

THE ESSENTIAL COVER AND THE ABSOLUTE COVER  
OF A SCHEMATIC SPACE

BY

WOLFGANG RUMP (Stuttgart) and YI CHUAN YANG (Beijing)

*Dedicated to B. V. M.*

**Abstract.** A theorem of Gleason states that every compact space admits a projective cover. More generally, in the category of topological spaces with continuous maps, covers exist with respect to the full subcategory of extremally disconnected spaces. Such a cover of a space is called its *absolute*. We prove that the absolute exists within the category of *schematic* spaces, i.e. the spaces underlying a scheme. For a schematic space, we use the absolute to generalize Bourbaki's concept of irreducible component, so that embedded and multiple components may arise. We introduce the *essential cover* of a schematic space, and show that it parametrizes the generalized components.

**Introduction.** Spectral spaces arise as spectra of commutative rings or abelian  $l$ -groups [17, 10]. By Stone's duality theorem [26], the spectrum of a bounded distributive lattice is also a spectral space. Hochster [17] has shown that every spectral space occurs as the spectrum of a commutative ring. By definition, a  $T_0$ -space  $X$  is said to be *spectral* if every closed irreducible set is generic, and the quasi-compact open sets form a basis  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  of  $X$  which is closed under finite intersections. In particular, the empty intersection, i.e.  $X$  itself, is quasi-compact. If we drop the assumption that  $X \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , we get precisely the class of spaces that arise as underlying spaces of schemes [17]. Therefore, we call such spaces *schematic*.

The morphisms in the category  $\mathbf{GSp}$  of schematic spaces are *spectral* maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , i.e. those for which  $\mathcal{D}(f) := f^{-1}$  maps  $\mathcal{D}(Y)$  into  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ . By Stone's duality theorem [26], this gives a duality  $\mathcal{D}$  between  $\mathbf{GSp}$  and the category  $\mathbf{DL}_0$  of distributive lattices with 0. We call  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  *dense* if  $f(X)$  is dense in  $Y$ , and *essentially dense* if, in addition,  $g: Z \rightarrow X$  is dense whenever  $fg$  is dense.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54A05, 54G05, 06D05, 06F20.

*Key words and phrases*: schematic space, spectral space, Stone space, extremally disconnected, absolute, essential cover, distributive lattice, abelian  $l$ -group, strongly projectable hull.

In this paper, we prove that any schematic space  $X$  admits an essentially dense spectral map  $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  which factors through every essentially dense spectral map  $Y \rightarrow X$  such that every essentially dense spectral map  $Z \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is a retraction. We show that such an *essential cover*  $e$  is unique, up to isomorphism (Theorem 3).

Our motivation comes from the theory of abelian  $l$ -groups, i.e. abelian groups with a lattice structure such that the translations  $x \mapsto a + x$  are lattice automorphisms. To allow precise statements, let us recall first some pertinent facts on abelian  $l$ -groups. For details, the reader is referred to books like [1, 3, 13, 15].

The abelian  $l$ -groups form a category  $\mathbf{Ab}_l$ , morphisms being the group homomorphisms which are also lattice homomorphisms. A subgroup  $A$  of an abelian  $l$ -group  $G$  is said to be an  *$l$ -ideal* if  $|x| \leq |a|$  with  $a \in A$  implies that  $x \in A$ , where  $|a| := a \vee (-a)$ . The set of  $l$ -ideals of  $G$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ . For any  $A \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ , there is a largest  $B \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  with  $A \cap B = 0$ , the *polar*  $A^\perp$  of  $A$ . An  $l$ -ideal  $P$  is called *prime* if the abelian  $l$ -group  $G/P$  is totally ordered, or equivalently, if  $P = A \cap B$  with  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}(G)$  implies that  $P = A$  or  $P = B$ . The set  $\text{Spec } G$  of proper prime  $l$ -ideals is a schematic space with  $\mathcal{D}(\text{Spec } G) = \{S(a) \mid a \in G\}$ , where  $S(a) := S(\{a\})$ , and

$$S(A) := \{P \in \text{Spec } G \mid A \not\subset P\}$$

for any subset  $A \subset G$ . By [20, Proposition 1.19], the map  $A \mapsto S(A)$  defines a lattice isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}(G) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\text{Spec } G)$$

onto the lattice of open sets in  $\text{Spec } G$ .

The space  $\text{Spec } G$  was introduced by Keimel [19, 3] and is very useful for the study of abelian  $l$ -groups. Unfortunately, it is not functorial. By adding the “infinite” prime  $G$  to  $\text{Spec } G$ , we get a spectral space  $\text{Spec}^* G$  with  $\mathcal{D}(\text{Spec}^* G) = \mathcal{D}(\text{Spec } G) \cup \{\text{Spec}^* G\}$  such that  $\text{Spec } G$  is a schematic subspace. We call  $\text{Spec}^* G$  the *spectrum* of  $G$ . For a morphism  $f: G \rightarrow H$  in  $\mathbf{Ab}_l$ , the map

$$\text{Spec}^* f: \text{Spec}^* H \rightarrow \text{Spec}^* G$$

with  $(\text{Spec}^* f)(P) := f^{-1}(P)$  is spectral since

$$(\text{Spec}^* f)^{-1}(S(a)) = S(f(a))$$

for all  $a \in G$ . Thus we have a functor

$$\text{Spec}^*: \mathbf{Ab}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$$

into the category  $\mathbf{Sp}$  of spectral spaces.

Not every spectral space is homeomorphic to the spectrum of an abelian  $l$ -group. Some necessary conditions are known [10], but it is still open which spectral spaces actually occur.

The lattice isomorphism  $\mathcal{C}(G) \cong \mathcal{O}(\text{Spec } G)$  implies that for  $A \subset G$ , the equality

$$\overline{S(A)} = (\text{Spec } G) \setminus S(A^\perp)$$

holds in  $\text{Spec } G$  (see [3, Proposition 3.4.1]). Now an abelian  $l$ -group  $G$  is said to be *strongly projectable* [1] if every polar  $A^\perp$  of  $G$  is a direct summand. Thus by the above equality,  $G$  is strongly projectable if and only if  $\text{Spec } G$  is extremally disconnected.

An  $l$ -subgroup  $G$  of an abelian  $l$ -group  $H$  is said to be *large* if each non-zero  $A \in \mathcal{C}(H)$  intersects  $G$  non-trivially. Then  $H \supset G$  is also called an *essential extension* of  $G$ . If  $H$  is strongly projectable with a large  $l$ -subgroup  $G$  such that there is no other strongly projectable  $l$ -group between  $G$  and  $H$ , then  $H$  is called a *strongly projectable hull* of  $G$ . The existence and uniqueness of the strongly projectable hull was proved by Conrad [12]. An explicit construction was given by Chambless [9].

In [24], the first author proves that every topological space  $X$  admits a cover  $p: P \rightarrow X$  with respect to the full subcategory of extremally disconnected spaces, and that  $p$  coincides with the *absolute* [23, 25, 27] of  $X$  (see Section 5). Furthermore, he characterizes the strongly projectable hull in terms of spectra. Specifically, [24, Theorem 4] states that a morphism  $f: G \rightarrow H$  in  $\mathbf{Ab}_l$  describes the strongly projectable hull if and only if  $\text{Spec}^* f$  induces a map  $\text{Spec } H \rightarrow \text{Spec } G$  which is the absolute of  $\text{Spec } G$ . In other words, the absolute of  $\text{Spec } G$  lifts uniquely to  $\mathbf{Ab}_l$ .

More generally, we will show that the absolute of a schematic space  $X$  is again schematic (Proposition 12). Like the essential cover  $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , the absolute  $p: P \rightarrow X$  is essentially dense, and both  $P$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are extremally disconnected. While  $p$  is always surjective, the image of  $e$  exhibits an interesting invariant of  $X$ , namely,  $X_{\min} := e(\tilde{X})$  is the smallest dense schematic subspace of  $X$  (Theorem 1). If  $X_{\min} = X$ , we call  $X$  *minimal*. For example, if  $X$  is extremally disconnected,  $X_{\min}$  consists of the generic points of the irreducible components [8] of  $X$ . Although spectral maps of the form  $\text{Spec}^* f$  with  $f \in \mathbf{Ab}_l$  are closed [24], the spectrum of an abelian  $l$ -group need not be minimal.

For an arbitrary topological space  $X$  with absolute  $p: P \rightarrow X$ , we show that the quasicomponents  $C$  of  $P$  map bijectively onto closed irreducible subspaces  $p(C)$  of  $X$  including the irreducible components of  $X$ . Therefore, the absolute leads us to redefine the collection of irreducible components of  $X$  to be the family of those  $p(C)$ , parametrized by the quasicomponents of  $P$ . In case that  $X$  is schematic, this yields a new interpretation of the essential cover  $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . Namely,  $\tilde{X}$  can be identified with the space  $Q(P)$  of quasicomponents of  $P$ , and under this identification,  $e$  maps each quasicomponent to the generic point of the corresponding irreducible component

of  $X$  (Theorem 5). Thus in a word, the essential cover of a schematic space  $X$  can be viewed as the space of irreducible components of  $X$  in the new sense, i.e. with embedded components and multiplicities given by the absolute.

