ in $C$-Comod, or equivalently, if $M=\operatorname{soc} M$ for all $M$ in $C$-Comod (resp. if epimorphic images of injective $C$-comodules are injective $C$-comodules). A $K$-coalgebra $C$ is said to be indecomposable (or connected) if $C$ is not a product of two subcoalgebras, or equivalently, if $C$-Comod is not a direct sum of two non-trivial subcategories.

Given a coalgebra $C$, we denote by $C_{0} \subseteq C_{1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C$ the coradical filtration of $C$, where $C_{0}=\operatorname{soc}_{C} C$ (or equivalently, the sum of all simple subcoalgebras of $C), C_{1}=C_{0} \wedge C_{0}$ is the wedge of two copies of $C_{0}$, and $C_{m+1}=C_{0} \wedge C_{m}$ for $m \geq 1$.

We call $C$ basic if there is a decomposition soc ${ }_{C} C=\bigoplus_{j \in I_{C}} S(j)$ such that $\left\{S(j) ; j \in I_{C}\right\}$ is a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic simple left $C$-comodules (see [4], [6], [26] and [29]).

One of the aims of this paper is to study the comodule categories and the valued Gabriel quiver of the following class of coalgebras that are topologically dual (see [29]) to the class of (Jacobson) radical square zero algebras.

Definition 1.1. A $K$-coalgebra $C$ is defined to be coradical square complete if $C=C_{1}=C_{0} \wedge C_{0}$.

Following an idea of Gabriel [10] (see also [2, Section X.2]), we reduce the study of $C$-comodules over any coradical square complete coalgebra $C$ to the study of comodules over a coradical square complete hereditary coalgebra $H_{C}$ which is a bipartite coalgebra in the sense of Definition 2.0 below. Moreover, every simple subcomodule of $H_{C}$ is projective or injective. This is one of the motivations for our investigations in this paper, because the representation theory of hereditary coalgebras is well understood by a reduction to the study of nilpotent representations of quivers or $K$-species (see [14], [20], [29]-[35]), and therefore we get an efficient tool for the study of $C$-comod.

We recall from [1], [2], [10], [12], [15], [27], [37], and [38] that triangular matrix algebras play an important role in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras. In particular, we know from [10] and [2, Section X.2] that the representation theory of radical square zero algebras of finite $K$-dimension reduces to the representation theory of hereditary triangular matrix algebras. In Section 2 we follow this idea and, in analogy to
triangular matrix algebras and bipartite rings [27, Section 17.4], we introduce a concept of a bipartite $K$-coalgebra

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H^{\prime} & H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}} \\
0 & H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\left(H^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(H^{\prime \prime}, \Delta^{\prime \prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are $K$-coalgebras and ${ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ is a $H^{\prime}$ $H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule, that is, $H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ is a left $H^{\prime}$-comodule ( $U, \delta_{U}^{\prime}: U \rightarrow H^{\prime} \otimes U$ ) equipped with a right $H^{\prime \prime}$-comodule structure given by a right $H^{\prime \prime}$-comodule homomorphism $\delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}: U \rightarrow U \otimes H^{\prime \prime}$, which is a homomorphism of left $H^{\prime}$ comodules. Moreover, given $H$ as above, we define an equivalence of categories between $H$-Comod and the category $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ of (co)representations of ${ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$.

In Section 4, following Gabriel [10], with each coradical square complete coalgebra $C$ we associate a coradical square complete hereditary bipartite $K$-coalgebra $H_{C}$ and a $K$-linear functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}_{C}: C \text {-Comod } \rightarrow H_{C} \text {-Comod. } \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove in Theorem 5.11 that $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ is full, carries injectives to injectives, does not vanish on non-zero comodules, but vanishes on the $C$-comodule homomorphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(\operatorname{soc} X)=0$. Moreover, $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ restricts to a representation equivalence of categories (i.e. it is full, dense, and reflects isomorphisms, see [27], [28], and [38])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}_{C}: C-\operatorname{comod} \rightarrow H_{C}-\operatorname{comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{C}$-comod ${ }_{\mathrm{sp}}^{*}$ is the full subcategory of $H_{C}$-comod whose objects are the finite-dimensional $H_{C}$-comodules with projective socle having no injective summands of the form $\left[\begin{array}{c}S\left(i^{\prime}\right) \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ (see Theorem 5.11). It follows that $C$ is left pure semisimple if and only if $H_{C}$ is. Hence, by applying [14], [20] and [29], we get in Section 6 a diagrammatic characterisation of coradical square complete coalgebras $C$ that are left pure semisimple.

Following an idea of trivial extension algebra (see [2] and [13]), and in connection with the reduction functor (1.2), we study in Section 4 the trivial extension coalgebra $D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$ (see (4.8)) of a given coalgebra $D$ by a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule ${ }_{D} U_{D}$, the repetitive coalgebra $\Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ (see (4.15)), and the covering functor (see (4.17))

$$
f^{\mathbf{V}}: \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \text {-Comod } \rightarrow\left(D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \text {-Comod }
$$

induced by the canonical coalgebra surjection

$$
f: \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \rightarrow D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D} .
$$

Also we complete the results given in [3], [14], [17], [32], and [41] by presenting three alternative descriptions of the left valued Gabriel quiver of a
given basic coalgebra

$$
C=\bigoplus_{a \in I_{C}} E(a)
$$

with indecomposable left coideals $E(a), a \in I_{C}$. The descriptions are given by the $F_{a}-F_{b}$-bimodule isomorphisms (see (3.6)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{F_{a}}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}(S(a), S(b)), F_{a}\right) \underset{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Irr}_{C}(E(b), E(a)) \underset{\simeq}{ }{ }^{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(j)=\operatorname{soc} E(j)$ and $F_{j}=\operatorname{End}_{C} S(j)$ for $j \in I_{C}$.
Throughout this paper, by a quiver we mean a pair $Q=\left(Q_{0}, Q_{1}\right)$, where $Q_{0}$ is the set of vertices of $Q$ and $Q_{1}$ is the set of arrows of $Q$. By a valued quiver we mean a pair $(Q, \mathbf{d})$, where $Q$ is a quiver such that each arrow $\beta \in Q_{1}$ is equipped with a pair $\left(d_{\beta}^{\prime}, d_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of positive integers; we visualise $\beta$ as the valued arrow

$$
a \xrightarrow{\left(d_{\beta}^{\prime}, d_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}\right)} b .
$$

If $d_{\beta}^{\prime}=d_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}=1$, then we simply write $a \rightarrow b$ instead of $a \xrightarrow{\left(d_{\beta}^{\prime}, d_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}\right)} b$.
By a valued quiver dual to $(Q, \mathbf{d})$ we mean the valued quiver $\left(Q^{\circ}, \mathbf{d}^{\circ}\right)$, where $Q_{0}^{\circ}=Q_{0}$ and, for each valued arrow $a \xrightarrow{\left(d_{\beta}^{\prime}, d_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}\right)} b$ in $(Q, \mathbf{d})$, we define the unique valued arrow $\beta^{\circ}$ in $\left(Q^{\circ}, \mathbf{d}^{\circ}\right)$ to be $b \xrightarrow{\left(d_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}, d_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)} a$.

Let $X$ be a right $C$-comodule and $Y$ be a left $C$-comodule. We recall from [9] that the cotensor product $X \square Y$ is the $K$-vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \square Y=\operatorname{Ker}\left(X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\delta_{X} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{Y}-\operatorname{id}_{X} \otimes \delta_{Y}} X \otimes C \otimes Y\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $X \square C \cong X, C \square Y \cong Y$, the functors

$$
X \square-: C-\text { Comod } \rightarrow \bmod K \quad \text { and } \quad-\square Y: \text { Comod }-C \rightarrow \bmod K
$$

are left exact, commute with arbitrary direct sums, and there is a functorial isomorphism

$$
X \square Y \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(Y^{*}, X\right)
$$

for any $X$ in Comod- $C$ and any $Y$ in $C$-comod, where $Y^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(Y, K)$ is equipped with the $K$-dual right $C$-comodule structure (see [8] and [39]).
2. Bipartite coalgebras and representations of bicomodules. In this section we introduce a concept of a bipartite coalgebra (see (2.1)) in an analogy with the notion of a (generalised) triangular matrix algebra (see [1, Appendix 2.7], [27], and [38, Section VX.1]). We prove that, for a bipartite coalgebra $H$, the category $H$-Comod is equivalent to the category of (co)representations of the bicomodule defining $H$.

Bipartite coalgebras. In analogy with [1, Appendix 2.7], [27, Section 17.4], and [38, Section VX.1], we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.0. Let $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ be $K$-coalgebras, and let $H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ be a non-zero $H^{\prime}$ - $H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule. We associate with ${ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ the bipartite $K$ coalgebra

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H^{\prime} & H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}  \tag{2.1}\\
0 & H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

consisting of all formal matrices $h=\left[\begin{array}{cc}h^{\prime} & u \\ 0 & h^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]$, where $h^{\prime} \in H^{\prime}, h^{\prime \prime} \in H^{\prime \prime}$ and $u \in U$. We make the following identification:

$$
H \otimes H \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{\prime} \otimes H^{\prime} & H^{\prime} \otimes U & H^{\prime} \otimes H^{\prime \prime}  \tag{2.2}\\
U \otimes H^{\prime} & U \otimes U & U \otimes H^{\prime \prime} \\
H^{\prime \prime} \otimes H^{\prime} & H^{\prime \prime} \otimes U & H^{\prime \prime} \otimes H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The comultiplication $\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ of $H$ and the counit $\varepsilon: H \rightarrow K$ of $H$ are defined by the following formulae:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(h) & =\Delta^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}\right)+\Delta^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right)+\delta_{U}^{\prime}(u)+\delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}(u) \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}\right) & \delta_{U}^{\prime}(u) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}(u) \\
0 & 0 & \Delta^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right]  \tag{2.3}\\
\varepsilon(h) & =\varepsilon^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}\right)+\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to check that $H$ is a $K$-coalgebra, the $K$-subspaces

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
H^{\prime}  \tag{2.4}\\
0
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
U \\
H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}} \\
0 & H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

of $H$ are left coideals and, under the above identification, the left $H$-comodule ${ }_{H} H$ has a direct sum decomposition

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H^{\prime} & H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}  \tag{2.5}\\
0 & H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
H^{\prime} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \oplus\left[\begin{array}{c}
U \\
H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Moreover, the canonical projection $\pi: H \rightarrow H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}$, defined by the formula $\pi\left[\begin{array}{cc}h^{\prime} & u \\ 0 & h^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]=\left(h^{\prime}, h^{\prime \prime}\right)$, is a $K$-coalgebra homomorphism and induces a faithful $K$-linear embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{\circ}: H \text {-Comod } \rightarrow\left(H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}\right) \text {-Comod } \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

associating to each left $H$-comodule $\left(X, \delta_{X}\right)$ the left $\left(H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}\right)$-comodule $\left(X, \widehat{\delta}_{X}\right)$ with comultiplication $\widehat{\delta}_{X}=\left(\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X}\right) \circ \delta_{X}: X \rightarrow\left(H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}\right) \otimes X$. Denote by $\pi_{H^{\prime}}: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{H^{\prime \prime}}: H \rightarrow H^{\prime \prime}$ the obvious projections.

Representations of bicomodules. In analogy with [1, Appendix 2.7] and [38, Section VX.1], we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.7. Let $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ be $K$-coalgebras. Given an $H^{\prime}-H^{\prime \prime}$ bicomodule $H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$, we define the category $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ of left (co) representations of $H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ as follows.
(a) The objects of $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ are triples $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$, where $X^{\prime}$ is a left $H^{\prime}$-comodule, $X^{\prime \prime}$ is a left $H^{\prime \prime}$-comodule and $\varphi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow U \square X^{\prime \prime}$ is a homomorphism of left $H^{\prime}$-comodules.
(b) A morphism from $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ to $\left(Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is a pair $\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $f^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H^{\prime}}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)$, $f^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H^{\prime \prime}}\left(X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left(\operatorname{id}_{U} \square f^{\prime \prime}\right) \varphi=\psi f^{\prime}$. The composition of morphisms in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is componentwise.
(c) The representation $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ is called finite-dimensional if the comodules $X^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$ are of finite $K$-dimension.
(d) We denote by $\operatorname{rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ formed by the finite-dimensional representations.

It is clear that $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ are abelian $K$-categories. We show below that there is an equivalence of categories $H$-Comod $\cong$ $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. For this, we define a pair of $K$-linear functors

$$
\begin{equation*}
H-\operatorname{Comod} \underset{\Psi}{\stackrel{\Phi}{\rightleftarrows}} \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows.
The functor $\Phi$. Before we define the functor $\Phi$ (see (2.11)), we need a preparation. Given a left $H$-comodule $\left(X, \delta_{X}\right)$, we decompose the $K$-vector space $X$ as $X=X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime}=\widehat{\delta}_{X}^{-1}\left(H^{\prime} \otimes X\right) \quad \text { and } \quad X^{\prime \prime}=\widehat{\delta}_{X}^{-1}\left(H^{\prime \prime} \otimes X\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $X^{\prime}=\left(X^{\prime}, \widehat{\delta}_{X^{\prime}}=\left(\widehat{\delta}_{X}\right)_{\mid X^{\prime}}\right)$ and $X^{\prime \prime}=\left(X^{\prime \prime}, \widehat{\delta}_{X^{\prime \prime}}=\right.$ $\left(\widehat{\delta}_{X}\right)_{X^{\prime \prime}}$ ) are a left $H^{\prime}$-comodule and a left $H^{\prime \prime}$-comodule, respectively. We denote by $\widetilde{\varphi}: X \rightarrow U \otimes X^{\prime \prime}$ the composite $K$-linear map

$$
X \xrightarrow{\delta_{X}} H \otimes X \xrightarrow{\pi_{U} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}} U \otimes X^{\prime \prime},
$$

where $\pi_{U}: H \rightarrow U$ is the canonical projection defined by $\pi_{U}\left[\begin{array}{cc}h^{\prime} & u \\ 0 & h^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]=u$, and $\pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}: X \rightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$ is the obvious projection.

Lemma 2.10. If $\widetilde{\varphi}: X \rightarrow U \otimes X^{\prime \prime}$ is the map defined above then $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\varphi} \subseteq$ $U \square X^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. Note that the diagram

is commutative. Indeed, by the definition of $\widehat{\delta}_{X}$, the right square commutes. Moreover, $\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta_{X}\right) \delta_{X}=(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \delta_{X}$, because $X$ is a left $H$-comodule.

