

*MINIMAL GENERICS FROM SUBVARIETIES
OF THE CLONE EXTENSION
OF THE VARIETY OF BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS*

BY

JERZY PŁONKA (Wrocław)

Abstract. Let τ be a type of algebras without nullary fundamental operation symbols. We call an identity $\varphi \approx \psi$ of type τ clone compatible if φ and ψ are the same variable or the sets of fundamental operation symbols in φ and ψ are nonempty and identical. For a variety \mathcal{V} of type τ we denote by \mathcal{V}^c the variety of type τ defined by all clone compatible identities from $\text{Id}(\mathcal{V})$. We call \mathcal{V}^c the clone extension of \mathcal{V} . In this paper we describe algebras and minimal generics of all subvarieties of \mathcal{B}^c , where \mathcal{B} is the variety of Boolean algebras.

1. Preliminaries. Let $\tau : F \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a type of algebras, where F is the set of fundamental operation symbols and \mathbb{N} is the set of positive integers. For a term φ of type τ , we denote by $\text{Var}(\varphi)$ the set of variables occurring in φ and by $F(\varphi)$ the set of fundamental operation symbols occurring in φ . For a variety \mathcal{V} of type τ we denote by $\text{Id}(\mathcal{V})$ the set of all identities of type τ satisfied in every algebra from \mathcal{V} . If Σ is a set of identities of type τ we denote by $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ the class of all algebras of type τ satisfying every identity from Σ . We shall use variables $x, y, z, u, v, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots$, where $k < \omega$. An identity $\varphi \approx \psi$ of type τ is called *clone compatible* if φ and ψ are the same variable or $F(\varphi) = F(\psi) \neq \emptyset$. For a variety \mathcal{V} of type τ we denote by \mathcal{V}^c the variety of type τ defined by all clone compatible identities from $\text{Id}(\mathcal{V})$. We call \mathcal{V}^c the *clone extension of \mathcal{V}* (see [2]–[9]). In [2], [4] and [6] some representation theorems for algebras from \mathcal{V}^c were presented.

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A; F^{\mathfrak{A}})$ be an algebra of type τ . If $f^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a fundamental operation from $F^{\mathfrak{A}}$ we shall often omit the upper index \mathfrak{A} in $f^{\mathfrak{A}}$ when it is clear that we consider an operation in \mathfrak{A} . An endomorphism $r : A \rightarrow A$ of \mathfrak{A} is called a *splitting retraction* of \mathfrak{A} if it is idempotent ($r \circ r = r$) and for all $f \in F$, $a_1, \dots, a_{\tau(f)} \in A$ and $k = 1, \dots, \tau(f)$, we have

$$r(f^{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_{\tau(f)})) = f^{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, r(a_k), a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{\tau(f)}).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 08A05, 08A35, 08B15, 08B26.

Key words and phrases: varieties, subvarieties, clone compatible identities, minimal generics.

An algebra \mathfrak{A} is called a *generic* of a variety \mathcal{V} if $\text{HSP}(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathcal{V}$ (see [1, Appendix 4]). We call a generic $\mathfrak{A} = (A; F^{\mathfrak{A}})$ of \mathcal{V} a *minimal* generic of \mathcal{V} if for every generic $\mathfrak{B} = (B; F^{\mathfrak{B}})$ of \mathcal{V} we have $|B| \geq |A|$. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A; F^{\mathfrak{A}})$ be a minimal generic of \mathcal{V} . We put $g(\mathcal{V}) = |A|$. In the following we restrict our considerations to the type $\tau_b : \{+, \cdot, '\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ where $\tau_b(+)=\tau_b(\cdot)=2$ and $\tau_b(')=1$. We denote by \mathcal{B} the variety of Boolean algebras of type τ_b .

Let us consider the following six algebras:

- $\mathfrak{A}_1 = (\{a_1, b_1\}; +, \cdot, ')$ where for $x, y \in \{a_1, b_1\}$ we have

$$x + y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = y, \\ b_1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad x \cdot y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = y, \\ a_1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$a'_1 = b_1, \quad b'_1 = a_1;$$

- $\mathfrak{A}_2 = (\{a_2, b_2\}; +, \cdot, ')$ where

$$x + y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = y, \\ b_2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x \cdot y = x' = b_2 \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_2, b_2\};$$

- $\mathfrak{A}_3 = (\{a_3, b_3\}; +, \cdot, ')$ where

$$x \cdot y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x = y, \\ b_3 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$x + y = x' = b_3 \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_3, b_3\};$$

- $\mathfrak{A}_4 = (\{a_4, b_4\}; +, \cdot, ')$ where

$$x + y = x \cdot y = x' = b_4 \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_4, b_4\};$$

- $\mathfrak{A}_5 = (\{a_5, b_5\}; +, \cdot, ')$ where

$$x' = x, \quad x + y = x \cdot y = b_5 \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_5, b_5\};$$

- $\mathfrak{A}_6 = (\{a_6, b_6, c_6\}; +, \cdot, ')$ where

$$a'_6 = c_6, \quad c'_6 = a_6, \quad b'_6 = b_6,$$

$$x + y = x \cdot y = b_6 \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \{a_6, b_6, c_6\}.$$

We see that no two of these algebras are isomorphic and \mathfrak{A}_1 is a 2-element Boolean algebra.