As every abelian  $l$ -group  $G$  has a largest prime, the spectrum  $\text{Spec}^* G$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $G = 0$ . By [3, Theorem 14.1.2], the Keimel spectrum  $\text{Spec} G$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $G$  is hyperarchimedean. Thus in general, the essential cover of  $X := \text{Spec}^* G$  (or  $\text{Spec} G$ ) cannot be lifted to  $\mathbf{Ab}_l$ . Nevertheless, the Stone space  $\tilde{X}$  is an important invariant of  $G$ . In fact, the above formula for  $\overline{S(A)}$  gives

$$S(A^{\perp\perp}) = \text{int } \overline{S(A)}$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ . Therefore, the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  of polars of  $G$  is isomorphic to the complete Boolean algebra of regular open sets in  $\text{Spec}^* G$ . So the space  $\tilde{X}$  in the essential cover  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X := \text{Spec}^* G$  just the Stone space of  $G$ , i.e. the Stone dual of  $\mathcal{P}(G)$ .

In general, the essential cover  $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is not functorial, and not even a precover with respect to a suitable full subcategory of  $\mathbf{GSp}$ . However, the map  $e$  always belongs to the subcategory  $\mathbf{SSp}$  of *skeletal* maps, i.e. spectral maps for which the inverse image of a dense open set is dense. In the subcategory  $\mathbf{SSp}$ ,  $e$  is not only a precover, but even functorial. Here the full subcategory of extremally disconnected locally Stone spaces, i.e. the spaces of the form  $\tilde{X}$ , is coreflective (Theorem 4).

By [24, Proposition 19], a morphism  $f: G \rightarrow H$  in  $\mathbf{Ab}_l$  represents a large embedding if and only if  $\text{Spec}^* f$  is essentially dense. This shows that the Stone space of  $G$  is invariant under essential extensions. For archimedean  $l$ -groups, essential extensions are characterized by this property (see [3, Theorem 11.1.5]). Now Bernau's theorem [2, 11] implies that every archimedean  $l$ -group  $G$  admits a unique largest essential extension  $G \hookrightarrow D(\tilde{X})$ , where  $\tilde{X}$  is the Stone space of  $G$ , and  $D(\tilde{X})$  denotes the  $l$ -group of almost finite continuous functions  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  (see [3, 13.2]). So it is natural to ask to what extent the embedding  $G \hookrightarrow D(\tilde{X})$  can be characterized in terms of the spectra.

Conrad [11] has shown that  $D(\tilde{X})$  is the lateral completion  $((G^d)^\wedge)^L$  of  $(G^d)^\wedge$ , the Dedekind–MacNeille completion of the divisible hull  $G^d$  of  $G$ . Moreover, the proof of [24, Theorem 4] shows that the reason why the absolute  $p: P \rightarrow X := \text{Spec} G$  corresponds to the strongly projectable hull of  $G$ , and not to a bigger strongly projectable  $l$ -subgroup of  $D(\tilde{X})$ , is just that  $p$  is *separated*, i.e. that the diagonal  $P \rightarrow P \times_X P$  is closed. Now the passage from  $G$  to  $G^d$  does not affect the spectrum. Hence the embedding  $G \hookrightarrow (G^d)^\wedge$  induces a spectral map  $\text{Spec} (G^d)^\wedge \rightarrow \text{Spec} G$  by virtue of [3, Theorem 11.3.7]. Furthermore, complete  $l$ -groups are archimedean and strongly projectable

([1, Theorem 8.2.3]). So there might be a non-separated version of the absolute that generalizes  $\text{Spec}(G^d)^\wedge \rightarrow \text{Spec } G$ .

On the other hand, it follows by [3, Theorem 11.3.7], that  $(G^d)^\wedge$  is an  $l$ -ideal of  $D(\tilde{X})$ . Hence  $(G^d)^\wedge \hookrightarrow D(\tilde{X})$  does not induce a map  $\text{Spec } D(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(G^d)^\wedge$ . Therefore, a spectral analogue of the embedding  $G \hookrightarrow D(\tilde{X})$  cannot be expected.

**1. Preliminaries.** For a topological space  $X$  and a subset  $D$ , we write  $\overline{D}$  for the closure, and  $\text{int } D$  for the interior of  $D$ . We denote the bounded lattice of open sets by  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ . Thus every continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between topological spaces  $X, Y$  induces a morphism of bounded lattices

$$(1) \quad f^{-1}: \mathcal{O}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X).$$

We call  $f$  *dense* if the image  $f(X)$  of  $f$  is dense in  $Y$ . This means that the implication  $U \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow f^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$  holds for all  $U \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Therefore, any pair  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$  of continuous maps satisfies

$$(2) \quad f, g \text{ dense} \Rightarrow gf \text{ dense} \Rightarrow g \text{ dense}.$$

DEFINITION 1. We call a continuous map  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  *essentially dense* if  $g$  is dense, and the implication

$$(3) \quad gf \text{ dense} \Rightarrow f \text{ dense}$$

holds for every continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ .

The following is easy to verify (see [24]):

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. The following are equivalent.*

- (a)  $f$  is essentially dense.
- (b)  $f$  is dense, and for every non-empty  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ , there exists a non-empty  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  with  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .
- (c) The equivalence  $f(\overline{D}) = Y \Leftrightarrow \overline{D} = X$  holds for all  $D \subset X$ .

REMARK. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is closed, the equivalent conditions of Proposition 1 state that  $f$  is surjective and *irreducible*, i.e.  $f(A) \neq Y$  for every proper closed subspace  $A \subset X$  (cf. [6]).

There is a close relationship between essentially dense maps and regular open sets. Recall that an open set  $U$  of a topological space  $X$  is said to be *regular* if  $\text{int } \overline{U} = U$ . It is well-known (cf. [16, 3.1], [21, Theorem 1.37]) that the regular open sets form a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathfrak{B}(X)$  with lattice operations

$$(4) \quad U \wedge V = U \cap V, \quad U \vee V = \text{int } \overline{U \cup V}.$$

For a continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and open sets  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ , we define

$$(5) \quad f_*U := \bigcup \{W \in \mathcal{O}(Y) \mid f^{-1}(W) \subset U\},$$

$$(6) \quad f^{-1}[V] := \text{int } \overline{f^{-1}(V)}.$$

PROPOSITION 2. *A continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is dense if and only if*

$$(7) \quad V \subset f_*f^{-1}[V] \subset \overline{V}$$

for all  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . *A dense  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is essentially dense if and only if*

$$(8) \quad U \subset f^{-1}[f_*U] \subset \overline{U}$$

for all  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let us show first that

$$(9) \quad V \subset f_*f^{-1}[V], \quad f^{-1}[f_*U] \subset \overline{U}$$

for any  $f$ . The first inclusion says that  $f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}[V]$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ , which is trivial. Secondly, if  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ , then  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$  implies that  $f^{-1}(V) \subset \overline{U}$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(f_*U) \subset \overline{U}$ , and thus  $f^{-1}[f_*U] \subset \overline{U}$ .

Assume that (7) holds for  $V = \emptyset$ . Then  $Y \setminus \overline{f(X)} = f_*f^{-1}[\emptyset] \subset \emptyset$ , which implies that  $f$  is dense. Conversely, let  $f$  be dense. Suppose that (7) does not hold for some  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Then there is some  $W \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  with  $f^{-1}(W) \subset f^{-1}[V]$  and  $W \not\subset \overline{V}$ . Thus  $W \setminus \overline{V} \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  is non-empty. Hence  $\emptyset \neq f^{-1}(W \setminus \overline{V}) \subset f^{-1}[V] \subset \overline{f^{-1}(V)}$ . So we get  $f^{-1}(W \setminus \overline{V}) \cap f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

Now let  $f$  be essentially dense and  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . Then every non-empty open  $U' \subset U$  contains an inverse image  $f^{-1}(V)$  with  $\emptyset \neq V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Hence  $\emptyset \neq f^{-1}(V) \subset U' \cap f^{-1}(f_*U)$ . This proves that  $U \subset \overline{f^{-1}(f_*U)}$ , which yields (8). Conversely, let  $f$  be dense such that (8) holds for every  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . If  $U \neq \emptyset$ , this gives  $U \subset \overline{f^{-1}(f_*U)}$ , whence  $U \cap f^{-1}(f_*U) \neq \emptyset$ . So there exists some  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  with  $\emptyset \neq f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ . By Proposition 1, it follows that  $f$  is essentially dense. ■

COROLLARY. *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous. If  $f$  is essentially dense, the maps (5) and (6) induce a lattice isomorphism  $\mathfrak{B}(X) \cong \mathfrak{B}(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is essentially dense. For a given  $U \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ , we show first that  $f_*U \in \mathfrak{B}(Y)$ . Thus we have to verify  $f^{-1}(W) \subset U$  for every open  $W \subset \overline{f_*U}$ . Since  $U$  is regular, it suffices to prove  $f^{-1}(W) \subset \overline{U}$  for such  $W$ . Suppose that  $f^{-1}(W) \not\subset \overline{U}$  for some open  $W \subset \overline{f_*U}$ . By Proposition 1, there exists a non-empty  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  with  $f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(W) \setminus \overline{U}$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(V \cap f_*U) = \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is dense, this gives  $V \cap f_*U = \emptyset$ . Therefore, we get  $V \cap \overline{f_*U} = \emptyset$ , and thus  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ , which contradicts  $f^{-1}(V) \subset f^{-1}(W)$ . Now the corollary follows immediately by (7) and (8). ■

EXAMPLE 1. If a continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{B}(X) \cong \mathfrak{B}(Y)$ , then (7) holds for  $V = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $f$  is dense. However,  $f$  need not be essentially dense. For example, let  $\mathbb{S} = \{0, 1\}$  be the *Sierpiński space* with  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{S}) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \mathbb{S}\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{S}) = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{S}\}$ , and the map  $f: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  with  $f(\mathbb{S}) = \{1\}$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{S}) \cong \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{S})$ . However, the inclusion (8) does not hold for  $U = \{1\}$ .

