VOL. 128

2012

NO. 2

DISJOINTNESS PROPERTIES FOR CARTESIAN PRODUCTS OF WEAKLY MIXING SYSTEMS

ΒY

JOANNA KUŁAGA-PRZYMUS (Toruń) and FRANÇOIS PARREAU (Paris)

Abstract. For $n \ge 1$ we consider the class JP(n) of dynamical systems each of whose ergodic joinings with a Cartesian product of k weakly mixing automorphisms $(k \ge n)$ can be represented as the independent extension of a joining of the system with only n coordinate factors. For $n \ge 2$ we show that, whenever the maximal spectral type of a weakly mixing automorphism T is singular with respect to the convolution of any n continuous measures, i.e. T has the so-called convolution singularity property of order n, then T belongs to JP(n-1). To provide examples of such automorphisms, we exploit spectral simplicity on symmetric Fock spaces. This also allows us to show that for any $n \ge 2$ the class JP(n) is essentially larger than JP(n-1). Moreover, we show that all members of JP(n) are disjoint from ergodic automorphisms generated by infinitely divisible stationary processes.

1. Introduction. In this paper we deal with several properties of dynamical systems which are related to the notion of disjointness. This notion was introduced by Furstenberg [8] and, among other motivations, bore fruit in the development of tools to classify dynamical systems and construct examples of different behaviour. We will devote our attention to classes of automorphisms enjoying the so-called *joining primeness property of order n* (JP(n)) introduced in [16]. The JP(n) class consists of automorphisms all of whose ergodic joinings with Cartesian products of weakly mixing automorphisms are in fact joinings with at most n coordinate factors, the remaining coordinate factors being joined by taking the product measure (for the precise definition see Section 2). In particular, weakly mixing automorphisms with the JP(n) property do not admit a representation as Cartesian products of more than n factors.

For each $n \ge 1$ we give examples of automorphisms enjoying the JP(n+1) property and not the JP(n) property (in [16] it was already shown that JP $(1) \subsetneq$ JP(2)). It seemed natural to look for such examples among weakly mixing systems which are Cartesian products of n + 1 copies of some automorphism, since such a representation automatically implies that the JP(n)

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 37A05; Secondary 37A30, 37A50.

Key words and phrases: disjointness, joinings, spectral singularity and convolutions, spectral simplicity, infinite divisibility.

property does not hold. The main tool we use to find systems with the joining primeness property of a given order among Cartesian products is spectral theory, in particular the property of convolution singularity of order n (CS(n)). We say that a Borel measure μ on the circle group T has the CS(n) property if it is singular with respect to the convolution of any n continuous measures (see Section 2.4). When μ is a convolution power of some measure, the CS(n) property is tightly connected with the spectral simplicity of symmetric tensor products of some unitary operator. We will show that whenever the Gaussian system determined by the reduced maximal spectral type σ_T of an automorphism T has simple spectrum, then the nth convolution power of σ_T has the CS(n+1) property. This, in turn, combined with the fact that all weakly mixing automorphisms having the CS(n+1) property also enjoy the JP(n) property, will result in constructing examples announced at the beginning of this paragraph. As a byproduct, we give a proof of the folklore result that the spectrum of the infinite direct sum $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} U^{\odot n}$ of unitary operators is simple provided that the spectra of all of $U^{\odot n}, n \geq 1$, are simple.

The properties connected with spectral simplicity of symmetric tensor products were studied before by numerous authors. Let us list only some of them. Katok and Stepin [13] disproved Kolmogorov's conjecture (see e.g. [25]) that for any ergodic system the convolution square of the maximal spectral type is absolutely continuous with respect to the maximal spectral type. Stepin [26] showed that for a typical (with respect to the weak operator topology) non-mixing automorphism the convolution powers of the reduced maximal spectral type are pairwise disjoint: $\sigma_T^{*m} \perp \sigma_T^{*n}$ for $m \neq n$. Ageev [1] showed that even a stronger property is also typical for automorphisms: the Gaussian system generated by the reduced maximal spectral type has simple spectrum. Concrete examples of such systems include the Chacon automorphism (Ageev [2]), some mixing automorphisms (Ageev [3] and Ryzhikov [22]) and also recent examples in terms of special flows (Lemańczyk and Parreau [15]).

In [16] it was shown that automorphisms with the JP(1) property are disjoint from dynamical systems arising from infinitely divisible (ID) stationary processes. There are many earlier results of a similar flavour. Let us mention here only one of them and refer the reader to the introduction of [16] for a more exhaustive survey. The JP(1) class includes simple systems (introduced by Veech [28] and del Junco and Rudolph [6]), which in turn contain the systems with the so-called minimal self-joining property (MSJ). Thouvenot [27] proved that systems with the MSJ property are disjoint from Gaussian systems. We extend the result from [16] and show that all automorphisms satisfying the JP(n) property for some $n \geq 1$ are disjoint from automorphisms arising from ID stationary processes.

2. Definitions

2.1. Tensor products. Let H be a separable Hilbert space. The space $F(H) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{\otimes n}$ is called a *Fock space*. For a unitary operator $U: H \to H$, we denote by F(U) the corresponding unitary operator acting on F(H): $F(U) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} U^{\otimes n}$. On each subspace $H^{\otimes n} \subset F(H)$ the action of the operator $U^{\otimes n}$ is determined by $U^{\otimes n}(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = Ux_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes Ux_n$.

Let $\pi \in S(n)$, where S(n) stands for the permutation group of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The operator $U_{\pi} \colon H^{\otimes n} \to H^{\otimes n}$ is defined by $U_{\pi}(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = x_{\pi(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\pi(n)}$. We denote by $H^{\odot n}$ the space of all elements of $H^{\otimes n}$ invariant with respect to the action of S(n), i.e. $H^{\odot n} = \{\tilde{x} \in H^{\otimes n} : U_{\pi}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x} \text{ for all } \pi \in S(n)\}$. We write $U^{\odot n}$ for $U^{\otimes n}|_{H^{\odot n}}$. The symmetric Fock space is given by $F_{\text{sym}}(H) = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H^{\odot n}$, and $F_{\text{sym}}(U)$ denotes the operator $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} U^{\odot n} = F(U)|_{F_{\text{sym}}(H)}$. More information about tensor products of Hilbert spaces and unitary operators can be found in [18].

2.2. Spectral theory. For a unitary operator U acting on a separable Hilbert space H there exist elements $x_n \in H$ such that

(2.1)
$$H = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}(x_n) \text{ and } \sigma_{x_1} \gg \sigma_{x_2} \gg \cdots$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(x) = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{U^n(x): n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is the *cyclic space* generated by the element $x \in H$, and σ_x for $x \in H$ denotes the only finite, positive Borel measure on $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| = 1\}\$ such that $\langle U^n x, x \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\sigma_x(z)$ (this measure is called the *spectral measure* of x). The set of all measures on \mathbb{T} equivalent to σ_{x_1} in the decomposition (2.1) is called the *maximal spectral type* of U and is denoted by σ_U . For $n \geq 2$ the maximal spectral type of the tensor product of operators U_1, \ldots, U_n is given by the convolution of their maximal spectral types: $\sigma_{U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n} = \sigma_{U_1} * \cdots * \sigma_{U_n}$.

For $n \geq 1$ let $A_n = \{z \in \mathbb{T} : (d\sigma_{x_n}/d\sigma_{x_1})(z) \neq 0\}$, where $d\sigma_{x_n}/d\sigma_{x_1}$ is the Radon–Nikodym derivative. The spectral multiplicity function $M_U : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is given by $M_U(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{A_n}(z)$ and the spectral multiplicity of U is the essential supremum of M_U . If for some $N \geq 1$, $M_U = N$ almost everywhere with respect to the maximal spectral type, we say that U has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity N. If moreover N = 1, we say that Uhas simple spectrum.

Consider the operator $V_{\sigma}: L^2(\mathbb{T}, \sigma) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}, \sigma)$ given by $V_{\sigma}(f)(z) = z \cdot f(z)$, where σ is a finite, positive Borel measure on \mathbb{T} . It has simple spectrum and its maximal spectral type is σ . The operator $U|_{\mathbb{Z}(x)}: \mathbb{Z}(x) \to \mathbb{Z}(x)$ is spectrally equivalent to $V_x: L^2(\mathbb{T}, \sigma_x) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}, \sigma_x)$. For an introduction to the spectral theory of unitary operators see e.g. [20] and for other locally compact abelian group actions see [12] or [14].

Given an automorphism $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ of a standard probability Borel space, by its spectral properties we will understand the spectral properties of the associated unitary operator (the so-called *Koopman operator*) $U_T: L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ defined by $U_T(f) = f \circ T$, e.g. the maximal spectral type of T is the maximal spectral type of U_T . Since any Koopman operator has an atom at 1, i.e. $\delta_1 \ll \sigma_{U_T}$, we will also use the notion of the reduced maximal spectral type, i.e. $\sigma_{U_T|_{L^2_0(X)}}$, where $L^2_0(X) = \{f \in L^2(X): \int_X f d\mu = 0\}.$

We recall that spectral simplicity of $F_{\text{sym}}(U_T|_{L^2_0(X)})$ is equivalent to spectral simplicity of the Gaussian automorphism associated to the reduced maximal spectral type of U_T (¹).

