

A SHARP BOUND FOR THE SCHWARZIAN DERIVATIVE
OF CONCAVE FUNCTIONS

BY

BAPPADITYA BHOWMIK (Rourkela) and KARL-JOACHIM WIRTHS (Braunschweig)

Abstract. We derive a sharp bound for the modulus of the Schwarzian derivative of concave univalent functions with opening angle at infinity less than or equal to $\pi\alpha$, $\alpha \in [1, 2]$.

1. Introduction. Let \mathbb{C} be the complex plane, $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit disc and $\overline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the Riemann sphere. Let f be an analytic and locally univalent function in \mathbb{D} . For such functions f , the Schwarzian derivative and its norm are defined by

$$S_f(z) := \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2$$

and

$$\|S_f\| := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^2 |S_f(z)|.$$

These quantities are of importance in the theory of Teichmüller spaces. The fundamental results on the Schwarzian derivative can be found in the works of Z. Nehari (see [N1] and [N2]), R. Kühnau (see [K]), and L. V. Ahlfors and G. Weill (see [AW-1]). We also refer to the articles [CDO, CDMMB, KS] for recent developments in this area of research. We summarize the work of Nehari, Kühnau and Ahlfors–Weill below:

THEOREM A. *Let f be analytic and locally univalent in \mathbb{D} . If f is univalent in \mathbb{D} then $\|S_f\| \leq 6$; conversely, if $\|S_f\| \leq 2$, then f is univalent. Let $0 \leq k < 1$. If f extends to a k -quasiconformal mapping of the Riemann sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then $\|S_f\| \leq 6k$. Conversely, if $\|S_f\| \leq 2k$, then f extends to a k -quasiconformal mapping of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$.*

We clarify here that a mapping $f : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is called k -quasiconformal if f is a sense preserving homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and has locally integrable partial derivatives on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{f^{-1}(\infty)\}$, with $|f_{\bar{z}}| \leq k|f_z|$ a.e.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C55, 30C62.

Key words and phrases: Schwarzian derivative, concave functions, opening angle at infinity.

Now, we define the class of functions which is our main concern in this article. A function f is said to be *concave with opening angle at infinity less than or equal to $\pi\alpha$* , $\alpha \in [1, 2]$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) f is analytic and univalent in \mathbb{D} .
- (ii) f maps \mathbb{D} conformally onto a set whose complement with respect to \mathbb{C} is convex and satisfies $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$ and $f(1) = \infty$.
- (iii) The opening angle of $f(\mathbb{D})$ at infinity is less than or equal to $\pi\alpha$, $\alpha \in [1, 2]$.

We denote this class by $\text{Co}(\alpha)$. Various results on $\text{Co}(\alpha)$ can be found in [AW-2], [B], [BPW] and [W]. In [AW-2] and [W], the following characterization for functions in $\text{Co}(\alpha)$ was proved:

THEOREM B. *A function f belongs to $\text{Co}(\alpha)$ if and only if $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ and there exists a holomorphic function $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{\alpha + 1}{1 - z} + \frac{(\alpha - 1)\varphi(z)}{1 + z\varphi(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In this note, our main aim is to find a sharp bound for the modulus of the Schwarzian derivative for functions in $\text{Co}(\alpha)$. This result will yield a sharp norm estimate for the Schwarzian derivative of concave mappings, which will help us to comment on quasiconformal extension and get a pair of two-point distortion conditions of such mappings. These are the contents of Section 2.

2. Results. The main result of this article is the following theorem:

MAIN THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\alpha \in [1, 2]$, $f \in \text{Co}(\alpha)$, and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2 \right| \leq \frac{2(\alpha^2 - 1)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

Equality is attained in (2.1) if and only if

$$f(\zeta) = \int_0^\zeta \frac{(1 + te^{i\theta_0})^{\alpha-1}}{(1 - t)^{\alpha+1}} dt, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{D},$$

where

$$e^{i\theta_0} = \frac{1 - 2\bar{z} + |z|^2}{1 - 2z + |z|^2}.$$

Proof. A little computation using the representation formula (1.1) in Theorem B yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2 \\ &= (\alpha - 1) \left(\frac{\varphi'(z)}{(1 + z\varphi(z))^2} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1) \frac{(1 + \varphi(z))^2}{(1 - z)^2(1 + z\varphi(z))^2} \right) \\ &=: (\alpha - 1)M_1(z, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

In the further considerations, we exclude the trivial case $\alpha = 1$. Since it is known (see [C]) that for a holomorphic function $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ we have

$$|\varphi'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

we get an upper bound for $|M_1(z, \varphi)|$ if we assume that the first term in the sum defining $M_1(z, \varphi)$ has the same argument as the second one:

$$\begin{aligned} |M_1(z, \varphi)| &\leq \left| \frac{(1 + \varphi(z))^2}{(1 - z)^2} \left(\frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \frac{|1 - z|^2}{|1 + \varphi(z)|^2} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1) \right) \right| \frac{1}{|1 + z\varphi(z)|^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1) \frac{|1 + \varphi(z)|^2}{|1 - z|^2} \right) \frac{1}{|1 + z\varphi(z)|^2} =: M_2(z, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to prove that, for fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$,

$$(2.2) \quad M_2(z, \varphi) \leq \frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

Further we will show that equality occurs in (2.2) if and only if

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi(z) = \frac{1 - 2\bar{z} + |z|^2}{1 - 2z + |z|^2} = e^{i\theta_0},$$

where $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$. This will imply $\varphi(z) = e^{i\theta_0}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, due to the maximum principle for analytic functions. We divide the proof of these claims into two parts.