**2. Schematic spaces.** In view of the preceding section, it is natural to ask which topological spaces  $X$  admit an essentially dense map  $X' \rightarrow X$  which is maximal in a suitable sense. For arbitrary spaces  $X$ , it is not likely to find such an  $X'$  since every dense subspace  $Y$  gives rise to an essentially dense map  $Y \hookrightarrow X$ , while the intersection of dense subsets need not be dense. We will see that this problem does not arise if  $X$  is *schematic*, i.e. a  $T_0$ -space for which the set  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  of quasi-compact open subsets is a basis with

$$(10) \quad U, V \in \mathcal{D}(X) \Rightarrow U \cap V \in \mathcal{D}(X),$$

and every non-empty closed irreducible set  $A \subset X$  has a *generic point*  $x$ , i.e.  $A = \overline{\{x\}}$ . In [10], such spaces  $X$  are called “generalized spectral spaces”. Since  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is closed under finite unions, it follows that  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is a lattice with respect to union and intersection. A continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between schematic spaces is said to be *spectral* if  $f^{-1}(V)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  whenever  $V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ . The category of schematic spaces with spectral maps as morphisms will be denoted by  $\mathbf{GSp}$ . The *spectral spaces*, i.e. the quasi-compact spaces in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ , form a full subcategory  $\mathbf{Sp}$ . A topological space  $X$  is a Hausdorff schematic space if and only if  $X$  is a *locally Stone space*, i.e. locally compact and totally disconnected. Similarly, a Hausdorff spectral space is the same as a *Stone space*, i.e. a compact totally disconnected space.

For  $X \in \mathbf{GSp}$ , let  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  denote the ring of subsets generated by  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  consists of the finite unions of differences  $U \setminus V$  with  $U, V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  is a basis of open sets for the *patch topology* on  $X$  (cf. [17, 10]). With this topology,  $X$  becomes a locally Stone space  $\pi X$  (use [17, Theorem 1]), and

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{D}(\pi X).$$

Instead of  $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ , we consider the sublattice

$$(12) \quad \mathfrak{C}(X) := \{U \in \mathfrak{B}(X) \mid \exists V \in \mathcal{D}(X): U \subset \overline{V}\}.$$

Recall that a topological space is said to be *extremally disconnected* if the closure of every open set is open.

PROPOSITION 3. *A topological space  $X$  is locally compact and extremally disconnected if and only if  $X$  is a schematic space with  $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathfrak{C}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \mathbf{GSp}$  satisfy  $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathfrak{C}(X)$ . We show first that every  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  is closed. To this end, it suffices to verify that  $\overline{U} \cap V = U \cap V$  for each  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Since  $V \setminus \overline{U} \in \mathfrak{C}(X) = \mathcal{D}(X)$ , we get  $V' := (V \cap U) \cup (V \setminus \overline{U}) \in \mathcal{D}(X) = \mathfrak{C}(X)$ . Hence  $V' = \text{int } \overline{V'} = \text{int } \overline{V} = V$ , and thus  $V \cap \overline{U} = V \cap U$ . This shows that  $U$  is closed. Since  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is a basis of  $X$ , we infer that  $X$  is locally Stone. For any  $W \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , it follows that  $\text{int}(\overline{W} \cap U) \in \mathfrak{C}(X) = \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Therefore,  $W \cap U \subset \text{int}(\overline{W} \cap U) \subset \overline{W} \cap U \subset \overline{W} \cap \overline{U}$  yields  $\overline{W} \cap U = \text{int}(\overline{W} \cap U) \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . Hence  $\overline{W}$  is open. The converse is trivial. ■

Similar to [17, Section 2], we define a *patch* of  $X \in \mathbf{GSp}$  to be a subspace of  $X$  which is closed in  $\pi X$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.** *The image  $f(X)$  of a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  is a patch of  $Y$ . For every patch  $Z$  of  $Y$ , the inclusion  $Z \hookrightarrow Y$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in Y$  belong to the closure of  $f(X)$  in  $\pi Y$ . Choose  $U \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$  with  $y \in U$ . Then  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a spectral space. Therefore, [17, Theorem 1] implies that  $U \cap f(X) = f(f^{-1}(U))$  is compact in  $\pi Y$ . Since  $y$  belongs to the closure of  $U \cap f(X)$  in  $\pi Y$ , it follows that  $y \in U \cap f(X) \subset f(X)$ . This proves that  $f(X)$  is a patch of  $Y$ .

Now let  $Z$  be a patch of  $Y$ . Then  $\pi Z$  is a locally Stone subspace of  $\pi Y$ , and the inclusion  $\pi Z \hookrightarrow \pi Y$  is spectral. Therefore,  $\mathcal{D}(Z)$  consists of the intersections  $U \cap Z$  with  $U \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ . Consequently, the  $T_0$ -space  $Z$  has  $\mathcal{D}(Z)$  as a basis which satisfies (10). Let  $A \neq \emptyset$  be a closed irreducible subset of  $Z$ . Then  $\overline{A}$  is irreducible in  $Y$ . Hence  $\overline{A} = \overline{\{y\}}$  for some  $y \in Y$ . If  $y \notin A$ , there exist  $U, V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$  with  $y \in U \setminus V$  and  $(U \setminus V) \cap A = \emptyset$ . This gives  $U \cap A \subset V$ . On the other hand,  $y \notin V$  implies that  $\overline{A} \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Thus  $y \in A$ , which proves that  $A \subset Z$  is generic. Therefore,  $Z$  is a schematic space, and the inclusion  $Z \hookrightarrow Y$  is spectral. ■

**DEFINITION 2.** We call a schematic space *minimal* if it does not contain a proper dense patch.

For a schematic space  $X$ , we define the patch

$$(13) \quad X_{\min} := X \setminus \bigcup \{U \setminus V \mid U, V \in \mathcal{D}(X), U \subset \overline{V}\}.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X$  be a schematic space. Then  $X_{\min}$  is dense in  $X$  and is contained in every dense patch of  $X$ . Moreover,  $X$  is minimal if and only if  $\mathcal{D}(X) \subset \mathfrak{C}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* We show first that  $X_{\min}$  is contained in every dense patch  $Y$  of  $X$ . In fact,  $X \setminus Y \in \mathcal{O}(\pi X)$  implies that  $X \setminus Y$  is a union of differences  $U \setminus V$  with  $U, V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . For any such difference  $U \setminus V$ , we have  $U \setminus \overline{V} \subset X \setminus Y$ ,



and thus  $(U \setminus \overline{V}) \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Since  $U \setminus \overline{V}$  is open, this gives  $U \setminus \overline{V} = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $U \subset \overline{V}$ . Hence  $X_{\min} \subset Y$ .

To show that  $X_{\min}$  is dense in  $X$ , let  $W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  be such that  $W \cap X_{\min} = \emptyset$ . Since  $W$  is compact in  $\pi X$ , there are  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  and  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $U_i \subset \overline{V_i}$  such that  $W \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (U_i \setminus V_i)$ . Then  $U_i \cap W \subset \overline{V_i} \cap \overline{W}$  for all  $i$ . Thus if we replace  $U_i$  by  $U_i \cap W$  and  $V_i$  by  $V_i \cap W$ , we have  $U_i, V_i \in \mathcal{D}(W)$  with  $U_i \subset \overline{V_i}$  and

$$(14) \quad W = (U_1 \setminus V_1) \cup \dots \cup (U_n \setminus V_n).$$

We will show by induction that  $W = \emptyset$ . First, (14) implies  $V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_n = \emptyset$ . We set  $V'_i := V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_i$ . Assume that  $V'_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  for all  $j > i$ . Since  $V'_i \cap (U_j \setminus V_j) = \emptyset$  for  $j \leq i$ , (14) gives  $V'_i \subset (U_{i+1} \setminus V_{i+1}) \cup \dots \cup (U_n \setminus V_n)$ . Thus if  $j > i$ , then  $V'_i \cap (U_j \setminus V_j) = V'_i \cap U_j \in \mathcal{D}(W)$ . Hence  $V'_i \cap (U_j \setminus V_j) = \emptyset$ . Therefore, we get  $V'_i = \emptyset$ . By induction and symmetry, this yields  $V_i = \emptyset$  for all  $i$ . So we get  $U_i \subset \overline{V_i} = \emptyset$ , and consequently,  $W = \emptyset$ . Thus we have proved that  $X_{\min}$  is the smallest dense patch of  $X$ .