2.3. Joinings. Let T and S be automorphisms of standard probability Borel spaces (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{C}, ν) respectively. We denote by J(T, S) the set of all *joinings* between T and S, i.e. the set of all $T \times S$ -invariant probability measures on $(X \times Y, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C})$, whose projections on X and Y are equal to μ and ν respectively. The subset of J(T, S) consisting of all ergodic joinings will be denoted by $J^e(T, S)$. We write J(T) for J(T, T). Joinings are in oneto-one correspondence with Markov operators $\Phi: L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to L^2(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ satisfying $\Phi \circ T = S \circ \Phi$:

$$\begin{split} \Phi &\mapsto \lambda_{\Phi} \in J(T,S), \qquad \lambda_{\Phi}(A \times B) = \int_{B} \Phi(\mathbb{1}_{A}) \, d\nu, \\ \lambda &\mapsto \Phi_{\lambda}, \qquad \int \Phi_{\lambda}(f)(y) g(y) \, d\nu(y) = \int f(x) g(y) \, d\lambda(x,y) \end{split}$$

We denote the set of such Markov operators by $\mathcal{J}(T, S)$ and endow it with the weak operator topology. This identification allows us to view J(T) as a metrizable compact semitopological semigroup. If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is a factor of S and $\lambda \in J(T, S|_{\mathcal{A}})$ we can consider the joining $\hat{\lambda} \in J(T, S)$ given on $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ by

$$\hat{\lambda}(B \times C) = \int_{X \times Y} \mathbb{1}_B(x) E(\mathbb{1}_C | \mathcal{A})(y) \, d\lambda(x, y).$$

It is called the *relatively independent extension* of λ .

We say that T and S are *disjoint* if $J(T, S) = \{\mu \otimes \nu\}$ (the notion of disjointness was introduced by H. Furstenberg [8]). We refer the reader to [10] for more information on the theory of joinings and e.g. to [17] for a short survey on the basic notions.

2.4. Convolution singularity. Throughout the paper, by a measure on \mathbb{T} we will always mean a positive finite Borel measure.

DEFINITION 2.1 ([16]). A measure σ on \mathbb{T} has the convolution singularity property (CS) if $\sigma \perp \nu_1 * \nu_2$ for any continuous measures ν_1, ν_2 on \mathbb{T} . An

^{(&}lt;sup>1</sup>) For more information concerning Gaussian systems we refer the reader e.g. to [18].

automorphism T has the CS property if its maximal spectral type has this property.

We can generalize this property and consider singularity with respect to convolutions of more than two measures.

DEFINITION 2.2. A measure σ on \mathbb{T} has the *convolution singularity property of order* n (CS(n)) if $\sigma \perp \nu_1 * \cdots * \nu_n$ for any continuous measures ν_1, \ldots, ν_n on \mathbb{T} . An automorphism T has the CS(n) property if its maximal spectral type has this property.

2.5. Joining primeness. The notion of joining primeness (JP) and its generalization, joining primeness of higher order, were introduced in [16].

DEFINITION 2.3. Let $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be an ergodic automorphism of a standard probability Borel space. We say that T has the *joining* primeness property of order $n \geq 1$ (JP(n)) if for any $k \geq n+1$ and any weakly mixing automorphisms $S_i: (Y_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \nu_i) \to (Y_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \nu_i), 1 \leq i \leq k$, for every $\lambda \in J^e(T, S_1 \times \cdots \times S_k)$ there exist $i_1, \ldots, i_n, 1 \leq i_j \leq k$, such that

$$\lambda = \lambda_{X, Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_n}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \nu_j,$$

where $\lambda_{X,Y_{i_1},\ldots,Y_{i_n}}$ is the projection of λ on the product of the corresponding coordinate factors.

Speaking less formally, the JP(n) property means that ergodic joinings with Cartesian products of weakly mixing automorphisms are in fact independent extensions of joinings with products of at most n factors.

REMARK 2.4 ([16]). Adding the requirement that S_1, \ldots, S_k are isomorphic yields an equivalent notion. Also restricting the definition by fixing k = n + 1 (instead of taking an arbitrary $k \ge n + 1$) brings nothing new.

REMARK 2.5. Clearly $JP(m) \subset JP(n)$ for $m \leq n$.

3. General results

3.1. CS(n) property vs spectral multiplicities of tensor products on some subspaces. Our results from this section overlap with the results from [23] (e.g. Proposition 3.10 follows from the "calculus of spectral multiplicities" proposed in [23]). However, for the sake of completeness and for the consistency of the language we have decided to include the overlapping results as well. Ryzhikov also provides in [23] new examples of spectral multiplicities, including e.g. $\{p, q, pq\}$, $\{p, q, r, pq, pr, rq, pqr\}$ (cf. Remark 3.12). Recall that the problem of determining which subsets of N can be obtained as the sets of essential values of the spectral multiplicity function remains open. For $n \geq 1$, we denote by C_n the map from \mathbb{T}^n to \mathbb{T} given by

$$C_n(z_1,\ldots,z_n)=z_1\cdot\ldots\cdot z_n.$$

So, if U is a unitary operator of a separable Hilbert space, then $\sigma_{U^{\otimes n}} = (\sigma_U)^{*n} = (C_n)_*(\sigma_U^{\otimes n})$. Throughout the paper we will use the following well-known characterization.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let σ be a finite positive Borel measure on \mathbb{T} . The operator $V_{\sigma}^{\otimes n}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity N if and only if the map $C_n \colon \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}$ is N-to-one on some Borel set $F \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ with $\sigma^{\otimes n}(F^c) = 0$.

REMARK 3.2. The same property can also be expressed in terms of disintegration of measures into conditional measures, as was done in [12] in the case of two operators with simple spectrum (whose product does not necessarily have homogeneous spectrum):

The operator $V_{\sigma}^{\otimes n}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity N if and only if in the disintegration

$$\sigma^{\otimes n} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mu_z \, d\sigma^{*n}(z)$$

for σ^{*n} -almost every z, the measure μ_z (which is concentrated on $C_n^{-1}(z)$) is purely atomic and has N atoms.

REMARK 3.3. For a unitary operator $U: H \to H$ the condition that $U^{\odot k}$ has simple spectrum for some $k \geq 1$ implies that U has simple spectrum too. Indeed, otherwise we can find $x_1, x_2 \in H$ with the same spectral measures $\sigma_{x_1} = \sigma_{x_2}$ and such that $\mathbb{Z}(x_1) \perp \mathbb{Z}(x_2)$. Then $x_1^{\otimes k}, x_2^{\otimes k} \in H^{\odot k}, \mathbb{Z}(x_1^{\otimes k}) \perp \mathbb{Z}(x_2^{\otimes k})$ and $\sigma_{x_1^{\otimes k}} = \sigma_{x_2^{\otimes k}}$, which implies that the spectrum of $U^{\odot k}$ is not simple either.

Let $I = \{\vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \colon \{i_1, \dots, i_n\} = \{1, \dots, n\}\}$. Let G be a subgroup of the group S(n), acting naturally on I by

$$\pi((i_1,\ldots,i_n))=(\pi(i_1),\ldots,\pi(i_n))$$

for $\pi \in G$. Denote by o_G the number of orbits of this action of G on I. Since every orbit has #G elements, we have $o_G = n!/\#G$. Now we consider the space

$$H_{\rm inv}^{\otimes n}(G) = \{ \widetilde{x} \in H^{\otimes n} \colon U_{\pi}(\widetilde{x}) = \widetilde{x} \text{ for } \pi \in G \}.$$

REMARK 3.4. Let $n \geq 1$. If $\sigma = \sigma_x$ is the maximal spectral type of the unitary operator $U: H \to H$ then the spectral measure of (x, \ldots, x) under $U^{\otimes n}$ is σ_x^{*n} , so it is the maximal spectral type of $U^{\otimes n}$. Since $(x, \ldots, x) \in H_{\text{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)$ for any subgroup $G \subset S(n)$, the maximal spectral type of $U^{\otimes n}|_{H_{\text{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)}$ is also σ^{*n} . In particular $\sigma_{U^{\otimes n}} = \sigma^{*n}$.

REMARK 3.5. Notice that the orthogonal projection on $H_{inv}^{\otimes n}(G)$ is given by 1 ____

$$\operatorname{proj}_{H_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)} = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\pi \in G} U_{\pi}.$$

The spectral decompositions of the operators $U^{\odot n}$ and $U^{\otimes n}|_{H^{\otimes n}_{inv}(G)}$ are connected with each other. In the case when the spectrum of $U^{\odot n}$ is simple and the maximal spectral type is continuous, we obtain the following characterization.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let $U: H \to H$ be a unitary operator of a separable Hilbert space. Assume that $\sigma = \sigma_U$ is a continuous measure and that $U^{\odot n}$ has simple spectrum. Then $U^{\otimes n}|_{H^{\otimes n}_{inv}(G)}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity o_G .