It follows from [3, Theorem 2.10 and remarks on p. 190] that

- (1.i) An algebra \mathfrak{A} of type τ_b belongs to \mathcal{B}^c and is subdirectly irreducible iff \mathfrak{A} is isomorphic to one of the algebras $\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_6$.

Define $\text{Ir}(\mathcal{B}^c) = \{\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$. If \mathcal{V} is a subvariety of \mathcal{B}^c and an algebra \mathfrak{B} belongs to \mathcal{V} and is subdirectly irreducible then by (1.i) it has to be isomorphic to some algebra from $\text{Ir}(\mathcal{B}^c)$. Since by Birkhoff's theorem (see

[1, Theorem 20.3]) every variety is uniquely determined by its subdirectly irreducible algebras, by (1.i) we have

(1.ii) Every subvariety \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{B}^c is uniquely determined by the set $\text{Ir}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{V} \cap \text{Ir}(\mathcal{B}^c)$, namely $\mathcal{V} = \text{HSP}(\text{Ir}(\mathcal{V}))$.

If \mathcal{V} is a subvariety of \mathcal{B}^c and $S = \mathcal{V} \cap \text{Ir}(\mathcal{B}^c)$ we shall write $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(S)$. So one wishes to determine which subsets of $\text{Ir}(\mathcal{B}^c)$ are of the form $\text{Ir}(\mathcal{V})$ for some $\mathcal{V} \in L(\mathcal{B}^c)$, where $L(\mathcal{B}^c)$ is the lattice of subvarieties of \mathcal{B}^c .

It was shown in [5] that

(1.iii) The family \mathcal{S} of all sets $\text{Ir}(\mathcal{V})$ with $\mathcal{V} \in L(\mathcal{B}^c)$ consists of the following 29 sets: $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_5\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_3, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_4\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_4\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_4\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_4\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_2\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_4\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_4\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_3\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_3\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_4\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_3, \mathfrak{A}_4\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{A}_6\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_5\}$, $\{\mathfrak{A}_4, \mathfrak{A}_5\}$, \emptyset , $\{\mathfrak{A}_1\}$. Moreover, the lattice $L(\mathcal{B}^c)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{S}; \subseteq)$.

Also in [5, p. 164] we showed that

(1.iv) $\mathfrak{A}_5 \in \text{HSP}(\{\mathfrak{A}_6\})$.

(1.v) If $i, j \in \{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $i \neq j$ and $\{i, j\} \neq \{5, 6\}$, then $\mathfrak{A}_4 \in \text{HSP}(\{\mathfrak{A}_i, \mathfrak{A}_j\})$.

(1.vi) $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in \text{HSP}(\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_5\})$.

By (1.i) we have

(1.vii) $\mathcal{B}^c = \mathcal{V}(\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_6\})$.

For an arbitrary variety \mathcal{V} let $\text{CL}(\mathcal{V})$ denote the set of all clone compatible identities from $\text{Id}(\mathcal{V})$. The set $\text{CL}(\mathcal{V})$ need not be an equational theory. It is if \mathcal{V} is the variety of distributive lattices (see [8]). This is also the case for every variety \mathcal{V} of groupoids. However, $\text{CL}(\mathcal{B})$ is not an equational theory. In fact, the identity $x + x \cdot y \approx x + x \cdot z$ is clone compatible but its consequence $x + x \cdot y \approx x + x \cdot y'$ is not; here we adopt the convention that \cdot binds stronger than $+$ and we omit suitable parentheses.

In [9] we described forms of identities and we constructed equational bases of all subvarieties of \mathcal{B}^c .

2. Representations and minimal generics. By Birkhoff's subdirect irreducibility theorem and (1.i)–(1.iii) we already have:

If an algebra \mathfrak{A} belongs to $\mathcal{V}(S)$, where $S \in \mathcal{S}$, then \mathfrak{A} is isomorphic to a subdirect product of some algebras from S .

To get a more illustrative representation of algebras from subvarieties of \mathcal{B}^c we need Theorem 1 below, which is in fact an application of more general

theorems (see [2, Section 3], [4, Section 2], [6, Section 3]) to the variety \mathcal{B}^c . However, in Theorem 1 we give more details specifically for the variety \mathcal{B}^c .

We put

$$\begin{aligned} q_{(+)}(x) &= x + x, \\ q_{(\cdot)}(x) &= x \cdot x, \\ q_{(\prime)}(x) &= x', \\ q_{(\prime\prime)}(x) &= (x')', \\ q_b(x) &= q_{(+)}(q_{(\cdot)}(q_{(\prime\prime)}(x))). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1. *If an algebra $\mathfrak{A} = (A; +, \cdot, \prime)$ belongs to \mathcal{B}^c , then the following conditions hold.*

