

## COARSE STRUCTURES AND GROUP ACTIONS

BY

N. BRODSKIY, J. DYDAK and A. MITRA (Knoxville, TN)

**Abstract.** The main results of the paper are:

PROPOSITION 0.1. *A group  $G$  acting coarsely on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  induces a coarse equivalence  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  from  $G$  to  $X$  for any  $x_0 \in X$ .*

THEOREM 0.2. *Two coarse structures  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  on the same set  $X$  are equivalent if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) *Bounded sets in  $\mathcal{C}_1$  are identical with bounded sets in  $\mathcal{C}_2$ .*
- (2) *There is a coarse action  $\phi_1$  of a group  $G_1$  on  $(X, \mathcal{C}_1)$  and a coarse action  $\phi_2$  of a group  $G_2$  on  $(X, \mathcal{C}_2)$  such that  $\phi_1$  commutes with  $\phi_2$ .*

They generalize the following two basic results of coarse geometry:

PROPOSITION 0.3 (Shvarts–Milnor lemma [5, Theorem 1.18]). *A group  $G$  acting properly and cocompactly via isometries on a length space  $X$  is finitely generated and induces a quasi-isometry equivalence  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  from  $G$  to  $X$  for any  $x_0 \in X$ .*

THEOREM 0.4 (Gromov [4, p. 6]). *Two finitely generated groups  $G$  and  $H$  are quasi-isometric if and only if there is a locally compact space  $X$  admitting proper and cocompact actions of both  $G$  and  $H$  that commute.*

**1. Introduction.** The proof in [2] of the Shvarts–Milnor lemma was based on the idea that isometric actions of groups ought to induce a coarse structure on the group under reasonable conditions. Since left coarse structures on countable groups are unique (in the sense of independence from the left-invariant proper metric), the Shvarts–Milnor lemma follows.

In this paper we investigate cases where group actions on sets induce a natural coarse structure on the set. As usual, the uniqueness of the coarse structure is of interest.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54F45, 54C55; Secondary 54E35, 18B30, 54D35, 54D40, 20H15.

*Key words and phrases*: coarse structures, cocompact group actions, Shvarts–Milnor lemma.

The second-named author was partially supported by grant no. 2004047 from the United States–Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF), Jerusalem, Israel.

We will use two approaches to coarse structures on a set  $X$ :

- (1) The original one of Roe [5], based on controlled subsets of  $X \times X$ .
- (2) The one from [3], based on uniformly bounded families in  $X$ .

The reason is that certain concepts and results have a more natural meaning in a particular approach to coarse structures. Recall that one can switch from one approach to another using the following basic facts (see [3]):

- (a) If  $\{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  is uniformly bounded, then  $\bigcup_{s \in S} B_s \times B_s$  is controlled.
- (b) If  $E$  is controlled, then there is a uniformly bounded family  $\{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  such that  $E \subset \bigcup_{s \in S} B_s \times B_s$ .

To define a coarse structure using uniformly bounded families one needs to verify the following conditions:

- (1)  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is uniformly bounded implies  $\mathcal{B}_2$  is uniformly bounded if each element of  $\mathcal{B}_2$  consisting of more than one point is contained in some element of  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$  uniformly bounded implies  $\text{St}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$  is uniformly bounded.

DEFINITION 1.1. A function  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  of coarse spaces is:

- *large scale uniform* (or *bornologous*) if  $f(\mathcal{B}) \in \mathcal{C}_Y$  for every  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}_X$ ,
- *coarsely proper* if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is bounded for every bounded subset  $U$  of  $Y$ ,
- *coarse* if it is large scale uniform and coarsely proper.

Recall that two functions  $f, g: S \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  from a set  $S$  to a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  are *close* if the family  $\{\{f(s), g(s)\}\}_{s \in S}$  is bounded.

DEFINITION 1.2. A coarse function  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  of coarse spaces is a *coarse equivalence* if there is a coarse function  $g: (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  such that  $f \circ g$  is close to  $\text{id}_Y$  and  $g \circ f$  is close to  $\text{id}_X$ .

Here is a simple criterion for being a coarse equivalence using the approach of [3]:

LEMMA 1.3. *A surjective coarse function  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y)$  of coarse spaces is a coarse equivalence if and only if  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  is a uniformly bounded family in  $X$  for each uniformly bounded family  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  be a selection for  $y \mapsto f^{-1}(y)$ , and define  $\mathcal{B}' = \{f^{-1}(y)\}_{y \in Y} \in \mathcal{C}_X$ .