A similar theorem can be found in Ageev [3]. Even though our situation is simpler (in [3] the measure has one atom, whereas here it is continuous), we include the proof for completeness.

Proof. Since by Remark 3.3 the operator U has simple spectrum, we can assume that $U = V_{\sigma_U}$, that is,

$$H = L^2(\mathbb{T}, \sigma_U)$$
 and $Uf(z) = zf(z)$.

We will use the ordering on $\mathbb T$ inherited from principal values of arguments of complex numbers. Let

$$A_{\vec{i}} = \{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n \colon z_{i_1} < \dots < z_{i_n} \} \text{ and } F_{\vec{i}} = \mathbb{1}_{A_{\vec{i}}} L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \sigma_U^{\otimes n})$$

for $\vec{i} \in I$. The sets $A_{\vec{i}}$ are pairwise disjoint and we have

(3.1)
$$F_{\vec{i}} \perp F_{\vec{j}} \quad \text{for } \vec{i} \neq \vec{j}.$$

By continuity of σ , \mathbb{T}^n can be decomposed up to a set of measure zero into the disjoint union $\bigcup_{\vec{i} \in I} A_{\vec{i}}$. Therefore $H^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\vec{i} \in I} F_{\vec{i}}$. We obtain

$$H_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G) = \operatorname{proj}_{H_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)} H^{\otimes n} = \operatorname{proj}_{H_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)} \bigoplus_{\vec{i} \in I} F_{\vec{i}}.$$

Notice that

(3.2)
$$U_{\pi}(F_{\vec{i}}) = F_{\pi^{-1}(\vec{i})} \quad \text{for } \pi \in S(n) \text{ and } \vec{i} \in I.$$

If $\vec{j} = \tau(\vec{i})$ for some $\tau \in G$, then by Remark 3.5,

$$\operatorname{proj}_{H_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)} F_{\vec{i}} = \operatorname{proj}_{H_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)} F_{\vec{j}}$$

If \vec{j} is not in the *G*-orbit of \vec{i} , then for any $\pi, \pi' \in G$ we have $\pi(\vec{i}) \neq \pi'(\vec{j})$, and hence the sets $A_{\pi^{-1}(\vec{i})}$ and $A_{\pi'^{-1}(\vec{j})}$ are disjoint and $F_{\pi^{-1}(\vec{i})} \perp F_{\pi'^{-1}(\vec{j})}$. It follows by Remark 3.5 that

$$\operatorname{proj}_{H_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)} F_{\vec{i}} \perp \operatorname{proj}_{H_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)} F_{\vec{j}}.$$

This means that for some $\vec{i}_1, \ldots, \vec{i}_{o_G}$ we have

$$H_{\rm inv}^{\otimes n}(G) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{o_G} \operatorname{proj}_{H_{\rm inv}^{\otimes n}(G)} F_{\vec{i}_k}.$$

Now,

$$U^{\otimes n}|_{F_{\vec{i}}} \simeq U^{\otimes n}|_{\operatorname{proj}_{H^{\otimes n}_{\operatorname{inv}}(G)}}F_{\vec{i}}$$

Indeed, the unitary equivalence is given by

$$\mathbb{1}_{A_{\vec{i}}} f \mapsto \sqrt{\#G} \operatorname{proj}_{H_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)} f.$$

The isometry condition follows from Remark 3.5, (3.2), (3.1) and the fact that U_{π} is an isometry of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \sigma_U^{\otimes n})$. Moreover, $\operatorname{proj}_{H_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)} \circ U^{\otimes n} = U^{\otimes n} \circ \operatorname{proj}_{H_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes n}(G)}(^2)$. Hence

$$U^{\otimes n}|_{H^{\otimes n}_{\mathrm{inv}}(G)} \simeq U^{\otimes n}|_{\bigoplus_{k=1}^{o_G} F_{\vec{i}_k}}.$$

Moreover, for any $\vec{i}, \vec{j} \in I$ we have $U^{\otimes n}|_{F_{\vec{i}}} \simeq U^{\otimes n}|_{F_{\vec{j}}}$ (the isomorphism is given by U_{π} for an appropriate $\pi \in S(n)$). Therefore

(3.3)
$$U^{\otimes n}|_{H^{\otimes n}_{\mathrm{inv}}(G)} \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{o_G} U^{\otimes n}|_{F_{(1,\dots,n)}}$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that $U^{\otimes n}|_{F_{(1,\ldots,n)}}$ has simple spectrum. This is however true since the condition (3.3) for G = S(n) means that

(3.4)
$$U^{\odot n} \simeq U^{\otimes n}|_{F_{(1,\ldots,n)}}. \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY 3.7. Let $n \ge 1$. If the maximal spectral type of U is continuous then $U^{\otimes n}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity n! if and only if $U^{\odot n}$ has simple spectrum.

Proof. Fix $n \ge 1$. If the operator $U^{\odot n}$ has simple spectrum then applying Proposition 3.6 to $G = {\text{Id}}$ we see that $U^{\otimes n}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity n!.

Now assume that $U^{\odot n}$ does not have simple spectrum. First we consider the case when U itself has simple spectrum. By conditions (3.4) and (3.3) from (the proof of) Proposition 3.6 we have

$$U^{\otimes n} \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n!} U^{\otimes n}|_{F_{(1,\dots,n)}} \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n!} U^{\odot n}.$$

Therefore the spectral multiplicity of $U^{\otimes n}$ is at least 2n!. Now if U does not have simple spectrum then $H \supset \mathbb{Z}(x_1) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(x_2)$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in H$ with

^{(&}lt;sup>2</sup>) We have $\operatorname{proj}_F \circ W = W \circ \operatorname{proj}_F$ for any bounded linear operator W on a Hilbert space H and any W- and W^* -invariant subspace $F \subset H$.

 $\sigma_{x_1} = \sigma_{x_2}$. Therefore $H^{\otimes n} \supset \mathbb{Z}(x_1)^{\otimes n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(x_2)^{\otimes n}$ and $H^{\odot n} \supset \mathbb{Z}(x_1)^{\odot n} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(x_2)^{\odot n}$. The same arguments as before now show that the spectral multiplicity is again at least 2n!.

REMARK 3.8. Let $n \ge 1$ and assume that U has simple spectrum and its maximal spectral type is continuous. As in the above corollary, it follows from conditions (3.4) and (3.3) in Proposition 3.6 that

(3.5)
$$U^{\otimes n} \simeq \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n!} U^{\odot n}$$

Therefore $U^{\odot n}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity k for some $k \ge 1$ if and only if $U^{\otimes k}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity $n! \cdot k$. As noted in [23], formula (3.5) remains true also in the general case, i.e. without assuming simplicity of the spectrum of U.

LEMMA 3.9. If for some $k \geq 1$ the operator $U^{\odot k}$ has simple spectrum then also for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ the operators $U^{\odot j}$ have simple spectrum.

Proof. By Remark 3.3, we may assume that $U = V_{\sigma}$ where $\sigma = \sigma_U$, and we may moreover assume that σ is a probability measure. We will show that, when $k \geq 2$, spectral simplicity of $V_{\sigma}^{\odot k}$ implies spectral simplicity for $V_{\sigma}^{\odot (k-1)}$. Suppose that $V_{\sigma}^{\odot (k-1)}$ does not have simple spectrum. This means that

(3.6) for any Borel $F \subset \mathbb{T}^{k-1}$, $\sigma^{\otimes (k-1)}(F) = 1$ the map C_{k-1} is not one-to-one modulo coordinate permutations on F.

Take any Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{T}^k$ such that $\sigma^{\otimes k}(E) = 1$. We claim that C_k is not one-to-one modulo coordinate permutations on E. Let $F \subset \mathbb{T}^{k-1}$ be defined by

$$F = \{ (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{T}^{k-1} \colon \sigma(\{y \in \mathbb{T} \colon (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, y) \in E\}) = 1 \}.$$

Then $\sigma^{\otimes (k-1)}(F) = 1$. By (3.1) it follows that there exist (z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}) and (z'_1, \ldots, z'_{k-1}) in F with $C_{k-1}(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}) = C_{k-1}(z'_1, \ldots, z'_{k-1})$ which cannot be obtained from one another by a coordinate permutation.

By the definition of F, we can find $z \in \mathbb{T}$ such that both $(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, z)$ and $(z'_1, \ldots, z'_{k-1}, z)$ are in E. Clearly $C_k(z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, z) = C_k(z'_1, \ldots, z'_{k-1}, z)$ and these points are not equal modulo coordinate permutations either, which completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.10. Let $k, m \geq 1$. Assume that σ is a continuous measure on \mathbb{T} and that the operator $V_{\sigma}^{\odot mk}$ has simple spectrum. Then the operator $(V_{\sigma^{*k}})^{\otimes m}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity $(mk)!/(k!)^m$ with maximal spectral type σ^{*mk} .

