

## ON SPACES WITH THE IDEAL CONVERGENCE PROPERTY

BY

JAKUB JASINSKI (Scranton, PA) and IRENEUSZ RECLAW (Gdańsk)

**Abstract.** Let  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  be an ideal. We continue our investigation of the class of spaces with the  $I$ -ideal convergence property, denoted  $\mathcal{IC}(I)$ . We show that if  $I$  is an analytic, non-countably generated  $P$ -ideal then  $\mathcal{IC}(I) \subseteq s_0$ . If in addition  $I$  is non-pathological and not isomorphic to  $I_b$ , then  $\mathcal{IC}(I)$  spaces have measure zero. We also present a characterization of the  $\mathcal{IC}(I)$  spaces using clopen covers.

**1. Introduction.** Throughout this paper  $X$  is a separable metric space and  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is an ideal on  $\omega$  containing all finite subsets of  $\omega$ . The power set  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is considered to be a topological space with the product topology induced from  $2^\omega$  by identifying subsets of  $\omega$  with their characteristic functions. We assume that  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is a closed subset of the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Recall that an ideal  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is called a  $P$ -ideal if whenever  $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots \in I$  is a sequence of sets then there exists a set  $A_\infty \in I$  such that  $A_n \subseteq^* A_\infty$  for all  $n < \omega$ , i.e.,  $|A_n \setminus A_\infty| < \omega$ . We are especially interested in the analytic  $P$ -ideals  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  because of Solecki's theorem stating in particular that for any such ideal there exists a finite lower semicontinuous submeasure  $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $I = \text{Exh}(\varphi) := \{A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) = 0\}$  (see [6, Theorem 3.1]).

We say that a sequence of functions  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $I$ -convergent to a function  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , denoted  $I\text{-}\lim f_n = g$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every  $x \in X$  the set  $\{n \in \omega : |f_n(x) - g(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$ . In [3] the authors studied spaces  $X$  where  $I$ -convergence of sequences of continuous functions implies pointwise convergence of a subsequence indexed by elements of a set from the dual filter  $\mathcal{F}(I) := \{B \subseteq \omega : B^c \in I\}$ . More specifically, recall

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $I$  be an ideal on  $\omega$  and let  $X$  be a separable metric space. We say that  $X$  has the  $I$ -ideal convergence property if whenever  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a sequence of continuous functions  $I$ -convergent to the zero function then there exists a set  $M = \{m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{m_i}(x) = 0$ . The class of all spaces with the  $I$ -ideal

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54C30, 03E35; Secondary 26A15, 40A30.

*Key words and phrases*:  $P$ -ideals, ideal convergence, open cover.

convergence property is denoted by  $\mathcal{IC}(I)$  and the set of all subspaces of  $X$  with the  $I$ -ideal convergence property is denoted by  $\mathcal{IC}_X(I)$ .

In [3] we said that an ideal  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is *generated by a set*  $C \subseteq \omega$  if  $I = I_C := \{A \subseteq \omega : A \subseteq^* C\}$ . It is easy to show (see part  $\Leftarrow$  of the proof of Proposition 2 of [3]) that for any space  $X$ , if  $I$  is generated by a single set then  $\mathcal{IC}_X(I) = \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Clearly, if  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal which is *countably generated*, i.e., there exists a sequence  $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots \subseteq \omega$  such that  $I = \{A \subseteq \omega : A \subseteq^* \bigcup_{n < \omega} A_n\}$ , then  $I$  is also generated by a single set. For a characterization of countably generated ideals see Proposition 1.2.8 of [2].

In this note we show that it is consistent that for all analytic, non-countably generated, non-pathological  $P$ -ideals  $I$  the class  $\mathcal{IC}(I)$  contains countable spaces only. This result should be viewed in the context of Corollary 5 of [3] stating that under CH, for any analytic  $P$ -ideal  $I$  there exists an uncountable space  $X$  in  $\mathcal{IC}(I)$ . Note that the class of analytic, non-countably generated, non-pathological  $P$ -ideals is very broad and includes most ideals discussed in the literature including *summable* and *Erdős-Ulam ideals* (see comments following Corollary 1.9.4, p. 31 of [2]). In Theorem 3 we give a characterization of spaces with  $I$ -ideal convergence property using clopen covers.