The commutativity of this diagram yields

$$
\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \widehat{\delta}_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \widetilde{\varphi}=\left(\pi_{U} \otimes \pi_{H^{\prime \prime}} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right)(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \delta_{X}
$$

Since the definition (2.3) of $\Delta$ yields $\left(\pi_{U} \otimes \pi_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right) \Delta=\delta_{U}^{\prime \prime} \pi_{U}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \widehat{\delta}_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \widetilde{\varphi} & =\left(\pi_{U} \otimes \pi_{H^{\prime \prime}} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right)(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \delta_{X}=\left(\left(\pi_{U} \otimes \pi_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right) \Delta \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \delta_{X} \\
& =\left(\delta_{U}^{\prime \prime} \pi_{U} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \delta_{X}=\left(\delta_{U}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(\pi_{U} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \delta_{X}=\left(\delta_{U}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \widetilde{\varphi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the required inclusion $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\varphi} \subseteq U \square X^{\prime \prime}$ follows.
Denote by $\varphi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow U \otimes X^{\prime \prime}$ the composite $K$-linear map

$$
X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\delta_{X}} H \otimes X \xrightarrow{\pi_{U} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}} U \otimes X^{\prime \prime}
$$

By Lemma 2.10, we have $\operatorname{Im} \varphi \subseteq U \square X^{\prime \prime} \subseteq U \otimes X^{\prime \prime}$. Now we show that $\varphi$ is a homomorphism of left $H^{\prime}$-comodules. Put $i_{X^{\prime}}: X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow X$ and note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\delta_{U}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \varphi & =\left(\delta_{U}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(\pi_{U} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \delta_{X} i_{X^{\prime}}=\left(\delta_{U}^{\prime} \pi_{U} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime}} i_{X^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(\left(\pi_{H^{\prime}} \otimes \pi_{U}\right) \Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \delta_{X} i_{X^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(\left(\pi_{H^{\prime}} \otimes \pi_{U}\right) \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right)(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \delta_{X^{\prime}} i_{X^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(\left(\pi_{H^{\prime}} \otimes \pi_{U}\right) \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right)\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta_{X}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime}} i_{X^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(\pi_{H^{\prime}} \otimes \widetilde{\varphi}\right) \delta_{X} i_{X^{\prime}}=(\mathrm{id} \otimes \widetilde{\varphi})\left(\pi_{H^{\prime}} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \delta_{X} i_{X^{\prime}}=(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi) \widehat{\delta}_{X^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\varphi$ is a homomorphism of left $H^{\prime}$-comodules.
To define the functor $\Phi$, we denote by $\varphi_{X}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow U \square X^{\prime \prime}$ the unique factorisation of $\varphi$ through the embedding $U \square X^{\prime \prime} \subseteq U \otimes X^{\prime \prime}$. It follows that $\varphi_{X}$ is a homomorphism of left $H^{\prime}$-comodules and therefore $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi_{X}\right)$ is an object of the category $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(X)=\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi_{X}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism of left $H$-comodules, and let $X=$ $X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime}, Y=Y^{\prime} \oplus Y^{\prime \prime}$ be the decompositions defined by (2.9), where $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$ are left $H^{\prime}$-comodules and $X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}$ are left $H^{\prime \prime}$-comodules. It is easy to see that $f\left(X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq Y^{\prime}$ and $f\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq Y^{\prime \prime}$. Then the restrictions $f_{\mid X^{\prime}}$ and $f_{\mid X^{\prime \prime}}$ induce $K$-linear maps $f^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}: X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. A straightforward calculation shows that $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ are homomorphisms of left $H^{\prime}$-comodules and $H^{\prime \prime}$-comodules, respectively, such that the diagram

in $H^{\prime}$-Comod is commutative, that is, $\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right):\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi_{X}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, \varphi_{Y}\right)$ is a morphism in the category $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. We define $\Phi(f): \Phi(X) \rightarrow \Phi(Y)$
by setting $\Phi(f)=\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$. It is clear that we have defined a $K$-linear, faithful and exact functor $\Phi: H$-Comod $\rightarrow \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$.

Example 2.12. Let $H$ be a bipartite algebra of the form (2.1). Consider the left $H$-comodules $\left[\begin{array}{c}H^{\prime} \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ and $\left[\begin{array}{c}U \\ H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]$. To illustrate the definition of $\Phi$, we compute the representations $\Phi\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}H^{\prime} \\ 0\end{array}\right]\right)$ and $\Phi\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}U \\ H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]\right)$. By (2.3) and (2.9), we get $\Phi\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}H^{\prime} \\ 0\end{array}\right]\right)=\left(H^{\prime}, 0,0\right)$ and $\Phi\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}U \\ H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]\right)=\left(U, H^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$. By the above considerations and the definition of $\Phi, \varphi=\delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}$ defines the right $H^{\prime \prime}$-comodule structure on $U$.

The functor $\Psi$. The functor $\Psi$ in (2.8) is defined by setting, for each object $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)=\left(X, \delta_{X}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime}$ and $\delta_{X}: X \rightarrow H \otimes X$ is the $K$-linear map defined by

$$
\delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) & \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & \delta_{X^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \in\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H^{\prime} \otimes X^{\prime} & H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}} \otimes X^{\prime \prime} \\
0 & H^{\prime \prime} \otimes X^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right] \subseteq H \otimes X
$$

Here we make the following identification of $K$-vector spaces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H \otimes X & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H^{\prime} & H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}} \\
0 & H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right] \otimes\left(X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cc}
H^{\prime} \otimes\left(X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime}\right) & H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}} \otimes\left(X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
0 & H^{\prime \prime} \otimes\left(X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we show that $\left(X, \delta_{X}\right)$ is a left $H$-comodule. The definition of $\delta_{X}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{id}_{H} \otimes \delta_{X}\right) \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{id}_{H} \otimes \delta_{X}\right) \circ\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) & \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & \delta_{X^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\operatorname{id}_{H} \otimes \delta_{X}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) & \left(\operatorname{id}_{H} \otimes \delta_{X}\right) \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & \left(\operatorname{id}_{H} \otimes \delta_{X}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{\prime}} \otimes \delta_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{\prime}} \otimes \varphi\right) \delta_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) & \left(\operatorname{id}_{U} \otimes \delta_{X^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right) \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & \left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{\prime \prime}} \otimes \delta_{X^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right]=a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $X^{\prime}$ is a left $H^{\prime}$-comodule and $X^{\prime \prime}$ is a left $H^{\prime \prime}$-comodule, and $\varphi$ is a homomorphism of $H^{\prime}$-comodules with $\operatorname{Im} \varphi \subseteq U \square X^{\prime \prime}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\left(\Delta_{H^{\prime}} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{X^{\prime}}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right),\left(\delta_{U}^{\prime} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) & \left(\delta_{U}^{\prime \prime} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & \left(\Delta_{H^{\prime \prime}} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{X}\right) \circ\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) & \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & \delta_{X^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left(\Delta_{H} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{X}\right) \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and our claim is proved.

We define $\Psi\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right): \Psi\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) \rightarrow \Psi\left(Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)$ to be the homomorphism of left $H$-comodules given by $f=f^{\prime} \oplus f^{\prime \prime}: X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime} \oplus Y^{\prime \prime}$. We show that if $\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right):\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) \rightarrow\left(Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ then $f=f^{\prime} \oplus f^{\prime \prime}: X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime} \oplus Y^{\prime \prime}$ defines a homomorphism of left $H$-comodules between $\Psi\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)=\left(X, \delta_{X}\right)$ and $\Psi\left(Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)=\left(Y, \delta_{Y}\right)$. Indeed, given $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime} \in X^{\prime \prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{Y} \circ f\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\delta_{Y} \circ\left(f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right), f^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{Y^{\prime}}^{\prime} f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) & \psi\left(f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
0 & \delta_{Y^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\mathrm{id}_{H^{\prime}} \otimes f^{\prime}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) & \left(\mathrm{id}_{U} \otimes f^{\prime \prime}\right) \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \\
0 & \left(\operatorname{id}_{H^{\prime \prime}} \otimes f^{\prime \prime}\right) \delta_{X^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left(\operatorname{id}_{H} \otimes f\right) \circ \delta_{X}\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $f$ is a homomorphism of left $H$-comodules.
It is clear that we have defined a $K$-linear, faithful and exact functor

$$
\Psi: \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right) \rightarrow H \text {-Comod. }
$$

A straightforward computation shows that $\Psi$ is quasi-inverse to $\Phi$ and vice versa. Consequently, we get the following useful result.

Theorem 2.14. Let $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ be $K$-coalgebras, $H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ a non-zero $H^{\prime}-H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule, and $H$ the bipartite $K$-coalgebra (2.1). The $K$-linear functors $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ in (2.8) are $K$-linear equivalences of categories quasiinverse to each other and they restrict to K-linear equivalences of categories

$$
\begin{equation*}
H-\operatorname{comod} \underset{\Psi^{\prime}}{\stackrel{\Phi^{\prime}}{\rightleftarrows}} \operatorname{rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the equivalences (2.8) and (2.15), we are able to prove the following properties of the bipartite coalgebra $H$.

Theorem 2.16. Let $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ be basic $K$-coalgebras with the decompositions $\operatorname{soc} H^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{j^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}} S^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{soc} H^{\prime \prime}=\bigoplus_{j^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} S^{\prime \prime}\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ into direct sums of simple left comodules (and simple coalgebras). Let ${ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ be a nonzero $H^{\prime}-H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule and $H$ the bipartite $K$-coalgebra (2.1).
(a) The coalgebra $H$ is basic and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{soc}_{H} H & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{soc} H^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & \operatorname{soc} H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{soc} H^{\prime} \\
0
\end{array}\right] \oplus\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\operatorname{soc} H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\bigoplus_{j^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}} S\left(j^{\prime}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} S\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S\left(j^{\prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}S^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right) \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ if $j^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$, and $S\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ S^{\prime \prime}\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right)\end{array}\right]$ if $j^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$, in the notation (2.4) and (2.5).
(b) For each $j^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$, the left $H$-comodule $E\left(j^{\prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}E^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right) \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ is the $H$ injective envelope of $S\left(j^{\prime}\right)$, where $E^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ is the $H^{\prime}$-injective envelope of $S^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$.
(c) The left $H$-comodule $\left[\begin{array}{c}U \\ H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]$ in (2.5) is injective and has a decomposition

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
U \\
H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]=\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}} \\
E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where $E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the $H^{\prime \prime}$-injective envelope of $S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right), H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}=U \square$ $E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is viewed as a left $H^{\prime}$-subcomodule of $H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ and

$$
E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}} \\
E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \subseteq\left[\begin{array}{c}
H^{\prime} U \\
H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is the $H$-injective envelope of $S\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
(d) $\max \left\{\right.$ gl.dim $H^{\prime}$, gl.dim $\left.H^{\prime \prime}\right\} \leq$ gl.dim $H \leq$ gl.dim $H^{\prime}+$ gl.dim $H^{\prime \prime}+1$.
(e) If $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ are semisimple then
(e1) $H^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{j^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}} S^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ and $H^{\prime \prime}=\bigoplus_{j^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} S^{\prime \prime}\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are direct sums of coalgebras and the $H^{\prime}-H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule $H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ has a $K$-vector space decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}=\bigoplus_{s^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}} \bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} s^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{s^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}=S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \square_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}} \square S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is viewed as an $S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ $S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$-bicomodule (and $H^{\prime}-H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule, in a natural way).
(e2) $H$ is coradical square complete and every simple left $H$-comodule $S$ is projective or injective.
(e3) gl.dim $H=1$.
Proof. (a) Since $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ are basic, by the definition (2.3) of the comultiplication in $H, S\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ and $S\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are simple subcoalgebras of $H$ for all $j^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$ and $j^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$, and $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\operatorname{soc} H^{\prime} & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{soc} H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right] \subseteq \operatorname{soc} H$.

To prove the opposite inclusion, we take a simple left subcomodule $S$ of $H$. In view of Theorem 2.14, we identify the category $H$-Comod with $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ via the functor $\Phi$ in (2.11). Then $S$ has the form $S=\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ and $\left(0, S^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)$ is a left subcomodule of $S$. Hence, if $S^{\prime} \neq 0$, then $S^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $S=\left(S^{\prime}, 0,0\right)$ is a simple left $H^{\prime}$-comodule, and we are done; otherwise, $S^{\prime}=0, S^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, and $S=\left(0, S^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)$ is a simple left $H^{\prime \prime}$-comodule. This proves the required equality $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\operatorname{soc} H^{\prime} & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{soc} H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]=\operatorname{soc} H$.
(b) Since $E^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ is the $H^{\prime}$-injective envelope of $S^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$, it follows that $E^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ is a direct summand of $H^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{soc} E^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right)=S^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, $E\left(j^{\prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}E^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right) \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ is a direct summand of $\left[\begin{array}{c}H^{\prime} \\ 0\end{array}\right] \subseteq H$ (and of $H$ ), and $\operatorname{soc} E\left(j^{\prime}\right)=S\left(j^{\prime}\right)$. This means that $E\left(j^{\prime}\right)$ is the $H$-injective envelope of $S\left(j^{\prime}\right)$.
(c) We have the decompositions

$$
H^{\prime} H^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{s^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}} E^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad H^{\prime \prime} H^{\prime \prime}=\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

into direct sums of indecomposable injective left comodules. The decomposition of $H^{\prime \prime}$ yields the decomposition

$$
H^{\prime} U \cong H_{H^{\prime}} U \square H^{\prime \prime}=H^{\prime} U \square \bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} H^{\prime} U \square E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}
$$

of $U$, viewed as a left $H^{\prime}$-comodule, where ${ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}={ }_{H^{\prime}} U \square E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is viewed as a left $H^{\prime}$-comodule. We set $E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}, E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)\right.$, id $)$. It is clear that $\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \cong\left[\begin{array}{c}U \\ H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right] \subseteq H$, and hence $E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an injective left $H$-comodule, as a direct summand of ${ }_{H} H$. Since soc $E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=S\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ we conclude that $E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the $H$-injective envelope of $S\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
(d) Each left $H$-comodule $X$ is a triple $X=\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi_{X}\right)($ see (2.11)). In particular, we get (cf. Example 2.12):

- $\left[\begin{array}{c}U \\ H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]=\left(U, H^{\prime \prime}, \delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $\delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}:{ }_{H^{\prime}} U \rightarrow H^{\prime} U \square H^{\prime \prime}$ is the canonical isomorphism,
- $S\left(i^{\prime}\right)=\left(S^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right), 0,0\right)$ for $i^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$,
- $E\left(i^{\prime}\right)=\left(E^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right), 0,0\right)$ for $i^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$,
- $S\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(0, S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right), 0\right)$ for $t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$,
- $E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left({ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}, E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right), \mathrm{id}\right)$ for $t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$, where id : $H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow H_{H^{\prime}} U \square$ $E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the identity map.
We recall that gl.dim $H \leq n$ if and only if inj. $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S \leq n$ for each simple left $H$-comodule $S$ (see [18]). By (a), the comodules $S\left(i^{\prime}\right)$ with $i^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$, and $S\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $j^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$, form a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic simple left $H$-comodules.

Given $i^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$, we fix a minimal injective resolution

$$
0 \rightarrow S^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow{ }_{0} E^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{1} E^{\prime} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow{ }_{m} E^{\prime} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

in $H^{\prime}$-Comod of the simple left $H^{\prime}$-comodule $S^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right)$. Then the induced sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow S\left(i^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left({ }_{0} E^{\prime}, 0,0\right) \rightarrow\left({ }_{1} E^{\prime}, 0,0\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left({ }_{m} E^{\prime}, 0,0\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

in $H$-Comod $=\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is a minimal injective resolution of the left $H$-comodule ( $S\left(i^{\prime}\right), 0,0$ ). It follows that inj. $\operatorname{dim}_{H} S\left(i^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{inj} . \operatorname{dim}_{H^{\prime}} S^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right)$ for each $i^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$, and so gl. $\operatorname{dim} H \geq$ gl.dim $H^{\prime}$.

Now fix $t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$. By (c), there is a non-split exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow S\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow L_{0}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

in $H$-Comod $=\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, where

$$
L_{0}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}, L_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right), \bar{\varphi}_{t^{\prime \prime}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad L_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) / S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Let

$$
0 \rightarrow L_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow{ }_{1} E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow{ }_{2} E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow{ }_{m} E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

be a minimal injective resolution of $L_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in $H^{\prime \prime}$-Comod. If ${ }_{m} E^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ for all $m \geq 1$, then gl.dim $H^{\prime \prime}=\infty$ and the induced exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow L_{0}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(U \square_{1} E^{\prime \prime},{ }_{1} E^{\prime \prime},{ }_{1} h\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left(U \square_{m} E^{\prime \prime},{ }_{m} E^{\prime \prime},{ }_{m} h\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

in $H$-Comod $=\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, with ${ }_{m} h=\mathrm{id}: U \square_{m} E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow U \square_{m} E^{\prime \prime}$ for $m \geq 1$, is a minimal injective resolution of $L_{0}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Hence inj.dim ${ }_{H} S\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\infty$, and we are done.