Proof. The maximal spectral type of $V_{\sigma^{*k}}^{\otimes m}$ is $(\sigma^{*k})^{*m} = \sigma^{*mk}$. We have to determine its spectral multiplicity.

Since by Lemma 3.9 the operator $V_{\sigma}^{\odot k}$ has simple spectrum and its maximal spectral type is σ^{*k} , we have $V_{\sigma^{*k}} \simeq V_{\sigma}^{\odot k}$. Hence

$$(V_{\sigma^{*k}})^{\otimes m} \simeq (V_{\sigma}^{\odot k})^{\otimes m} = (V_{\sigma}^{\otimes k}|_{H^{\odot k}})^{\otimes m} = V_{\sigma}^{\otimes km}|_{(H^{\odot k})^{\otimes m}}$$

where $H = L^2(\mathbb{T}, \sigma)$.

We identify $\{1, \ldots, mk\}$ with $\{1, \ldots, k\} \times \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Consider the subgroup G of S(mk) of all permutations

$$\pi \colon (i,j) \mapsto (\pi_j(i),j), \quad 1 \le i \le k, \ 1 \le j \le m,$$

where $\pi_j \in S(k)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then $\#G = (k!)^m$ and it follows from Remark 3.5 that

$$\operatorname{proj}_{H_{\operatorname{inv}}^{\otimes mk}(G)} = \frac{1}{(k!)^m} \sum_{\pi \in G} U_{\pi}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(k!)^m} \sum_{(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m) \in S(k)^m} U_{\pi_1} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{\pi_m} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^m \operatorname{proj}_{H^{\odot k}},$$
$$(H^{\odot k})^{\otimes m} = H^{\otimes mk}(G)$$

$$\mathbf{SO}$$

$$(H^{\odot k})^{\otimes m} = H^{\otimes mk}_{\rm inv}(G).$$

Therefore, as $V_{\sigma}^{\odot mk}$ has simple spectrum, by Proposition 3.6, $V_{\sigma}^{\otimes mk}|_{(H^{\odot k})^{\otimes m}}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity

$$o_G = \frac{(mk)!}{\#G} = \frac{(mk)!}{(k!)^m}.$$

Using Proposition 3.10 and Remark 3.8, we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 3.11. Let σ be a continuous measure on \mathbb{T} such that the spectrum of $F_{\text{sym}}(V_{\sigma})$ is simple. Then for $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$ the operator $(V_{\sigma^{*k}})^{\odot m}$ has homogeneous spectrum of multiplicity

$$\frac{(mk)!}{(k!)^m} \cdot \frac{1}{m!}.$$

REMARK 3.12. Notice that Corollary 3.11 yields a generalization of the example provided by A. I. Danilenko and V. V. Ryzhikov [5] which shows that there exists a unitary operator U for which the set of spectral multiplicities of $\bigoplus_{m>1} U^{\odot m}$ is equal to

$$\{1, 1 \cdot 3, 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5, 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, \ldots\}.$$

This is the special case of Corollary 3.11 with k = 2 and it shows that the set of spectral multiplicities for a Gaussian system need not be a multiplicative subsemigroup of \mathbb{N} (contrary to the claim of A. E. Robinson from [21]).

REMARK 3.13. Let μ_1, \ldots, μ_n be continuous measures on \mathbb{T} . Then by Fubini's theorem

$$\mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_n(\{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{T}^n : z_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdot \dots \cdot z_n^{\varepsilon_n} = c\}) = 0$$

for $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 \neq 0$ and every $c \in \mathbb{T}$.

THEOREM 3.14. Let σ be a continuous measure on \mathbb{T} . If $V_{\sigma}^{\odot mk}$ has simple spectrum, then σ^{*k} has the CS(n) property for any n such that $(m!)^n > (mk)!/(k!)^m$.

This theorem is proved for the case where k = 1 and m = 2 in [19]. Here we provide the proof in full generality.

Proof. Let $k, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $(m!)^n > (mk)!/(k!)^m$. Assume that for some continuous measures $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ we have

(3.7)
$$\sigma^{*k} \not\perp \sigma_1 * \cdots * \sigma_n.$$

We may assume that $\sigma, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ are probability measures. By Propositions 3.10 and 3.1, the map C_m is $(mk)!/(k!)^m$ -to-one on some set $F \subset \mathbb{T}^m$ with $(\sigma^{*k})^{\otimes m}(F) = 1$. We claim that under our assumptions this yields a contradiction: we will find $(m!)^n$ distinct points from the set F with the same product of coordinates, which is not possible since by assumption $(m!)^n > (mk)!/(k!)^m$.

Since we have assumed (3.7), there exists a Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $\sigma_1 * \cdots * \sigma_n(A) > 0$ such that

$$\sigma_1 * \cdots * \sigma_n |_A \ll \sigma^{*k}$$

Therefore

$$(\sigma_1 * \cdots * \sigma_n)^{\otimes m} (A^m \cap F^c) = 0,$$

whence

(3.8)
$$(\sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n)^{\otimes m} (\widetilde{A}^m \cap \widetilde{F}^c) = 0,$$

where $\widetilde{A} = C_n^{-1}(A)$ and $\widetilde{F} = ((C_n)^m)^{-1}(F)$.

Now fix $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist sets $B_1, \ldots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$ such that

 $\sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n (B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n) > 0$

and the "parallelepiped" $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n$ is included in \widetilde{A} up to ε , more precisely

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n (B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n \setminus A) < \varepsilon \cdot \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n (B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n).$$

We identify again $\{1, \ldots, mn\}$ with $\{1, \ldots, n\} \times \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Let now $G \subset S(mn)$ be the subgroup of permutations of the form $\pi = (\pi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ with $\pi_i \in S(m)$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ defined by

$$\pi \colon (i,j) \mapsto (i,\pi_i(j)), \quad 1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le j \le m,$$

and acting on $(\mathbb{T}^n)^m$ by

$$(z_{i,j})_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m} \mapsto (z_{\pi(i,j)})_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m}$$

Notice that such permutations π preserve the measure $(\sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n)^{\otimes m}$ and that the sets $(B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n)^m$ are invariant under the action of G. Without loss of generality we can also assume that \widetilde{F} is invariant under G (we can restrict to $\bigcap_{\pi \in G} \pi^{-1}(\widetilde{F})$, which is still of full measure).

Since

$$(B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n)^m \setminus \widetilde{A}^m \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\mathbb{T}^n)^{j-1} \times (B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n \setminus \widetilde{A}) \times (\mathbb{T}^n)^{m-j},$$

by (3.9) we have

$$(\sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n)^{\otimes m} ((B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n)^m \setminus \widetilde{A}^m) \\ \leq m\varepsilon \cdot \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n (B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n),$$

whence for all $\pi \in G$,

$$(\sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n)^{\otimes m} ((B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n)^m \setminus \pi^{-1}(\widetilde{A}^m)) \\ \leq m\varepsilon \cdot \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n (B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n).$$

Therefore

$$(\sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n)^{\otimes m} ((B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n)^m \setminus \bigcap_{\pi \in G} \pi^{-1}(\widetilde{A}^m))$$

$$\leq \# G \cdot m\varepsilon \cdot \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n (B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n).$$

So, if ε is small enough, by (3.8) we have

$$(\sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_n)^{\otimes m} \Big((B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n)^m \cap \bigcap_{\pi \in G} \pi^{-1}(\widetilde{A}^m) \cap \widetilde{F} \Big) > 0,$$

and, since $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ are continuous measures, by Remark 3.13 we can find an element $(z_{i,j})$ in this set for which moreover

$$z_{1,j_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot z_{n,j_n}\neq z_{1,j_1'}\cdot\ldots\cdot z_{n,j_n'}$$

whenever (j_1, \ldots, j_n) and (j'_1, \ldots, j'_n) are distinct elements of $\{1, \ldots, m\}^n$.

If $\pi = (\pi_i)_{1 \le i \le n}, \pi' = (\pi'_i)_{1 \le i \le n} \in G$ are distinct, there exists $1 \le i \le n$ such that $\pi_i(j) \ne \pi'_i(j)$ for some $1 \le j \le m$, whence

$$(\pi_1(j),\ldots,\pi_n(j))\neq(\pi'_1(j),\ldots,\pi'_n(j))$$

and thus

$$z_{1,\pi_1(j)}\cdot\ldots\cdot z_{n,\pi_n(j)}\neq z_{1,\pi'_1(j)}\cdot\ldots\cdot z_{n,\pi'_n(j)}.$$

Therefore the elements

$$(z_{1,\pi_1(1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{n,\pi_n(1)}, \ldots, z_{1,\pi_1(m)} \cdot \ldots \cdot z_{n,\pi_n(m)}) \in F$$

for $\pi = (\pi_i)_{1 \le i \le n} \in G$ are all distinct. Clearly they have the same product of coordinates, and $\#G = (m!)^n$, which completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3.15. Let σ be a continuous measure on \mathbb{T} . If $V_{\sigma}^{\odot n}$ has simple spectrum for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then for every $k \geq 1$ the measure σ^{*k} has the CS(k+1) property.