Next let  $X$  be minimal. If  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X) \setminus \mathfrak{C}(X)$ , then  $U \subsetneq V \subset \overline{U}$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Hence  $U \cup (X \setminus V)$  is a dense patch of  $X$ , and so  $U \cup (X \setminus V) = X$ , i.e.  $U = V$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\mathcal{D}(X) \subset \mathfrak{C}(X)$ . Conversely, the inclusion  $\mathcal{D}(X) \subset \mathfrak{C}(X)$  implies that  $X_{\min} = X$ , whence  $X$  is minimal. ■

For an important class of schematic spaces  $X$ , the subspace  $X_{\min}$  admits a simpler description. Note first that every  $T_0$ -space  $X$  is partially ordered by the *specialization order*

$$(15) \quad x \leq y \Leftrightarrow \overline{\{x\}} \subset \overline{\{y\}}.$$

For example, the Sierpiński space  $\mathbb{S} = \{0, 1\}$  (see Example 1) satisfies  $0 < 1$ . The following Proposition 5 can be derived from Hochster's theorem [17] that every spectral space occurs as the spectrum of a commutative ring  $R$ , together with Kaplansky's observation [18] that  $\text{Spec } R$  satisfies (K1) and (K2) of Proposition 5 with respect to inclusion. We will give a direct proof.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *With respect to the specialization order, every spectral space  $X$  satisfies*

- (K1) *A non-empty chain  $C \subset X$  has a supremum and an infimum. Moreover,  $\overline{\{\sup C\}} = \overline{C}$  and  $\overline{\{\inf C\}} = \bigcap_{x \in C} \overline{\{x\}}$ .*
- (K2) *For any pair  $x < y$  in  $X$ , there exist  $x', y' \in X$  with  $x \leq x' < y' \leq y$  such that there is no point properly between  $x'$  and  $y'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C \neq \emptyset$  be a chain in  $X$ . Then  $\overline{C} \subset X$  is closed and irreducible. In fact, suppose that  $\overline{C} = A \cup B$  with closed sets  $A, B \subset X$ . If  $A \neq \overline{C}$ , there is a point  $x \in C \setminus A$ . Hence  $C \subset \bigcup_{x \leq y \in C} \overline{\{y\}} \subset B$ , and thus  $B = \overline{C}$ . Therefore,  $\overline{C}$  contains a generic point  $z$ , and thus  $z = \sup C$ . As  $X$  is quasi-compact, the intersection  $C_0 := \bigcap_{x \in C} \overline{\{x\}}$  is non-empty. Every  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  which intersects

$C_0$  contains  $C$ . Therefore, if  $U, V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  both intersect  $C_0$ , then  $C \subset U \cap V$ . Since  $U \cap V$  is quasi-compact, we get  $C_0 \cap U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $C_0$  is irreducible, and its generic point is the infimum of  $C$ . This proves (K1).

For  $x < y$  in  $X$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $y \in U$  and  $x \notin U$ . Since  $U$  is a spectral subspace of  $X$ , the Kuratowski–Zorn lemma yields a minimal  $y' \in U$  with  $x < y' \leq y$ . By (K1), every chain  $C \subset X \setminus U$  with  $x \in C$  has a supremum in  $X \setminus U$ . Therefore, again by the Kuratowski–Zorn lemma, we find a maximal  $x' < y'$  with  $x' \geq x$ . ■

The next result shows that for extremally disconnected schematic spaces  $X$ , the subspace  $X_{\min}$  is a locally Stone space and consists of the “most general” points of  $X$ . Recall that a topological space is *0-dimensional* if it has a basis of closed open sets.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $X$  be a schematic space. The maximal points of  $X$  form a 0-dimensional dense Hausdorff subspace  $\mu X$  of  $X_{\min}$ . If  $X$  is extremally disconnected, then  $\mu X = X_{\min}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu X \subset X$  be the subspace of maximal points with respect to the specialization order (15). Since every  $x \in X$  belongs to a spectral subspace  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  of  $X$ , Proposition 5 implies that  $X = \bigcup_{x \in \mu X} \overline{\{x\}}$ . This shows that  $\mu X$  is dense in  $X$ . For different  $x, y$  in  $\mu X$ , suppose that  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Since  $\pi X$  is locally compact, this implies that there is a common point  $z$  in all these intersections  $U \cap V$ . Hence  $z \geq x, y$ , a contradiction. This proves that  $\mu X$  is Hausdorff. If  $x \in U \cap \mu X$  and  $y \in \overline{U} \cap \mu X$  with  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , then  $y \leq x'$  for some  $x' \in U$ . Since  $y$  is maximal, this yields  $y \in U$ . Thus  $\overline{U} \cap \mu X = U \cap \mu X$ , which shows that  $\mu X$  is 0-dimensional.

Now let  $x \in \mu X$  be given. Then  $\bigcap \{W \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid x \in W\} = \{x\}$ . Suppose that  $x \in U \setminus V$  with  $U, V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . By the compactness of  $\pi V$ , this implies that  $W \cap V = \emptyset$  for some neighbourhood  $W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  of  $x$ . Hence  $x \in U \cap W \subset U \setminus V$ . So we get  $\mu X \subset X_{\min}$ .

Finally, let  $X$  be extremally disconnected. Suppose that  $y > x$ . Then  $y \notin \overline{\{x\}}$ , and so there is some  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $y \in V$  and  $x \notin V$ . Since  $\overline{V}$  is open and  $x \in \overline{\{y\}} \subset \overline{V}$ , we find some  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $x \in U \subset \overline{V}$ . Hence  $x \in U \setminus V$ , and thus  $x \notin X_{\min}$ . This proves that  $\mu X = X_{\min}$ . ■

The following example shows that  $X_{\min}$  need not be a Hausdorff space.

**EXAMPLE 2.** For an infinite set  $X'$ , consider the spectral space  $X := X' \sqcup \{0\}$  (disjoint union) such that  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  consists of the finite subsets of  $X'$  together with  $X$ . Then the elements of  $X'$  are pairwise incomparable, and  $0 < x$  for all  $x \in X'$ . By Theorem 1, the whole space  $X$  is minimal, and thus  $\mu X = X' \neq X_{\min}$ .

**3. The essential cover.** Theorem 1 provides a step toward a maximal essentially dense map  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  for a schematic space  $X$ . To construct  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , we use Stone duality. Let  $\mathbf{DL}_0$  denote the category of distributive 0-lattices (i.e. with a smallest element 0). Morphisms in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$  are 0-preserving lattice homomorphisms  $f: D \rightarrow D'$  such that for every  $b \in D'$ , there is an element  $a \in D$  with  $f(a) \geq b$ . If  $D, D'$  belong to the full subcategory  $\mathbf{DL}_b$  of bounded distributive lattices, the latter condition reduces to  $f(1) = 1$ . Stone's duality theorem [26] implies that the functor  $\mathcal{D}$  gives a duality

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{D}: \mathbf{GSp}^{\text{op}} \simeq \mathbf{DL}_0.$$

To get the inverse of  $\mathcal{D}$ , note that the Sierpiński space  $\mathbb{S}$  can be regarded as an object in  $\mathbf{DL}_b$ . Therefore, any  $D \in \mathbf{DL}_0$  gives rise to a morphism set

$$(17) \quad \text{Spec } D := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{DL}_0}(D, \mathbb{S}),$$

which can be viewed as a subspace of the product space  $\mathbb{S}^D \in \mathbf{Sp}$ . If  $D$  is bounded,  $\text{Spec } D$  is a patch in  $\mathbb{S}^D$ . Otherwise, the closure of  $\text{Spec } D$  in  $\pi(\mathbb{S}^D)$  is  $(\text{Spec } D) \cup \{0\}$ . Hence  $\text{Spec } D$  is schematic. Thus (17) defines a functor  $\text{Spec}: \mathbf{DL}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{GSp}^{\text{op}}$  which is inverse to (16).

**DEFINITION 3.** We call a morphism  $f: D \rightarrow D'$  in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$  *codense* if  $f(a) = 0$  implies that  $a = 0$ . We say that  $f$  is *essentially codense* if  $f$  is codense and for every  $b > 0$  in  $D'$ , there is a non-zero  $a \in D$  with  $f(a) \leq b$ .

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 1, we have

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schematic spaces.*

- (a)  *$f$  is dense if and only if  $\mathcal{D}(f): \mathcal{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$  is codense.*
- (b)  *$f$  is essentially dense if and only if  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  is essentially codense.*

Although continuous maps between schematic spaces need not be spectral, the definition of essentially dense maps carries over to  $\mathbf{GSp}$ .

**COROLLARY.** *A dense morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  is essentially dense if and only if the implication (3) holds for every  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 7, it suffices to prove the dual assertion. Thus let  $g: D \rightarrow D'$  be a codense morphism in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$ . Assume first that  $g$  is essentially codense, and let  $f: D' \rightarrow D''$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$  such that  $fg$  is codense. If  $0 < b \in D'$ , we find a non-zero  $a \in D$  with  $g(a) \leq b$ . Hence  $f(b) \geq f(g(a)) > 0$ , which shows that  $f$  is codense. Conversely, assume that the dual of (3) is satisfied. Let  $b \in D'$  be non-zero. Then  $x \mapsto x \vee b$  defines a morphism  $f: D' \rightarrow [b, -]$  in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$ . If  $g(a) \not\leq b$  for all  $a > 0$  in  $D$ , then  $fg$  is codense, while  $f$  is not since  $b > 0$ . ■

We say that a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in any category is a *retraction* (resp. *section*) if there is a morphism  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  with  $fg = 1$  (resp.  $gf = 1$ ).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a schematic space. The following are equivalent.*

- (a)  $X$  is locally compact and extremally disconnected.
- (b) Every dense morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  is a retraction.
- (c) Every essentially dense morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  is a retraction.

*Proof.* (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a dense morphism in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ . By Proposition 4,  $f(Y)$  is closed in  $\pi X = X$ . Hence  $f$  is surjective. To prove that  $f$  is a retraction, we can replace  $f$  by  $\pi Y \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f} X$ , where  $\pi Y \rightarrow Y$  is the natural bijection. Therefore, we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $Y$  is Hausdorff. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a chain of closed subspaces  $A \subset Y$  with  $f(A) = X$ . We show that the intersection  $C := \bigcap \mathcal{C}$  also satisfies  $f(C) = X$ . If  $f(C) \neq X$ , then Proposition 4 implies that  $f(C)$  is a proper closed subset of  $X$ . So we find a non-empty  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $U \cap f(C) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , but  $f^{-1}(U) \cap C = \emptyset$ . Since  $f^{-1}(U)$  is compact, this is a contradiction. By the Kuratowski–Zorn lemma, we thus obtain a minimal closed subset  $Z \subset Y$  with  $f(Z) = X$ . If  $\overline{f(D)} = X$  for a subset  $D \subset Z$ , then  $f(\overline{D}) = \overline{f(D)} = X$ , and thus  $\overline{D} = Z$ . Therefore, Proposition 1 implies that  $Z \hookrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  is essentially dense. So there is no loss of generality if we assume that  $Y$  is a locally Stone space and  $f: Y \twoheadrightarrow X$  is essentially dense.