If  $g: (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is bornologous, then  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  refines  $\text{St}(g(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{B}')$ , resulting in  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  being uniformly bounded.

Let us show that  $g$  is bornologous if  $f$  is a coarse equivalence. Choose  $h: (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  that is bornologous and  $h \circ f$  is  $\mathcal{B}_1$ -close to  $\text{id}_X$  for some  $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \mathcal{C}_X$ . Therefore  $h = h \circ f \circ g$  is  $\mathcal{B}_1$ -close to  $g$  and  $g$  is bornologous.

Assume  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  is a uniformly bounded family in  $X$  for each uniformly bounded family  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $Y$ . If  $g$  is bornologous, then  $f$  is a coarse equivalence as

$f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$  and  $g \circ f$  is  $\mathcal{B}'$ -close to  $\text{id}_X$ . If  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ , then  $g(\mathcal{B})$  refines  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ , so it is uniformly bounded and  $g$  is bornologous. ■

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective function and  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$  are two coarse structures on  $Y$ . If  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is a coarse structure on  $X$  such that both  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are coarse equivalences, then  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}_1$  is uniformly bounded. Since  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \in \mathcal{C}_X$  by 1.3 and  $f: (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_2)$  is bornologous,  $\mathcal{B} = f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})) \in \mathcal{C}_2$ . Similarly,  $\mathcal{C}_2 \subset \mathcal{C}_1$ . ■

**REMARK 1.5.** We will see in 2.2 that  $f$  being surjective is necessary.

**2. Coarse structures on groups.** Given a group  $G$  one can equip it with either the left coarse structure  $\mathcal{C}_l(G)$  or right coarse structure  $\mathcal{C}_r(G)$ . For countable groups  $G$  those structures are metrizable by proper left-invariant (proper right-invariant) metrics on  $G$ .

In terms of controlled sets,  $E \in \mathcal{C}_l(G)$  if and only if there is a finite subset  $F$  of  $G$  such that  $x^{-1} \cdot y \in F$  for all  $(x, y) \in E$ . Similarly,  $E \in \mathcal{C}_r(G)$  if and only if there is a finite subset  $F$  of  $G$  such that  $x \cdot y^{-1} \in F$  for all  $(x, y) \in E$ . Notice all functions  $x \mapsto g \cdot x$  ( $g \in G$  being fixed) are coarse self-equivalences of  $(G, \mathcal{C}_l(G))$  and all functions  $x \mapsto x \cdot g$  are coarse self-equivalences of  $(G, \mathcal{C}_r(G))$ . We will primarily deal with the structure  $\mathcal{C}_l(G)$  (notice  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  induces isomorphism of structures  $\mathcal{C}_l(G)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_r(G)$ ) but first we will characterize cases where the two structures are identical.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *The following are equivalent for any group  $G$ :*

- (1)  $\mathcal{C}_l(G) = \mathcal{C}_r(G)$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{C}_l(G) \subset \mathcal{C}_r(G)$ ,
- (3)  $\mathcal{C}_r(G) \subset \mathcal{C}_l(G)$ ,
- (4)  $G$  is an FC-group (conjugacy classes of all elements are finite).

*Proof.* (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Fix  $a \in G$  and consider the family  $\{\{x, a \cdot x\}\}_{x \in G}$ . It is uniformly bounded in  $\mathcal{C}_r(G)$ , so it must be uniformly bounded in  $\mathcal{C}_l(G)$ , but that means the set  $\{x^{-1} \cdot a \cdot x\}_{x \in G}$  is finite, i.e. the set of conjugacy classes of  $a$  is finite. The same proof shows (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4).

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Given a uniformly bounded family  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_l(G)$  there is a finite subset  $F$  of  $G$  such that  $u^{-1} \cdot v \in F$  for all  $u, v$  belonging to the same element of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $E$  be the set of conjugacy classes of all elements of  $F$ . If  $u, v$  belong to the same element of  $\mathcal{B}$ , then there is  $f \in F$  so that  $u^{-1} \cdot v = f$ . Thus  $v = u \cdot f$  and  $v \cdot u^{-1} = u \cdot f \cdot u^{-1} \in E$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathcal{C}_r(G)$ . The same argument shows  $\mathcal{C}_r(G) \subset \mathcal{C}_l(G)$ . ■