- (2.i) *Each of the mappings $q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}$, $q_{(\cdot)}^{\mathfrak{A}}$, $q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}$, $q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a splitting retraction of \mathfrak{A} and any two of them commute.*
- (2.ii) *Put $A_{(+)} = q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(A)$, $A_{(\cdot)} = q_{(\cdot)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(A)$, $A_{(\prime\prime)} = q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(A)$, $A_b = q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(A)$. Then $q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the identity on $A_{(+)}$, $q_{(\cdot)}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the identity on $A_{(\cdot)}$, $q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the identity on $A_{(\prime\prime)}$ and $q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the identity on A_b .*
- (2.iii) *If $a \in A$, then $q_{\alpha_1}^{\mathfrak{A}}(q_{\alpha_2}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\dots(q_{\alpha_n}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a))\dots)) = q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(a)$ for every $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ in $\{(+), (\cdot), (\prime\prime)\}$ with $|\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}| > 1$.*
- (2.iv) *$A_{(+)} \cap A_{(\cdot)} = A_{(+)} \cap A_{(\prime\prime)} = A_{(\cdot)} \cap A_{(\prime\prime)} = A_b$.*
- (2.v) *The algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{(+)} = (A_{(+)}; +|A_{(+)})$ is a $+$ -semilattice, the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{(\cdot)} = (A_{(\cdot)}; \cdot|A_{(\cdot)})$ is a \cdot -semilattice, the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{(\prime\prime)} = (A_{(\prime\prime)}; \prime|A_{(\prime\prime)})$ is an algebra with involution, i.e. it satisfies $(x')' = x$, and the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_b = (A_b; \{+, \cdot, \prime\}|A_b)$ belongs to \mathcal{B} .*
- (2.vi) *If $a, b \in A$, then $a + b = q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) + q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(b)$, $a \cdot b = q_{(\cdot)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) \cdot q_{(\cdot)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(b)$ and $a' = (q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a))'$.*

The construction used in Theorem 1 was called a *clone extension of an algebra \mathfrak{A}* in [2] and [4], and a *clone network over a network of splitting retractions* in [6].

EXAMPLE 1. Let $a \in A_{(+)}$ and $b \in A_{(\cdot)}$. Then by (2.vi), (2.ii), (2.iii), (2.i) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &= q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) + q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(b) = q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) + q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(q_{(\cdot)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(b)) \\ &= q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) + q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(b) = q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) + q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(b). \end{aligned}$$

We also have $a' = (q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a))' = (q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a)))' = (q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(a))'$.

(2.vii) $g(\mathcal{B}^c) = 6$. Moreover, the subdirect product

$$\mathfrak{A}(1, 2, 3, 5) = (\{\langle a_1, a_2, b_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_2, a_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_2, b_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_2, b_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_2, b_3, a_5 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_2, b_3, a_5 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, \prime)$$

of the direct product $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_3 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$ is a minimal generic of \mathcal{B}^c .

Proof. The first statement of (2.vii) holds by Theorem 4 from [4]. By (1.v) and (1.vi) we have $\{\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_6\} \subseteq \text{HSP}(\mathfrak{A}(1, 2, 3, 5))$, so by (1.vii) we have $\mathcal{B}^c \subseteq \text{HSP}(\mathfrak{A}(1, 2, 3, 5))$. But $\mathfrak{A}(1, 2, 3, 5) \in \mathcal{B}^c$ by (1.i), so $\text{HSP}(\mathfrak{A}(1, 2, 3, 5)) = \mathcal{B}^c$. ■

To find minimal generics of proper subvarieties of \mathcal{B}^c we need some lemmas.

From now on we assume that $\mathfrak{A} = (A; +, \cdot, ')$ belongs to \mathcal{B}^c so it is of the form described in Theorem 1.

Let us record the following obvious observation. If e is an identity of type τ_b and \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(S)$, $S \in \mathcal{S}$, then $e \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{A})$ iff $e \in \text{Id}(\mathcal{V}(S))$ iff for every $\mathfrak{A}_k \in S$ we have $e \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{A}_k)$. So $e \notin \text{Id}(\mathfrak{A})$ iff there is $\mathfrak{A}_k \in S$ with $e \notin \text{Id}(\mathfrak{A}_k)$. This observation will be useful in the proofs of some of the corollaries below.

LEMMA 1. $|A_b| = 1$ iff \mathfrak{A} satisfies

$$(1) \quad q_b(x) \approx q_b(y).$$

Proof. \Rightarrow Follows from the fact that for every $a, b \in A$ we have $q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(a), q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(b) \in A_b$ by (2.ii).

\Leftarrow If $a, b \in A_b$, then by (2.ii) and (1) we have $a = q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) = q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(b) = b$. ■

LEMMA 2. $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b = \emptyset$, i.e. $A_{(+)} = A_b$, iff \mathfrak{A} satisfies

$$(2) \quad q_{(+)}(x) \approx q_b(x).$$

Proof. \Rightarrow By (2.iv) we have $A_b \subseteq A_{(+)}$, so $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b = \emptyset$ iff $A_{(+)} = A_b$. So if $A_{(+)} = A_b$, then for $a \in A$ we have $q_{(+)}(a) \in A_b$. Then by (2.ii) and (2.iii) we have $q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) = q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(q_{(+)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a)) = q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}(a)$.

\Leftarrow Obvious. ■

The proofs of the next two lemmas are analogous to that of Lemma 2. It is enough to replace $(+)$ by (\cdot) and $(+)$ by (\prime) , respectively.