PART A. First, for fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we consider the image under $M_2(z, \varphi)$ of the circle $\{\varphi : |\varphi| = 1\}$. To this end, let

$$w = \frac{1 + \varphi}{1 + z\varphi}.$$

It is easily seen that $|\varphi| = 1$ is equivalent to

$$\left| w - \frac{1 - \bar{z}}{1 - |z|^2} \right| = \frac{|1 - z|}{1 - |z|^2}.$$

This implies that

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \frac{w}{1 - z} \right| \leq \frac{2}{1 - |z|^2},$$

where equality occurs if and only if

$$w = \frac{2(1 - \bar{z})}{1 - |z|^2},$$

or

$$\varphi = \frac{1 - 2\bar{z} + |z|^2}{1 - 2z + |z|^2}.$$

Hence, for fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $|\varphi| = 1$, the inequality (2.4) will imply the validity of (2.2), and equality is attained in (2.2) if and only if $\varphi = e^{i\theta_0}$, where θ_0 is given by the equation (2.3).

PART B. Now, for fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we consider the curve in the φ -plane which is defined by

$$(2.5) \quad M_2(z, \varphi) = \frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$

Hereafter, we use the abbreviation $a = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + 1) \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$. A little computation reveals that (2.5) is equivalent to

$$B\varphi\bar{\varphi} + C\varphi + \bar{C}\bar{\varphi} + D = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B &= a(1 - |z|^2)^2 - 4a|z|^2|1 - z|^2 - |1 - z|^2(1 - |z|^2), \\ C &= a(1 - |z|^2)^2 - 4az|1 - z|^2, \\ D &= |1 - z|^2(1 - |z|^2) + a(1 - |z|^2)^2 - 4a|1 - z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to analyze the set in the φ -plane described by (2.5). To this end, first we claim that $C \neq 0$; indeed, if $C = 0$, then either

$$z = r \quad \text{and} \quad a(1 - r^2)^2 - 4ar(1 - r)^2 = a(1 - r)^4 = 0,$$

or

$$z = -r \quad \text{and} \quad a(1 - r^2)^2 + 4ar(1 + r)^2 = a(1 + r)^4 = 0.$$

We see that both are impossible. This proves $C \neq 0$. Next, we consider the following two cases:

CASE (i): $B = 0$, which is equivalent to

$$(2.6) \quad |1 - z|^2 = \frac{a(1 - |z|^2)^2}{4a|z|^2 + 1 - |z|^2} =: R^2.$$

Since this equation describes the circle with center 1 and radius R , we have to decide whether it is possible that for fixed $|z| = r \in [0, 1)$, the inequalities $-r \leq 1 - R \leq r$ are satisfied. They imply $(1 - r)^2 \leq R^2 \leq (1 + r)^2$ and we see that the left inequality is always true for $r \in [0, 1)$, whereas the right one is satisfied for $r \in [\frac{a-1}{3a-1}, 1)$. Hence, the equation $B = 0$ is valid for the intersection points of the circle $\{z : |z| = r\}$ with the circle given by (2.6). So for $B = 0$, the equation (2.5) represents a straight line that divides the plane

into two open half-planes. According to Part A, the closed disc $\{\varphi : |\varphi| \leq 1\}$ lies in the closed half-plane

$$M_2(z, \varphi) \leq \frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}$$

and the straight line defined by formula (2.5) has only the point $\varphi = e^{i\theta_0}$ in common with the unit circle $\{\varphi : |\varphi| = 1\}$. This proves the assertion of the theorem for $B = 0$.

CASE (ii): $B \neq 0$. Here the equation (2.5) represents a circle if and only if $C\bar{C} - BD > 0$. A straightforward computation yields

$$C\bar{C} - BD = (2a - 1)^2(1 - |z|^2)^2|1 - z|^4,$$

which is always > 0 . Hence, whenever $B \neq 0$, (2.5) is the equation of a circle, which divides the φ -plane into the corresponding inner and outer domains. Again, according to Part A, the closed disc $\{\varphi : |\varphi| \leq 1\}$ lies in the region defined by

$$M_2(z, \varphi) \leq \frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{(1 - |z|^2)^2},$$

and the only intersection point of the circle (2.5) with the unit circle $\{\varphi : |\varphi| = 1\}$ is the point $\varphi = e^{i\theta_0}$. This proves the assertion of the theorem for $B \neq 0$.