Proof. By Lemma 3.9, $V_{\sigma}^{\odot n}$ has simple spectrum for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, when $k \geq 1$ is fixed, $V_{\sigma}^{\odot mk}$ has simple spectrum for every $m \geq 1$.

Let then, for $m \ge 1$,

$$a_m = (m!)^{k+1} \cdot \frac{(k!)^m}{(mk)!}$$

By Theorem 3.14, it suffices to show that $a_m > 1$ for m large enough. We have

$$a_{m+1} = a_m \cdot (m+1)^{k+1} \cdot \frac{k!}{(mk+1) \cdot \dots \cdot ((m+1)k)}$$

$$\geq a_m \cdot (m+1)^{k+1} \cdot \frac{k!}{((m+1)k)^k} = a_m \frac{k!}{k^k} (m+1)$$

Since k is fixed, a_{m+1}/a_m tends to infinity as m increases, which ends the proof.

COROLLARY 3.16. For every $n \ge 1$ the Cartesian product of n copies of a weakly mixing automorphism whose reduced maximal spectral type generates a Gaussian system with simple spectrum has the CS(n + 1) property.

Proof. Let $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a weakly mixing automorphism whose reduced maximal spectral type σ generates a Gaussian system with simple spectrum. Recall that this implies that $V_{\sigma}^{\odot n}$ has simple spectrum for all n. By Corollary 3.15 the measure σ^{*k} is singular with respect to the convolution of any k + 1 continuous measures on \mathbb{T} for $k \geq 1$. Therefore

$$\sigma_{T^{\times n}} = \delta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^{*k} \perp \mu_1 * \dots * \mu_{n+1}$$

for any continuous measures μ_i $(1 \le i \le n+1)$, which ends the proof.

REMARK 3.17. It is known that a typical automorphism (with respect to the weak operator topology) is weakly mixing (Halmos [11]) and its reduced maximal spectral type generates a Gaussian system with simple spectrum (Ageev [1]).

COROLLARY 3.18. For every $n \ge 1$ the Cartesian product of n copies of a typical automorphism (with respect to the weak operator topology) has the CS(n+1) property.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Corollary 3.16 and Remark 3.17.

3.2. Spectral simplicity of $F_{\text{sym}}(U)$. Let $U: H \to H$ be a unitary operator acting on a separable Hilbert space, with continuous maximal spectral type. Let $F_{\text{sym}}(U)$ be the corresponding operator on the symmetric Fock space $F_{\text{sym}}(H)$. The spectrum of $F_{\text{sym}}(U)$ is simple if the following two conditions hold:

- 1. The spectrum of each $U^{\odot k}$ for $k \ge 1$ is simple.
- 2. The maximal spectral types of $U^{\odot k}$ are pairwise orthogonal: $\sigma_U^{*k} \perp \sigma_U^{*l}$ for $k \neq l$.

These two conditions are not independent: the first one implies the second. This follows directly from Corollary 3.15, Remark 3.3 and Lemma 3.9. We also provide below a more precise consequence of the spectral simplicity of symmetric tensor products, with a direct proof.

PROPOSITION 3.19. Let σ be a continuous measure on \mathbb{T} . Let $n, m \geq 1$. If $V_{\sigma}^{\odot(m+n)}$ has simple spectrum then $\sigma^{*n} \perp \sigma^{*m} * \delta_a$ whenever $n \neq m$ or $a \neq 1$.

Proof. We may assume that σ is a probability measure. Suppose that, for two positive integers $m \leq n$ and some $a \in \mathbb{T}$,

(3.10)
$$\sigma^{*n} \not\perp \sigma^{*m} * \delta_a$$

We will show that, if $n \neq m$ or $a \neq 1$, then $C_{m+n} \colon \mathbb{T}^{m+n} \to \mathbb{T}$ is not one-to-one modulo coordinate permutations on any Borel set $F \subset \mathbb{T}^{m+n}$ with $\sigma^{\otimes (m+n)}(F) = 1$, i.e. $V_{\sigma}^{\odot (m+n)}$ does not have simple spectrum.

To avoid further discussion of measurability of direct images, notice that if A is a Borel set in \mathbb{T}^k with $\sigma^{\otimes k}(A) = 1$, it contains a σ -compact set of full measure whose image under C_k is still σ -compact and carries σ^{*k} . Thus $C_k(A)$ is measurable, with $\sigma^{*k}(C_kA) = 1$.

Let a Borel set $F \subset \mathbb{T}^{m+n}$ with $\sigma^{\otimes (m+n)}(F) = 1$ be given and consider the sets

$$A_1 = \{ x \in \mathbb{T}^m \colon \sigma^{\otimes n}(\{ y \in \mathbb{T}^n \colon (x, y) \in F\}) = 1 \},$$

$$A_2 = \{ y \in \mathbb{T}^n \colon \sigma^{\otimes m}(\{ x \in \mathbb{T}^m \colon (x, y) \in F\}) = 1 \}.$$

By the above observation concerning measurability, $\sigma^{*m}(C_mA_1) = \sigma^{*n}(C_nA_2)$ = 1 and thus (3.10) implies $C_mA_1 \cap a^{-1}C_nA_2 \neq \emptyset$.

Choose $s \in C_m A_1 \cap a^{-1} C_n A_2$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in A_1$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in A_2$ such that

$$C_m x = a^{-1} C_n y = s.$$

By definition of the sets A_1 and A_2 there exist Borel sets $B_1 \subset \mathbb{T}^m$ with $\sigma^{\otimes m}(B_1) = 1$ and $B_2 \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ with $\sigma^{\otimes n}(B_2) = 1$ such that

 $(x', y) \in F$ for all $x' \in B_1$, $(x, y') \in F$ for all $y' \in B_2$.

Moreover, since σ is continuous, the set of $y' \in \mathbb{T}^n$ with a given coordinate has zero $\sigma^{\otimes n}$ -measure and we can restrict B_2 to those $y' = (y'_1, \ldots, y'_n)$ which have every coordinate y'_i different from every coordinate y_j of y.

By (3.10) we get again $C_m B_1 \cap a^{-1} C_n B_2 \neq \emptyset$, so we can choose $s' \in C_m B_1 \cap a^{-1} C_n B_2$, $x' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_m) \in B_1$ and $y' = (y'_1, \dots, y'_n) \in B_2$ with $C_m x' = a^{-1} C_n y' = s'.$

Then $(x, y') \in F$, $(x', y) \in F$, and $C_{m+n}(x, y') = C_{m+n}(x', y) = a \cdot s \cdot s'$.

If m < n, at least one of the coordinates y'_i does not appear among the x'_j and by the latter assumption on B_2 it is not one of the y_j either, so (x, y') is not equal to (x', y) modulo a coordinate permutation, which ends the proof in this case.

If m = n and $a \neq 1$ then y' cannot be a coordinate permutation of x' since the products of the coordinates are different, and the result follows by the same argument.

REMARK 3.20. In the above proof we have not used the fact that we are dealing with unitary Z-actions. The assertion remains true for continuous unitary representations of any locally compact second countable abelian group.

REMARK 3.21. Let m > 1 and $k \ge 1$. For a continuous measure σ on \mathbb{T} , the condition that the spectrum of $V_{\sigma}^{\odot mk}$ is simple is essentially stronger than the CS(n) property for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(m!)^n > (mk)!/(k!)^m$ (Theorem 3.14). Indeed, it suffices to take as σ a measure on \mathbb{T} such that the operator $V_{\sigma}^{\odot mk}$ has simple spectrum and consider the representation $V_{\sigma+\sigma*\delta_a}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{T}$, $a \ne 1$. The operator $V_{\sigma+\sigma*\delta_a}^{\odot mk}$ does not have simple spectrum, since this would imply that $V_{\sigma+\sigma*\delta_a}^{\odot 2}$ has simple spectrum, while clearly $(\sigma + \sigma * \delta_a) * \delta_a \not\perp \sigma + \sigma * \delta_a$, which would contradict Proposition 3.19. On the other hand, by Corollary 3.15, σ has the CS(n) property, whence also $\sigma + \sigma * \delta_a$ has the CS(n) property as a sum of two measures which have the property under consideration.

In particular, spectral simplicity of $V_{\sigma}^{\odot 2}$ is a condition essentially stronger than the CS property for the measure σ .

In view of Remark 3.20, the above discussion also remains valid for other abelian group actions—instead of measures on \mathbb{T} one needs to consider measures on $\hat{\mathbb{G}}$, where \mathbb{G} is the acting group.

3.3. Girsanov's theorem. Proposition 3.19, together with methods similar to those used to prove it, yield a new proof of the well-known fact that the multiplicity function of a Gaussian system is either identically 1 or unbounded.

THEOREM 3.22 ([9]). Let U be a unitary operator whose (reduced) maximal spectral type σ is continuous. Then the set of spectral multiplicities of $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} U^{\odot n}$ is either equal to {1} or it is unbounded.