Assume that ${ }_{m-1} E^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ and ${ }_{m} E^{\prime \prime}=0$ for some $m \geq 1$. Then the induced sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow L_{0}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(U \square_{1} E^{\prime \prime},{ }_{1} E^{\prime \prime},{ }_{1} h\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left(U \square_{m-1} E^{\prime \prime},{ }_{m-1} E^{\prime \prime},{ }_{m-1} h\right) \\
& \rightarrow\left({ }_{m} N, 0,0\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

with ${ }_{j} h=\mathrm{id}: U \square_{j} E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow U \square{ }_{j} E^{\prime \prime}$ for $j \geq 1$, is exact. If ${ }_{m} N=0$ then

$$
\text { inj. } \operatorname{dim}_{H} S\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=m-1=1+\text { inj. } \operatorname{dim}_{H^{\prime \prime}} L_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{inj} \cdot \operatorname{dim}_{H^{\prime \prime}} S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Assume that ${ }_{m} N \neq 0$. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow{ }_{m} N \rightarrow{ }_{m} E^{\prime} \rightarrow{ }_{m+1} E^{\prime} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow{ }_{m+r} E^{\prime} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

be a minimal injective resolution of ${ }_{m} N$ in $H^{\prime}$-Comod. Then the induced sequence

$$
\left.0 \rightarrow\left({ }_{m} N, 0,0\right) \rightarrow\left({ }_{m} E^{\prime}, 0,0\right) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow{ }_{m+r} E^{\prime}, 0,0\right) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

is a minimal injective resolution of $\left({ }_{m} N, 0,0\right)$ in $H$-Comod. Therefore
inj. $\operatorname{dim}_{H^{\prime \prime}} S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)+$ gl.dim $H^{\prime}+1 \geq \operatorname{inj} . \operatorname{dim}_{H} S\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq \operatorname{inj} . \operatorname{dim}_{H^{\prime \prime}} S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and (d) follows.
(e) Assume that the basic coalgebras $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ are semisimple. Then we have decompositions $H^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{s^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}} S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ and $H^{\prime \prime}=\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ into direct sums of simple coalgebras. By (c), the semisimple decomposition of $H^{\prime \prime}$ yields the decomposition

$$
H^{\prime} U \cong{ }_{H^{\prime}} U \square H^{\prime \prime}=\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}
$$

of $U$, viewed as a left $H^{\prime}$-comodule, where $H_{H^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}=H_{H^{\prime}} U \square S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is viewed as an $H^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$-bicomodule. We note that $E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a subcoalgebra of $H^{\prime \prime}$. Similarly, the semisimple decomposition of $H^{\prime}$ yields the $H^{\prime}-H^{\prime \prime}$ bicomodule decomposition

$$
H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}} \cong H^{\prime} H^{\prime} \square U_{H^{\prime \prime}}=\bigoplus_{s^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}} S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \square U_{H^{\prime \prime}}=\bigoplus_{s^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}} \bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} s^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}
$$

where ${ }_{s^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}=S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \square U_{t^{\prime \prime}}=S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \square U \square S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is viewed as an $S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right)-S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-$ bicomodule, and hence as an $H^{\prime}-H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule. This proves (e1).

By (c), the left $H$-comodule $\left[\begin{array}{c}U \\ H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]$ is injective and has the decomposition

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
U \\
H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]=\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}} \\
S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\bigoplus_{t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where ${ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}={ }_{H^{\prime}} U \square S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is viewed as a left $H^{\prime}$-subcomodule of ${ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ and

$$
E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}} \\
S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \subseteq\left[\begin{array}{c}
H^{\prime} U \\
H^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is the injective envelope of $S\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Because (a) yields soc $H=\operatorname{soc} H^{\prime} \oplus \operatorname{soc} H^{\prime \prime}$, the above considerations imply that $(\operatorname{soc} H) \wedge(\operatorname{soc} H)=H$, that is, $H$ is coradical square complete. The remaining statement of (e2) is easily seen by applying the identification $H$-Comod $=\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)$.

By (d), gl.dim $H \leq 1$, because the coalgebras $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ are semisimple. Since $U \neq 0$, we have $\operatorname{soc} H=\operatorname{soc} H^{\prime} \oplus \operatorname{soc} H^{\prime \prime} \varsubsetneqq H$ and hence gl. $\operatorname{dim} H \geq 1$. This completes the proof of (e3) and of the theorem.
3. The valued Gabriel quiver of a bipartite coalgebra and of a coradical square complete coalgebra. Let $C$ be a basic coalgebra with a fixed left comodule decomposition

$$
\operatorname{soc}_{C} C=\bigoplus_{i \in I_{C}} S(i)
$$

of the left socle where $S(i)$, for $i \in I_{C}$, are pairwise non-isomorphic simple left $C$-comodules (and simple subcoalgebras).

We recall that the left valued (Gabriel) quiver of $C$ is the valued quiver $\left({ }_{C} Q,{ }_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)$, where ${ }_{C} Q_{0}=I_{C}$ and, given two vertices $i, j \in{ }_{C} Q_{0}$, there exists a unique valued arrow

$$
i \xrightarrow{\left(C_{i j}^{\prime}, C d_{i j}^{\prime \prime}\right)} j
$$

in ${ }_{C} Q_{1}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}(S(i), S(j)) \neq 0$ and

$$
C d_{i j}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}(S(i), S(j))_{F_{i}}, \quad C_{i j}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{F_{j}} \operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}(S(i), S(j))
$$

where $F_{a}=\operatorname{End}_{C} S(a)$ for any $a \in I_{C}$ (see [14, Definition 4.3]).
Now we recall from [14, Proposition 4.10] and [32] an equivalent definition of the left valued Gabriel quiver $\left({ }_{C} Q,{ }_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)$ of a basic coalgebra $C$ by means of irreducible morphisms.

Assume that $C$ is a basic coalgebra with a fixed left comodule decomposition of $\operatorname{soc}_{C} C$ as above. Given $a \in I_{C}$, we denote by $E(a)$ the injective envelope of $S(a)$. Denote by $C$-inj the full subcategory of $C$-Comod formed by socle-finite injective $C$-comodules, that is, a comodule $E$ lies in $C$-inj if and only if $E$ is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of indecomposable injective $C$-comodules. Given $E^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime \prime}$ in $C$-inj, we define the radical
of $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to be the $K$-subspace $\operatorname{rad}\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime \prime}\right)=\operatorname{rad}_{C \text {-inj }}\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ generated by all non-isomorphisms $\varphi: E(i) \rightarrow E(j)$ between indecomposable summands $E(i)$ of $E^{\prime}$ and $E(j)$ of $E^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. The square $\operatorname{rad}^{2}\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is defined to be the $K$-subspace of $\operatorname{rad}\left(E^{\prime}, E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ generated by all composite homomorphisms of the form

$$
E^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f_{j}^{\prime}} E(j) \xrightarrow{f_{j}^{\prime \prime}} E^{\prime \prime},
$$

where $j \in I_{C}, f_{j}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{rad}\left(E^{\prime}, E(j)\right)$ and $f_{j}^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{rad}\left(E(j), E^{\prime \prime}\right)$. For any $a, b \in I_{C}$, we set $F_{a}=\operatorname{End}_{C} S(a), F_{b}=\operatorname{End}_{C} S(b)$ and we consider the $K$-vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Irr}_{C}(E(b), E(a))=\operatorname{rad}(E(b), E(a)) / \operatorname{rad}^{2}(E(b), E(a)) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an $F_{a}$ - $F_{b}$-bimodule. We call it the bimodule of irreducible morphisms (see [14], [30] and [32]).

By [14, Proposition 4.7] and [32, Theorem 2.3], there exists a unique valued arrow $a \xrightarrow{\left(d_{a b}^{\prime}, d_{a b}^{\prime \prime}\right)} b$ in $\left({ }_{C} Q,{ }_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)$ if and only if the $F_{a}-F_{b}$-bimodule $\operatorname{Irr}(E(b), E(a))$ is non-zero and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a b}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Irr}_{C}(E(b), E(a))_{F_{b}}, \quad d_{a b}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{F_{a}} \operatorname{Irr}_{C}(E(b), E(a)) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following proposition gives a description of the left valued Gabriel quiver of a coalgebra $C$ in terms of the $C_{0}-C_{0}$-bicomodule

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{C_{0}}=\bigoplus_{a, b \in I_{C}} a\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $S(a)$ - $S(b)$-bicomodule ${ }_{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b}=S(a) \square\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) \square S(b)$ is viewed as a rational $F_{a}-F_{b}$-bimodule. To see this we note that, in the notation of the proof of Proposition 3.5 below, there is an $F_{a}$ - $F_{b}$-bimodule isomorphism ${ }_{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b} \cong e_{b}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) e_{a}$ (see (3.6") and cf. [3], [17], and [41]).

To formulate the result, we assume that $C$ is a basic coalgebra with a decomposition of $\operatorname{soc}_{C} C$ as above. Given $a \in I_{C}$, we denote by $E(a) \supseteq E_{1}(a)$ the injective envelope of $S(a)$ in $C$-Comod and $C_{1}$-Comod, respectively. Now, for $a, b \in I_{C}$, we define an $F_{a}-F_{b}$-bimodule homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Irr}_{C}(E(b), E(a)) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{res}_{a b}} \operatorname{Irr}_{C_{1}}\left(E_{1}(b), E_{1}(a)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by associating to any non-isomorphism $f: E(b) \rightarrow E(a)$ its restriction $\operatorname{res}_{a b}(f): E_{1}(b) \rightarrow E_{1}(a)$ to $E_{1}(b)$.

Now we complete [3], [14, Proposition 4.10], [17, Theorem 1.7] and [32, Theorem 2.5] as follows.

Proposition 3.5. Let $C$ be a basic $K$-coalgebra with a left comodule decomposition soc ${ }_{C} C=\bigoplus_{i \in I_{C}} S(i)$ as above, and let $C_{1}=C_{0} \wedge C_{0}$.
(a) Given $a, b \in I_{C}$, the $F_{a}-F_{b}$-bimodule homomorphism $\operatorname{res}_{a b}$ in (3.4) is an isomorphism.
(b) For any $a, b \in I_{C}$, there exist $F_{a}-F_{b}$-bimodule isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{F_{a}}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}(S(a), S(b)), F_{a}\right) \underset{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Irr}_{C}(E(b), E(a)) \underset{\simeq}{ }{ }^{( }\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) There exists a unique valued arrow $a \xrightarrow{\left(d_{a b}^{\prime}, d_{a b}^{\prime \prime}\right)} b$ in the left valued Gabriel quiver $\left({ }_{C} Q,{ }_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)$ of $C$ if and only if the $F_{a}$ - $F_{b}$-bimodule ${ }_{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b}=S(a) \square\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) \square S(b)$ is non-zero and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a b}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}\left({ }_{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b}\right)_{F_{a}}, \quad d_{a b}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{F_{b}}\left({ }_{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) The left Gabriel quiver $C_{1} Q$ coincides with ${ }_{C} Q$.

Proof. (a) To show that $\mathrm{res}_{a b}$ is bijective, we note that, given a nonisomorphism $f: E(b) \rightarrow E(a)$, the restriction $\operatorname{res}_{a b}(f): E_{1}(b) \rightarrow E_{1}(a)$ is obviously a non-isomorphism. Conversely, if $g: E_{1}(b) \rightarrow E_{1}(a)$ is a nonisomorphism of $C_{1}$-comodules then, by the injectivity of $E(a), g$ uniquely extends to a non-isomorphism $f: E(b) \rightarrow E(a)$ such that $\operatorname{res}_{a b}(f)=g$. This shows that (3.4) is bijective.
(b) The left-hand isomorphism in (3.6) is established in [14, Proposition 4.10]. To prove the right-hand one, we keep the notation of the proof of [14, Proposition 4.10]. Fix $a, b \in I_{C}$ and denote by $e_{a}, e_{b}$ the primitive idempotents in the pseudocompact $K$-algebra $C^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(C, K)$ that correspond to the direct summands $E(a)^{*}$ and $E(b)^{*}$ of $C^{*}$. Let $J\left(C^{*}\right)$ be the Jacobson radical of $C^{*}$. We recall that the functor $M \mapsto M^{*}$ defines a $K$-linear duality $C$-Comod $\cong C^{*}-\mathrm{PC}$, where $C^{*}-\mathrm{PC}$ is the category of pseudocompact left $C^{*}$-modules (see [29, 4.5]). Moreover, by [16, Proposition 5.2.9] there are isomorphisms $J\left(C^{*}\right) / J\left(C^{*}\right)^{2} \cong C_{0}^{\perp} / C_{1}^{\perp} \cong\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)^{*}$ of pseudocompact $C^{*}$-bimodules.

By [14, p. 480], the equivalence $C$-Comod $\cong\left(C^{*}-\mathrm{PC}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}, M \mapsto M^{*}$, induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Irr}_{C}\left(E_{1}(b), E_{1}(a)\right) & \cong\left(e_{a}\left[J\left(C^{*}\right) / J\left(C^{*}\right)^{2}\right] e_{b}\right)^{\circ} \cong\left(e_{a}\left[\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)^{*}\right] e_{b}\right)^{\circ} \\
& \cong e_{b}\left(\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)^{*}\right)^{\circ} e_{a} \cong e_{b}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) e_{a} \cong{ }_{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b}
\end{align*}
$$

of $F_{a}$ - $F_{b}$-bimodules. The final isomorphism is the inverse of the following composite one:

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b} & =S(a) \square\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) \square S(b) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C_{0}}\left(S(a)^{*},\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) \square S(b)\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C_{0}}\left(S(a)^{*}, \operatorname{Hom}_{C_{0}}\left(S(b)^{*}, C_{1} / C_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C_{0}}\left(S(a)^{*}, e_{b}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)\right) \cong e_{b}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) e_{a} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note also that, since the pseudocompact left $C^{*}$-modules $S(a)^{*} \cong\left(C_{0}\right)^{*} e_{a}$ and $S(b)^{*} \cong\left(C_{0}\right)^{*} e_{b}$ are finite-dimensional, they are discrete (= rational),
and therefore they are viewed as left $C$-comodules. Moreover, there are algebra isomorphisms $S(a)^{*} \cong e_{a}\left(C_{0}\right)^{*} e_{a} \cong F_{a}^{\mathrm{op}}, S(b)^{*} \cong e_{b}\left(C_{0}\right)^{*} e_{b} \cong F_{b}^{\mathrm{op}}$, and $F_{a}$ - $F_{b}$-bimodule isomorphisms

$$
{ }_{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b}=S(a) \square\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) \square S(b) \cong C_{0} e_{a} \square\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) \square e_{b} C_{0} \cong e_{b}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) e_{a} .
$$

(c) Apply (a), (b) and (3.2).
(d) Apply (a) and (3.4).

Corollary 3.8. Let $C$ be a basic $K$-coalgebra. Then the left valued and right valued Gabriel quivers of $C$ are dual to each other.

Proof. It is well-known that there is a $K$-duality $D: C$-inj $\rightarrow$ inj- $C$ between the categories of socle finite injective left $C$-comodules and socle finite injective right $C$-comodules (see [5, Proposition 3.1(c)]). Given an indecomposable $E(a)$ in $C$-inj, we denote by $E^{\prime}(a)$ the indecomposable $D E(a)$ in inj-C. Obviously, the socle $S^{\prime}(a)$ of $E^{\prime}(a)$ is isomorphic to the right $C$-comodule $S(a)^{*}$. Since, for any $a, b \in I_{C}$, there are division ring isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{a}^{\prime} & =\operatorname{End}_{C} S^{\prime}(a) \cong\left(\operatorname{End}_{C} S(a)\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \cong F_{a}^{\mathrm{op}}, \\
F_{b}^{\prime} & =\operatorname{End}_{C} S^{\prime}(b) \cong\left(\operatorname{End}_{C} S(b)\right)^{\mathrm{op}} \cong F_{b}^{\mathrm{op}},
\end{aligned}
$$

the $F_{b}^{\prime}-F_{a}^{\prime}$-bimodule $\operatorname{Irr}\left(E^{\prime}(a), E^{\prime}(b)\right)$ is viewed as an $F_{a}$ - $F_{b}$-bimodule in a standard way. Moreover, the functor $D$ induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Irr}(E(b), E(a)) \cong \operatorname{Irr}\left(E^{\prime}(a), E^{\prime}(b)\right)$ of $F_{a}-F_{b}$-bimodules. Hence, in view of Proposition 3.5 and [32, Theorem 2.3], the corollary follows.

We end this section by a description of the Gabriel quiver of an arbitrary bipartite coalgebra.