LEMMA 3. $A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$ iff \mathfrak{A} satisfies

$$(3) \quad q_{(\cdot)}(x) \approx q_b(x).$$

LEMMA 4. $A_{(\prime)} = A_b$ iff \mathfrak{A} satisfies

$$(4) \quad q_{(\prime)}(x) \approx q_b(x).$$

COROLLARY 1. If $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(S)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_1 \notin S$, then $|A_b| = 1$.

Proof. If $k \neq 1$ and $\mathfrak{A}_k \in \text{Ir}(\mathcal{B}^c)$, then \mathfrak{A}_k satisfies (1). By (1.ii) we have $\mathcal{V}(S) = \text{HSP}(S)$, so $\mathcal{V}(S)$ satisfies (1) and consequently \mathfrak{A} satisfies (1). Now by Lemma 1, A_b from \mathfrak{A} is 1-element. ■

COROLLARY 1'. If $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(S)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ iff $\mathfrak{A}_1 \notin S$.

Proof. \Leftarrow Follows from Corollary 1.

\Rightarrow If $\mathfrak{A}_1 \in S$, then $\mathcal{V}(S)$ does not satisfy (1) since \mathfrak{A}_1 does not. So \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy (1). Now by Lemma 1 we get $|A_b| > 1$. ■

COROLLARY 2. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(S)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2 \notin S$, then $A_{(+)} = A_b$.*

Proof. If $k \neq 2$ and $A_k \in \text{Ir}(\mathcal{B}^c)$, then A_k satisfies (2). By (1.ii) we have $\mathcal{V}(S) = \text{HSP}(S)$, so $\mathcal{V}(S)$ satisfies (2) and consequently \mathfrak{A} does. Now by Lemma 2 we have $A_{(+)} = A_b$. ■

COROLLARY 2'. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(S)$, then $A_{(+)} = A_b$ iff $\mathfrak{A}_2 \notin S$.*

Proof. \Leftarrow Follows from Corollary 2.

\Rightarrow If $\mathfrak{A}_2 \in S$ then $\mathcal{V}(S)$ does not satisfy (2) since \mathfrak{A}_2 does not. So \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy (2) and by Lemma 2 we get $A_{(+)} \neq A_b$. ■

COROLLARY 3. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(S)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_3 \notin S$, then $A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$.*

The proof is analogous to that of Corollary 2. It is enough to replace (2) by (3) and (+) by (\cdot).

COROLLARY 3'. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(S)$, then $A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$ iff $\mathfrak{A}_3 \notin S$.*

The proof is analogous to that of Corollary 2'. It is enough to replace (2) by (3) and (+) by (\cdot).

COROLLARY 4. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(S)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_5 \notin S$, then $A_{(\prime\prime)} = A_b$.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{A}_5 \notin S$ then by (1.iv), $\mathfrak{A}_6 \notin S$. If $k \notin \{5, 6\}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_k \in \text{Ir}(\mathcal{B}^c)$, then \mathfrak{A}_k satisfies (4). So $\mathcal{V}(S)$ satisfies (4) and \mathfrak{A} satisfies (4). Now by Lemma 4 we get $A_{(\prime\prime)} = A_b$. ■

COROLLARY 4'. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(S)$, then $A_{(\prime\prime)} = A_b$ iff $\mathfrak{A}_5 \notin S$.*

Proof. \Leftarrow Follows from Corollary 4.

\Rightarrow If $\mathfrak{A}_5 \in S$ then $\mathcal{V}(S)$ does not satisfy (4) since \mathfrak{A}_5 does not. So \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy (4) and by Lemma 4 we get $A_{(\prime\prime)} \neq A_b$. ■

LEMMA 5. \mathfrak{A} satisfies

$$(5) \quad q_{(\prime\prime)}(x) \approx q_{(\prime)}(x)$$

iff for every $a \in A_{(\prime\prime)}$ we have $a' = a$.

Proof. \Rightarrow Let $a \in A_{(\prime\prime)}$. Then by (2.ii) and (5) we have $a = q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) = q_{(\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a)$.

\Leftarrow Let $a \in A$. Then $q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) \in A_{(\prime\prime)}$ by (2.ii). So by (2.vi) and the assumption we have $q_{(\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) = (q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a))' = q_{(\prime\prime)}^{\mathfrak{A}}(a)$. ■

LEMMA 6. *If \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy (5) and $|A_b| = 1$, then $|A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

Proof. If \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy (5) then by Lemma 5 there exists $a \in A_{(\nu)}$ with $a \neq a'$. It cannot be the case that $a \in A_b$ since by assumption \mathfrak{A}_b is a 1-element algebra. Consequently, $a \in A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b$. By (2.v) we have $a' \in A_{(\nu)}$. We cannot have $a' \in A_b$ since then, by (2.v), $a = (a')' \in A_b$, which contradicts the assumption that $|A_b| = 1$. Thus $a' \in A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b$. ■

LEMMA 7. *If $|A_b| \geq 2$ and $a \in A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b$, then $a' \neq a$ and $a' \in A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b$. So $|A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