Proof. Again, we may assume that σ is a probability measure. Suppose that the spectrum of $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} U^{\odot n}$ is not simple. By Proposition 3.19, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that the spectrum of $U^{\odot n}$ is not simple. Assume that for some $n \geq 1$ the spectral multiplicity of $U^{\odot n}$ is at least q for some integer $q \geq 2$. This means that for every measurable set $F \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ of full $\sigma^{\otimes n}$ -measure we can find $s \in \mathbb{T}$ and q points

$$x_i = (x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n) \in F \quad (1 \le i \le q)$$

so that $x_i^1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^n = s$ for $1 \le i \le q$ but none of the points x_i can be obtained from any other one in this set by a coordinate permutation.

Let E be any Borel set in \mathbb{T}^{2n} with $\sigma^{\otimes 2n}(E) = 1$ and

$$A = \{ x \in \mathbb{T}^n \colon \sigma^{\otimes n} \{ y \in \mathbb{T}^n \colon (x, y) \in E \} = 1 \}.$$

We have

$$\sigma^{\otimes n}(A) = 1.$$

Let $s \in \mathbb{T}$ and $x_i = (x_i^1, \ldots, x_i^n) \in A$ $(1 \le i \le q)$ be such that $x_i^1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^n = s$ for $1 \le i \le q$ and none of the points x_i can be obtained from any other one in this set by a coordinate permutation. Let

$$B = \{ y \in \mathbb{T}^n \colon (x_i, y) \in E \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le q \}$$

Notice that $\sigma^{\otimes n}(B) = 1$ and, since σ is continuous, we can assume without loss of generality that the coordinates of points in B are different from all of the coordinates of the points x_i for $1 \leq i \leq q$.

Let $s' \in \mathbb{T}$ and $y_j \in B$ $(1 \leq j \leq q)$ be such that $y_j^1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_j^n = s'$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$ and none of the points y_j can be obtained from any other one in this set by a coordinate permutation. Then

$$(x_i, y_j) \in E$$
 and $x_i^1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_i^n \cdot y_j^1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_j^n = s \cdot s'$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq q$. Moreover none of the points (x_i, y_j) for $1 \leq i, j \leq q$ can be obtained from any other one from this set by a permutation of coordinates. Therefore the spectral multiplicity of the operator $U^{\odot 2n}$ is at least q^2 . It follows inductively that the spectral multiplicity function of $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} U^{\odot n}$ is unbounded, which completes the proof.

4. $\operatorname{CS}(n)$ implies $\operatorname{JP}(n-1)$. Let $n \geq 1$, let T be an ergodic automorphism acting on (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) and S_i be weakly mixing automorphisms acting respectively on $(Y_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \nu_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Notice that

(4.1)
$$L_0^2(Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n) = \bigoplus_{1 \le k \le n} \bigoplus_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} L_{i_1,\dots,i_k}^Y,$$

where for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$,

$$L_{i_1,\dots,i_k}^Y = \bigotimes_{j=1}^k L_0^2(Y_{i_j}). \ (^3)$$

For $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ let

$$p_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \colon L^2(Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n) \to L^2(Y_{i_1} \times \cdots \times Y_{i_k})$$

and

$$p_0: L^2(Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n) \to \mathbb{C}$$

stand for the orthogonal projections.

^{(&}lt;sup>3</sup>) For $k \geq 1$ we treat the elements of $L_{i_1,...,i_k}^Y$ as functions of *n* variables.

Recall the following well-known fact.

LEMMA 4.1. Given $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu), S: (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu) \to (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu), a$ factor of $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ of S and $\lambda \in J(T)$, $\operatorname{proj}_{L^2(\mathcal{A})} \circ \Phi_{\lambda}$ is the Markov operator corresponding to the relatively independent extension of $\lambda|_{\mathcal{B}\otimes\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Since the orthogonal projection is a selfadjoint operator, looking at the scalar product we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{Y} \operatorname{proj}_{L^{2}(\mathcal{A})} \circ \varPhi_{\lambda}(\mathbb{1}_{B})(x) \mathbb{1}_{C}(x) \, d\mu &= \int_{Y} \varPhi_{\lambda}(\mathbb{1}_{B})(x) \operatorname{proj}_{L^{2}(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{1}_{C})(x) \, d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{X \times Y} \mathbb{1}_{B}(x) E(\mathbb{1}_{C}|\mathcal{A})(y) \, d\lambda(x, y). \quad \bullet \end{split}$$

We will use the above lemma in the situation where S is a direct product of its factor $S|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and some other transformation.

LEMMA 4.2. Let $\lambda \in J^e(T, S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n)$. If $\Phi_{\lambda}(L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)) \perp L^Y_{1, \dots, n}$

then there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$\lambda = \lambda_{X,Y_1,\dots,Y_{i-1},Y_{i+1},\dots,Y_n} \otimes \nu_i$$

Proof. Let $p = \operatorname{proj}_{L_{1,\dots,n}^{Y}}$. Then

$$p = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} (\mathrm{Id} - q_i),$$

where $q_i: L^2(Y_i) \to \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, stands for the orthogonal projection. Notice that for $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_l \leq n$,

$$q_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes q_{i_l} = p_{j_1,\dots,j_{n-l}},$$

where $\{j_1,\dots,j_{n-l}\} = \{1,\dots,n\} \setminus \{i_1,\dots,i_l\}.$ We have

$$\operatorname{proj}_{(L_{1,\dots,n}^{Y})^{\perp}} = \operatorname{Id} - p = \operatorname{Id} - \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} (\operatorname{Id} - q_{i})$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^{n} (-1)^{l-1} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{l} \le n} q_{i_{1}} \otimes \dots \otimes q_{i_{l}}$$
$$= (-1)^{n-1} p_{0} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \le n} p_{i_{1},\dots,i_{k}}.$$

By the assumption that $\Phi_{\lambda}(L^2(X)) \perp L^Y_{1,\dots,n}$, it follows that

$$\Phi_{\lambda} = (-1)^{n-1} p_0 \circ \Phi_{\lambda} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} p_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \circ \Phi_{\lambda}.$$

By Lemma 4.1 the Markov operator $p_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \circ \Phi_{\lambda}$ corresponds to the relatively independent extension of $\lambda_{X,Y_{i_1},\ldots,Y_{i_k}}$, i.e. $\lambda_{X,Y_{i_1},\ldots,Y_{i_k}} \otimes \nu_{j'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_{j'_{n-k}}$ where $\{j'_1,\ldots,j'_{n-k}\} = \{1,\ldots,n\} \setminus \{i_1,\ldots,i_k\}$. Hence

$$(4.2) \qquad \lambda = (-1)^{n-1} \nu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-k-1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n} \lambda_{X, Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_k}} \otimes \nu_{j'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_{j'_{n-k}}.$$

All the joinings appearing in the above expression are ergodic:

- λ as a member of $J^e(R, S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n)$ by assumption,
- $\lambda_{X,Y_{i_1},\dots,Y_{i_k}}$ as a projection of the ergodic measure λ ,
- $\lambda_{X,Y_{i_1},\ldots,Y_{i_k}} \otimes \nu_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_{j_{n-k}}$ by the assumption that the S_i are weakly mixing.

Now we write (4.2) as an equality between sums of ergodic joinings. By the uniqueness of the ergodic decomposition, λ is equal to one of the other measures, which completes the proof.

THEOREM 4.3. Let T be an ergodic automorphism of a standard probability Borel space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . Whenever it enjoys the CS(n) property for some $n \geq 2$, it also enjoys the JP(n-1) property.

Proof. Let $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ satisfy the assumptions of the theorem. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\lambda \in J^e(T, S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n)$ for some weakly mixing automorphisms S_1, \ldots, S_n . Recall that for any $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$ we have

$$\sigma_{\Phi_{\lambda}(f)} \ll \sigma_f \ll \sigma_T$$

(see e.g. [17]) and that the maximal spectral type of $S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ on $L_{1,\ldots,n}^Y$ is the convolution of the maximal spectral types of the automorphisms S_1, \ldots, S_n on $L_0^2(Y_1), \ldots, L_0^2(Y_n)$ respectively. Using the decomposition (4.1) of $L_0^2(Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n)$ and the assumption that $\sigma_T \perp \mu_1 \ast \cdots \ast \mu_n$ for any continuous measures μ_1, \ldots, μ_n , we obtain

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(L^2(X,\mathcal{B},\mu)) \perp L^Y_{1,\dots,n}.$$

Therefore the assumption of Lemma 4.2 is satisfied, which completes the proof. \blacksquare

5. $JP(n-1) \subsetneq JP(n)$ for $n \ge 2$. In this section we will show that $JP(n-1) \ne JP(n)$ for $n \ge 2$ by giving examples of automorphisms which are in JP(n) but not in JP(n-1).

LEMMA 5.1. Let $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a weakly mixing automorphism. Then $T^{\times n} \notin JP(n-1)$ for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $n \ge 2$. To see that the assertion is true, it suffices to consider the diagonal joining Δ of $T^{\times n}$ with itself (⁴).