**2. Main results.** For the readers' convenience we recall a few more definitions and simple facts. A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -set (or  $X \in \sigma$ ) if every relative  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$  is also a relative  $F_\sigma$  set in  $X$  (see [5, p. 210]). A mapping  $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a *submeasure* if  $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(A \cup B) \leq \varphi(A) + \varphi(B)$  (see [2, p. 20]). A submeasure  $\varphi$  is called *lower semicontinuous* if for all  $A \subseteq \omega$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \cap n) = \varphi(A)$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  contains a closed subset homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ , to simplify the notation we assume that  $\mathcal{P}(\omega) \subseteq [0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . For any two integers  $n_1 \leq n_2 < \omega$ ,  $\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle := \{k < \omega : n_1 \leq k \leq n_2\} = [n_1, n_2] \cap \omega$ , and for  $m < \omega$  we identify  $m$  with  $\langle 0, m - 1 \rangle$ . Following the idea in the proof of Proposition 2 of [3] we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  be an ideal and let  $X \subseteq I$  be such that  $X \in \mathcal{IC}(I)$ . Then there exists a set  $C \in I$  such that  $X \subseteq I_C \subseteq I$ . In particular, if  $X = I$  then  $X = I_C$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as follows:

$$g_n(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin A. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that each  $g_n$  is continuous as both inverse images,  $g_n^{-1}[\{1\}]$  and  $g_n^{-1}[\{0\}]$ , are open in  $X$ . For  $A \in X$  we have  $\{n : g_n(A) > 0\} = \{n : g_n(A) = 1\} = \{n : n \in A\} = A \in I$ , so  $I\text{-}\lim g_n = 0$ . Since  $X \in \mathcal{IC}(I)$  there

exists a set  $M = \{m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_{m_i}(A) = 0$  for all  $A \in X$ . So for all  $A \in X$ ,  $g_{m_i}(A) = 0$  for sufficiently large  $i$ , hence for those  $i$ ,  $m_i \notin A$ . It follows that  $M \subseteq^* A^c$  and consequently  $A \subseteq^* M^c$ . Setting  $C = M^c$  we obtain  $X \subseteq I_C$ . ■

LEMMA 2. *If  $I$  is an analytic, non-countably generated  $P$ -ideal on  $\omega$  then  $\mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  does not contain intervals.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a finite lower semicontinuous submeasure on  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad I = \text{Exh}(\varphi) = \{A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) = 0\}$$

(see [6, Theorem 3.1]). Define a descending sequence of sets  $\{A_k : k < \omega\}$  as follows:  $A_0 = \omega$ ,  $A_k = \{n < \omega : \varphi(\{n\}) \leq 1/k\}$ . We shall consider the limit  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_k \setminus n)$ .

CASE 1. Assume

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_k \setminus n) = 0$$

but

$$(2.3) \quad \text{for every } k, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_k \setminus n) > 0.$$

For every  $k < \omega$  there exists a  $t > k$  such that  $|A_k \setminus A_t| = \omega$ . Otherwise there would be a  $k_0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_k \setminus n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_{k_0} \setminus n)$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ , contradicting (2.2). Define an increasing sequence  $k_l$ ,  $l < \omega$ , as follows:  $k_0 = 0$ ,  $k_{l+1} = \min\{k : |A_{k_l} \setminus A_k| = \omega\}$ . Set  $B_l = A_{k_l} \setminus A_{k_{l+1}}$ .

CLAIM.  $I = \{A : \forall l \ |B_l \cap A| < \omega\}$ .

If  $A \in I$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) = 0$  so  $|B_l \cap A| < \omega$  because otherwise we would have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) \geq 1/k_{l+1}$ . On the other hand, if all sets  $B_l \cap A$  are finite then for every  $k$  there exists an  $n$  such that  $A \setminus n \subseteq A_k$ . It follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_k \setminus n) = 0$ , which proves the Claim.

This shows that there exists a bijection  $\alpha : \omega \rightarrow \omega^2$  such that  $\{\alpha[A] : A \in I\} = I_b$  where

$$(2.4) \quad I_b := \{A \subseteq \omega^2 : \forall n < \omega \ \exists m < \omega \ \forall k < \omega \ ((n, k) \in A \Rightarrow k \leq m)\}.$$

By Theorem 4 of [3] it follows that  $\mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I) = \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I_b) \subseteq \sigma$ . Intervals are not  $\sigma$ -sets [5], hence the assertion of Lemma 2 in Case 1 is proved.