Proof. By (2.vi) and (2.v) we have $a' \in A_{(\nu)}$. Since \mathfrak{A}_b is a nontrivial Boolean algebra (see (2.v)), for $b \in A_b$ we must have $b' \neq b$. Therefore since $q_b^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is an endomorphism of \mathfrak{A} onto \mathfrak{A}_b , we have $a' \neq a$. Moreover, $a' \notin A_b$ since otherwise $a = (a')' \in A_b$ contrary to the assumptions. Thus $a' \in A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b$. ■

LEMMA 8. *If $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in S$, $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(S)$, then $|A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

Proof. \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy (5) since \mathfrak{A}_6 does not. So if $|A_b| = 1$ we get the statement by Lemma 6. Since $\mathfrak{A}_6 \in S$ and \mathfrak{A}_6 does not satisfy (4), it follows that \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy (4) and by Lemma 4 we get $A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$. Hence, if $|A_b| > 1$, we get the statement by Lemma 7. ■

If a set S belongs to \mathcal{S} (see (1.iii)), then we shall write $\mathcal{V}(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ instead of $\mathcal{V}(S)$, where i_1, \dots, i_k is the sequence of different indices of all algebras from S written in increasing order. For example $\mathcal{V}(2, 4)$ stands for $\mathcal{V}(\{\mathfrak{A}_2, \mathfrak{A}_4\})$.

THEOREM 2. *We have*

(2.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 6)$, then $|A_b| = 1$.*

(2.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 6)$, then $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b$ and $|A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

(2.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(2, 3, 6) = (\{ \langle a_2, b_3, b_6 \rangle, \langle b_2, a_3, b_6 \rangle, \langle a_2, b_3, a_6 \rangle, \langle b_2, b_3, c_6 \rangle, \langle b_2, b_3, b_6 \rangle \}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of the direct product $\mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_3 \times \mathfrak{A}_6$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 6)$, i.e. $g(\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 6)) = 5$.

Proof. (2.1) holds by Corollary 1; (2.2) holds by Corollaries 2', 3' and Lemma 8. It remains to prove (2.3). By (1.v) and (1.iv) we get $\mathfrak{A}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_6 \in \text{HSP}(\mathfrak{A}(2, 3, 6))$. Therefore $\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 6) \subseteq \text{HSP}(\mathfrak{A}(2, 3, 6))$ by (1.ii). Since $\mathfrak{A}(2, 3, 6) \in \mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 6)$, it follows that $\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 6) = \text{HSP}(\mathfrak{A}(2, 3, 6))$. Thus $\mathfrak{A}(2, 3, 6)$ is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 6)$ and by (2.2) and (2.iv) it is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 6)$ since it contains five elements. ■

THEOREM 3. *We have*

(3.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 5)$, then $|A_b| = 1$.*

(3.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 5)$, then $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b$ and $A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$.*

(3.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(2, 3, 5) = (\{\langle a_2, b_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_2, a_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_2, b_3, a_5 \rangle, \langle b_2, b_3, b_5 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, \prime)$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_3 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 5)$, and consequently, $g(\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 5)) = 4$.

Proof. (3.1) holds by Corollary 1; (3.2) holds by Corollaries 2', 3' and 4'; (3.3) holds by (1.v) for $\{i, j\} = \{3, 5\}$. Thus $\mathcal{V}(2, \dots, 5) = \text{HSP}(\mathfrak{A}(2, 3, 5))$ and we use the statement of (3.2). ■

THEOREM 4. *We have*

(4.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(3, \dots, 6)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(+)} = A_b$.*

(4.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(3, \dots, 6)$, then $A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$ and $|A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

(4.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(3, 6) = (\{\langle a_3, b_6 \rangle, \langle b_3, a_6 \rangle, \langle b_3, c_6 \rangle, \langle b_3, b_6 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, \prime)$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_3 \times \mathfrak{A}_6$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(3, \dots, 6)$, and consequently, $g(\mathcal{V}(3, \dots, 6)) = 4$.

Proof. (4.1) holds by Corollaries 1 and 2; (4.2) holds by Corollary 3' and Lemma 8; (4.3) holds by (1.iv) and (1.v). ■

THEOREM 5. *We have*

(5.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 3, 4, 5, 6)$, then $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b = \emptyset$.*

(5.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 3, 4, 5, 6)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$, $A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$ and $|A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

(5.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(1, 3, 5)$$

$$= (\{\langle a_1, b_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle a_1, a_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_3, a_5 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_3, a_5 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, \prime)$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_3 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 3, 4, 5, 6)$. Consequently, $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 3, 4, 5, 6)) = 5$.

Proof. (5.1) holds by Corollary 2; (5.2) holds by Corollaries 1', 3' and Lemma 8; (5.3) holds by (1.v) and (1.vi). ■

THEOREM 6. *We have*

(6.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(3, 4, 5)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b = \emptyset$.*

(6.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(3, 4, 5)$, then $A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b$.*

(6.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(3, 5) = (\{\langle a_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_3, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_3, a_5 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_3 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(3, 4, 5)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(3, 4, 5)) = 3$.

Proof. (6.1) holds by Corollaries 1 and 2; (6.2) holds by Corollaries 3' and 4'; (6.3) holds by (1.v). ■

The proofs of the next three theorems are analogous to those of Theorems 4–6.