Corollary 3.9. Let $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ be basic $K$-coalgebras, $H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ a nonzero $H^{\prime}$ - $H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule, and $H$ the bipartite $K$-coalgebra (2.1). In the notation of Theorem 2.16 we have:
(a) $H$ is basic and the Gabriel quiver $\left({ }_{H} Q,{ }_{H} \mathbf{d}\right)$ has the form [15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{H} Q{ }_{H} \mathbf{d}\right)=\left({ }_{H^{\prime}} Q,{ }_{H^{\prime}} \mathbf{d}\right) \mathbf{■}_{U}\left({ }_{H^{\prime \prime}} Q,{ }_{H^{\prime \prime}} \mathbf{d}\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $\left({ }_{H} Q,{ }_{H} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is obtained from the disjoint union of $\left({ }_{H^{\prime}} Q,{ }_{H^{\prime}} \mathbf{d}\right)$ and $\left(H^{\prime \prime} Q, H_{H^{\prime \prime}} \mathbf{d}\right)$ by adding, for each $s^{\prime} \in{ }_{H^{\prime}} Q_{0}=I_{H^{\prime}}$ and each $t^{\prime \prime} \in$ ${ }_{H^{\prime \prime}} Q_{0}=I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$, the valued arrow

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(d_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime \prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}, d_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime \prime}}\right)} t^{\prime \prime} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $s^{\prime}$ to $t^{\prime \prime}$, provided that ${ }_{s^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0$, and $d_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}\left(s_{s^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{F_{s^{\prime}}}$, $d_{s^{\prime} t^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{F_{t^{\prime \prime}}}\left(s_{s^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. Here the $S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right)-S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$-bicomodule ${ }_{s^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}=$ $S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \square U \square S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is viewed as a (rational) $F_{s^{\prime}}-F_{t^{\prime \prime}}$-bimodule, in view of the division algebra isomorphisms $\operatorname{End}_{H} S^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \cong F_{t^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\operatorname{End}_{H} S^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \cong F_{s^{\prime}}$.
(b) If $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ are semisimple then $\left({ }_{H^{\prime}} Q, H_{H^{\prime}} \mathbf{d}\right)$ and $\left({ }_{H^{\prime \prime}} Q,{ }_{H^{\prime \prime}} \mathbf{d}\right)$ have no arrow, and the only arrows in ( ${ }_{H} Q,{ }_{H} \mathbf{d}$ ) are of the form (3.11), where $s^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$ and $t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$. If $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ are simple and $H_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0$, then $H$ is indecomposable and $\left({ }_{H} Q,{ }_{H} \mathbf{d}\right)$ has the form $\bullet \xrightarrow{\left(d^{\prime}, d^{\prime \prime}\right)} \bullet$ for some natural numbers $d^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime \prime}$.
Proof. Given $b \in I_{H}=I_{H^{\prime}} \cup I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$, we set $\bar{E}(b)=E(b) / S(b)$. Since $E(b)$ is an injective $H$-comodule, there is an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{H}^{1}(S(a), S(b)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(S(a), \bar{E}(b))
$$

of right $\operatorname{End}_{H} S(a)$-modules for each $a \in I_{H}=I_{H^{\prime}} \cup I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ (see [14, p. 477]).
Since $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ are basic, so is $H$, by Theorem 2.16(a). We recall from Theorem 2.16 that, given $j^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$ and $j^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left(j^{\prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
S^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad E\left(j^{\prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
E^{\prime}\left(j^{\prime}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& S\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
S^{\prime \prime}\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned} \quad E\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}} \\
E^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right],
$$

in the notation of Theorem 2.16 and (2.5). Hence, for $s^{\prime} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$ and $t^{\prime \prime} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$,

$$
\bar{E}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right) \cong\left[\begin{array}{c}
H^{\prime} U_{t^{\prime \prime}} \\
\bar{E}^{\prime \prime}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{E}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \cong\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{E}^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{Ext}_{H}^{1}(S(a), S(b))=0$ if $a \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ and $b \in I_{H^{\prime}}$. Moreover, there are isomorphisms of $\operatorname{End}_{H} S(b)-\operatorname{End}_{H} S(a)$-bimodules
$\operatorname{Ext}_{H}^{1}(S(a), S(b))$

$$
\cong \begin{cases}\operatorname{Hom}_{H^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}(a), \bar{E}^{\prime}(b)\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{H^{\prime}}^{1}\left(S^{\prime}(a), S^{\prime}(b)\right) & \text { if } a, b \in I_{H^{\prime}}, \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{H^{\prime \prime}}\left(S^{\prime \prime}(a), \bar{E}^{\prime \prime}(b)\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{H^{\prime \prime}}^{1}\left(S^{\prime \prime}(a), S^{\prime \prime}(b)\right) & \text { if } a, b \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}, \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{H^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}(a), H_{H^{\prime}} U_{b}\right) \cong{ }_{a} U_{b} & \text { if } a \in I_{H^{\prime}}, b \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}\end{cases}
$$

(see [14, p. 480] and [41, Proposition 4.9]). Hence, (a) follows. Since (b) easily follows from (a), the proof is complete.

Following a suggestion of the referee we include another proof of (a). Let $H$ be a bipartite coalgebra as in the corollary. We consider $U=H_{H^{\prime}}\left(\operatorname{soc}_{H^{\prime}} U\right)$ $\cap\left(\operatorname{soc} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ and we view it as an $H^{\prime}-H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule. Note that, for all $a \in I_{H^{\prime}}$ and $b \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$, there are isomorphisms of $S(a)-S(b)$-bicomodules $S(a) \square_{H^{\prime}} U \square_{H^{\prime \prime}} S(b) \cong S(a) \square_{H_{0}^{\prime}} U \square_{H_{0}^{\prime \prime}} S(b) \cong S(a) \square_{H_{0}^{\prime}} \check{U} \square_{H_{0}^{\prime \prime}} S(b)={ }_{a} \check{U}_{b}$.

By a straightforward calculation we show that $H_{1}=H_{0} \wedge H_{0}=H_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \check{U} \oplus H_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, and hence $H_{1} / H_{0}=H_{1}^{\prime} / H_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \breve{U} \oplus H_{1}^{\prime \prime} / H_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. Note also that $H^{*}=H^{\prime *} \oplus U^{*} \oplus$ $H^{\prime \prime *}$ is the upper triangular matrix algebra with the identity element $\varepsilon_{H}=$ $\sum_{a \in I_{H^{\prime}}} e_{a}^{\prime}+\sum_{b \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}} e_{b}^{\prime \prime}$, where $e_{a}^{\prime} \cdot\left[\right.$| $h^{\prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $h^{\prime \prime}$ |$]=e_{a}^{\prime}\left(h^{\prime}\right)$ and \(e_{a}^{\prime \prime} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}h^{\prime} <br>

0 \& h^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]=e_{a}^{\prime \prime}\left(h^{\prime \prime}\right)\).

We also recall from [16] that

$$
e \rightharpoonup h=e h=(1 \otimes e) \circ \Delta_{H}(h) \quad \text { and } \quad h \leftharpoonup e=h e=(e \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_{H}(h) .
$$

Hence, for $a, \bar{a} \in I_{H^{\prime}}$ and $b, \bar{b} \in I_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ we get

- ${ }_{a}\left(H_{1} / H_{0}\right)_{\bar{a}}=e_{\bar{a}}^{\prime}\left(H_{1} / H_{0}\right) e_{a}^{\prime}=e_{\bar{a}}^{\prime}\left(H_{1}^{\prime} / H_{0}^{\prime}\right) e_{a}^{\prime}={ }_{a}\left(H_{1}^{\prime} / H_{0}^{\prime}\right) \bar{a}$,
- ${ }_{a}\left(H_{1} / H_{0}\right)_{b}=e_{b}^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{1} / H_{0}\right) e_{a}^{\prime}=e_{b}^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{1}^{\prime} / H_{0}^{\prime}\right) e_{a}^{\prime}={ }_{a}\left(H_{1}^{\prime} / H_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{b}$,
- ${ }_{b}\left(H_{1} / H_{0}\right)_{a}=e_{a}^{\prime}\left(H_{1} / H_{0}\right) e_{b}^{\prime \prime}=0$,
- ${ }_{b}\left(H_{1} / H_{0}\right)_{\bar{b}}=e_{\bar{b}}^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{1} / H_{0}\right) e_{b}^{\prime \prime}=e_{\bar{b}}^{\prime \prime}\left(H_{1}^{\prime \prime} / H_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) e_{b}^{\prime \prime}={ }_{b}\left(H_{1}^{\prime \prime} / H_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\bar{b}}$.

Now (a) follows by applying Proposition 3.5.
4. Loop representations and trivial extensions of coalgebras. Let $D$ be a $K$-coalgebra and ${ }_{D} U_{D}$ be a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule. We recall that the cotensor $D$-coalgebra on $U$ is the positively graded $K$-vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{D}^{\square}(U)=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} U^{\square^{n}}=D \oplus U \oplus U \square U \oplus \cdots \oplus U^{\square^{n}} \oplus \ldots, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U^{\square^{0}}=D, U^{\square^{1}}=U$ and $U^{\square^{n}}=U \square \cdots \square U(n$ times) for $n \geq 2$, equipped with the $K$-coalgebra structure defined as follows (see [10], [19] and [41] for details).

The counit $\varepsilon: T_{D}^{\square}(U) \rightarrow K$ of $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ vanishes on $U^{\square^{n}}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and $\left.\varepsilon\right|_{D}: D \rightarrow K$ is the counit of $D$. Under the identification

$$
T_{D}^{\square}(U) \otimes T_{D}^{\square}(U)=\bigoplus_{n, m \geq 0} U^{\square^{n}} \otimes U^{\square^{m}}
$$

for each $n \geq 0$ the component $\Delta_{n, i, j}: U^{\square^{n}} \rightarrow U^{\square^{i}} \otimes U^{\square^{j}}$ of the comultiplication of $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ is zero if $i+j \neq n$. If $i+j=n$ and $i, j \geq 1$, then $\Delta_{n, i, j}$ is the inclusion; if either $i=0$ or $j=0$, then $\Delta_{n, i, j}$ is induced by the comultiplication on $U$ (or on $D$ if $i=j=0$ ).

Following [10] and [41], we define the category $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}^{( }\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ of locally nilpotent loop (co)representations of the $D$ - $D$-bicomodule ${ }_{D} U_{D}$ to be the category of all pairs $(Y, \mu)$, where $Y$ is a left $D$-comodule and $\mu: Y \rightarrow U \square Y$ is a homomorphism of left $D$-comodules such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mu^{(n)}: Y \rightarrow U^{\otimes^{n}} \otimes Y\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu^{(n)}: Y \rightarrow U^{\otimes^{n}} \otimes Y$ is the composite

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y \xrightarrow{\mu^{\prime}} U \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{U} \otimes \mu^{\prime}} U^{\otimes^{2}} \otimes Y \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow U^{\otimes^{n-1}} \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{U^{n-1}} \otimes \mu^{\prime}} U^{\otimes^{n}} \otimes Y \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mu^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow U \otimes Y$ is the composite $Y \xrightarrow{\mu} U \square Y \hookrightarrow U \otimes Y$. The left $D$-comodule structure on $U \square Y$ is induced from that of $U$.

A morphism from $(Y, \mu)$ to $(Z, \nu)$ in $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ is a homomorphism $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ of left $D$-comodules such that $\nu \circ f=\left(\mathrm{id}_{U} \square f\right) \circ \mu$. It is clear that $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ is a Grothendieck $K$-category and its full subcategory $\operatorname{rep}_{\square}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$, consisting of all pairs $(Y, \mu)$ with $Y$ finite-dimensional, is abelian and consists of objects of finite length.

Theorem 4.4. Let $D$ be a $K$-coalgebra, ${ }_{D} U_{D}$ a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule, and $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ the cotensor $D$-coalgebra.
(a) $\operatorname{soc} T_{D}^{\square}(U)=\operatorname{soc} D$. As a consequence, $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ is basic if and only if $D$ is basic.
(b) There is a $K$-linear equivalence of categories

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta: T_{D}^{\square}(U)-\operatorname{Comod} \rightarrow \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}^{\circlearrowleft}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which restricts to an equivalence $\Theta^{\prime}: T_{D}^{\square}(U)-\operatorname{comod} \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} \operatorname{rep}_{\square}^{\circlearrowleft}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$.
(c) If $D$ is semisimple, then $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ is hereditary and, given $i \in I_{D}$, the vector subspace

$$
E(i)=S(i) \oplus(S(i) \square U) \oplus(S(i) \square U \square U) \oplus \cdots
$$

of $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ is the injective envelope of $S(i)$.
Proof. For the proof of (a) the reader is referred to [41, Lemma 4.4].
(b) The equivalence (4.5) is proved in [41, Lemma 4.3]. Here, for the convenience of the reader, we recall the definition of $\Theta$. Since the canonical projection $\pi: T_{D}^{\square}(U) \rightarrow D$ is a coalgebra homomorphism, every left $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ comodule $Y$ is a $D$-comodule via $\pi$. The functor $\Theta$ is defined by associating with $\left(Y, \delta_{Y}\right)$ in $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$-Comod the pair

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta\left(Y, \delta_{Y}\right)=\left(Y, \delta^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y$ is the underlying $D$-comodule and $\delta^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow U \square Y$ is the composition of $\delta_{Y}: Y \rightarrow T_{D}^{\square}(U) \square Y$ with the canonical $D$-comodule projection $T_{D}^{\square}(U) \square$ $Y \rightarrow U \square Y$. If $f:\left(Y, \delta_{Y}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z, \delta_{Z}\right)$ is a homomorphism in $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$-Comod, we take for $\Theta(f):\left(Y, \delta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(Z, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ the morphism defined by $f: Y \rightarrow$ $Z$ in $D$-Comod. By [41, Lemma 4.3], the functor $\Theta$ is an equivalence of categories and obviously it restricts to an equivalence $\Theta^{\prime}: T_{D}^{\square}(U)$-comod $\xlongequal{\cong}$ $\operatorname{rep}_{\square}^{0}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$.
(c) Assume that $D$ is semisimple. To prove the second part of (c), note that there is a decomposition ${ }_{D} U=D \square_{D} U=\bigoplus_{i \in I_{D}}\left(S(i) \square_{D} U\right)$ and, for any $i \in I_{D}, E(i)$ is a left subcomodule direct summand of $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$; hence $E(i)$ is injective. Since obviously soc $E(i)=S(i)$, it follows that $E(i)$ is the injective envelope of $S(i)$.

To show that $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ is hereditary, it is enough to prove inj.dim ${ }_{T_{D}^{\square}(U)} S$ $\leq 1$ for each simple $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$-comodule $S(i)$ (see [18]). Consider the exact
sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow S(i) \rightarrow E(i) \rightarrow \bar{E}(i) \rightarrow 0
$$

of left $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$-comodules, where $\bar{E}(i)=E(i) / S(i)$. It follows that there are isomorphisms of left $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$-comodules

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{E}(i) & \cong(S(i) \square U) \oplus(S(i) \square U \square U) \oplus(S(i) \square U \square U \square U) \oplus \cdots \\
& \cong[S(i) \oplus(S(i) \square U) \oplus(S(i) \square U \square U) \oplus(S(i) \square U \square U \square U) \oplus \cdots] \square U \\
& \cong E(i) \square U .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $E(i) \square U$ is injective (see [8, Proposition 1]), so is $\bar{E}(i)$. This shows that $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ is hereditary.

Corollary 4.7. Assume that $H^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime \prime}$ are $K$-coalgebras and ${ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ is an $H^{\prime}$ - $H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule. Let $H=\left[\begin{array}{cc}H^{\prime} & H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}} \\ 0 & H^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right]$ be the bipartite coalgebra (2.1) and let $D=H^{\prime} \oplus H^{\prime \prime}$.
(a) The $H^{\prime}$ - $H^{\prime \prime}$-bicomodule structure on ${ }_{H^{\prime}} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}$ defines a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule structure on $U$ such that ${ }_{D} U \square_{D} U_{D}=0, T_{D}^{\square}(U)=D \oplus{ }_{D} U_{D}$, and $\left[\begin{array}{cc}h^{\prime} & u \\ 0 & h^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right] \mapsto\left(h^{\prime}, h^{\prime \prime}, u\right)$ defines an isomorphism $H \cong T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ of coalgebras.
(b) There are $K$-linear equivalences of categories
 where $\Phi$ and $\Theta$ are the equivalences (2.11) and (4.5), respectively.

Proof. (a) The first part of (a) is obvious. The equality ${ }_{D} U \square_{D} U_{D}=0$ follows immediately from the definition of the cotensor product, because of the definition of the right coaction of $H^{\prime}$ on ${ }_{D} U_{D}$ and the left coaction of $H^{\prime \prime}$ on ${ }_{D} U_{D}$. Now the remaining part of (a) easily follows.
(b) By (a), the coalgebras $H$ and $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ are isomorphic. Hence we get $H$-Comod $\cong T_{D}^{\square}(U)$-Comod. Since, according to Theorems 2.14 and 4.4, the functors $\Phi$ and $\Theta$ are $K$-linear equivalences of categories, they imply the equivalence $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(H^{\prime} U_{H^{\prime \prime}}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}^{\circlearrowleft}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ required in (b).