As a direct consequence of Corollary 3.16 and Theorem 4.3 we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 5.2. For any $n \ge 1$ and any weakly mixing automorphism T whose reduced maximal spectral type σ generates a Gaussian system with simple spectrum, the Cartesian product $T^{\times n}$ of n copies of T has the JP(n) property. In particular, for any $n \ge 1$ the Cartesian product of n copies of a typical automorphism (with respect to the weak operator topology) has the JP(n) property.

Moreover, using Corollary 5.2 and Lemma 5.1 we have:

COROLLARY 5.3. For $n \ge 2$ the class of automorphisms enjoying the JP(n-1) property is a proper subclass of automorphisms enjoying the JP(n) property.

REMARK 5.4. In [16] there is an example of a JP(2) system which is not in the class JP(1). The methods used there are different from those we used to obtain Corollary 5.3. It would be interesting to know if it is possible to find examples of systems in $JP(n) \setminus JP(n-1)$ without using the methods of the present paper.

REMARK 5.5. Recall [16] that the class JP is closed under taking distal extensions which are weakly mixing. The proof from [16] can be rewritten almost word for word to deduce that

(i) each JP(n) class is closed under taking distal extensions which are weakly mixing.

Moreover,

(ii) each JP(n) class is closed under taking factors and inverse limits.

Moreover (see [16]),

- (iii) every system which is distally simple $(^5)$ has the JP(n) property,
- (iv) the class of distally simple systems is closed under factors, distal extensions and inverse limits.

^{(&}lt;sup>4</sup>) Given an automorphism $S: (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu) \to (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ the diagonal self-joining Δ is defined by the formula $\Delta(A \times B) = \nu(A \cap B)$.

^{(&}lt;sup>5</sup>) The notion of distal simplicity was defined in [7]. It imposes a restriction on the self-joinings of the system considered and is a generalization of the notion of quasi-simplicity [24].

In [4] a necessary condition for lifting the JP property to the so-called Rokhlin extensions is provided $(^{6})$. This yields a class of automorphisms with the JP property but without the DS property.

We do not know if the examples from Remark 5.4, from [4], from Corollary 5.3 and (i)–(iv) are the only "ways" to obtain systems with the JP(n) property.

6. JP(n) property and disjointness from ID systems. In [16] Lemańczyk, Parreau and Roy showed that

(6.1) all systems with the JP(n) property are disjoint from ergodically infinitely divisible automorphisms.

Let us recall that an ergodic automorphism $T: (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is said to be *infinitely divisible* if there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{B}_{\omega}: \omega \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ (⁷) of factors of \mathcal{B} where $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{\omega} = \mathcal{B}_{\omega 0} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\omega 1}$ and for each $f \in L^2_0(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $\eta \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E(f | \mathcal{B}_{\eta[0,n)}) = 0.$$

In this section we will deal with another notion of infinite divisibility, namely we will consider dynamical systems arising from stationary infinitely divisible processes. Let us recall the definition of such processes.

DEFINITION 6.1 (see e.g. [16]). An ergodic stationary process $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on a standard probability Borel space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) is *infinitely divisible* (ID) if its distribution P on $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}})$ is such that for any $k \geq 1$ there exists a probability measure P_k on $(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{B}^{\otimes \mathbb{Z}})$ such that $P = P_k^{*k}$ (⁸).

REMARK 6.2 (see e.g. [16]). A stationary process $(X_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is infinitely divisible if and only if for all $k \geq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist pairwise independent random variables $X_n^{(1,k)}, \ldots, X_n^{(k,k)}$ such that $X_n = X_n^{(1,k)} + \cdots + X_n^{(k,k)}$ and the processes $(X_n^{(i,k)})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}, 1 \leq i \leq k$, are stationary with the same distribution P_k .

The following proposition describes the relation between systems arising from ID stationary processes and ergodically ID automorphisms.

PROPOSITION 6.3 ([16]). ID stationary processes are factors of ergodically ID dynamical systems.

^{(&}lt;sup>6</sup>) Recall that given a locally compact group G, a cocycle $\varphi \colon X \to G$ and a measurable G-action $\mathcal{S} = (S_g)_{g \in G}$ on (Y, \mathcal{C}, ν) the Rokhlin extension of $T \colon (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \to (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is given by $T_{\varphi, \mathcal{S}}(x, y) = (Tx, S_{\varphi(x)}(y))$.

 $^(^{7})$ {0,1}* stands for the set of all finite sequences with entries 0 and 1.

^{(&}lt;sup>8</sup>) Recall that P_k^{*k} stands for the kth convolution power of P_k .

An immediate consequence of (6) and of the above proposition is the following corollary.

COROLLARY 6.4 ([16]). All systems with the JP(n) property are disjoint from systems arising from ID stationary processes.

We will provide another proof of this result which will be based on a more general proposition. Let us first recall some definitions and introduce the necessary notation.

DEFINITION 6.5. Let T be an automorphism on a standard probability Borel space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) and let $k \geq 1$. The sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{B}^{\otimes k}$ which consists of all sets invariant under permutations of coordinates is called the *symmetric* factor of $T^{\times k}$. It is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_k(T)$.

REMARK 6.6 ([16]). The dynamical system determined by a stationary ID process is, for any integer $k \ge 1$, a factor of the symmetric factor of the Cartesian kth power of a dynamical system.

PROPOSITION 6.7. ⁽⁹⁾ Fix $n \ge 1$ and let T be an automorphism of a standard probability space (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) . Assume that, for each $g \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, there exists a sequence $(k_j)_{j\ge 1}$ of integers going to infinity and a sequence $(S_j)_{j\ge 1}$ of weakly mixing automorphisms such that T is a factor of $S_j^{\times k_j}$ and moreover

$$\operatorname{dist}(g, L^2(\mathcal{F}_{k_j}(S_j))) = o(1/k_j^{n/2}).$$

Then T is disjoint from every JP(n) automorphism.

Proof. Let $\lambda_0 \in J^e(R,T)$, where $R: (Z, \mathcal{D}, \rho) \to (Z, \mathcal{D}, \rho)$ is an ergodic automorphism with the JP(n) property and let $f \in L^2_0(Z, \mathcal{D}, \rho)$. It is enough to show that $g := \Phi_{\lambda_0}(f) = 0$.

By assumption, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist an arbitrarily large integer $k \ge n$ and a weakly mixing automorphism S on a standard space (Y, \mathcal{C}, ν) such that T is a factor of $S^{\times k}$ and

(6.2)
$$\operatorname{dist}(g, L^2(\mathcal{F}_k(S))) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{k^{n/2}}$$

Let $\hat{\lambda}_0$ be the relatively independent extension of λ_0 to a joining of R with $S^{\times k}$, so that $\Phi_{\hat{\lambda}_0}$ is the composition of Φ_{λ_0} and the embedding of $L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ into $L^2(Y^{\times k}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes k}, \nu^{\otimes k})$. For simplicity of notation we will assume that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}^{\otimes k}$ and $\mu = \nu^{\otimes k}|_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then $\Phi_{\hat{\lambda}_0}(f) = \Phi_{\lambda_0}(f) = g$.

Consider now the ergodic decomposition of $\hat{\lambda}_0$:

$$\hat{\lambda}_0 = \int_{J^e(R, S^{\times k})} \lambda \, dP(\lambda).$$

 $^(^9)$ Lemańczyk, Parreau and Roy [16, Proposition 5] cover the case n = 1.

Since R has the JP(n) property, for each $\lambda \in J^e(R, S^{\times k})$ there exist $1 \leq i_{\lambda,1} < \cdots < i_{\lambda,n} \leq k$ such that

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(f) \in L^2_0(Y_{i_{\lambda,1}} \times \cdots \times Y_{i_{\lambda,n}}).$$

Then (see (4.1))

(6.3)
$$\Phi_{\lambda}(f) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j_1 < \dots < j_m \\ \{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \subset \{i_{\lambda,1}, \dots, i_{\lambda,n}\}}} p'_{j_1, \dots, j_m} \circ \Phi_{\lambda}(f),$$

where p'_{j_1,\ldots,j_m} stands for the orthogonal projection from $L^2(Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n)$ onto $L^Y_{j_1,\ldots,j_m}$. For $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_m \leq k$ we also have

(6.4) $p'_{j_1,\ldots,j_m} \circ \Phi_{\lambda}(f) = 0$ whenever $\{j_1,\ldots,j_m\} \not\subset \{i_{\lambda,1},\ldots,i_{\lambda,n}\}.$ Hence, letting for $1 \le m \le n$,

$$f_{j_1,\dots,j_m} = \int_{J^e(R,S^{\times k})} p'_{j_1,\dots,j_m} \circ \Phi_{\lambda}(f) \, dP(\lambda)$$

for $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_m \leq k$, and

$$g_m = \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_m \le k} f_{j_1,\dots,j_m} \in \bigoplus_{1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_m \le k} L^Y_{j_1,\dots,j_m}$$

we deduce from (6.3) and (6.4) that

$$g = \sum_{m=1}^{n} g_m$$

Fix $1 \leq m \leq n$. By (6.4) for each $\lambda \in J^e(R, S^{\times k})$ at most $\binom{n}{m}$ out of the $\binom{k}{m}$ projections $p'_{s_1,\ldots,s_m} \circ \Phi_{\lambda}(f)$ do not vanish. Therefore there exist $j_1^0 < \cdots < j_m^0$ such that

$$P(\{\lambda \colon p_{j_1^0,\dots,j_m^0}' \circ \Phi_{\lambda} f \neq 0\}) \le \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{\binom{k}{m}}$$

whence

(6.5)
$$\|f_{j_1^0,\dots,j_m^0}\| \le \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{\binom{k}{m}} \|f\|.$$

Now we claim that for all $j_1 < \cdots < j_m$,

(6.6)
$$\left| \|f_{j_1,\dots,j_m}\| - \|f_{j_1^0,\dots,j_m^0}\| \right| \le \frac{2\varepsilon}{k^{n/2}}.$$

Indeed, consider a permutation π of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ sending each j_s^0 to j_s for all $s \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, and the corresponding unitary operator U_{π} on $L^2(Y^{\times k}, \mathcal{C}^{\otimes k}, \nu^{\otimes k})$.