CASE 2. Assume that

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_k \setminus n) = 0$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \text{there exists a } k_0 \text{ such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_{k_0} \setminus n) = 0.$$

Then  $A_{k_0} \in I$  and  $I$  is countably generated because  $I = \{A : A \subseteq^* A_{k_0}\}$ . The inclusion  $I \supseteq \{A : A \subseteq^* A_{k_0}\}$  is clear while if  $|A \setminus A_{k_0}| = \omega$  then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A \setminus n) \geq 1/k_0$  so  $A \notin I$ .

CASE 3. Finally assume that

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_k \setminus n) = \varepsilon > 0.$$

We recursively define a sequence  $\{n_k : k < \omega\} \subseteq \omega$  as follows. Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_0 \setminus n) \geq \varepsilon$  there exists an integer  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\varphi(A_0 \setminus n) > \varepsilon/2$ . Now suppose we have defined the terms  $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k$  such that for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , if  $n > n_j$  then  $\varphi(A_j \setminus \langle n_{j-1}, n \rangle) > \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_{k+1} \setminus n) \geq \varepsilon$  and  $\varphi(A_{k+1} \setminus n) \geq \varphi(A_{k+1} \setminus (n+1))$  for all  $n \in \omega$ , we have  $\varphi(A_{k+1} \setminus n_k) > \varepsilon/2$ . By the lower semicontinuity of  $\varphi$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi((A_{k+1} \setminus n_k) \cap n) = \varphi(A_{k+1} \setminus n_k)$  so there exists an integer  $n_{k+1} \geq k+1$  such that for all  $n \geq n_{k+1}$ ,  $\varphi(A_{k+1} \cap \langle n_k, n \rangle) > \varepsilon/2$ . Also by lower semicontinuity the set  $Z = \{A : \forall k > 0 (\varphi(A \setminus n_k) \leq 2/k)\}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  and by (2.1),  $Z \subseteq I$ .

Now towards a contradiction, based on Proposition 3 of [3], without loss of generality we may assume that  $[0, 1] \in \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$ . By the same Proposition 3(2),  $\mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  also contains  $Z$ . By Lemma 1 there exists a set  $C \in I$  such that  $Z \subseteq \{A : A \subseteq^* C\}$ . As  $C \in I$ , by (2.1) let  $l_0 \in \omega$  be such that  $\varphi(C \setminus m) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $m \geq 2^{l_0}$ . Since for  $l < \omega$ ,  $\varphi(A_{2^l+1} \cap \langle n_{2^l}, n_{2^l+1} \rangle) > \varepsilon/2$ , we may select numbers  $d_l \in (A_{2^l+1} \cap \langle n_{2^l}, n_{2^l+1} \rangle) \setminus C$  and define the set  $D = \{d_l : l \geq l_0\}$ . As  $D$  is infinite and disjoint from  $C$ , clearly  $D \not\subseteq^* C$ . In particular

$$(2.8) \quad D \notin Z.$$

Now for  $k > 0$  let  $l_k = \max\{l_0, \lceil \log_2 n_k \rceil\}$ . We have

$$\varphi(D \setminus n_k) \leq \sum_{l \geq l_k} \varphi(\{d_l\}) \leq \sum_{l \geq l_k} \frac{1}{2^l + 1} \leq \frac{2}{2^{\lceil \log_2 n_k \rceil}} \leq \frac{2}{n_k} \leq \frac{2}{k}.$$

It follows that  $D \in Z$ , contradicting (2.8). ■

The family  $\mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  is closed under continuous images (Proposition 3(1) of [3]). Propositions 2.6.1 and 2.6.13 of [7] imply the following:

REMARK 1. If  $I$  is as in Lemma 2 and  $X \in \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  then  $X$  is totally imperfect (i.e., does not contain any perfect sets).

A subset  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is called an  $s_0$ -set (or  $X \in s_0$ ) if for every perfect subset  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  there exists another perfect subset  $Q \subseteq P \setminus X$  (see [5, p. 217]).