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *There is a monomorphism  $i: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Dih}_\infty$  from the integers to the infinite dihedral group  $\text{Dih}_\infty$  that induces coarse equivalences*

for both left coarse structures and right coarse structures but  $\mathcal{C}_l(\text{Dih}_\infty) \neq \mathcal{C}_r(\text{Dih}_\infty)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the presentation  $\{x, t \mid t^{-1}xt = x^{-1} \text{ and } t^2 = 1\}$  of  $\text{Dih}_\infty$ . Identify  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the subgroup of  $\text{Dih}_\infty$  generated by  $x$ . Notice  $\mathbb{Z}$  is of index 2 in  $\text{Dih}_\infty$ , so  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Dih}_\infty$  is a coarse equivalence for both left and right coarse structures. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is Abelian, those coincide on that group but  $\mathcal{C}_l(\text{Dih}_\infty) \neq \mathcal{C}_r(\text{Dih}_\infty)$  as the conjugacy class of  $x$  equals  $\mathbb{Z}$ . ■

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *The multiplication  $m: (G \times G, \mathcal{C}_l(G) \times \mathcal{C}_l(G)) \rightarrow (G, \mathcal{C}_l(G))$  is large scale uniform if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_l(G) = \mathcal{C}_r(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $F$  is a finite subset of  $G$ . Consider the uniformly bounded family  $\{F \times \{x\}\}_{x \in G}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_l(G) \times \mathcal{C}_l(G)$ . Since  $m(F \times \{x\}) = F \cdot x$ , the family  $\{F \cdot x\}_{x \in G} \in \mathcal{C}(G)_l$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}_r(G) \subset \mathcal{C}_l(G)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_l(G) = \mathcal{C}_r(G)$  by 2.1.

Suppose  $\mathcal{C}_l(G) = \mathcal{C}_r(G)$ . It suffices to show that  $\{m(x \cdot F \times y \cdot E)\}_{(x,y) \in G \times G}$  is uniformly bounded for any finite subsets  $F$  and  $E$  of  $G$ . Choose a finite subset  $E'$  and a function  $f: G \rightarrow G$  such that  $x \cdot E \subset E' \cdot f(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . Pick a finite subset  $F'$  of  $G$  and a function  $g: G \rightarrow G$  such that  $F \cdot E' \cdot y \subset g(y) \cdot F'$  for all  $y \in G$ . Now  $m(x \cdot F \times y \cdot E) \subset x \cdot F \cdot E' \cdot f(y) \subset x \cdot g(f(y)) \cdot F'$  and the proof is complete. ■

**3. Inducing coarse structures by group actions.** Our first task is to discuss cases of group actions of a group  $G$  on a set  $X$  inducing a coarse structure  $\mathcal{C}_G$  on  $X$  such that  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is a coarse equivalence from  $(G, \mathcal{C}_l(G))$  to  $(X, \mathcal{C}_G)$  for all  $x_0 \in X$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Suppose a group  $G$  acts transitively on a set  $X$ .*

- (1) *If there is a coarse structure  $\mathcal{C}_G$  on  $X$  so that  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is a coarse equivalence from  $(G, \mathcal{C}_l(G))$  to  $(X, \mathcal{C}_G)$ , then the stabilizer of  $x_0$  is finite.*
- (2) *If the stabilizer of  $x_0$  is finite, then there is a unique coarse structure  $\mathcal{C}_G$  on  $X$  so that  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is large scale uniform. In that case  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is a coarse equivalence from  $(G, \mathcal{C}_l(G))$  to  $(X, \mathcal{C}_G)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $\gamma: g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is a coarse equivalence, then  $\gamma^{-1}(x_0)$  must be bounded in  $G$ , i.e. finite. Notice that  $\gamma^{-1}(x_0)$  is precisely the stabilizer of  $x_0$ .

(2) Assume the stabilizer  $S$  of  $x_0$  is finite. Define  $\mathcal{C}_G$  as follows:  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}_G$  if  $\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  is uniformly bounded in  $\mathcal{C}_l(G)$ . If  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is a coarse structure and  $\gamma: (G, \mathcal{C}_l(G)) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}_G)$  is bornologous, then 1.3 says  $\gamma$  is a coarse equivalence, and the uniqueness of  $\mathcal{C}_G$  follows from 1.4.

Since  $\gamma^{-1}(\text{St}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)) = \text{St}(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_1), \gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_2))$ , we see that  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \in \mathcal{C}_G$  implies  $\text{St}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \in \mathcal{C}_G$ . Given  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}_G$  we need to check that any family  $\mathcal{B}'$ , whose elements containing more than one point refine  $\mathcal{B}$ , also belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_G$ . There is a finite subset  $F$  of  $G$  such that  $\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  refines the family  $\{g \cdot F\}_{g \in G}$ .