Now we proceed as in [16], using the fact that  $f$  is a closed map. Suppose that there are different  $x, y \in Y$  with  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Then there are disjoint  $U, V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$  with  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . By Proposition 2, the open sets  $f_*U$  and  $f_*V$  are disjoint, and their inverse images are dense in  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively. Hence  $f(x) \in \overline{f_*U}$  and  $f(y) \in \overline{f_*V}$ , and thus  $\overline{f_*U} \cap \overline{f_*V} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is extremally disconnected, this is a contradiction. So we have shown that  $f$  is bijective, which completes the proof of (b). The implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) is trivial.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a): By Stone duality, condition (c) implies that every essentially codense morphism  $\mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow D$  in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$  is a section. For a dense open set  $U \subset X$ , we set

$$\mathcal{O}'(U) := \{W \in \mathcal{O}(U) \mid \exists V \in \mathcal{D}(X): W \subset V\}$$

and consider the morphism

$$p: \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'(U)$$

given by  $p(V) := V \cap U$ . If  $p(V) = \emptyset$ , then  $V \subset X \setminus U$ , which yields  $V = \emptyset$ . Thus  $p$  is essentially codense. So (c) implies that  $p$  is injective. Therefore, if  $V, W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  satisfy  $W \subset V \subset \overline{W}$ , then  $U := W \cup (X \setminus \overline{W})$  is dense in  $X$ , and  $V \cap U = W \cap U$ , whence  $V = W$ . So we get  $\mathcal{D}(X) \subset \mathfrak{C}(X)$ . By Theorem 1, this means that  $X$  is minimal.

Next we consider the inclusion

$$i: \mathcal{D}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(X)$$

in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  is given by (11). Since  $X$  is minimal,  $i$  is essentially codense. Hence there exists a morphism  $f: \mathcal{R}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$  in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$  with  $fi = 1$ . For  $U, V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , we have  $U \setminus V \subset U$  and  $(U \setminus V) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f(U \setminus V) \subset U$  and  $f(U \setminus V) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus  $f(U \setminus V) \subset U \setminus V$ , and  $f(U \setminus V)$  is the largest  $W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $W \subset U \setminus V$ . Hence  $U \setminus \overline{V} \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Since  $U \subset \overline{(U \setminus \overline{V}) \cup V}$  and  $(U \setminus \overline{V}) \cup V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , the minimality of  $X$  implies that  $U \subset (U \setminus \overline{V}) \cup V$ . Therefore,  $U \setminus V \subset U \setminus \overline{V}$ , which gives  $U \cap \overline{V} \subset U \cap V$ . As this holds for all  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , we get  $\overline{V} = V$ . Hence  $X$  is locally Stone.

Finally, let us consider the inclusion

$$j: \mathcal{D}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}'(X).$$

Since  $j \in \mathbf{DL}_0$  is essentially codense, there exists a morphism  $g: \mathcal{O}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(X)$  in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$  such that  $gj = 1$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{O}'(X)$  be given. Then every  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $V \subset U$  satisfies  $V \subset g(U)$ . Hence  $U \subset g(U)$ . Similarly, every  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $V \supset U$  satisfies  $V \supset g(U)$ . This shows that  $g(U)$  is the smallest  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $V \supset U$ . For any  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , suppose that  $g(U \cap W) \not\subset \overline{U \cap W}$ . Then there is a non-empty  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $V \subset g(U \cap W) \setminus \overline{U \cap W}$ . Since  $V$  is closed, this contradicts the minimality of  $g(U \cap W)$ . Hence  $\overline{U \cap W} = g(U \cap W) \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . As this holds for every  $W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , it follows that  $\overline{U}$  is open. ■

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X$  be a schematic space. Up to isomorphism, there is a unique essentially dense morphism  $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  such that  $e$  factors through every essentially dense morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$ , and every essentially dense morphism  $Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  is a retraction.*

*Proof.* Consider the map

$$(18) \quad r: \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(X)$$

with  $r(U) := \text{int } \overline{U}$ . We show first that  $r$  is a morphism in  $\mathbf{DL}_b$ . For  $U, V \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ , we have

$$\text{int } \overline{U \cup V} \subset \text{int } \overline{r(U) \cup r(V)} \subset \text{int } \overline{\overline{U} \cup \overline{V}} = \text{int } \overline{U \cup V}.$$

Hence  $r(U \cup V) = r(U) \vee r(V)$ . Furthermore,  $r(U \cap V) = \text{int } \overline{U \cap V} \subset \text{int } \overline{U} \cap \text{int } \overline{V} = r(U) \wedge r(V)$ . Thus it remains to verify that  $\text{int } \overline{U} \cap \text{int } \overline{V} \subset \text{int } \overline{U \cap V}$ . This means that  $W := \text{int } \overline{U} \cap \text{int } \overline{V} \setminus \overline{U \cap V}$  is empty. Now  $W$  is open, and  $W \cap U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $W \cap U \subset \overline{V}$  implies that  $W \cap U = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $W \subset \overline{U}$  yields  $W = \emptyset$ . Since  $r(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $r(X) = X$ , it follows that  $r \in \mathbf{DL}_b$ .

The restriction of  $r$  to  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  gives a morphism

$$r_0: \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(X)$$

in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$ . Since  $r(U) \supset U$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ , we infer that  $r_0$  is codense. The fact that  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is a basis of  $X$  implies that  $r_0$  is essentially codense. Now

let  $f: \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow D$  be an essentially codense morphism in  $\mathbf{DL}_0$ . We define a map  $g: D \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(X)$  by

$$(19) \quad g(a) := \text{int} \overline{\bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(U) \leq a\}}.$$

Before we show that the image of  $g$  lies in  $\mathfrak{C}(X)$  and not only in  $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ , we verify first that  $gf = r_0$ . Thus let  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  be given. Then

$$r(V) = \text{int} \overline{V} \subset \text{int} \overline{\bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(U) \leq f(V)\}} = gf(V).$$

So we have to prove that  $gf(V) \subset \overline{V}$ . Let  $W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  be such that  $W \subset gf(V) \setminus \overline{V}$ . Then  $W \cap V = \emptyset$ . Therefore, if  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  satisfies  $f(U) \leq f(V)$ , then  $f(W \cap U) \leq f(W) \wedge f(V) = f(W \cap V) = 0$ . Since  $f$  is codense, this gives  $W \cap U = \emptyset$ . Hence  $W \cap gf(V) = \emptyset$ , and thus  $W = \emptyset$ . So we have proved that  $gf = r_0$ . Now every  $a \in D$  can be majorized by some  $f(V)$  with  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Therefore, we have  $g(a) \leq gf(V) = r(V) \subset \overline{V}$ , which shows that  $g(D) \subset \mathfrak{C}(X)$ .

Next we prove that  $g \in \mathbf{DL}_0$ . For  $a, b \in D$ , we have  $g(a) \vee g(b) \subset g(a \vee b)$  since  $g$  is monotone. The reverse inclusion is equivalent to

$$(20) \quad \text{int} \overline{\bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(U) \leq a \vee b\}} \subset \text{int} \overline{\bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(U) \leq a\}} \\ \vee \text{int} \overline{\bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(U) \leq b\}}.$$

Suppose that  $W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  is contained in the left-hand side, but disjoint from the right-hand side of (20). Then  $W \cap U = \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $f(U) \leq a$  or  $f(U) \leq b$ . Hence if  $f(W) \wedge a > 0$ , the essential codensity of  $f$  implies that  $f(W) \wedge a \geq f(U)$  for some non-empty  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . But this gives  $f(U) \leq a$  and  $f(W \cap U) = f(W) \wedge f(U) \geq f(U) > 0$ , a contradiction. So we get  $f(W) \wedge a = 0$ , and similarly,  $f(W) \wedge b = 0$ . Thus  $f(W) \wedge (a \vee b) = 0$ . For all  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $f(U) \leq a \vee b$ , this implies that  $f(W \cap U) = f(W \cap U) \wedge (a \vee b) = 0$ . Hence  $W \cap U = \emptyset$  for all such  $U$ , and therefore  $W = \emptyset$ , which completes the proof of (20). Thus  $g(a \vee b) = g(a) \vee g(b)$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(a \wedge b) &= r \left( \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(U) \leq a \wedge b\} \right) \\ &= r \left( \bigcup \{U \cap V \mid U, V \in \mathcal{D}(X), f(U) \leq a, f(V) \leq b\} \right) \\ &= r \left( \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(U) \leq a\} \cap \bigcup \{V \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(V) \leq b\} \right) \\ &= r \left( \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(U) \leq a\} \right) \wedge r \left( \bigcup \{V \in \mathcal{D}(X) \mid f(V) \leq b\} \right) \\ &= g(a) \wedge g(b). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g(0) = \emptyset$  and  $gf = r_0$ , we have shown that  $g \in \mathbf{DL}_0$ . By Stone duality, the morphism  $e := \text{Spec } r_0$  satisfies the first part of the conclusion.