To get the extremal function as given in the theorem, we only have to integrate the differential equation (1.1). ■

REMARK. We note that $\|S_f\| \leq 2(\alpha^2 - 1)$ for $f \in \text{Co}(\alpha)$. In the case of $\alpha = 2$, $\|S_f\| \leq 6$, which is the bound obtained by Nehari for the norm of the Schwarzian derivative for univalent functions. This is a natural consequence of the fact that the Koebe function, which is extremal in that problem, belongs to the class $\text{Co}(2)$.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $\alpha \in [1, \sqrt{2})$, and $f \in \text{Co}(\alpha)$. Then f extends to an $(\alpha^2 - 1)$ -quasiconformal mapping.*

Proof. As $\alpha \in [1, \sqrt{2})$, for $f \in \text{Co}(\alpha)$ we have $\|S_f\| \leq 2(\alpha^2 - 1) =: 2k$, $k \in [0, 1)$. Now an application of Theorem A proves the corollary. ■

For, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$, let the hyperbolic metric $d(z_1, z_2)$ be defined by

$$d(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \rho(z_1, z_2)}{1 - \rho(z_1, z_2)}, \quad \text{where } \rho(z_1, z_2) = \left| \frac{z_1 - z_2}{1 - \bar{z}_1 z_2} \right|.$$

We also define the following quantity for an analytic and locally univalent function f in \mathbb{D} :

$$\Delta_f(z_1, z_2) := \frac{|f(z_1) - f(z_2)|}{\{(1 - |z_1|^2)|f'(z_1)|\}^{1/2}\{(1 - |z_2|^2)|f'(z_2)|\}^{1/2}}, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Now, in view of the above theorem and [CDMMBO, Theorem 1], we obtain a pair of two-point distortion conditions for functions in $\text{Co}(\alpha)$ for a certain range of α :

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $\alpha \in (\sqrt{2}, 2]$ and $f \in \text{Co}(\alpha)$. Then*

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_f(z_1, z_2) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 2}} \sin(\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 2} d(z_1, z_2))$$

for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$ with $d(z_1, z_2) \leq \pi/\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 2}$, and

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_f(z_1, z_2) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinh(\alpha d(z_1, z_2))$$

for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$. Both inequalities are sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in \text{Co}(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (\sqrt{2}, 2]$, by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\|S_f\| \leq 2(1 + \delta^2), \quad \text{where } \delta^2 = \alpha^2 - 2 > 0.$$

Now, the corollary follows as an application of [CDMMBO, Theorem 1], with $\delta^2 = \alpha^2 - 2$. ■

REFERENCES

- [AW-1] L. Ahlfors and G. Weill, *A uniqueness theorem for Beltrami equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 975–978.
- [AW-2] F. G. Avkhadiev and K.-J. Wirths, *Concave schlicht functions with bounded opening angle at infinity*, Lobachevskii J. Math. 17 (2005), 3–10.
- [B] B. Bhowmik, *On concave univalent functions*, Math. Nachr. 285 (2012), 606–612.
- [BPW] B. Bhowmik, S. Ponnusamy, and K.-J. Wirths, *Characterization and the pre-Schwarzian norm estimate for concave univalent functions*, Monatsh. Math. 161 (2010), 59–75.
- [C] C. Carathéodory, *Theory of Functions of a Complex variable*, Chelsea, New York, 1954.
- [CDO] M. Chuaqui, P. Duren, and B. Osgood, *On a theorem of Haimo regarding concave mappings*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A 65 (2011), no. 2, 17–28.
- [CDMMBO] M. Chuaqui, P. Duren, W. Ma, D. Mejía, D. Minda, and B. Osgood, *Schwarzian norms and two-point distortion*, Pacific J. Math. 254 (2011), 101–116.
- [KS] S. Kanas and T. Sugawa, *Sharp norm estimate of Schwarzian derivative for a class of convex functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. 101 (2011), 75–86.
- [K] R. Kühnau, *Verzerrungssätze und Koeffizientenbedingungen vom Grunsky-schen Typ für quasikonforme Abbildungen*, Math. Nachr. 48 (1971), 77–105.
- [N1] Z. Nehari, *The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1949), 545–551.

- [N2] Z. Nehari, *Some criteria of univalence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 700–704.
- [W] K.-J. Wirths, *Julia’s lemma and concave schlicht functions*, Quaest. Math. 28 (2005), 95–103.

Bappaditya Bhowmik
Department of Mathematics
National Institute of Technology
Rourkela 769008, India
E-mail: bappaditya.bhowmik@gmail.com

Karl-Joachim Wirths
Institut für Analysis and Algebra
TU Braunschweig
38106 Braunschweig, Germany
E-mail: kjwirths@tu-bs.de

Received 27 September 2012

(5772)