Let us now introduce the notion of trivial extension of a coalgebra.
Definition 4.8. Let $D$ be a $K$-coalgebra and ${ }_{D} U_{D}$ a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule. The trivial extension of $D$ by ${ }_{D} U_{D}$ is the coalgebra $D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}=(D \oplus U, \Delta, \varepsilon)$, where $\Delta(d, u)=\left(\Delta_{D}(d), \delta_{U}^{\prime}(u), \delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}(u), 0\right)$ and $\varepsilon(d, u)=\left(\varepsilon_{D}(d), 0\right)$ for all $d \in D$ and $u \in U$. Here we make the identification $(D \oplus U) \otimes(D \oplus U) \equiv$ $(D \otimes D) \oplus(D \otimes U) \oplus(U \otimes D) \oplus(U \otimes U)$.

Note that the $K$-linear map $(d, u) \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{ll}d & u \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right]$ defines an isomorphism

$$
D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D} \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & U \\
& \ \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right]=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
d & u \\
0 & d
\end{array}\right] ; d \in D, u \in U\right\} \subseteq\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & { }_{D} U_{D} \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right]
$$

of vector spaces. However, unless $U=0,\left[\begin{array}{c}D \\ U \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$ is not a subcoalgebra of the bipartite coalgebra $\left[\begin{array}{cc}D & D_{0} U_{D} \\ 0 & D\end{array}\right]$.

We denote by $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}^{(2)}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}^{\circ}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ whose objects are the pairs $(Y, \mu)$ such that $\mu^{(2)}=0$.

To describe the left valued Gabriel quiver of the trivial extension coalgebra $D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right){ }_{U}\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the quiver obtained from the valued quiver $\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right) \boldsymbol{■}_{U}\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right)$ (see (3.10)) of the bipartite coalgebra $\left[\begin{array}{cc}D & D^{U_{D}} \\ 0 & D\end{array}\right]$ by the identification of the left copy of $\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right)$ in $\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right) \boldsymbol{\square}_{U}\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right)$ with the right one, via the identification of the vertex $s^{\prime}$ with $s^{\prime \prime}$ and the arrow $s^{\prime} \rightarrow t^{\prime}$ with $s^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow t^{\prime \prime}$, for all $s, t \in{ }_{D} Q_{0}=I_{D}$. This operation is illustrated in Example 4.13 below.

Now we list some of the main properties of the coalgebra $C=D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$.
Proposition 4.10. Let $C=D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$ be the trivial extension of $a$ $K$-coalgebra $D$ by a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule ${ }_{D} U_{D}$.
(a) $C$ is isomorphic to the subcoalgebra $D \oplus{ }_{D} U_{D}$ of $T_{D}(U), D=D \ltimes 0$ is a subcoalgebra of $C=D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$, $\operatorname{soc} C=\operatorname{soc} D$, and $C_{1}=D_{1} \oplus U_{1}$, where $U_{1}=\operatorname{soc}_{D} U \cap \operatorname{soc} U_{D}$. If $D$ is semisimple then $C$ is coradical square complete.
(b) If $C$ is basic then the left valued Gabriel quiver $\left({ }_{C} Q,{ }_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)$ has the form

$$
\left({ }_{C} Q,{ }_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)=\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right){ }_{U}\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right)
$$

(c) The canonical coalgebra embedding $C \hookrightarrow T_{D}(U)$ induces an embedding $C$-Comod $\subseteq T_{D}(U)$-Comod and the equivalence $\Theta$ of (4.5) restricts to a K-linear equivalence of categories

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta: C-\operatorname{Comod} \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}^{(2)}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}^{\circlearrowleft}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) The K-linear map $\theta:\left[\begin{array}{cc}D & U_{D} \\ 0 & D\end{array}\right] \rightarrow D \ltimes_{D} U_{D}$, given by the formula $\left[\begin{array}{cc}d^{\prime} & u \\ 0 & d^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right] \mapsto\left(d^{\prime}+d^{\prime \prime}, u\right)$, is a coalgebra surjection. If

$$
\Theta_{+}: C \text {-Comod } \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & { }_{D} U_{D}  \tag{4.12}\\
0 & D
\end{array}\right]-\text { Comod }
$$

is the composite $K$-linear functor

$$
C-\operatorname{Comod} \underset{\cong}{\cong} \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}^{(2)}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \cong\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & { }_{D} U_{D} \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right]-\operatorname{Comod}
$$

then $\Theta_{+}$is a full, faithful, and exact embedding such that, for each $Y$ in $C$-Comod, $\Theta_{+}(Y)=(Y, \mu: Y \rightarrow U \square Y)$ and $\mu^{(2)}=0$.
Proof. (a) It is easy to see that the canonical inclusion $C=D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D} \hookrightarrow$ $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ is a coalgebra embedding and defines a coalgebra isomorphism of $C$ with the $D$-subcoalgebra $D \oplus{ }_{D} U_{D}$ of $T_{D}^{\square}(U)$ consisting of the sums of elements of degree 0 and 1 (see (4.1)). Hence the first part of (a) easily follows.

Now we show that $C_{1}=D_{1} \oplus U_{1}$, where $U_{1}=\operatorname{soc}_{D} U \cap \operatorname{soc} U_{D}$. We recall that $C_{1}=\Delta^{-1}\left(C_{0} \otimes C \oplus C \otimes C_{0}\right)$ and $C_{0}=D_{0} \oplus 0$. Then Definition 4.8 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(d)=\Delta_{D}(d) \in D \otimes D \quad \text { for } d \in D \\
& \Delta(u)=\left(\delta_{U}^{\prime}(u), \delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}(u)\right) \in D \otimes U \oplus U \otimes D \quad \text { for } u \in U
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $C_{1}=D_{1} \oplus U_{1}$. The final part of (a) follows from the previous one.
(b) We apply Proposition 3.5. By (a), $C_{1} / C_{0} \cong\left(D_{1} / D_{0}\right) \oplus U_{1}$. Let $H=\left[\begin{array}{cc}D & D_{0} U_{D} \\ 0 & D\end{array}\right]$ be the bipartite coalgebra and

$$
H_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D_{0} & 0 \\
0 & D_{0}
\end{array}\right]=\bigoplus_{j^{\prime} \in I_{D}} S\left(j^{\prime}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j^{\prime \prime} \in I_{D}} S\left(j^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Note that $H_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}D_{1} & U_{1} \\ 0 & D_{1}\end{array}\right]$ and $C_{0}=\bigoplus_{a \in I_{D}} S(a)=\bigoplus_{a \in I_{C}} S(a)$. It follows from the definition that

$$
\left\{a ; a \in I_{D}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{a^{\prime} ; a^{\prime} \in I_{D}\right\} \cup\left\{a^{\prime \prime} ; a^{\prime \prime} \in I_{D}\right\}
$$

are the sets of vertices of the left valued Gabriel quivers of $C$ and $H$, respectively. To describe the set of arrows of the quiver ( $C_{C} Q,{ }_{C} \mathbf{d}$ ), given a pair $a, b \in I_{D}=I_{C}$, we consider the vector space

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{a}\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right)_{b} & =S(a) \square\left(C_{1} / C_{0}\right) \square S(b) \\
& \cong\left(S(a) \square\left(D_{1} / D_{0}\right) \square S(b)\right) \oplus\left(S(a) \square U_{1} \square S(b)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of comultiplication in $C$ and $H$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a\left(D_{1} / D_{0}\right)_{b} & =S(a) \square\left(D_{1} / D_{0}\right) \square S(b) \cong S\left(a^{\prime}\right) \square\left(D_{1} / D_{0}\right) \square S\left(b^{\prime}\right) \\
& \cong S\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right) \square\left(D_{1} / D_{0}\right) \square S\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)=a^{\prime \prime}\left(D_{1} / D_{0}\right)_{b^{\prime \prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
S(a) \square U_{1} \square S(b) \cong S\left(a^{\prime}\right) \square U_{1} \square S\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Hence, by applying Proposition 3.5, we get (b).
(c) Note that the canonical coalgebra embedding

$$
D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}=D \oplus{ }_{D} U_{D} \hookrightarrow T_{D}^{\square}(U)
$$

induces an embedding $D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$-Comod $\subseteq T_{D}(U)$-Comod. By applying the definitions, it is easy to check that the equivalence

$$
\Theta:\left(D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)-\operatorname{Comod} \cong \operatorname{Rep}_{\square}^{\circlearrowleft}\left({ }_{D} U_{D}\right)
$$

(see (4.5)) restricts to the required $K$-linear equivalence of categories (4.11).
(d) The first statement follows by a direct calculation, and the second follows easily from the definitions.

Example 4.13. Let $C=K^{\square} Q$ be the hereditary path coalgebra of the infinite linear quiver

$$
Q: 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow s-1 \rightarrow s \rightarrow s+1 \rightarrow \cdots
$$

and let $H=\left[\begin{array}{ll}C_{C}^{C} C_{C} \\ 0 & C\end{array}\right]$ be the bipartite coalgebra (2.1), where we set $H^{\prime}=$ $H^{\prime \prime}=C$ and ${ }_{C} U_{C}={ }_{C} C_{C}$. Here ${ }_{C} C_{C}$ is viewed as a $C$ - $C$-bicomodule in the obvious way. It follows from Corollary 3.9 that the left Gabriel quiver of $H$ has the form


By Proposition 4.10, the left Gabriel quiver of $D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$ has the form


By applying the results in [31] and [33], one can show that there is a coalgebra isomorphism $H \cong K^{\square} I_{Q}$, where $I_{Q}$ is viewed as a poset and $K^{\square} I_{Q}$ is its incidence coalgebra. Hence, $H$-comod $\cong K^{\square} I_{Q^{-}}$comod is equivalent to the category $\operatorname{rep}_{K}\left(I_{Q}\right)$ of finite-dimensional $K$-linear representations of the poset $I_{Q}$.

Now, following [36] and [13], we define the repetitive coalgebra and its connection with the trivial extension coalgebra (4.8).

Definition 4.14. Let $\left(D, \Delta_{D}, \varepsilon_{D}\right)$ be a coalgebra and $U=\left({ }_{D} U_{D}, \delta_{U}^{\prime}, \delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule.
(a) The repetitive coalgebra of the pair $\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $K$ vector space

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)=\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(D^{(m)} \oplus U^{(m)}\right)  \tag{4.15}\\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\ldots & 0 & 0 & & \\
\ldots & 0 & \ldots & U_{D} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
\ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & D & { }_{D} U_{D} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
\ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & D & { }_{D} U_{D} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
\ldots & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & D & { }_{D} U_{D} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & .
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

with $D^{(m)}=D$ and $U^{(m)}={ }_{D} U_{D}$ in the $m$ th row, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, equipped with the coalgebra structure maps
$\widehat{\Delta}: \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \rightarrow \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \otimes \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ and $\widehat{\varepsilon}: \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \rightarrow K$ defined by:

- $\widehat{\Delta}(d)=\Delta_{D}(d) \in D^{(i)} \otimes D^{(i)}, \widehat{\varepsilon}(d)=\varepsilon_{D}(d)$, for $d \in D^{(i)}$, and
- $\widehat{\Delta}(u)=\left(\delta_{U}^{\prime}(u), \delta_{U}^{\prime \prime}(u)\right) \in D^{(i)} \otimes U^{(i)} \oplus U^{(i)} \otimes D^{(i+1)}, \widehat{\varepsilon}(u)=0$, for $u \in U^{(i)}$.
(b) The group $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers acts on $\Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ as a group of coalgebra automorphisms by the shift
$\nu: \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \rightarrow \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right), \quad D^{(m)} \oplus U^{(m)} \mapsto D^{(m+1)} \oplus U^{(m+1)}$, called the Nakayama automorphism of $\Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$.
It is easy to check that the $K$-linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \rightarrow D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\ldots,\left(d^{(-1)}, u^{(-1)}\right),\left(d^{(0)}, u^{(0)}\right),\left(d^{(1)}, u^{(1)}\right), \ldots\right) \\
&=\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} d^{(m)}, \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} u^{(m)}\right) \in D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D},
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left(d^{(m)}, u^{(m)}\right) \in D^{(m)} \oplus U^{(m)}$, is a coalgebra surjection, and induces a pair of $K$-linear functors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)-\operatorname{Comod} \underset{f_{\bullet}}{\stackrel{f^{\boldsymbol{\top}}}{\rightleftarrows}}\left(D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)-\operatorname{Comod} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined as follows. We define $f_{\bullet}$ by setting $f_{\bullet}(-)=\widehat{D} \square(-)$. Here the repetitive coalgebra $\widehat{D}=\Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ is viewed as a right $D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$-comodule and as a left $D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$-comodule with comultiplications

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\delta}_{r}=(\mathrm{id} \otimes f) \widehat{\Delta}: \widehat{D} \rightarrow \widehat{D} \otimes\left(D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \\
& \widehat{\delta}_{l}=(f \otimes \mathrm{id}) \widehat{\Delta}: \widehat{D} \rightarrow\left(D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) \otimes \widehat{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. The functor $f$ associates to any left $\widehat{D}$-comodule $\left(X, \delta_{X}\right)$ the left $\left(D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$-comodule $f^{\mathbf{v}}\left(X, \delta_{X}\right)=\left(X,(f \otimes \mathrm{id}) \delta_{X}\right)$. Given $h \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)$, we set $f^{\boldsymbol{\vee}}(h)=h: f^{\mathbf{v}}(X) \rightarrow f^{\vee}(Y)$.

Now we collect some of the main properties of the functors (4.17). In particular, $f$ is a Galois $\mathbb{Z}$-covering homomorphism and $f$ plays the role of a covering functor for comodule categories (see [11] and [29, (10.7)]).

Proposition 4.18. Let $D$ be a coalgebra, $U={ }_{D} U_{D}$ a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule, $D \ltimes U$ the trivial extension coalgebra (4.8), and $\Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded repetitive coalgebra (4.15) with the $\mathbb{Z}$-action defined above.
(a) The $K$-linear space $\Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) / \mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-orbits has a canonical coalgebra structure such that the $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant coalgebra surjection (4.16) induces a coalgebra isomorphism $\tilde{f}: \Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right) / \mathbb{Z} \xlongequal{\simeq} D \ltimes U$.
(b) The $K$-linear functor $f_{\bullet}$ in (4.17) is right adjoint to $f^{\boldsymbol{\nabla}}$.
(c) The $K$-linear functor $f$ in (4.17) is exact and faithful.

Proof. For simplicity of notation, we set $\widehat{D}=\Re\left(D,{ }_{D} U_{D}\right)$. The fact that (4.16) is a coalgebra surjection follows by a direct calculation, and we leave it to the reader.
(a) We define a coalgebra structure on $\widehat{D} / \mathbb{Z}$ by the linear maps $\bar{\Delta}$ : $\widehat{D} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \widehat{D} / \mathbb{Z} \otimes \widehat{D} / \mathbb{Z}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}: \widehat{D} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K$ given by $\bar{\varepsilon}(\mathbb{Z} * c)=\varepsilon(c)$ and $\bar{\Delta}(\mathbb{Z} * c)=\sum \mathbb{Z} * c_{(1)} \otimes \mathbb{Z} * c_{(2)}$, where $c \in \widehat{D}$ and $\widehat{\Delta}(c)=\sum c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$. It is straightforward to check that $\bar{\Delta}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}$ are well-defined and define a coalgebra structure on $\widehat{D} / \mathbb{Z}$.

A direct check shows that the coalgebra surjection $f: \widehat{D} \rightarrow D \ltimes U$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant. Hence it easily follows that $f$ induces the required coalgebra isomorphism $\widetilde{f}$.
(b) It follows from [40, Proposition 1.10] that $f_{\bullet}$ has a left adjoint functor. Given a left $\widehat{D}$-comodule $X$ and a left $(D \ltimes U)$-comodule $Z$, the $K$-linear map

$$
\widehat{\varepsilon}_{*}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\widehat{D}}(X, \widehat{D} \square Z) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{D \ltimes U}(f \mathbf{v}(X), Z)
$$

that associates to any $h \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\widehat{D}}(X, \widehat{D} \square Z)$ the homomorphism

$$
\widehat{\varepsilon}_{*}(h)=\left(\left(\varepsilon_{D \ltimes U} \circ f\right) \square \operatorname{id}_{Z}\right) \circ h: f^{\mathbf{v}}(X) \rightarrow Z
$$

of left $(D \ltimes U)$-comodules, is an isomorphism. The inverse $F$ of $\widehat{\varepsilon}_{*}$ is defined by the formula

$$
F\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{id}_{\widehat{D}} \otimes h^{\prime}\right) \circ \delta_{X}^{\widehat{D}}: X \rightarrow \widehat{D} \square Z
$$

for $h^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{D \ltimes U}(f \mathbf{V}(X), Z)$ (see [7, Theorem 1.5] for a proof). Since $\widehat{\varepsilon}_{*}$ is functorial with respect to comodule homomorphisms $X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ and $Z \rightarrow Z^{\prime}$, the functor $f$ 部 the right adjoint of $f_{\bullet}$, and (b) follows.