In particular,

$$(21) \quad \tilde{X} = \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}(X).$$

To show that every essentially dense morphism  $Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is a retraction, we apply Theorem 2 and Proposition 3. So we have to verify that  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X}) = \mathfrak{C}(\tilde{X})$ . We regard this equation as a property of the lattice  $\mathfrak{C}(X) \cong \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ . Denote the natural isomorphism  $\mathfrak{C}(X) \cong \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$  by  $U \mapsto U'$ . Thus let  $U \in \mathfrak{C}(X)$  be given. To show that  $U' \subset \tilde{X}$  is regular, let  $V \in \mathfrak{C}(X)$  be such that  $V' \subset \overline{U'}$ . This means that the implication

$$U \cap W = \emptyset \Rightarrow V \cap W = \emptyset$$

holds for all  $W \in \mathfrak{C}(X)$ , hence for all  $W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Thus  $V' \subset \overline{U'}$  is equivalent to  $V \subset \overline{U}$ . Hence  $V \subset U$ , which shows that  $U' \in \mathfrak{C}(\tilde{X})$ . This yields  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X}) \subset \mathfrak{C}(\tilde{X})$ . Conversely, a set  $U_0 \in \mathfrak{C}(\tilde{X})$  can be represented by  $\mathfrak{U} := \{U \in \mathfrak{C}(X) \mid U' \subset U_0\}$ . So there exists some  $V \in \mathfrak{C}(X)$  with  $U_0 \subset \overline{V'}$ , i.e.  $U' \subset \overline{V'}$  for all  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ . By the above, this gives  $U \subset \overline{V}$  for all  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ . The regularity of  $U_0$  implies that

$$(22) \quad V' \subset \overline{U_0} \Rightarrow V' \subset U_0$$

for all  $V \in \mathfrak{C}(X)$ . Here  $V' \subset \overline{U_0}$  means that

$$(23) \quad U_0 \cap W' = \emptyset \Rightarrow V' \cap W' = \emptyset$$

for all  $W \in \mathfrak{C}(X)$ . Now we define

$$V := \text{int} \overline{\bigcup \mathfrak{U}}.$$

Thus  $V \in \mathfrak{C}(X)$ , and  $U_0 \subset V'$ . Assume that  $U_0 \cap W' = \emptyset$  for some  $W \in \mathfrak{C}(X)$ . Then  $U \cap W = \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Hence  $V \cap W = \emptyset$ . So the implication (23) holds, i.e.  $V' \subset \overline{U_0}$ . By (22), this gives  $V' \subset U_0$ . Thus  $U_0 = V' \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$ , which proves  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X}) = \mathfrak{C}(\tilde{X})$ .

It remains to prove the uniqueness statement. Thus assume that the essentially dense morphisms  $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  and  $f: X' \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  satisfy the conditions of the theorem. Then  $f = eg$  for some  $g: X' \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ . Since  $e$  is essentially dense,  $g$  is dense. Therefore, Theorem 2 implies that  $g$  is a retraction, say,  $gs = 1$  for some  $s: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ . Hence  $e = egs = fs$ . Since  $f$  is essentially dense, this implies that  $s$  is dense. Again by Theorem 2, we infer that  $s$  is a retraction. Thus  $s$  is a homeomorphism. ■

Let us call  $\tilde{X}$ , together with the map  $e = e_X: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  of Theorem 3, the *essential cover* of  $X$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *For a schematic space  $X$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (a)  $X \cong \tilde{X}$ .
- (b) *Every dense morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  is a retraction.*

- (c) *For every essentially dense morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ , the restriction  $f|_{Y_{\min}}$  is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* The implications (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) follow by Proposition 3, Theorem 1, and Theorem 2.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): By Theorem 2, every essentially dense morphism  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a retraction. So there exists a morphism  $s: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  with  $fs = 1$ . Since  $f$  is essentially dense,  $s$  is dense. Furthermore, Proposition 3 and Theorem 2 imply that  $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathfrak{C}(X)$ . Hence  $X$  is minimal by Theorem 1. This shows that  $s(X) = Y_{\min}$ . Since  $s$  maps  $X$  homeomorphically onto  $Y_{\min}$ , the corollary is proved. ■

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be an essentially dense morphism in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  which factors through every essentially dense morphism  $Z \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ . Then  $Y$  is extremally disconnected,  $Y_{\min} \hookrightarrow Y$  is a section, and the composition  $Y_{\min} \hookrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  is an essential cover of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e_X: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be an essential cover. By assumption,  $f = e_X g$  for some  $g: Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ . Since  $e_X$  and  $f$  are essentially dense,  $g$  is essentially dense. Now let  $U \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  be given. Then  $g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U})$  is open, and  $g^{-1}(g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U})) \cap U = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $g^{-1}(g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U})) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\tilde{X}$  is extremally disconnected and  $g$  essentially dense, there is some non-empty  $V \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$  such that  $g^{-1}(V) \subset g^{-1}(g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U})) \cap U$ . Since  $g$  is dense, this gives  $V \subset \overline{g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U})}$ , whence  $V \subset g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U}) \setminus g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U})$ , a contradiction. Thus  $g^{-1}(g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U})) \cap \bar{U} = \emptyset$ , which implies that  $g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U})$  is open and closed. Since  $g$  is essentially dense, we infer that  $g^{-1}(g_*(Y \setminus \bar{U})) = Y \setminus \bar{U}$ . Hence  $Y \setminus \bar{U}$  is closed, and thus  $\bar{U}$  is open. This proves that  $Y$  is extremally disconnected. By Corollary 1, the restriction  $g|_{Y_{\min}}$  is a homeomorphism. Now the remaining assertions follow immediately. ■

**4. The essential cover as a functor.** To make the essential cover into a functor, we have to restrict the morphisms in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ . For example, the continuous map  $g: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$  (cf. Example 1) with  $g(\mathbb{S}) = \{0\}$  does not leave  $\mathbb{S}_{\min} = \{1\}$  invariant.

**DEFINITION 4.** We call a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  *regular* if

$$(24) \quad f^{-1}(r(V)) \subset r(f^{-1}(V))$$

for all  $V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ , where  $r$  is given by (18).

Note that spectral maps between locally Stone spaces are regular. We have the following characterization:



PROPOSITION 8. A morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  is regular if and only if there exists a spectral map  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  such that the diagram

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y} \\ \downarrow e_X & & \downarrow e_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes.

*Proof.* By Definition 4,  $f$  is regular if and only if the open set  $f^{-1}(r(V)) \setminus \overline{f^{-1}(V)}$  is empty for all  $V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ . This means that the implication

$$(26) \quad U \subset f^{-1}(r(V)), U \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset \Rightarrow U = \emptyset$$

holds for all  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  and  $V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ . The left-hand side of (26) states that  $f(U) \subset r(V)$  and  $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $f(U) \subset r(V) \setminus V$ . Since  $U$  is quasi-compact,  $f(U)$  is quasi-compact. Therefore, the inclusion  $f(U) \subset r(V) \setminus V$  means that  $f(U) \subset W \setminus V$  for some  $W \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$  with  $W \subset \overline{V}$ .

Now assume that  $f$  is not regular. Then there is a non-empty  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  with  $f(U) \subset Y \setminus Y_{\min}$ . Since  $X_{\min}$  is dense in  $X$ , there is an element  $x \in U \cap X_{\min}$ . By Proposition 4, the image of  $e_X$  is a dense patch, whence  $X_{\min} \subset e_X(\tilde{X})$  by Theorem 1. So there is an element  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$  with  $e_X(\tilde{x}) = x$ . Thus  $f e_X(\tilde{x}) \in f(U) \subset Y \setminus Y_{\min}$ . On the other hand,  $e_Y$  factors through the essentially dense map  $Y_{\min} \hookrightarrow Y$ . Therefore, a commutative diagram (25) would give  $f e_X(\tilde{x}) = e_Y f(\tilde{x}) \in Y_{\min}$ , a contradiction.

Conversely, assume that  $f$  is regular. For any  $U \in \mathfrak{C}(Y)$  with  $U \subset \overline{V}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ , this implies that  $f^{-1}[U] = r(f^{-1}(U)) = r f^{-1}(r(V)) \subset r f^{-1}(V)$ . Therefore, the map (6) defines a morphism  $f^*: \mathfrak{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(X)$ . For every  $V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ , we have  $r f^{-1}(V) \subset r f^{-1}(r(V)) \subset r f^{-1}(V)$ , which gives  $r f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}[r(V)]$ , i.e. the diagram

$$(27) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(f)} & \mathcal{D}(X) \\ \downarrow r_0 & & \downarrow r_0 \\ \mathfrak{C}(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathfrak{C}(X) \end{array}$$

commutes. Hence  $\tilde{f} := \text{Spec } f^*$  yields a commutative diagram (25). ■

A continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *skeletal* [4] if the inverse image  $f^{-1}(V)$  of a dense  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  is dense in  $X$ . The subcategory of  $\mathbf{GSp}$  with the same objects and skeletal maps as morphisms will be denoted by  $\mathbf{SSp}$ . Let  $\mathbf{ELSt}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{SSp}$  whose objects are extremally disconnected locally compact spaces. The proof of Proposition 8 shows that

the essential cover provides a functor

$$(28) \quad \mathbf{SSp} \rightarrow \mathbf{ELSt}$$

which maps  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{SSp}$  to  $\tilde{f} = \text{Spec } f^*$ , where  $f^*: \mathfrak{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(X)$  is given by  $f^*(V) := f^{-1}[V]$ . In fact, the commutative diagram (25) shows that  $\tilde{f}$  is skeletal: If  $W \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y})$  is dense, there is a dense  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  with  $e_Y^{-1}(V) \subset W$ . Hence  $\tilde{f}^{-1}e_Y^{-1}(V) = e_X^{-1}f^{-1}(V)$  is dense in  $\tilde{X}$ . Furthermore, a composite  $gf$  of skeletal maps  $f, g$  satisfies  $f^*g^*(V) = rf^{-1}rg^{-1}(V) = rf^{-1}g^{-1}(V) = (gf)^*(V)$ .