THEOREM 1. If  $I$  is an analytic, non-countably generated  $P$ -ideal on  $\omega$  then  $\mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I) \subseteq s_0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $X \in \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  and let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a perfect set. Let  $P_1 \subseteq P$  be a perfect set homeomorphic to the Cantor set (see [7, Theorem 2.6.3]).

Let  $h : P_1 \rightarrow P_1 \times P_1$  be a homeomorphism and let  $p : P_1 \times P_1 \rightarrow P_1$  be the projection,  $p(x, y) = x$ . It is well known that there is a continuous surjection  $g : P_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  (see [7, Theorem 2.6.13]). The composition  $q = g \circ p \circ h : P_1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous surjection so  $q[P_1 \cap X] \in \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  by Proposition 3 of [3]. By Lemma 2 there exists  $y \in [0, 1] \setminus q[P_1 \cap X]$ . It is easy to see that  $Q = q^{-1}[\{y\}]$  is a perfect subset of  $P$  disjoint from  $X$ . ■

Under the Continuum Hypothesis we have an example of a non-countably generated, non-analytic  $P$ -ideal  $J$  such that  $\mathcal{IC}(J)$  contains large spaces.

EXAMPLE 1. (CH) *There exists a maximal  $P$ -ideal  $J$  such that  $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{IC}(J)$ .*

*Proof.* We will construct a sequence of subsets  $X_\alpha \in [\omega]^\omega$ ,  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ , such that  $X_\alpha \subseteq^* X_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha < \beta < \mathfrak{c}$ . The sequence  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  will be such that the ideal  $J$  dual to the filter  $\mathcal{F} = \{B \subseteq \omega : \exists \alpha < \mathfrak{c} (X_\alpha \subseteq^* B)\}$  will have the desired properties.

Let  $\{A_{\alpha+1} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  be a sequence of all subsets of  $\omega$  and  $\{\langle f_n^{\alpha+1} : n < \omega \rangle : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  be an indexed family of all sequences of continuous functions  $f_n^{\alpha+1} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $X_0 = \omega$ . Suppose that for some  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  the sets  $X_\beta$ ,  $\beta \leq \alpha$ , are defined. Define  $X'_{\alpha+1}$  as follows. If the sequence  $\langle f_n^\alpha : n \in X_\alpha \rangle$  is pointwise convergent to the zero function then we set  $X'_{\alpha+1} = X_\alpha$ . Otherwise there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $E = \{n : |f_n^\alpha(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$  is an infinite subset of  $X_\alpha$ . In that case we set  $X'_{\alpha+1} = E$ . Now to obtain  $X_{\alpha+1}$  we consider the intersection  $X'_{\alpha+1} \cap A_\alpha$ . If it is infinite then let  $X_{\alpha+1} = X'_{\alpha+1} \cap A_\alpha$ . Otherwise set  $X_{\alpha+1} = X'_{\alpha+1} \cap (\omega \setminus A_\alpha)$ .

To finish the proof we need to define the  $X_\lambda$  for limit ordinals  $0 < \lambda < \mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $\{\gamma_n : n < \omega\} \subseteq \lambda$  be a sequence of ordinals cofinal in  $\lambda$ . For each  $n < \omega$  let  $Y_n = \bigcap_{k \leq n} X_{\gamma_k}$ . We have  $Y_0 \supseteq Y_1 \supseteq Y_2 \supseteq \dots$  and each  $Y_n$  is infinite. Recursively pick points  $x_n \in Y_n \setminus \{x_m : m < n\}$  and let  $X_\lambda = \{x_n : n < \omega\}$ . It is easy to verify that  $X_\lambda \subseteq^* X_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ .

Now having defined the sequence  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ , it is easy to show that the ideal  $J$  dual to the filter  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(J) = \{B \subseteq \omega : \exists \alpha < \mathfrak{c} (X_\alpha \subseteq^* B)\}$  is a maximal  $P$ -ideal such that  $\mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{IC}(J)$ . ■

Recall that a measure on  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is a submeasure which is additive, i.e.,  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a *measure* if  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(A \cup B)$ , and  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$  for any two disjoint sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ . Before stating our next theorem we recall a definition from [2, p. 21]. We say that a submeasure  $\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is *non-pathological* if

$$(2.9) \quad \varphi(A) = \sup\{\mu(A) : \mu \text{ is a measure on } \mathcal{P}(\omega) \text{ and } \forall B \subseteq \omega (\mu(B) \leq \varphi(B))\}.$$

An ideal  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  is *non-pathological* if  $I = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$  for some non-patho-

logical submeasure  $\varphi$ . Let  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^*$  be the Lebesgue measure and the outer Lebesgue measure respectively. Set  $\mathcal{N} = \{Y \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \lambda(Y) = 0\}$  and recall  $I_b$  is as in 2.4.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $I$  is an analytic, non-pathological, non-countably generated  $P$ -ideal not isomorphic to  $I_b$ , then  $\mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I) \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $I = \text{Exh}(\varphi)$  for some non-pathological submeasure  $\varphi$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$ . By Lemma 2,  $X$  is zero-dimensional and we may assume that  $X \subseteq [0, 1]$ . Similarly to (but not exactly as in) the proof of Lemma 2 we define a sequence of sets  $A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots$  as  $A_k = \{n < \omega : \varphi(\{n\}) \leq 1/2^k\}$  and again consider the limit (2.2). By the proof of Lemma 2 our assumptions on  $I$  imply that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(A_k \setminus n) = \varepsilon \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Let  $\{n_k : k < \omega\}$  be defined exactly as in Case 3 of Lemma 2. Define  $I_k = A_k \cap [n_k, n_{k+1} - 1]$  and let  $\mu_k$  be a measure on  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  such that  $\mu_k \leq \varphi$  and  $\mu_k(I_k) > \frac{1}{2}\varphi(I_k)$  (see (2.9)). For each  $k$  we form a partition of  $X$  into open (in  $X$ ) sets  $\{U_{ki} : i \in I_k\}$  such that  $\lambda^*(U_{ki}) < 2\mu_k(\{i\})/\mu_k(I_k)$ . We define  $F : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  by  $F(x) = \{i : \exists k (x \in U_{ki})\}$ . Since the sets  $I_k$  are finite and pairwise disjoint the function  $F$  is continuous and we have  $F[X] \in \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  by Proposition 3(1) of [3]. Also for each  $x \in X$ ,  $F(x) \in I$  because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(F(x) \setminus n) = 0$ . This is due to the fact that we are using  $1/2^k$  in the definition of  $A_k$  and  $|F(x) \cap (A_k \setminus A_{k+1})| \leq 1$ . So with  $F[X] \subseteq I$  and  $F[X] \in \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  by Lemma 1 there exists a set  $C \in I$  such that  $F(x) \subseteq^* C$  for all  $x \in X$ . It follows that

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{n < \omega} \bigcap_{k > n} \bigcup_{i \in C \cap I_k} U_{ki}.$$

Notice that for any  $k < \omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^*\left(\bigcup_{i \in C \cap I_k} U_{ki}\right) &\leq \sum_{i \in C \cap I_k} \lambda^*_{i \in C \cap I_k}(U_{ki}) \leq \sum_{i \in C \cap I_k} 2\mu_k(\{i\})/\mu_k(I_k) \\ &= 2\mu_k(C \cap I_k)/\mu_k(I_k) \leq 2\mu_k(C \cap I_k)/(\varepsilon/2) \\ &\leq 4\varphi(C \setminus n_k)/\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $C \in I$  the last quantity converges to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that

$$\lambda^*\left(\bigcap_{k > n} \bigcup_{i \in C \cap I_k} U_{ki}\right) = 0$$

and  $\lambda^*(X) = 0$  as well. ■

**COROLLARY 1.** *It is consistent with ZFC that if  $I$  is an analytic, non-pathological, non-countably generated  $P$ -ideal, then  $\mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  contains countable sets only.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X \in \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$ . If  $I$  is not isomorphic to  $I_b$  then by Theorem 2 and Proposition 3(1) of [3] all continuous images of  $X$  are also in  $\mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$  and they have Lebesgue measure zero. Bartoszyński and Shelah [1] showed that consistently such spaces may be countable only. If  $I$  is isomorphic to  $I_b$  then see Corollary 7 of [3]. ■