Since (c) follows from the definition of $f^{\mathbf{V}}$, the proof is complete.
5. A reduction functor for coradical square complete coalge-
bras. Assume that $C$ is a coradical square complete $K$-coalgebra, that is, $C=C_{1}=C_{0} \wedge C_{0}$, where $C_{0}=\operatorname{soc} C$. Following an idea of Gabriel [10], we associate with $C$ the bipartite coalgebra

$$
H_{C}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
C_{0} & \bar{C}  \tag{5.1}\\
0 & C_{0}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { with } \quad \bar{C}=C / C_{0}
$$

(see (2.1)) and a $K$-linear reduction functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}_{C}: C \text {-Comod } \rightarrow H_{C} \text {-Comod } \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined as follows. We view $\bar{C}=C / C_{0}$ as a $C_{0}$ - $C_{0}$-bicomodule and we make the identification $H_{C}$-Comod $=\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(C_{0} \bar{C}_{C_{0}}\right)$ via the functor $\Phi$ (see (2.8) and (2.15)). Then each left $H_{C}$-comodule $X$ is a triple $X=\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi_{X}\right)$ as in (2.11), where $X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}$ are left $C_{0}$-comodules and $\varphi_{X}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{C} \square X^{\prime \prime}$ is a homomorphism of left $C_{0}$-comodules. In particular, we make the identification

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\bar{C} \\
C_{0}
\end{array}\right]=\left(\bar{C}, C_{0}, j\right),
$$

where $j: \bar{C} \rightarrow \bar{C} \square C_{0}$ is the canonical isomorphism.
Note that, given $\left(X, \delta_{X}\right)$ in $C$-Comod, $X_{0}=\delta_{X}^{-1}\left(C_{0} \otimes X\right)$ is the socle of $X$. If $\delta_{0}$ is the restriction of $\delta_{X}$ to $X_{0}$ and $\pi: X \rightarrow \bar{X}=X / X_{0}$ is the projection on the quotient $C$-comodule ( $\bar{X}, \delta_{\bar{X}}$ ), then the diagram of left $C$-comodules

with exact rows is commutative, where $\pi_{C}$ is the canonical projection and $\bar{\delta}_{X}$ is induced by $\delta_{X}$. It follows that

$$
\delta_{0}\left(X_{0}\right) \subseteq C_{0} \square X_{0} \subseteq C_{0} \square X \quad \text { and } \quad X=\delta_{X}^{-1}\left(\left(C_{0} \otimes X\right)+\left(C \otimes X_{0}\right)\right),
$$

because $C=C_{0} \wedge C_{0}$. Consequently, $\bar{X}$ is a semisimple $C$-comodule and has a left $C_{0}$-comodule structure $\delta_{\bar{X}}: \bar{X} \rightarrow C_{0} \square \bar{X}$. Hence, we also conclude that $\left(\pi_{C} \square \pi\right) \delta_{X}=0$ and $(\operatorname{id} \square \pi) \bar{\delta}_{X}=0$, because

$$
X=\delta_{X}^{-1}\left(\left(C_{0} \otimes X\right)+\left(C \otimes X_{0}\right)\right), \quad(\operatorname{id} \square \pi) \bar{\delta}_{X} \pi=\left(\pi_{C} \square \pi\right) \delta_{X}=0
$$

and $\pi$ is surjective. Since the row of the commutative diagram

is exact and (id $\square \pi) \bar{\delta}_{X}=0$, there is a unique map $\varphi_{X}: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{C} \square X_{0}$ of left $C$-comodules such that $\bar{\delta}_{X}=(\mathrm{id} \square u) \varphi_{X}$, where $u: X_{0} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion. The left $C$-comodules $\bar{C}$ and $\bar{X}$ are semisimple, so they are left $C_{0}$-comodules and therefore $\varphi_{X}$ is a map of left $C_{0}$-comodules. Note that $\bar{C} \square_{C} X_{0}=\bar{C} \square_{C_{0}} X_{0}=\bar{C} \square X_{0}$ and there is a $K$-vector space decomposition $X \cong X_{0} \oplus \bar{X}$ of $X$.

The following lemma is of importance.
Lemma 5.5. Let $C$ be a coradical square complete coalgebra and ( $X, \delta_{X}$ ) be a left $C$-comodule. Under the identification $X=X_{0} \oplus \bar{X}$ and the notation above, the $C$-comodule structure map $\delta_{X}: X_{0} \oplus \bar{X} \rightarrow\left(C \otimes X_{0}\right) \oplus(C \otimes \bar{X})$ of $X$ has the form

$$
\delta_{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{0} & \bar{\varphi}_{X} \\
0 & \delta_{\bar{X}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\bar{\varphi}_{X}: \bar{X} \rightarrow C \otimes X_{0}$ is the composite $K$-linear map

$$
\bar{X} \hookrightarrow X_{0} \oplus \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\delta_{X}} C \square X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \square \pi_{X_{0}}} C \square X_{0} \hookrightarrow C \otimes X_{0}
$$

and $\left(\pi_{C} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{X}=\varphi_{X}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Im} \bar{\varphi}_{X} \cap\left(C_{0} \otimes X\right)=(0)$.
Proof. Consider the $K$-linear map

$$
\delta_{X}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\delta_{X}\right)_{1,1} & \left(\delta_{X}\right)_{1,2} \\
\left(\delta_{X}\right)_{2,1} & \left(\delta_{X}\right)_{2,2}
\end{array}\right]: X_{0} \oplus \bar{X} \rightarrow\left(C \otimes X_{0}\right) \oplus(C \otimes \bar{X})
$$

Since $\delta_{X}\left(X_{0}\right) \subseteq C_{0} \otimes X_{0}$, we have $\left(\delta_{X}\right)_{1,1}=\delta_{0}$ and $\left(\delta_{X}\right)_{2,1}=0$. By the definition of $\bar{X}$, we have $\delta_{\bar{X}} \pi=(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi) \delta_{X}$ and therefore $\left(\delta_{X}\right)_{2,2}=\delta_{\bar{X}}$. Finally, if $\bar{\varphi}_{X}=\left(\delta_{X}\right)_{1,2}: \bar{X} \rightarrow C \otimes X_{0}$ and $i: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion, then the equality $X_{0}=\delta_{X}^{-1}\left(C_{0} \otimes X\right)$ and the commutativity of the diagrams (5.3) and (5.4) yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\pi_{C} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{X} & =\left(\pi_{C} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{X_{0}}\right) \delta_{X} i=\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{X_{0}}\right)\left(\pi_{C} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right) \delta_{X} i \\
& =\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{X_{0}}\right) \bar{\delta}_{X} \pi i=\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_{X_{0}}\right)(\mathrm{id} \otimes u) \varphi_{X}=\varphi_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 5.6. We assume that $C=C_{1}$ and use the notation introduced above. We define the reduction functor (5.2) by associating with each left $C$-comodule ( $X, \delta_{X}$ ) the left $H_{C}$-comodule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}_{C}(X)=\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi_{X}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X^{\prime \prime}=X_{0}=\delta_{X}^{-1}\left(C_{0} \otimes X\right)=\operatorname{soc} X$ and $X^{\prime}=\bar{X}=X / X_{0}$ are viewed as left $C_{0}$-comodules (see (5.3)), and $\delta_{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\delta_{0} & \bar{\varphi}_{X} \\ 0 & \delta_{\bar{X}}\end{array}\right]$ is as in Lemma 5.5.

Given $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(X, Y)$, we define $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f): \mathbb{H}_{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{C}(Y)$ to be the pair $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $f^{\prime \prime}: X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ is the restriction of $f$ and $f^{\prime}: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ is induced by $f$.

We show that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)$ is an $H_{C}$-comodule homomorphism, by proving that the pair $\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a morphism in the category $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(C_{0} \bar{C}_{C_{0}}\right)$. We make the identifications $X=X_{0} \oplus \bar{X}$ and $Y=Y_{0} \oplus \bar{Y}$. Since $f: X_{0} \oplus \bar{X} \rightarrow Y_{0} \oplus \bar{Y}$ is a $C$-comodule homomorphism and $f\left(X_{0}\right) \subseteq Y_{0}, f$ has the matrix form

$$
f=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f^{\prime \prime} & f_{1,2} \\
0 & f^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\delta_{Y} f=(\mathrm{id} \otimes f) \delta_{X}$. By Lemma 5.5, we have $\delta_{0} f^{\prime \prime}=\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes f^{\prime \prime}\right) \delta_{0}, \delta_{\bar{Y}} f^{\prime}=$ $\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes f^{\prime}\right) \delta_{\bar{X}}$ and $\delta_{0} f_{1,2}+\bar{\varphi}_{Y} f^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes f^{\prime \prime}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{X}+\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes f_{1,2}\right) \delta_{\bar{X}}$, and therefore $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ are $C_{0}$-comodule homomorphisms. Since $\operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{0} f_{1,2}\right) \subseteq C_{0} \otimes Y$, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes f_{1,2}\right) \delta_{\bar{X}} \subseteq C_{0} \otimes Y, \operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{Y} f^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(C_{0} \otimes Y\right)=(0)$, and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes f^{\prime \prime}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{X}\right)$ $\cap\left(C_{0} \otimes Y\right)=(0)$, the final equality yields $\bar{\varphi}_{Y} f^{\prime}=\left(\right.$ id $\left.\otimes f^{\prime \prime}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{X}$ and our claim is proved.

The main properties of the functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ are collected in Theorem 5.11 below. To formulate it, we need the following definition (cf. Gabriel [10]).

Definition 5.8. Let $C$ be a basic coalgebra and let $\left({ }_{C} Q,{ }_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)$ be the left valued Gabriel quiver of $C$. The left separated valued quiver $\left({ }_{C} Q,{ }_{C}^{s} \mathbf{d}\right)$ of $C$ is defined as follows. The set $C^{s} Q_{0}$ of vertices is the disjoint union $C_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime} \cup_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ of two copies of ${ }_{C} Q_{0}$, where ${ }_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{i^{\prime} ; i \in I_{C}\right\}$ and ${ }_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{j^{\prime \prime} ; j \in I_{C}\right\}$. Given two vertices $a, b \in{ }_{C}^{s} Q_{0}={ }_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime} \cup{ }_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, there exists a unique valued arrow

$$
a \xrightarrow{\left(c^{s} d_{a b}^{\prime}, c^{s} d_{a b}^{\prime \prime}\right)} b
$$

if and only if $a=i^{\prime}$ with $i^{\prime} \in{ }_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime}, b=j^{\prime \prime}$ with $j^{\prime \prime} \in{ }_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, and there exists a valued arrow

$$
i \xrightarrow{\left(c d_{i j}^{\prime}, c d_{i j}^{\prime \prime}\right)} j
$$

in $\left({ }_{C} Q,{ }_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)$. We set ${ }_{C} d_{a b}^{\prime}={ }_{C} d_{i j}^{\prime}$ and ${ }_{C} d_{a b}^{\prime \prime}={ }_{C} d_{i j}^{\prime \prime}$.
It follows that the valued quiver $\left({ }_{C}^{s} Q,{ }_{C}^{s} \mathbf{d}\right)$ has no loops, no valued arrows between the vertices in $C_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime}$, between the vertices in ${ }_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, and no valued arrow from a vertex $a \in{ }_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ to $b \in{ }_{C} Q_{0}^{\prime}$.

To formulate the next result, we define the stable categories of $C$-Comod and $C$-comod to be the quotient categories

$$
\begin{equation*}
C-\overline{\text { Comod }}=C-\operatorname{Comod} / \mathcal{I} \quad \text { and } \quad C-\overline{\text { comod }}=C-\operatorname{comod} / \mathcal{I} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

modulo the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $C$-Comod and $C$-comod, respectively, consisting of all $C$-comodule homomorphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ having a factorisation through an injective comodule $E$ in $C$-Comod. More precisely, the objects of $C$-Comod and $C$-comod are the same as in $C$-Comod and $C$-comod, respectively, and the space of morphisms from $X$ to $Y$ in the quotient category is the quotient $K$-vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{C}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(X, Y) / \mathcal{I}(X, Y), \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}(X, Y)$ is formed by all $f: X \rightarrow Y$ that have a factorisation through an injective in $C$-Comod (see [2]).

We denote by $H_{C^{-}}$Comod $_{\text {sp }}^{\bullet}$ the full subcategory of $H_{C^{-}}$Comod whose objects are $H_{C}$-comodules $X$ such that soc $X$ is projective and has no injective summands of the form $\left[\begin{array}{c}S\left(i^{\prime}\right) \\ 0\end{array}\right]$, where $S\left(i^{\prime}\right)$ is a simple $C_{0}$-comodule.

Theorem 5.11. Assume that $C$ is a basic coradical square complete $K$ coalgebra. Let

$$
H_{C}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
C_{0} & \bar{C} \\
0 & C_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

be the associated bipartite coalgebra (5.1), with $C_{0}=\operatorname{soc} C$ and $\bar{C}=C / C_{0}$.
(a) $H_{C}$ is basic, hereditary, coradical square complete, and every simple $C$-comodule is projective or injective.
(b) The reduction functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}: C$-Comod $\rightarrow H_{C}$-Comod of (5.2) is $K$ linear, full, additive, commutes with arbitrary direct sums and has the following properties:
(b1) Given a Comodule homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we have $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=0$ if and only if $f(\operatorname{soc} X)=0$. In particular, the kernel of the algebra surjection $\operatorname{End}_{C} X \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{H_{C}} \mathbb{H}_{C}(X), f \mapsto \mathbb{H}_{C}(f)$, equals $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(X / \operatorname{soc} X, X)$. If $X, Y$ have no injective direct summands then $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=0$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$.
(b2) $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ does not vanish on non-zero comodules, carries ${ }_{C} C$ to the left coideal $\left[\begin{array}{c}\bar{C} \\ C_{0}\end{array}\right]$ of $H_{C}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}C_{0} & \bar{C} \\ 0 & C_{0}\end{array}\right]$ and carries simple comodules to simple ones.
(b3) A comodule $X=\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ in $H_{C}$-comod lies in $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}_{C}$ if and only if $\varphi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{C} \square X^{\prime \prime}$ is a monomorphism.
(b4) An indecomposable comodule $X$ in $H_{C}$-comod does not belong to $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}_{C}$ if and only if $X$ is simple injective of the form $\left[\begin{array}{c}S^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right) \\ 0\end{array}\right]$, where $S^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right)$ is a simple subcomodule of $C$.
(b5) $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}_{C}=H_{C}$ - Comod $_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}$.
(c) The functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ defines a representation equivalence (see [27], [38])

$$
\mathbb{H}_{C}: C \text {-Comod } \rightarrow H_{C}-\operatorname{Comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet} \subseteq H_{C} \text {-Comod }
$$

and carries indecomposable C-comodules to indecomposable ones.
(d) A C-comodule $E$ is injective if and only if $\mathbb{H}_{C}(E)$ is an injective $H_{C}$-comodule. Moreover, the functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ induces

- an isomorphism $F_{a}=\operatorname{End}_{C} S(a) \cong \operatorname{End}_{H_{C}} \mathbb{H}_{C}(S(a))$ of division rings for each $a \in I_{C}$,
- equivalences of stable categories

$$
C-\overline{\mathrm{Comod}} \cong H_{C^{-}} \overline{\mathrm{Comod}} \quad \text { and } \quad C-\overline{\mathrm{comod}} \cong H_{C^{-}} \overline{\mathrm{comod}}
$$

(e) The left valued Gabriel quiver of the hereditary coalgebra $H_{C}$ is the left separated valued quiver $\left(C^{s} Q,{ }_{C}^{s} \mathbf{d}\right)$ of $C$.

Proof. Throughout the proof, we make the identification $H_{C}$-Comod $=$ $\operatorname{Rep}_{\square}\left(C_{0} \bar{C}_{C_{0}}\right)$ via the functor $\Phi$ of (2.8) and (2.15) (see Theorem 2.14).
(a) Apply Theorem 2.16.
(b) That $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ is additive and commutes with arbitrary direct sums follows immediately from its definition.