**PROPOSITION 9** (cf. [4, Lemma 4]). *Every essentially dense morphism in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  is skeletal, and every skeletal morphism is regular. If  $f \in \mathbf{GSp}$  is skeletal, the morphism  $\tilde{f}$  in the commutative diagram (25) is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{GSp}$ . Assume that  $f$  is essentially dense. If  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  is dense in  $Y$ , then  $f(f^{-1}(V)) = V \cap f(X)$  is dense in  $Y$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(V) \subset X$  is dense by Proposition 1. Thus  $f$  is skeletal.

Assume now that  $f$  is skeletal. If  $V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ , then  $V \cup (Y \setminus \bar{V}) \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  is dense. Hence  $f^{-1}(V \cup (Y \setminus \bar{V}))$  is dense in  $X$ , and thus  $\text{int } f^{-1}(\bar{V} \setminus V) = \emptyset$ . To verify (24), we have to show that  $f^{-1}(r(V)) \subset \overline{f^{-1}(\bar{V})}$ . Thus let  $U \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  satisfy  $U \subset f^{-1}(r(V)) \setminus \overline{f^{-1}(\bar{V})}$ . Then  $f(U) \subset r(V)$  and  $U \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f(U) \subset r(V) \setminus V \subset \bar{V} \setminus V$ , which yields  $U = \emptyset$ . This proves that  $f$  is regular. By Proposition 8, there is a commutative diagram (27), and it remains to show that  $f^*$  is unique. Let  $U \in \mathfrak{C}(Y)$  be given. For  $U \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$  with  $V \subset U$ , this implies that  $r(V) \subset U$ , and thus  $rf^{-1}(V) = f^*r(V) \subset f^*(U)$ . Hence  $f^{-1}[U] \subset f^*(U)$ . To prove the reverse inclusion, we have to verify that  $f^*(U) \subset \overline{f^{-1}(U)}$ . Assume that  $W \subset f^*(U) \setminus \overline{f^{-1}(U)}$  for some  $W \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . Then  $W \cap f^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$ . For every  $V \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$  with  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , we have  $U \cap r(V) = \emptyset$ , which gives  $f^*(U) \cap rf^{-1}(V) = f^*(U) \cap f^*(r(V)) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $W \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$ , and thus  $f(W) \cap V = \emptyset$ . So we get  $f(W) \subset \bar{U}$ , which yields  $W \subset f^{-1}(\bar{U} \setminus U)$ . Since  $f$  is skeletal, this implies that  $W = \emptyset$ . Thus  $f^*(U) = f^{-1}[U]$ . ■

Now we can give a functorial characterization of the essential cover. Recall that a subcategory of any category is said to be *coreflective* if the inclusion admits a right adjoint.

**THEOREM 4.** *The full subcategory  $\mathbf{ELSt}$  of extremally disconnected locally Stone spaces in  $\mathbf{SSp}$  is coreflective.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{SSp}$  with  $X \in \mathbf{ELSt}$ . By Corollary 1 of Theorem 3, the map  $e_X$  is a homeomorphism. Proposition 9 implies that the morphism  $\tilde{f}$  in (25) is unique. Therefore, the functor (28) is right adjoint to the inclusion  $\mathbf{ELSt} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{SSp}$ . ■

**5. The absolute cover.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an arbitrary category with a full subcategory  $\mathcal{P}$ . A morphism  $p: P \rightarrow X$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{P}$ -precover if  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and every morphism  $Q \rightarrow X$  with  $Q \in \mathcal{P}$  factors through  $p$ . If, in addition,  $p$  is *minimal*, i.e. every morphism  $f: P \rightarrow P$  with  $pf = p$  is an automorphism, then  $p$  is called a  $\mathcal{P}$ -cover.

By Theorem 3, an essential cover  $e: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{GSp}$  is minimal. In fact, if  $ef = e$ , then  $f$  is essentially dense, hence a retraction. Thus  $fg = 1$  for some  $g: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ , and  $eg = efg = e$ . Therefore,  $g$  is again a retraction, whence  $f$  is an automorphism with inverse  $g$ . In the subcategory  $\mathbf{SSp}$ , the essential cover is also an  $\mathbf{ELSt}$ -precover by Theorem 4, hence an  $\mathbf{ELSt}$ -cover.

In [24] the first author has shown that in the category  $\mathbf{Top}$  of topological spaces with continuous maps, every space  $X$  admits a cover  $p: P \rightarrow X$  with respect to the full subcategory  $\mathbf{Ed}$  of extremally disconnected spaces, and that  $p$  coincides with the *absolute* [23, 25, 27] of  $X$ . To distinguish  $p$  from the object  $P$ , we will also call  $P$  the *absolute*, and refer to  $p$  as the *absolute cover* of  $X$ . Let us briefly review the main features of the absolute, as far as needed for our present purpose.

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. Then  $f$  is said to be *proper* [7] if  $f \times 1: X \times Z \rightarrow Y \times Z$  is closed for each  $Z \in \mathbf{Top}$ . By [7, I.10.2, Theorem 1],  $f$  is proper if and only if  $f$  is closed and has quasi-compact fibers. The map  $f$  is said to be *separated* [5, 27] if the diagonal map  $X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  is closed, i.e. if any two points  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  with  $f(x) = f(y)$  have disjoint neighbourhoods. A separated proper map is said to be *perfect*. We call  $f$  an *absolute (cover)* of  $Y$  if  $f$  is essentially dense and perfect, and  $X$  is extremally disconnected. By [24, Theorem 1], an absolute is an  $\mathbf{Ed}$ -cover, hence unique up to homeomorphism.

Recall [8] that every topological space  $X$  is the union of its *irreducible components*, i.e. maximal irreducible subspaces. We will show that the absolute leads to a refined version of irreducible components which may have a multiplicity and need not be maximal.

**PROPOSITION 10.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect map in  $\mathbf{Top}$ . Every irreducible component of  $X$  is mapped bijectively onto a closed irreducible subset of  $Y$ . If  $f$  is surjective, each irreducible component of  $Y$  arises in this way.*

*Proof.* By [8, II.4.1, Proposition 4], the image of an irreducible component  $C$  of  $X$  is irreducible. Since  $f$  is perfect,  $f(C)$  is closed. Suppose that there are different points  $x, y \in C$  with  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Since  $f$  is separated, there are disjoint  $U, V \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  with  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . As  $C$  is irreducible, we have  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Thus  $f|_C$  is injective.

Now let  $f$  be surjective, and let  $D$  be an irreducible component of  $Y$ . Then the closed set  $f^{-1}(D)$  is mapped onto  $D$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a chain of closed subsets  $A \subset f^{-1}(D)$  with  $f(A) = D$ . With  $C := \bigcap \mathfrak{A}$ , suppose that  $f(C) \neq D$ .

Then there is a point  $y \in D \setminus f(C)$ , and  $\bigcap \{f^{-1}(y) \cap A \mid A \in \mathfrak{A}\} = \emptyset$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact, this is impossible. Hence  $f(C) = D$ , and the Kuratowski–Zorn lemma yields a minimal closed subset  $A$  of  $f^{-1}(D)$  with  $f(A) = D$ . If  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$  with  $A_1, A_2$  closed, then  $D = f(A_1) \cup f(A_2)$ . Since  $f$  is closed and  $D$  irreducible, this implies that  $D = f(A_i)$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . By the minimality of  $A$ , this gives  $A_i = A$ . Hence  $A$  is irreducible. By [8, II.4.1, Proposition 5], there is an irreducible component  $A'$  of  $X$  with  $A \subset A'$ . As  $f(A')$  is irreducible, we have  $f(A') = D$ . Since  $f|_{A'}$  is injective, this implies that  $A' = A$ . ■

Recall that the *quasicomponent* of a point  $x$  of a topological space is defined to be the intersection of all closed open sets containing  $x$ .

PROPOSITION 11. *The irreducible components of an extremally disconnected space  $X$  coincide with its quasicomponents.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be an irreducible component of  $X$ . Then every closed open set which intersects  $C$  non-trivially contains all of  $C$ . Hence  $C$  is contained in a quasicomponent  $C'$ . If  $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  satisfies  $U \cap C' \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\bar{U}$  is open, whence  $C' \subset \bar{U}$ . Thus  $C'$  is irreducible, which gives  $C' = C$ . ■

DEFINITION 5. Let  $X$  be a topological space, and  $p: P \rightarrow X$  a fixed absolute of  $X$ . We define the *irreducible components* of  $X$  to be the restrictions of  $p$  to the quasicomponents of  $P$ .

In other words, the quasicomponents of the absolute  $P$  of  $X$  parametrize the irreducible components of  $X$ . The following example shows that multiple components as well as embedded components actually occur.