Recall  $\text{add}^*(I) := \min\{|A| : A \subseteq I \text{ and } \nexists B \in I \forall A \in \mathcal{A} (A \subseteq^* B)\}$  and  $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) := \min\{|X| : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \lambda^*(X) > 0\}$ . By Proposition 4 of [3] for  $P$ -ideals  $I$  if  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $|X| < \text{add}^*(I)$  then  $X \in \mathcal{IC}_{\mathbb{R}}(I)$ . By Theorem 2 above we obtain

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $I$  is an analytic, non-pathological, non-countably generated  $P$ -ideal non-isomorphic to  $I_b$ , then  $\text{add}^*(I) \leq \text{non}(\mathcal{N})$ .*

Our last theorem gives a characterization of spaces with the  $I$ -ideal convergence property using clopen covers.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal on  $\omega$  and let  $X$  be a separable, zero-dimensional metric space. Then  $X \in \mathcal{IC}(I)$  if and only if for every sequence of clopen sets  $U_0, U_1, U_2, \dots \subseteq X$  with  $\{n : x \notin U_n\} \in I$  for any  $x \in X$ , there exists a set  $A \in \mathcal{F}(I)$  such that*

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{m < \omega} \bigcap_{a \in A \setminus m} U_a.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $X \in \mathcal{IC}(I)$ . Let  $U_0, U_1, U_2, \dots \subseteq X$  be a sequence of clopen sets such that for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$(2.10) \quad \{n : x \notin U_n\} \in I.$$

Define a sequence of functions  $h_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in U_n, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \notin U_n. \end{cases}$$

Since  $U_n$  is clopen,  $h_n$  is continuous. By (2.10),  $I\text{-}\lim h_n(x) = 0$ . As  $X \in \mathcal{IC}(I)$ , there exists a set  $A = \{a_0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{a_n}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . It follows that for every  $x \in X$  there exists  $m < \omega$  such that for any  $a_n > m$  we have  $h_{a_n}(x) = 0$ , so  $x \in U_{a_n}$ . Hence

$$X \subseteq \bigcup_{m < \omega} \bigcap_{a \in A \setminus m} U_a.$$

To prove the other implication suppose that  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a sequence of continuous functions with  $I\text{-}\lim f_n = 0$ . Since  $X$  is a separable, zero-dimensional metric space, any two disjoint closed subsets of  $X$  may be separated by a clopen set (see [4, pp. 35 and 357]). It follows that there exist clopen sets  $U_n^k \subseteq X$  such  $\{x : |f_n(x)| \leq 1/k\} \subseteq U_n^k \subseteq \{x : |f_n(x)| < 2/k\}$ . Since  $I\text{-}\lim f_n(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in X$ , we have  $\{n : x \notin U_n^k\} \in I$  for every

$k < \omega$ . By our assumption for each  $k < \omega$  there exists a set  $A^k \in \mathcal{F}(I)$  such that  $X \subseteq \bigcup_{m < \omega} \bigcap_{n \in A^k \setminus m} U_n^k$ . Since  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal there exists a set  $M = \{m_0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}(I)$  with  $M \subseteq^* A^k$  for all  $k < \omega$ . It follows that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{m_i}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence  $X \in \mathcal{IC}(I)$ . ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bartoszyński and S. Shelah, *Continuous images of sets of reals*, Topology Appl. 116 (2001), 243–253.
- [2] I. Farah, *Analytic quotients, theory of liftings for quotients over analytic ideals on the integers*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (2000), no. 702.
- [3] J. Jasinski and I. Reclaw, *Ideal convergence of continuous functions*, Topology Appl. 153 (2006), 3511–3518.
- [4] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [5] A. W. Miller, *Special subsets of the real line*, in: Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan (eds.), North-Holland, 1984, 201–233.
- [6] S. Solecki, *Analytic  $P$ -ideals and their applications*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 99 (1999), 51–72.
- [7] S. M. Srivastava, *A Course on Borel Sets*, Springer, New York, 1998.

Mathematics Department  
 University of Scranton  
 Scranton, PA 18510-4666, U.S.A.  
 E-mail: jasinski@scranton.edu

Institute of Mathematics  
 University of Gdańsk  
 Wita Stwosza 57  
 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland  
 E-mail: reclaw@math.univ.gda.pl

Received 17 November 2006

(4821)