Now we prove that $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ is full. Let $X, Y$ be $C$-comodules and $\mathbb{H}_{C}(X)=$ $\left(\bar{X}, X_{0}, \varphi_{X}\right), \mathbb{H}_{C}(Y)=\left(\bar{Y}, Y_{0}, \varphi_{Y}\right)$. Given a homomorphism $\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right): \mathbb{H}_{C}(X)$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{C}(Y)$ of $H_{C}$-comodules, we define a $K$-linear map

$$
f=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
0 & f^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]: X \cong X_{0} \oplus \bar{X} \rightarrow Y \cong Y_{0} \oplus \bar{Y}
$$

We claim that $f$ is a $C$-comodule homomorphism such that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Indeed,

$$
\delta_{Y} \circ f=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{0} & \bar{\varphi}_{Y} \\
0 & \delta_{\bar{Y}}
\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
0 & f^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{0} f^{\prime \prime} & \bar{\varphi}_{Y} f^{\prime} \\
0 & \delta_{\bar{Y}} f^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

On the other hand,
$(I \otimes f) \circ \delta_{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}I \otimes f^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\ 0 & I \otimes f^{\prime}\end{array}\right] \circ\left[\begin{array}{cc}\delta_{0} & \bar{\varphi}_{X} \\ 0 & \delta_{\bar{X}}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\left(I \otimes f^{\prime \prime}\right) \delta_{0} & \left(I \otimes f^{\prime \prime}\right) \bar{\varphi}_{X} \\ 0 & \left(I \otimes f^{\prime}\right) \delta_{\bar{X}}\end{array}\right]$.
Since $\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an $H_{C}$-comodule homomorphism, $\delta_{Y} \circ f=(I \otimes f) \circ \delta_{X}$ and our claim follows, because the equality $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is obvious. This shows that $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ is full.
(b1) If $X$ is a non-zero $C$-comodule, then $X_{0}=\operatorname{soc} X \neq 0$ and therefore $\mathbb{H}_{C}(X) \neq 0$.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-zero $C$-homomorphism such that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=0$. By the definition of $\mathbb{H}_{C}$, we get $X_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} f$. Conversely, let $X_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} f$; then $f_{\mid X_{0}}=0$. Since $C=C_{0} \wedge C_{0}$, the left $C$-comodule $X / X_{0}$ is semisimple. Therefore $\operatorname{Im} f \cong X / \operatorname{Ker} f$ is semisimple and $\operatorname{Im} f \subseteq Y_{0}$. Consequently, $\bar{f}=0$ and $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=\left(\bar{f}, f_{\mid X_{0}}\right)=0$.

To prove the second statement in (b1), assume that $X$ and $Y$ are $C$ comodules having no injective direct summands. Let $f \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$, that is, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $C$-comodule homomorphism that factorises through an injective $C$-comodule $E$. Let $g: X \rightarrow E$ and $h: E \rightarrow Y$ be $C$-comodule homomorphisms such that $f=h g$. Assume, to the contrary, that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f) \neq 0$. By the above considerations, $f\left(X_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and therefore $h g\left(X_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Since $g\left(X_{0}\right) \subseteq E_{0}$, we have $0 \neq h\left(E_{0}\right) \subseteq Y$. There exists an indecomposable direct summand $E^{\prime}$ of $E$ such that $0 \neq h\left(E_{0}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq Y$. If Ker $h_{\mid E^{\prime}} \neq 0$ then the simple $C$-comodule $E_{0}^{\prime}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Ker} h_{\mid E^{\prime}}$ and therefore $h\left(E_{0}^{\prime}\right)=0$,
a contradiction. This proves that $h_{\mid E^{\prime}}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ is a monomorphism. Since $E^{\prime}$ is injective, it is a direct summand of $Y$, contrary to our assumption. Consequently, $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=0$.

Conversely, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be such that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=0$. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow X / X_{0}$ be the natural projection. By the first part of (b1) we have $f\left(X_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore $f=g \pi$ for some homomorphism $g: X / X_{0} \rightarrow Y$. Assume that $j: X \rightarrow E(X)$ is the injective envelope of $X$. Applying standard arguments we can construct commutative diagram with exact rows

where $h$ is a monomorphism and the comodules $\bar{X}=X / X_{0}, E(X) / X_{0}$ are semisimple (because $C$ is coradical square complete). Therefore there exists a homomorphism $h_{1}: E(X) / X_{0} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that $h_{1} h=\operatorname{id}_{\bar{X}}$, and hence $f=g \pi=g h_{1} h \pi=g h_{1} \pi_{1} j \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$.
(b2) It was shown in the proof of (b1) that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(X) \neq 0$ if $X \neq 0$. By the definition of $\mathbb{H}_{C}$, we know that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(C)=\left[\begin{array}{c}\bar{C} \\ C_{0}\end{array}\right]$. Moreover, for any simple $C$-comodule $S, \mathbb{H}_{C}(S)=(0, S, 0)=\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ S\end{array}\right]$ is a simple $H_{C}$-comodule, by Theorem 2.16.
(b3) Take a $C$-comodule $X$ and consider $\mathbb{H}_{C}(X)=\left(\bar{X}, X_{0}, \varphi_{X}\right)$. Note that $\bar{\delta}_{X}$ (defined in (5.3)) is a monomorphism. Indeed, assume that $\bar{\delta}_{X}(x)$ $=0$ for some $x \in \bar{X}$. Then there exists $y \in X$ such that $\pi(y)=x$ and $\left(\pi_{C} \square \mathrm{id}\right) \delta_{X}(y)=\bar{\delta}_{X}(y)=0$. It follows that $\delta_{X}(y) \in C_{0} \square X$ and $y \in X_{0}$. Finally, $0=\pi(y)=x$ and $\bar{\delta}_{X}$ is a monomorphism. Therefore, by the definition, $\varphi_{X}$ is a monomorphism. Conversely, let $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ be an $H_{C}$-comodule such that $\varphi$ is a monomorphism. Let $X$ be the $K$-vector space $X=X^{\prime \prime} \oplus X^{\prime}$. Note that there is an isomorphism of vector spaces $C \cong C_{0} \oplus C / C_{0}$. It is easy to see that the $K$-linear map

$$
\delta_{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{X^{\prime \prime}} & \varphi \\
0 & \delta_{X^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]: X^{\prime \prime} \oplus X^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(C \otimes X^{\prime \prime}\right) \oplus\left(C \otimes X^{\prime}\right)
$$

defines a $C$-comodule structure on $X$. Since $\varphi$ is a monomorphism, we have $\operatorname{soc} X=X^{\prime \prime}$ and therefore $\mathbb{H}_{C}(X)=\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ (see Lemma 5.5).
(b4) The proof above shows that the $H_{C}$-comodules of the form $\left(X^{\prime}, 0,0\right)$, where $X^{\prime} \neq 0$, are not in $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}_{C}$. Conversely, let $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ be an $H_{C^{-}}$ comodule such that $\varphi$ is not a monomorphism. Then there exists a non-zero direct summand of $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ of the form $\left(Y^{\prime}, 0,0\right)$, namely $(\operatorname{Ker} \varphi, 0,0)$. Hence (b4) follows, because $C_{0}$ is a semisimple $K$-coalgebra.
(b5) follows from (b3), (b4), and Theorem 2.16.
(c) We recall that an additive functor is said to be a representation equivalence (or epivalence, see [12]) if it is full, dense, and respects isomorphisms (see [27], [28], and [38]). By (b), the functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}: C$-comod $\rightarrow H_{C}$-comod ${ }_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}$ is full and dense. To show that $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ reflects isomorphisms, assume that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $C$-homomorphism in $C$-Comod such that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f)=\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $f^{\prime \prime}: X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ and $f^{\prime}: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ are isomorphisms. Hence, in view of the Snake Lemma, $f$ is an isomorphism and the first part of (c) follows.

To finish the proof of (c), assume that $X$ is an indecomposable $C$ comodule but $\mathbb{H}_{C}(X) \cong \bar{Y} \oplus \bar{Z}$ decomposes. By (b4), the $H_{C}$-comodules $\bar{Y}$ and $\bar{Z}$ lie in the image of $\mathbb{H}_{C}$. Therefore there exist $C$-comodules $Y$ and $Z$ such that $\bar{Y} \cong \mathbb{H}_{C}(Y)$ and $\bar{Z} \cong \mathbb{H}_{C}(Z)$. Hence $\mathbb{H}_{C}(X) \cong \mathbb{H}_{C}(Y \oplus Z)$, because $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ is additive. Since we have shown that $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ reflects isomorphisms, the $C$-comodule $X \cong Y \oplus Z$ decomposes, a contradiction.
(d) Let $E$ be an indecomposable injective $C$-comodule. There exists a $C$ comodule $E^{\prime}$ such that $E \oplus E^{\prime} \cong C$. Then $\mathbb{H}_{C}(C) \cong \mathbb{H}_{C}\left(E \oplus E^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathbb{H}_{C}(E) \oplus$ $\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{C}(E)$ is a direct summand of $\mathbb{H}_{C}(C)$. By (b2) and (2.5) the $H_{C}$-comodule $\mathbb{H}_{C}(E)$ is injective.

Conversely, let $\mathbb{H}_{C}(E)$ be an indecomposable injective $H_{C}$-comodule. By (b4), there exists an $H_{C}$-comodule $\bar{X}$ such that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(E) \oplus \bar{X} \cong\left[\begin{array}{c}\bar{C} \\ C_{0}\end{array}\right]$ and there exists a $C$-comodule $X$ such that $\mathbb{H}_{C}(X) \cong \bar{X}$. Therefore $\mathbb{H}_{C}(C) \cong$ $\mathbb{H}_{C}(E \oplus X)$. Since $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ reflects isomorphisms, we have $C \cong E \oplus X$, and hence $E$ is injective.

The first item in the final part of (d) follows from the first one and (b). To finish the proof of (d), we note that $\mathbb{H}_{C}: C$-Comod $\rightarrow H_{C}$-Comod induces the functors

$$
\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{C}: C \text { - } \overline{\mathrm{Comod}} \longrightarrow H_{C} \overline{\text { Comod }} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{\mathbb{H}}_{C}: C \text { - } \overline{\operatorname{comod}} \longrightarrow H_{C} \overline{\mathrm{comod}}
$$

that are full (by (c)) and dense, because $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ carries injectives to injectives and all non-injective comodules in $H_{C}$-Comod are in $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}_{C}$, by (b4). It remains to show that $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{C}$ is faithful. Let $\bar{f}: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a morphism in $C$ - $\overline{\text { Comod }}$ with $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(X, Y)$ such that $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{C}(\bar{f})=0$. We can assume that $X$ and $Y$ have no non-zero injective summands. Then $\mathbb{H}_{C}(f): \mathbb{H}_{C}(X) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{H}_{C}(Y)$ has a factorisation $\mathbb{H}_{C}(X) \xrightarrow{g_{1}} Z \xrightarrow{g_{2}} \mathbb{H}_{C}(Y)$, where $Z$ is an injective $\mathbb{H}_{C}$-comodule. By (c) and the first part of $(\mathrm{d}), Z \cong \mathbb{H}_{C}(E)$, where $E$ is an injective $C$-comodule, and there exist $C$-comodule homomorphisms $X \xrightarrow{f_{1}}$ $E \xrightarrow{f_{2}} Y$ such that $\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(f_{1}\right)=g_{1}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(f_{2}\right)=g_{2}$. It follows that $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ vanishes on $h=f-g_{2} g_{1}: X \rightarrow Y$ and, by (b1), $h \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$. Hence $f=h+g_{2} g_{1} \in \mathcal{I}(X, Y)$ and therefore $\bar{f}$ is zero in the quotient category $C$-Comod. This shows that the functor $\overline{\mathbb{H}}_{C}$ is faithful, and consequently, it is an equivalence of categories.
(e) We apply Corollary 3.8 to $H=H_{C}$. In this case, we have

$$
H^{\prime}=C_{0}, \quad H^{\prime \prime}=C_{0}, \quad U=\bar{C}=C / C_{0}, \quad I_{H^{\prime}}=I_{C}, \quad I_{H^{\prime \prime}}=I_{C}
$$

In the notation of (3.11), given $s^{\prime}=s \in I_{H^{\prime}}=I_{C}$ and $s^{\prime}=s \in I_{H^{\prime}}=I_{C}$, we have ${ }_{s^{\prime}} U_{t^{\prime \prime}}={ }_{s}\left(C / C_{0}\right)_{t}$. Hence, (e) follows from Corollary 3.8, Proposition 3.5 and the definition of the separated Gabriel valued quiver of $C$.

Following [38, Remark XIX.1.13] and the proof of the previous theorem, we construct a functor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}: H_{C}-\operatorname{comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow C \text {-comod } \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows. Given an $H_{C^{-}}$-comodule $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ in $H_{C}$ - $\operatorname{comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}=\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}_{C}$, we set

$$
\mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)=\left(X^{\prime \prime} \oplus X^{\prime},\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\delta_{X^{\prime \prime}} & \varphi \\
0 & \delta_{X^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

and given a homomorphism $\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right):\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) \rightarrow\left(Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ in the category $H_{C}-\operatorname{comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}$, we set $\mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}\left(f^{\prime}, f^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}f^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\ 0 & f^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$. It is clear that we have defined a covariant $K$-linear functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}$. Now we collect its main properties.

Corollary 5.13. Assume that $C$ is a basic coradical square complete $K$ coalgebra. Under the notation and assumptions of Theorem 5.11, the functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}: H_{C}$-comod ${ }_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow C$-Comod has the following properties.
(a) $\mathbb{H}_{C} \circ \mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}$ is isomorphic to the identity functor on $H_{C}$-comod ${ }_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}$.
(b) $\mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}$ is faithful, exact, carries indecomposables to indecomposables, and non-isomorphic comodules to non-isomorphic ones.
Proof. (a) This follows from the proof of Theorem 5.11(b).
(b) Obviously, $\mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}$ is faithful and exact. Let $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ be an object in $H_{C}-\operatorname{comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}=\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}_{C}$ and assume that $X=\mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}\left(\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)\right) \cong Y \oplus Z$ for some non-zero $C$-comodules $Y$ and $Z$. By (a), we have

$$
\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right) \cong \mathbb{H}_{C} \circ \mathbb{H}_{C}^{\bullet}\left(\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)\right) \cong \mathbb{H}_{C}(Y \oplus Z) \cong \mathbb{H}_{C}(Y) \oplus \mathbb{H}_{C}(Z)
$$

It follows that $\left(X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, \varphi\right)$ is decomposable, because by Theorem 5.11 (b2) the functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ does not vanish on non-zero objects. Since the final part of (b) is a consequence of (a), the proof is complete.
6. Applications. We recall from [20], [29] and [30] that a $K$-coalgebra $C$ is said to be left pure semisimple if every left $C$-comodule is a direct sum of finite-dimensional $C$-comodules (see also [23], [24], and [25]).

The following characterisation of left pure semisimple coalgebras is of importance.

Theorem 6.1. Assume that $C$ is a $K$-coalgebra. The following conditions are equivalent.
(a) $C$ is left pure semisimple.
(b) For every infinite sequence $N_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} N_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} \cdots$ of non-zero monomorphisms between indecomposables in $C$-comod there exists $m_{0} \geq 1$ such that $f_{j}$ is an isomorphism for all $j \geq m_{0}$.
(c) For every infinite sequence $N_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} N_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} \cdots$ of non-zero non-isomorphisms between indecomposables in $C$-comod there exists $m_{0} \geq 1$ such that $f_{j} \ldots f_{1}=0$ for all $j \geq m_{0}$.

Proof. Apply [21, Theorem 3.1] and [22, Theorem 6.3] to $\mathcal{A}=C$-Comod (see also [29, Theorem 7.2]).

The following result shows that the reduction functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ respects pure semisimplicity.

Proposition 6.2. Assume that $C$ is a basic coradical square complete $K$-coalgebra and let $H_{C}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}C_{0} & \bar{C} \\ 0 & C_{0}\end{array}\right]$ be the associated bipartite hereditary coalgebra, with $C_{0}=\operatorname{soc} C$ and $\bar{C}=C / \operatorname{soc} C$. The following conditions are equivalent.
(a) $C$ is left pure semisimple.
(b) $H_{C}$ is left pure semisimple.
(c) $H_{C}$ is a direct sum of finite-dimensional coalgebras of finite comodule type.
(d) The left separated valued quiver $\left(C^{s} Q,{ }_{C}^{s} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is a disjoint union of Dynkin valued quivers, that is, finite valued quivers whose underlying graphs are Dynkin diagrams of one of the types $\mathbb{A}_{n}(n \geq 1)$, $\mathbb{B}_{n}(n \geq 2), \mathbb{C}_{n}(n \geq 3), \mathbb{D}_{n}(n \geq 4), \mathbb{E}_{6}, \mathbb{E}_{7}, \mathbb{E}_{8}, \mathbb{F}_{4}$ or $\mathbb{G}_{2}$ (see [14, Table 2]).