THEOREM 7. *We have*

(7.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(2, 4, 5, 6)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$.*

(7.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, 4, 5, 6)$, then $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$ and $|A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

(7.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(2, 6) = (\{\langle a_2, b_6 \rangle, \langle b_2, a_6 \rangle, \langle b_2, c_6 \rangle, \langle b_2, b_6 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_6$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, 4, 5, 6)$. Consequently, $g(\mathcal{V}(2, 4, 5, 6)) = 4$.

THEOREM 8. *We have*

(8.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 2, 4, 5, 6)$, then $A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b = \emptyset$.*

(8.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 2, 4, 5, 6)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$, $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$ and $|A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

(8.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(1, 2, 5)$$

$$= (\{\langle a_1, b_2, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_2, b_5 \rangle, \langle a_1, a_2, b_5 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_2, a_5 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_2, a_5 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 2, 4, 5, 6)$. Consequently, $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 2, 4, 5, 6)) = 5$.

THEOREM 9. *We have*

(9.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(2, 4, 5)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b = \emptyset$.*

(9.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, 4, 5)$, then $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b$.*

(9.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(2, 5) = (\{\langle a_2, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_2, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_2, a_5 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, 4, 5)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(2, 4, 5)) = 3$.

THEOREM 10. *We have*

(10.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(2, 3, 4)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b = \emptyset$.*

(10.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, 3, 4)$, then $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b$.*

(10.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(2, 3) = (\{\langle a_2, b_3 \rangle, \langle b_2, a_3 \rangle, \langle b_2, b_3 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_3$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, 3, 4)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(2, 3, 4)) = 3$.

Proof. (10.1) holds by Corollaries 1 and 4; (10.2) holds by Corollaries 2' and 3'; (10.3) holds by (1.v) and (10.2). ■

THEOREM 11. *We have*

(11.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 2, 3, 4)$, then $A_{(\nu)} \setminus A_b = \emptyset$.*

(11.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 2, 3, 4)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$ and $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b$.*

(11.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(1, 2, 3) = (\{\langle a_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle, \langle a_1, a_2, b_3 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_2, a_3 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_3$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 2, 3, 4)$. Consequently, $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 2, 3, 4)) = 4$.

Proof. (11.1) holds by Corollary 4; (11.2) holds by Corollaries 1', 2' and 3'; (11.3) holds by (1.v). ■

LEMMA 9. *If $S \in \mathcal{S}$, \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(S)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_4 \in \mathcal{V}(S)$, then \mathfrak{A} satisfies none of the identities $q_{(+)}(x) \approx x$, $q_{(\cdot)}(x) \approx x$, $q_{(\nu)}(x) \approx x$, $q_{(\nu)}(x) \approx x$, $q_b(x) \approx x$.*

In fact, \mathfrak{A}_4 satisfies none of these identities, so neither does \mathfrak{A} .

LEMMA 10. *$A = A_b$ iff \mathfrak{A} satisfies*

$$(6) \quad q_b(x) \approx x.$$

Proof. \Rightarrow If $a \in A$, then $a \in A_b$, so $q_b(a) = a$ by (2.ii).

\Leftarrow If (6) holds, then for every $a \in A$ we have $a \in A_b$ by (2.ii), so $A \subseteq A_b$ and $A = A_b$. ■

Similarly, we prove that

LEMMA 11. *$A = A_{(+)}$ iff \mathfrak{A} satisfies*

$$(7) \quad q_{(+)}(x) \approx x.$$

LEMMA 12. *$A = A_{(\cdot)}$ iff \mathfrak{A} satisfies*

$$(8) \quad q_{(\cdot)}(x) \approx x.$$

LEMMA 13. *$A = A_{(\nu)}$ iff \mathfrak{A} satisfies*

$$(9) \quad q_{(\nu)}(x) \approx x.$$

LEMMA 14. *If \mathfrak{A} satisfies (5), then $A = A_{(\nu)}$ iff \mathfrak{A} satisfies*

$$(10) \quad q_{(\nu)}(x) \approx x.$$

This follows at once from Lemma 13.

THEOREM 12. *We have*

- (12.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(4)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(+)} = A_{(\cdot)} = A_{(\prime)} = A_b$.*
 (12.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(4)$, then $A \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$.*
 (12.3) *The algebra \mathfrak{A}_4 is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(4)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(4)) = 2$.*

Proof. (12.1) holds by Corollaries 1–4; (12.2) holds by Lemmas 10 and 9. In fact, \mathfrak{A} does not satisfy (6) since \mathfrak{A}_4 does not. (12.3) holds by (1.ii). ■

THEOREM 13. *We have*

- (13.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 4)$, then $A_{(+)} = A_{(\cdot)} = A_{(\prime)} = A_b$.*
 (13.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 4)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$ and $A \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$.*
 (13.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(1, 4) = (\{\langle a_1, b_4 \rangle, \langle b_1, a_4 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_4 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, \prime)$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_4$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 4)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 4)) = 3$.