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $X := X' \sqcup \{0\}$  be the spectral space of Example 2. Then the Stone–Čech compactification  $\beta(X')$  of  $X'$  is extremally disconnected (see [14, 6M]). Let  $i: X' \hookrightarrow \beta(X')$  be the natural inclusion. Then  $i$  is an open map. Consider the disjoint union  $P := X' \sqcup \beta(X')$  with the topology given by the open sets  $U \sqcup V$  such that  $V \in \mathcal{O}(\beta(X'))$  and  $i^{-1}(V) \subset U \subset X'$ . Then  $i^{-1}(\bar{V}) \subset U$ , which implies that  $\overline{U \sqcup V} = U \sqcup \overline{i(U) \cup V}$ . Hence  $P$  is extremally disconnected. Define a map  $p: P \rightarrow X$  by  $p(x) := x$  for all  $x \in X'$ , and  $p(\beta(X')) = \{0\}$ . Thus  $p$  is a continuous surjection. By definition, the closed sets in  $P$  are of the form  $U \sqcup V$  with  $V \subset \beta(X')$  closed and  $U \subset i^{-1}(V)$ . Hence  $p$  is closed. Since  $\beta(X')$  is a compact subspace of  $P$ , the map  $p$  is perfect. Finally, every non-empty  $U \sqcup V \in \mathcal{O}(P)$  satisfies  $U \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $p^{-1}(U) \subset U \sqcup V$ , which shows that  $p$  is essentially dense. Thus  $p$  is the absolute cover of  $X$ .

The closed open sets of  $P$  are the sets  $i^{-1}(V) \sqcup V$  with  $V = \bar{V} \in \mathcal{O}(\beta(X'))$ . Therefore, the quasicomponents of  $P$  are the singletons in  $\beta(X') \setminus i(X')$  and the two-point sets  $\{x, i(x)\}$  with  $x \in X'$ . So the irreducible components of  $X$  are  $\{0\}$ , with a big multiplicity, and the sets  $\{0, x\}$  with  $x \in X'$ .

Now we turn our attention to schematic spaces.

PROPOSITION 12. *Let  $p: P \rightarrow X$  be the absolute of a schematic space  $X$ . Then  $P$  is again schematic, and  $p$  is a spectral map.*

*Proof.* Since  $p$  is proper, [7, I.10.2, Proposition 6] implies that  $p^{-1}(V)$  is quasi-compact for each  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ . As  $p$  is separated,  $P$  is a  $T_0$ -space. By [24, Proposition 5], the fact that  $p$  is perfect implies that the sets  $U \cap p^{-1}(V)$  with  $U \in \mathfrak{B}(P)$  and  $V \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  form an open basis of  $P$ . By the corollary of Proposition 2, the regular open sets of  $P$  are of the form  $p^{-1}[W]$  with  $W \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . Therefore, since  $P$  is extremally disconnected, an open basis of  $P$  is given by the sets

$$(29) \quad p^{-1}(V) \cap \overline{p^{-1}(W)}$$

with  $V \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  and  $W \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ . Furthermore, the sets (29) are quasi-compact. In fact, if  $p^{-1}(V) \cap \overline{p^{-1}(W)} \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  with  $U_i \in \mathcal{O}(P)$ , then  $p^{-1}(V) \subset (P \setminus \overline{p^{-1}(W)}) \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ . As  $p^{-1}(V)$  is quasi-compact, this implies that  $p^{-1}(V) \subset (P \setminus \overline{p^{-1}(W)}) \cup \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$  for a finite subset  $J \subset I$ . Hence  $p^{-1}(V) \cap \overline{p^{-1}(W)} \subset \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$ . So we have shown that  $P$  admits a basis (29) of quasi-compact open sets. Therefore, every  $U \in \mathcal{D}(P)$  is a finite union of sets (29).

Since  $P$  is extremally disconnected, the equality

$$(30) \quad \overline{U_1 \cap U_2} = \overline{U_1} \cap \overline{U_2}$$

holds for all  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{O}(P)$ . Therefore, the intersection of two sets (29) is again of the same form.

Finally, let  $C \subset P$  be closed and irreducible. Then  $p(C)$  is closed and irreducible. So there is a generic point  $y \in p(C)$ . Choose  $x \in C$  with  $p(x) = y$ . Then  $p(\overline{\{x\}})$  is closed and contains  $y$ . Thus  $p(\overline{\{x\}}) = p(C)$ . Since  $p|_C$  is injective by Proposition 10, we infer that  $\overline{\{x\}} = C$ . ■

For a topological space  $X$ , let  $Q(X)$  denote the space of quasicomponents (see [22, 46.Val]). An open basis for the topology of  $Q(X)$  is given by the sets  $U \subset Q(X)$  with  $c^{-1}(U)$  closed and open. Thus  $Q(X)$  is 0-dimensional, hence a Tikhonov space, and we have a continuous surjection

$$(31) \quad q: X \rightarrow Q(X)$$

with the quasicomponents of  $X$  as fibers. Our final theorem characterizes the essential cover of a schematic space in terms of the absolute.

THEOREM 5. *Let  $X$  be a schematic space with absolute  $p: P \rightarrow X$ . For each quasicomponent  $C$  of  $P$ , let  $e(C)$  denote the generic point of  $p(C)$ . Then  $e: Q(P) \rightarrow X$  is an essential cover of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the natural map  $q: P \rightarrow Q(P)$ , and the map  $s: Q(P) \rightarrow P$  which associates the generic point to each quasicomponent of  $P$ . Then

$e = ps$  and  $qs = 1$ . By Proposition 11, the image of  $s$  coincides with the subspace  $\mu P$  of maximal points. Proposition 6 and Theorem 1 imply that  $\mu P$  is a locally Stone space. By the definition of  $Q(P)$ , the map  $q$  preserves closed open sets. Since  $\mu P$  is 0-dimensional, it follows that  $q|_{\mu P}$  is a homeomorphism. Hence  $s$  is a continuous embedding. Furthermore,  $s$  is dense, and thus essentially dense. So the composition  $e = ps$  is essentially dense, and  $Q(P)$  is extremally disconnected. By Proposition 9,  $e$  is skeletal. Thus Theorem 4 implies that  $e$  factors through the essential cover of  $X$ . Hence  $e$  is an essential cover by Theorems 2 and 3. ■

REMARK. By Theorem 5, the essential cover  $\tilde{X}$  of a schematic space  $X$  can be regarded as the space of irreducible components of  $X$  in the sense of Definition 5. Since  $\mu X$  need not coincide with  $X_{\min}$ , this gives a general reason why embedded components of  $X$  are possible.

COROLLARY. *For an extremally disconnected schematic space  $X$ , the map (31) is a retraction.*

*Proof.* Since the identity  $1_X: X \rightarrow X$  is an absolute cover, the continuous map  $e$  of Theorem 5 satisfies  $qe = 1$ . ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Anderson and T. Feil, *Lattice-Ordered Groups*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1988.
- [2] S. J. Bernau, *Unique representation of Archimedean lattice groups and normal Archimedean lattice rings*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 15 (1965), 599–631.
- [3] A. Bigard, K. Keimel et S. Wolfenstein, *Groupes et anneaux réticulés*, Lecture Notes in Math. 608, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [4] A. Błaszczyk, *Extremally disconnected resolutions of  $T_0$ -spaces*, Colloq. Math. 32 (1974), 57–68.
- [5] — *On projectiveness in  $H$ -closed spaces*, ibid. 32 (1975), 185–192.
- [6] —, *On a factorization lemma and a construction of absolute without separation axioms*, in: General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, IV (Prague, 1976), Part B, Soc. Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicists, Prague, 1977, 45–50.
- [7] N. Bourbaki, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [8] —, *Commutative Algebra*, Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [9] D. A. Chambless, *Representation of the projectable and strongly projectable hull of a lattice-ordered group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1972), 346–350.
- [10] R. Cignoli, D. Gluschankof and F. Lucas, *Prime spectra of lattice-ordered abelian groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 136 (1999), 217–229.
- [11] P. Conrad, *The essential closure of an Archimedean lattice-ordered group*, Duke Math. J. 38 (1971), 151–160.
- [12] —, *The hulls of representable  $l$ -groups and  $f$ -rings*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 16 (1973), 385–415.
- [13] M. R. Darnel, *Theory of Lattice-Ordered Groups*, Dekker, New York, 1995.

- [14] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1960.
- [15] A. M. W. Glass, *Partially Ordered Groups*, Ser. in Algebra 7, World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 1999.
- [16] A. M. Gleason, *Projective topological spaces*, Illinois J. Math. 2 (1958), 482–489.
- [17] M. Hochster, *Prime ideal structure in commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (1969), 43–60.
- [18] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1970.
- [19] K. Keimel, *Représentation d’anneaux réticulés dans des faisceaux*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 266 (1968), A124–A127.
- [20] —, *The representation of lattice-ordered groups and rings by sections in sheaves*, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 248, Springer, 1971, 1–98.
- [21] S. Koppelberg, *Handbook of Boolean Algebras*, Vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [22] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [23] V. I. Ponomarev and L. B. Shapiro, *Absolutes of topological spaces and their continuous maps*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 31 (1976), no. 5, 121–136 (in Russian); English transl.: Russian Math. Surveys 31 (1976), no. 5, 138–154.
- [24] W. Rump, *The absolute of a topological space and its application to abelian  $l$ -groups*, Appl. Categ. Struct., to appear.
- [25] L. B. Shapiro, *On absolutes of topological spaces and continuous mappings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 226 (1976), 523–526 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 17 (1976), 147–151.
- [26] M. H. Stone, *Topological representation of distributive lattices and Brouwerian logics*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys. 67 (1937), 1–25.
- [27] V. M. Ul’yanov, *Compactifications of countable character and absolutes*, Mat. Sb. 98 (1975), 223–254 (in Russian).

Institute for Algebra and Number Theory  
University of Stuttgart  
Pfaffenwaldring 57  
D-70550 Stuttgart, Germany  
E-mail: rump@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de

Department of Mathematics & LMIB  
Beihang University  
Beijing 100083, P.R. China  
E-mail: ycyang@buaa.edu.cn

*Received 19 June 2007;*  
*revised 14 March 2008*

(4937)