Since all functions in $L^2(\mathcal{F}_k(S))$ are fixed by U_{π} ,

$$\begin{split} \|f_{j_1,\dots,j_m} - f_{j_1^0,\dots,j_m^0}\| &\leq \Big(\sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq k} \|f_{\pi(i_1),\dots,\pi(i_l)} - f_{i_1,\dots,i_l}\|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \\ &= \|U_{\pi}g - g\| \leq 2 \operatorname{dist}(g, L^2(\mathcal{F}_k(S))), \end{split}$$

and (6.6) follows from (6.2).

So, by (6.5),

$$\|f_{j_1,\dots,j_m}\| \le \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{\binom{k}{m}} \|f\| + \frac{2\varepsilon}{k^{n/2}}.$$

Therefore

$$\|g_m\| = \left(\sum_{1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_m \le n} \|f_{j_1,\dots,j_m}\|^2\right)^{1/2}$$
$$\leq {\binom{k}{m}}^{1/2} \left(\frac{\binom{n}{m}}{\binom{k}{m}} \|f\| + \frac{2\varepsilon}{k^{n/2}}\right) \le \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{\binom{k}{m}} \|f\| + 2\varepsilon$$

Since k was arbitrarily large and ε arbitrarily small, this proves that $g_m = 0$. Hence $g = \sum_{m=1}^n g_m = 0$.

COROLLARY 6.8. Let $n \ge 1$. Any non-zero root of an automorphism with the JP(n) property is disjoint from all automorphisms arising from ID stationary processes.

Proof. It suffices to notice that whenever the assumptions of Proposition 6.7 are satisfied for some automorphism T, then they are also satisfied for any non-zero power of it. This implies the disjointness of roots of automorphisms with the JP(n) property from those automorphisms T, in particular the disjointness from automorphisms arising from stationary ID processes.

One of the consequences of the fact that JP systems are disjoint from systems coming from ID stationary processes is the following example of a system which has the so-called Kolmogorov group property, i.e. the convolution of two copies of its maximal spectral type is absolutely continuous with respect to its maximal spectral type. Let T acting on (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be an automorphism whose reduced maximal spectral type generates a Gaussian system with simple spectrum, and let $T_i: (X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i) \to (X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i)$ for $i \geq 1$ be isomorphic copies of it. Consider the infinite Cartesian product $R = T_1 \times T_2 \times \cdots$. Denote by σ the maximal spectral type of T. Then $\exp(\sigma) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{*n}/n!$ is the maximal spectral type of R and clearly $\sigma_R * \sigma_R \ll \sigma_R$. We claim that R is disjoint from automorphisms arising from stationary ID processes. Let $S: (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu) \to (Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ be such an automorphism and consider an ergodic joining $\lambda \in J^e(R, S)$. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lambda|_{X_1,\dots,X_n,Y} \in J(T_1 \times \dots \times T_n, S).$$

By Corollary 5.2 and Proposition 6.7,

$$\lambda|_{X_1,\ldots,X_n,Y} = \mu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu_n \otimes \nu.$$

This implies that $\lambda = (\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \otimes \cdots) \otimes \nu$.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank M. Lemańczyk and E. Roy for fruitful discussions.

Research of the first named author was partially supported by MNiSzW grant N N201 384834 and Marie Curie "Transfer of Knowledge" program, project MTKD-CT-2005-030042 (TODEQ).

REFERENCES

- O. N. Ageev, On ergodic transformations with homogeneous spectrum, J. Dynam. Control Systems 5 (1999), 149–152.
- O. N. Ageev, On the spectrum of Cartesian powers of classical automorphisms, Mat. Zametki 68 (2000), 643–647 (in Russian).
- [3] O. N. Ageev, Mixing with staircase multiplicity functions, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 28 (2008), 1687–1700.
- [4] T. Austin and M. Lemańczyk, Relatively finite measure-preserving extensions and lifting multipliers by Rokhlin cocycles, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 6 (2009), 115–131.
- [5] A. I. Danilenko and V. V. Ryzhikov, Mixing constructions with infinite invariant measure and spectral multiplicities, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 31 (2011), 853–873.
- [6] A. del Junco and D. Rudolph, On ergodic actions whose self-joinings are graphs, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 7 (1987), 531–557.
- [7] A. del Junco and M. Lemańczyk, *Joinings of distally simple automorphisms*, unpublished preprint, 2005.
- [8] H. Furstenberg, Disjointness in ergodic theory, minimal sets, and a problem in Diophantine approximation, Math. Systems Theory 1 (1967), 1–49.
- I. V. Girsanov, Spectra of dynamical systems generated by stationary Gaussian processes, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 119 (1958), 851–853 (in Russian).
- [10] E. Glasner, *Ergodic Theory via Joinings*, Math. Surveys Monogr. 101, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [11] P. R. Halmos, In general a measure preserving transformation is mixing, Ann. of Math. (2) 45 (1944), 786–792.
- [12] A. Katok and J.-P. Thouvenot, Spectral properties and combinatorial constructions in ergodic theory, in: Handbook of Dynamical Systems, Vol. 1B, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006, 649–743.
- [13] A. B. Katok and A. M. Stepin, Approximations in ergodic theory, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 22 (1967), no. 5, 81–106 (in Russian).
- [14] M. Lemańczyk, Spectral theory of dynamical systems, in: Encyclopedia of Complexity and System Science, Springer, 2009, 8554–8575.

- [15] M. Lemańczyk and F. Parreau, Special flows over irrational rotations with simple convolution property, preprint, 2009.
- [16] M. Lemańczyk, F. Parreau, and E. Roy, Joining primeness and disjointness from infinitely divisible systems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), 185–199.
- [17] M. Lemańczyk, F. Parreau, and J.-P. Thouvenot, Gaussian automorphisms whose ergodic self-joinings are Gaussian, Fund. Math. 164 (2000), 253–293.
- [18] J. Neveu, Processus aléatoires gaussiens, Sém. Math. Sup. 34. Presses Univ. de Montréal, Montréal, Qué., 1968.
- [19] F. Parreau and E. Roy, Selfjoinings of Poisson suspensions, preprint.
- [20] W. Parry, *Topics in Ergodic Theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 75, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004 (reprint of the 1981 original).
- [21] E. A. Robinson, Jr., Transformations with highly nonhomogeneous spectrum of finite multiplicity, Israel J. Math. 56 (1986), 75–88.
- [22] V. V. Ryzhikov, Weak limits of powers, the simple spectrum of symmetric products, and mixing constructions of rank 1, Mat. Sb. 198 (2007), 137–159 (in Russian).
- [23] V. V. Ryzhikov, Spectral multiplicities and asymptotic operator properties of actions with an invariant measure, Mat. Sb. 200 (2009), 107–120 (in Russian).
- [24] V. V. Ryzhikov and J.-P. Thouvenot, Disjointness, divisibility, and quasi-simplicity of measure-preserving actions, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 40 (2006), no. 3, 85–89 (in Russian).
- [25] Ya. G. Sinai, Some remarks on the spectral properties of ergodic dynamical systems, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 18 (1963), no. 5, 41–54 (in Russian).
- [26] A. M. Stepin, Spectral properties of generic dynamical systems, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 50 (1968), 801–834.
- [27] J.-P. Thouvenot, Some properties and applications of joinings in ergodic theory, in: Ergodic Theory and its Connections with Harmonic Analysis (Alexandria, 1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 205, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, 207–235.
- [28] W. A. Veech, A criterion for a process to be prime, Monatsh. Math. 94 (1982), 335–341.

François Parreau
Laboratoire d'Analyse, Géométrie et Applications
UMR 7539
Université Paris 13 Sorbonne Paris Cité et CNRS
99 av. JB. Clément
94430 Villetaneuse, France
E-mail: parreau@math.univ-paris13.fr

Received 22 December 2011; revised 1 August 2012 (5597)