Proof. (13.1) holds by Corollaries 2–4; (13.2) holds by Corollary 1', Lemmas 10 and 9; (13.3) holds by (1.ii). ■

THEOREM 14. *We have*

- (14.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(2)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(\cdot)} = A_{(\prime)} = A_b$ and $A = A_{(+)}$.*
 (14.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(2)$, then $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$.*
 (14.3) *The algebra \mathfrak{A}_2 is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(2)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(2)) = 2$.*

Proof. (14.1) holds by Corollaries 1, 3, 4 and Lemma 11. In fact, $\mathcal{V}(2)$ satisfies (7) since \mathfrak{A}_2 does. (14.2) holds by Corollary 2', and (14.3) is obvious. ■

THEOREM 15. *We have*

- (15.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 2)$, then $A_{(\cdot)} = A_{(\prime)} = A_b$ and $A = A_{(+)}$.*
 (15.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 2)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$ and $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$.*
 (15.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(1, 2) = (\{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_2 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_2 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, \prime)$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_2$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 2)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 2)) = 3$.

Proof. (15.1) holds by Corollaries 3, 4 and Lemma 11; (15.2) holds by Corollaries 1' and 2'; (15.3) is obvious. ■

THEOREM 16. *We have*

- (16.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(2, 4)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(\cdot)} = A_{(\prime)} = A_b$.*
 (16.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, 4)$, then $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A \setminus A_{(+)}$.*
 (16.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(2, 4) = (\{\langle a_2, b_4 \rangle, \langle b_2, a_4 \rangle, \langle b_2, b_4 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, \prime)$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_4$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(2, 4)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(2, 4)) = 3$.

Proof. (16.1) holds by Corollaries 1, 3 and 4; (16.2) holds by Corollary 2' and Lemmas 11 and 9; (16.3) holds by (1.ii). ■

THEOREM 17. *We have*

(17.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 2, 4)$, then $A_{(\cdot)} = A^{(\nu)} = A_b$.*

(17.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 2, 4)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$ and $A_{(+)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A \setminus A_{(+)}$.*

(17.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(1, 2, 4) = (\{\langle a_1, a_2, b_4 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_2, b_4 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_2, a_4 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_2, b_4 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_2 \times \mathfrak{A}_4$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 2, 4)$. Consequently, $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 2, 4)) = 4$.

Proof. (17.1) holds by Corollaries 3 and 4; (17.2) holds by Corollaries 1', 2', Lemmas 11 and 9; (17.3) is obvious. ■

The proofs of Theorems 18–21 are analogous to those of Theorems 14–17, respectively. However, we must replace Lemma 11 by Lemma 12.

THEOREM 18. *We have*

(18.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(3)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(+)} = A^{(\nu)} = A_b$ and $A = A_{(\cdot)}$.*

(18.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(3)$, then $A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$.*

(18.3) *The algebra \mathfrak{A}_3 is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(3)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(3)) = 2$.*

THEOREM 19. *We have*

(19.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 3)$, then $A_{(+)} = A^{(\nu)} = A_b$ and $A = A_{(\cdot)}$.*

(19.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 3)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$ and $(A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b) \neq \emptyset$.*

(19.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(1, 3) = (\{\langle a_1, a_3 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_3 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_3 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_3$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 3)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 3)) = 3$.

THEOREM 20. *We have*

(20.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(3, 4)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(+)} = A^{(\nu)} = A_b$.*

(20.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(3, 4)$, then $A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A \setminus A_{(\cdot)}$.*

(20.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(3, 4) = (\{\langle a_3, b_4 \rangle, \langle b_3, a_4 \rangle, \langle b_3, b_4 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_3 \times \mathfrak{A}_4$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(3, 4)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(3, 4)) = 3$.

THEOREM 21. *We have*

(21.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 3, 4)$, then $A_{(+)} = A^{(\nu)} = A_b$.*

(21.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 3, 4)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$ and $A_{(\cdot)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A \setminus A_{(\cdot)}$.*

(21.3) *The subdirect product*

$\mathfrak{A}(1, 3, 4) = (\{\langle a_1, a_3, b_4 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_3, b_4 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_3, a_4 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_3, b_4 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$
of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_3 \times \mathfrak{A}_4$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 3, 4)$. Consequently,
 $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 3, 4)) = 4$.

THEOREM 22. *We have*

(22.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(5, 6)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(+)} = A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$ and $A = A^{(\prime)}$.*

(22.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(5, 6)$, then $|A^{(\prime)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

(22.3) *The algebra \mathfrak{A}_6 is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(5, 6)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(5, 6)) = 3$.*

Proof. (22.1) holds by Corollaries 1–3 and Lemma 13; (22.2) holds by Lemma 8; (22.3) is obvious by (1.iv). ■

THEOREM 23. *We have*

(23.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 5, 6)$, then $A_{(+)} = A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$ and $A = A^{(\prime)}$.*

(23.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 5, 6)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$ and $|A^{(\prime)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$.*

(23.3) *The algebra $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 5, 6)$. Consequently, $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 5, 6)) = 4$.*

Proof. (23.1) holds by Corollaries 2–3 and Lemma 13; (23.2) holds by Corollary 1' and Lemma 8; (23.3) is obvious, by (1.vi). ■

THEOREM 24. *We have*

(24.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(4, 5, 6)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(+)} = A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$.*

(24.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(4, 5, 6)$, then $|A^{(\prime)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$ and $A \setminus A^{(\prime)} \neq \emptyset$.*

(24.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(4, 6) = (\{\langle a_4, b_6 \rangle, \langle b_4, b_6 \rangle, \langle b_4, a_6 \rangle, \langle b_4, c_6 \rangle, \}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_4 \times \mathfrak{A}_6$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(4, 5, 6)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(4, 5, 6)) = 4$.