Proof. We prove that (a) implies (b) by applying Theorem 6.1. Assume that $C$ is a basic left pure semisimple coalgebra and

$$
Y_{1} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_{1}} Y_{2} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_{2}} \cdots
$$

is a sequence of non-zero non-isomorphisms between finite-dimensional indecomposable left $H_{C}$-comodules. We may assume that no $Y_{i}$ is simple injective, because otherwise some $\bar{f}_{i}$ is zero or an isomorphism, contrary to assumption.

By Theorem 5.11(b), this sequence lies in $H_{C}-\operatorname{comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}=\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}_{C}$. By Theorem 5.11 (c), for each $i \geq 1$, there exists an indecomposable $C$-comodule $X_{i}$ in $C$-comod and a non-zero non-isomorphism $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(X_{i}, X_{i+1}\right)$ such
that $\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(X_{i}\right)=Y_{i}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(f_{i}\right)=\bar{f}_{i}$. Thus we have a sequence

$$
X_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} X_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} \cdots
$$

of non-zero non-isomorphisms between finite-dimensional indecomposable $C$-comodules. Since $C$ is left pure semisimple, there exists $m_{0} \geq 1$ such that $f_{j} \ldots f_{1}=0$ for all $j \geq m_{0}$; hence $\bar{f}_{j} \ldots \bar{f}_{1}=0$ for all $j \geq m_{0}$. Then, in view of Theorem 6.1, $H_{C}$ is left pure semisimple.

To prove that (b) implies (a), assume that $H_{C}$ is left pure-semisimple. Let

$$
X_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} X_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} \cdots
$$

be a sequence of non-zero monomorphisms between finite-dimensional indecomposable $C$-comodules. It follows that $f_{m} \ldots f_{1}\left(\operatorname{soc} X_{1}\right) \neq 0$ for each $m \geq 1$, and, according to Theorem 5.10, $\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(f_{m} \ldots f_{1}\right)=\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(f_{m}\right) \ldots \mathbb{H}_{C}\left(f_{1}\right)$ : $\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(X_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{C}\left(X_{m}\right)$ is non-zero. By Theorem 5.10 , the sequence

$$
Y_{1} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_{1}} Y_{2} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_{2}} \cdots
$$

with $Y_{i}=\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(X_{i}\right), \bar{f}_{i}=\mathbb{H}_{C}\left(f_{i}\right)$ in $H_{C}$-comod $_{\text {sp }}^{\bullet}$ consists of indecomposable comodules connected by non-zero homomorphisms. The observation made above yields $\bar{f}_{n} \ldots \bar{f}_{1} \neq 0$ for each $n \geq 1$. Since $H_{C}$ is pure semisimple, there exists $i_{0}$ such that $\bar{f}_{n}$ is an isomorphism for any $n \geq i_{0}$. Hence, $f_{n}$ is an isomorphism for any $n \geq i_{0}$, because $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ reflects isomorphisms by Theorem 5.10 (c). Consequently, $C$ is left pure semisimple by Theorem 6.1, and therefore (a) and (b) are equivalent.

To prove $(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$, it is sufficient to show that the left pure semisimplicity of $H_{C}$ implies (c), because the converse follows from [29, Theorem 7.5].

Assume that $H_{C}$ is left pure semisimple and decompose it into a direct sum

$$
H_{C}=\bigoplus_{\beta \in T} H_{\beta}
$$

of indecomposable coalgebras $H_{\beta}$. It follows that, for each $\beta \in T$, the left valued Gabriel quiver $\left(H_{\beta} Q, H_{\beta} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is a connected component of $\left(H_{C} Q, H_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)$ (see [29, Corollary 8.7] and [32, Corollary 2.8]). Since $H_{C}$ is hereditary and left pure semisimple, so is $H_{\beta}$ for each $\beta \in T$. Then, according to [14, Theorem 4.14] (see also [20] and [29]), either the quiver $\left(H_{\beta} Q, H_{\beta} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is one of the infinite pure semisimple locally Dynkin valued quivers $\mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{(s)}, \infty \mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{(s)}, \mathbb{B}_{\infty}^{(s)}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}^{(s)}$ or $\mathbb{D}_{\infty}^{(s)}$, with $s \geq 0$, presented in [14, Table 1], or $\left(H_{\beta} Q, H_{\beta} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is finite and its underlying valued graph is one of the Dynkin valued diagrams $\mathbb{A}_{n}$ $(n \geq 1), \mathbb{B}_{n}(n \geq 2), \mathbb{C}_{n}(n \geq 3), \mathbb{D}_{n}(n \geq 4), \mathbb{E}_{6}, \mathbb{E}_{7}, \mathbb{E}_{8}, \mathbb{F}_{4}$ or $\mathbb{G}_{2}$ presented in [14, Table 2].

Since every infinite pure semisimple locally Dynkin valued quiver contains an infinite chain of the form $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet \bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \cdots$, it follows that $\left(H_{\beta} Q, H_{\beta} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is not infinite, because $\left(H_{C} Q, H_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is the separated valued quiver $\left({ }_{C}^{s} Q, C^{s} \mathbf{d}\right)$ of $C$, by Theorem $5.11(\mathrm{~d})$, the quiver $\left(H_{\beta} Q, H_{\beta} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is a connected subquiver of $\left(H_{C} Q, H_{C} \mathbf{d}\right)=\left(c^{s} Q,{ }_{C}^{s} \mathbf{d}\right)$, and it follows from the definition of separated valued quiver that it does not contain infinite chains of the above form. Consequently, $\left(H_{\beta} Q, H_{\beta} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is finite and the underlying valued graph of $\left(H_{\beta} Q, H_{\beta} \mathbf{d}\right)$ is one of the Dynkin valued diagrams. It follows that $\operatorname{dim}_{K} H_{\beta}$ is finite and, by [29, Theorem 7.5], the coalgebra $H_{\beta}$ is of finite comodule type for each $\beta \in T$. This finishes the proof of $(b) \Leftrightarrow(c)$. Since this also shows that (c) and (d) are equivalent, the proposition is proved.

Corollary 6.3. Let $C=D \ltimes{ }_{D} U_{D}$ be the trivial extension of a basic semisimple coalgebra $D$ by a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule ${ }_{D} U_{D}$.
(a) $C$ is coradical square complete, the associated bipartite coalgebra $H_{C}$ is the hereditary coalgebra $\left[\begin{array}{cc}D & D^{U_{D}} \\ 0 & D\end{array}\right]$ and the reduction functor $\mathbb{H}_{C}$ : $C$-comod $\rightarrow H_{C}$-comod ${ }_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}$ is a representation equivalence.
(b) The left valued Gabriel quiver of $C$ has the form $\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right){ }_{U}$ $\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right)($ see (4.9)), that is, it is obtained from the valued quiver $\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right) \varpi_{U}\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right)($ see $(3.10))$ of the bipartite coalgebra $\left[\begin{array}{cc}D & U_{D} \\ 0 & D\end{array}\right]$ by the identification of the vertex $s^{\prime}$ with the vertex $s^{\prime \prime}$ and the arrow $s^{\prime} \rightarrow t^{\prime}$ with the arrow $s^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow t^{\prime \prime}$ in $\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right) \varpi_{U}\left({ }_{D} Q,{ }_{D} \mathbf{d}\right)$, for all $s, t \in{ }_{D} Q_{0}=I_{D}$.
(c) $C$ is left pure semisimple if and only if $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\begin{array}{c}D \\ 0\end{array} & U_{D} \\ 0 & D\end{array}\right]$ is left pure semisimple, and if and only if the left separated valued quiver of $C$ is a disjoint union of Dynkin valued quivers.
Proof. Apply Proposition 4.10, Theorem 5.11, and Proposition 6.2. ■
Example 6.4. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers and let

$$
C=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K e_{n} \oplus \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K \eta_{m}
$$

be a $K$-vector space with a countable basis $\left\{e_{n}, \eta_{m}\right\}_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the comultiplication $\Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ and the counit $\varepsilon: C \rightarrow K$, defined by the formulae:

- $\Delta\left(e_{n}\right)=e_{n} \otimes e_{n}$ and $\Delta\left(\eta_{m}\right)=e_{m} \otimes \eta_{m}+\eta_{m} \otimes e_{m+1}$,
- $\varepsilon\left(e_{n}\right)=1$ and $\varepsilon\left(\eta_{m}\right)=0$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is straightforward to check that $C=(C, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a basic $K$-coalgebra, $C_{0}=\operatorname{soc} C=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S(n)$, where $S(n)=K e_{n}$ is a simple subcoalgebra of $C$, and $C=C_{1}=C_{0} \wedge C_{0}$, that is, $C$ is coradical square complete.

It is easy to check that, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}(S(i), S(i+1)) \cong K$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{C}^{1}(S(i), S(j))=0$ for $j \neq i+1$. It follows that the separated valued
quiver $\left({ }_{C}^{s} Q, C^{s} \mathbf{d}\right)$ has the form

and, by Proposition $6.2, C$ is left pure semisimple.
Note also that $C$ is isomorphic to the trivial extension coalgebra $D \ltimes$ ${ }_{D} U_{D}$, where $D=\operatorname{soc} C$ is a basic semisimple subcoalgebra of $C$ and ${ }_{D} U_{D}=$ $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K \eta_{m} \subseteq C$ is viewed as a $D$ - $D$-bicomodule in the obvious way.

It follows from Theorem 5.11 and Corollary 6.3 that the left Gabriel quiver of the bipartite coalgebra

$$
H_{C}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D & { }_{D} U_{D} \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right]
$$

is the quiver presented above, whereas the left Gabriel quiver of $C \cong D \ltimes$ ${ }_{D} U_{D}$ is the infinite linear quiver

$$
Q: 1 \xrightarrow{\beta_{1}} 2 \xrightarrow{\beta_{2}} \cdots \rightarrow s-1 \xrightarrow{\beta_{s-1}} s \xrightarrow{\beta_{s}} s+1 \xrightarrow{\beta_{s+1}} \cdots
$$

obtained from the above by the identification $n \equiv n^{\prime} \equiv n^{\prime \prime}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Let $K^{\square} Q$ be the path coalgebra of the quiver $Q$. One can show that there is a coalgebra isomorphism $C \cong\left(K^{\square} Q\right)_{1}=K Q_{0} \oplus K Q_{1}$ given by $e_{n} \mapsto \widehat{e}_{n}$ (the stationary path at the vertex $n \in Q_{0}$ ) and $\eta_{n} \mapsto \beta_{n} \in K Q_{1}$. Hence, by applying the results in [29], [31] and [33], one can show that $C$ is isomorphic to the path coalgebra $K^{\square}(Q, \Omega)=C(Q, \Omega)$ with the ideal $\Omega \subseteq K Q$ of relations generated by all compositions $\beta_{n} \beta_{n+1}$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, the category $C$-comod $\cong K^{\square}(Q, \Omega)$-comod is equivalent to the category $\operatorname{rep}_{K}(Q, \Omega)$ of finite-dimensional representations of $Q$ satisfying the relation $\beta_{n} \beta_{n+1}=0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We finish the paper by a discussion of tame and wild comodule type of any basic coalgebra $C$ by means of its separated valued quiver. For the definition of tame and wild comodule type the reader is referred to [29, Definition 6.6], [30], and [31]. In particular, the tame-wild dichotomy for coalgebras over an algebraically closed field is discussed in [31].

Proposition 6.5. Assume that $K$ is an algebraically closed field. Let $C$ be a basic $K$-coalgebra, $C_{1}$ the first term of the coradical filtration of $C$, and $H=H_{C_{1}}$ the associated hereditary bipartite coalgebra.
(a) The quiver ${ }_{H} Q$ coincides with the left separated quiver ${ }_{C}^{s} Q$.
(b) If $H_{C_{1}}$ is of wild comodule type, then so is $C$.
(c) If $C$ is of tame comodule type, then so is $H=H_{C_{1}}$, and the underlying non-oriented graph of each of the connected components of ${ }_{H} Q$ $\left(={ }_{C}^{s} Q\right)$ is of one of the types:

- the Dynkin diagrams $\mathbb{A}_{n}, \mathbb{D}_{n}, \mathbb{E}_{6}, \mathbb{E}_{7}, \mathbb{E}_{8}$,
- the Euclidean diagrams $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_{n}, \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}_{n}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{6}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{7}, \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{8}$,
- the infinite locally Dynkin diagrams (see [14], [29]-[31]),


Proof. We recall from Theorem 5.11 that $H_{C_{1}}$ is hereditary.
(a) By Proposition 3.5, the left Gabriel quiver $C_{1} Q$ coincides with ${ }_{C} Q$. Then (a) follows from Theorem 5.11(d).
(b) Assume that $H_{C_{1}}$ is of wild comodule type. Then there exists a $K$-linear representation embedding functor $T: \bmod \Gamma_{3}(K) \rightarrow H_{C_{1}}$-comod, where $\Gamma_{3}(K)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}K & K^{3} \\ 0 & K\end{array}\right]$ is the path $K$-algebra of the wild quiver $\circ \Longrightarrow 0$. By [38, Corollary XVIII.4.2], there exists a full, faithful, exact $K$-linear endofunctor

$$
F: \bmod \Gamma_{3}(K) \rightarrow \bmod \Gamma_{3}(K)
$$

such that $\operatorname{Im} F$ is contained in the category add $\mathcal{R}\left(\Gamma_{3}(K)\right)$ of all regular $\Gamma_{3}(K)$-modules. It follows that the image of

$$
T \circ F: \bmod \Gamma_{3}(K) \rightarrow H_{C_{1}}-\operatorname{comod}
$$

does not contain simple comodules. Indeed, given a non-zero module $X$ in $\bmod \Gamma_{3}(K)$, the module $F(X)$ is regular, and hence not simple. It follows that there exists a non-split exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Y^{\prime} \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow Y^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$ in $\bmod \Gamma_{3}(K)$, where $Y^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime \prime}$ are non-zero. Since $T$ is exact, we derive the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T(F(X)) \rightarrow T\left(Y^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H_{C_{1}}$-comod, where $T\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $T\left(Y^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are non-zero. This shows that $\operatorname{dim}_{K} T(F(X)) \geq 2$, and consequently $T(F(X))$ lies in $H_{C_{1}}-$ comod $_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}$.

It follows that $T \circ F: \bmod \Gamma_{3}(K) \rightarrow H_{C_{1}}$-comod defines a representation embedding $(T \circ F)^{\prime}: \bmod \Gamma_{3}(K) \rightarrow H_{C_{1}}-\operatorname{comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet}$. Since, by Corollary 5.13, $\mathbb{H}_{C_{1}}^{\bullet}: H_{C_{1}}-\operatorname{comod}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow C_{1}$-comod is a representation embedding, so is

$$
\mathbb{H}_{C_{1}}^{\bullet} \circ(T \circ F)^{\prime}: \bmod \Gamma_{3}(K) \rightarrow C_{1} \text {-comod } \hookrightarrow C \text {-comod. }
$$

This shows that $C$ is of wild comodule type.
(c) Assume that $C$ is of tame comodule type. By [29, Theorem 6.11(a)] and its proof, the subcoalgebra $C_{1}$ of $C$ is also of tame comodule type. Suppose that $H_{C_{1}}$ is not tame. Since, by [31, Theorem 5.12], the tame-wild dichotomy holds for hereditary basic coalgebras, $H_{C_{1}}$ is of wild comodule type. Hence, by (b), $C$ is of wild comodule type and, according to [31, Corollary 5.6] (a weak version of tame-wild dichotomy for coalgebras), we get a contradiction.

We recall that $H_{C_{1}}$ is hereditary. Since it is of tame comodule type, every indecomposable coalgebra direct summand $H^{\prime}$ of $H_{C_{1}}$ is also of tame comodule type and, obviously, the left Gabriel quiver $Q^{\prime}$ of $H^{\prime}$ is a connected component of ${ }_{H} Q$. Then, by [29, Theorem 9.4] and [31, Theorem 5.12], the underlying unoriented graph of $Q^{\prime}$ is of one of the types listed in (c).
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