Proof. (24.1) holds by Corollaries 1–3; (24.2) holds by Lemmas 8, 13 and 9; (24.3) is obvious, by (1.iv). ■

THEOREM 25. *We have*

(25.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(1, 4, 5, 6)$, then $A_{(+)} = A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$.*

(25.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 4, 5, 6)$, then $|A_b| \geq 2$ and $|A^{(\prime)} \setminus A_b| \geq 2$ and $A \setminus A^{(\prime)} \neq \emptyset$.*

(25.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(1, 4, 5) = (\{\langle a_1, b_4, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_4, b_5 \rangle, \\ \langle a_1, a_4, b_5 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_4, a_5 \rangle, \langle b_1, b_4, a_5 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, ')$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times \mathfrak{A}_4 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(1, 4, 5, 6)$. Consequently,
 $g(\mathcal{V}(1, 4, 5, 6)) = 5$.

Proof. (25.1) holds by Corollaries 2 and 3; (25.2) holds by Corollary 1', Lemmas 8, 13 and 9; (25.3) is obvious, by (1.vi). ■

THEOREM 26. *We have*

(26.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(5)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(+)} = A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$ and $A = A_{(\prime)} = \{x \in A : x' = x\}$.*

(26.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(5)$, then $A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset$.*

(26.3) *The algebra \mathfrak{A}_5 is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(5)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(5)) = 2$.*

Proof. (26.1) holds by Corollaries 1–3 and Lemma 14; (26.2) holds by Corollary 4'; (26.3) is obvious. ■

THEOREM 27. *We have*

(27.1) *If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{V}(4, 5)$, then $|A_b| = 1$ and $A_{(+)} = A_{(\cdot)} = A_b$.*

(27.2) *If \mathfrak{A} is a generic of $\mathcal{V}(4, 5)$, then $A_{(\prime)} \setminus A_b \neq \emptyset \neq A \setminus A_{(\prime)}$.*

(27.3) *The subdirect product*

$$\mathfrak{A}(4, 5) = (\{\langle a_4, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_4, b_5 \rangle, \langle b_4, a_5 \rangle\}; +, \cdot, \prime)$$

of $\mathfrak{A}_4 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$ is a minimal generic of $\mathcal{V}(4, 5)$. So $g(\mathcal{V}(4, 5)) = 3$.

Proof. (27.1) holds by Corollaries 1–3; (27.2) holds by Corollary 4', Lemmas 14 and 9; (27.3) is obvious. ■

Obviously we have:

(2.viii) A 1-element algebra of type τ_b is a minimal generic of the trivial variety $\mathcal{V}(\emptyset)$ (satisfying $x \approx y$).

It is known that

(2.ix) The algebra \mathfrak{A}_1 is a minimal generic of the variety $\mathcal{V}(1) = \mathcal{B}$.

In (2.vii) we noticed that $g(\mathcal{B}^c) = 6$, which was proved in [4]. Now having Corollaries 1'–3' and Lemma 8 of the present paper the reader can easily see that $g(\mathcal{B}^c) \geq 6$, which together with the algebra $\mathfrak{A}(1, 2, 3, 5)$ gives the statement of (2.vii).

We hope that the observations and methods of our paper will also be useful in other cases of finding minimal generics of varieties.

Some results contained in this paper were presented at the algebraic seminar in the Institute of Mathematics of Wrocław University.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Grätzer, *Universal Algebra*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1979.
- [2] J. Płonka, *Clone compatible identities and clone extensions of algebras*, Math. Slovaca 47 (1997), 231–249.
- [3] —, *Subdirect decompositions of algebras from 2-clone extensions of varieties*, Colloq. Math. 77 (1998), 189–199.
- [4] —, *On n -clone extensions of algebras*, Algebra Universalis 40 (1998), 1–17.
- [5] —, *Lattices of subvarieties of the clone extensions of some varieties*, in: Contributions to General Algebra 11, Verlag Johannes Heyn, Klagenfurt, 1999, 161–171.

- [6] J. Płonka, *Clone networks, clone extensions and biregularizations of varieties of algebras*, Algebra Colloq. 8 (2001), 327–344.
- [7] —, *Clone extensions of varieties of algebras with nullary operations*, in: Contributions to General Algebra 15, Verlag Johannes Heyn, Klagenfurt, 2004, 109–118.
- [8] —, *Subvarieties of the clone extension of the variety of distributive lattices*, Algebra Universalis 55 (2006), 175–186.
- [9] —, *Subvarieties of the clone extension of the variety of Boolean algebras*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 31 (2007), 727–737.

Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
Kopernika 18
51-617 Wrocław, Poland
E-mail: jersabi@wp.pl

Received 7 May 2007;
revised 20 August 2007

(4914)