Put  $E = F \cup S$ . If  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{B}'$ , then  $\gamma^{-1}(x) = h \cdot S$ , where  $h \in G$  satisfies  $x = h \cdot x_0$ . Thus  $\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{B}')$  refines  $\{g \cdot E\}_{g \in G}$ , so  $\mathcal{B}' \in \mathcal{C}_G$ . ■

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Suppose a group  $G$  acts on a set  $X$ . If there is a subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $X = G \cdot U$  and the stabilizer*

$$S_U = \{g \in G \mid U \cap (g \cdot U) \neq \emptyset\}$$

*of  $U$  is finite, then there is a coarse structure  $\mathcal{C}_G$  on  $X$  so that  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is a coarse equivalence from  $(G, \mathcal{C}_l(G))$  to  $(X, \mathcal{C}_G)$  for all  $x_0 \in X$ .*

*Proof.* First define the bounded sets of  $\mathcal{C}_G$ . Those are subsets of sets of the form  $F \cdot U$ , where  $F$  is any finite subset of  $G$ . Second, define  $\mathcal{C}_G$  as families  $\mathcal{B}$  such that there is a bounded set  $V$  so that  $\mathcal{B}$  refines  $\{g \cdot V\}_{g \in G}$ . Notice that, if  $\mathcal{B}'$  is a family whose elements containing more than one point refine  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mathcal{B}'$  refines  $\{g \cdot (V \cup U)\}_{g \in G}$  and  $V \cup U$  is bounded. Thus  $\mathcal{B}' \in \mathcal{C}_G$ .

The important property of bounded sets  $V$  is that their stabilizers  $S_V = \{g \in G \mid V \cap (g \cdot V) \neq \emptyset\}$  are finite. It suffices to prove that for  $V = F \cdot U$ ,  $F \subset G$  being finite. If  $V \cap (g \cdot V) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exist elements  $f_i \in F$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $(f_1 \cdot U) \cap (g \cdot f_2 \cdot U) \neq \emptyset$ , which implies  $U \cap (f_1^{-1} g f_2 \cdot U) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $f_1^{-1} g f_2 \in S_U$  and  $g \in F \cdot S_U \cdot F^{-1}$ , which proves  $S_V$  is finite.

The second useful observation is that  $\text{St}(V, \mathcal{B})$  is bounded for any bounded set  $V$  and any  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}_G$ . Indeed, if  $\mathcal{B}$  refines  $\{g \cdot W\}_{g \in G}$  for some bounded  $W$ , we may assume  $V \subset W$ , in which case  $V$  intersects only finitely many elements of  $\{g \cdot W\}_{g \in G}$ . Since those are all bounded and a finite union of bounded sets is bounded, we are done.

Suppose  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \in \mathcal{C}_G$  and choose bounded sets  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $\mathcal{B}_i$  refines  $\{g \cdot V_i\}_{g \in G}$ . Put  $V = \text{St}(V_1, \{g \cdot V_2\}_{g \in G})$  and notice  $V$  is bounded. Our aim is to show  $\text{St}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$  refines  $\{g \cdot V\}_{g \in G}$ . If  $(h \cdot V_1) \cap (g \cdot V_2) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $V_1 \cap (h^{-1} \cdot g \cdot V_2) \neq \emptyset$ , so  $V_1 \cup (h^{-1} \cdot g \cdot V_2) \subset V$ , hence  $\text{St}(h \cdot V_1, \mathcal{B}_2) \subset h \cdot V$ . ■

Let us point out that, surprisingly, the structure  $\mathcal{C}_G$  in 3.2 does not have to be unique, contrary to typical categorical intuition.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *There is an action of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  on the infinite dihedral group  $\text{Dih}_\infty$  such that  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  are coarse equivalences for both left and right coarse structures but  $\mathcal{C}_l(\text{Dih}_\infty) \neq \mathcal{C}_r(\text{Dih}_\infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the presentation  $\{x, t \mid t^{-1}xt = x^{-1} \text{ and } t^2 = 1\}$  of  $\text{Dih}_\infty$ . Identify  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the subgroup of  $\text{Dih}_\infty$  generated by  $x$ . Notice  $\mathbb{Z}$  is of index 2 in  $\text{Dih}_\infty$ , so  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Dih}_\infty$  is a coarse equivalence for both left and right coarse structures. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is Abelian, those coincide on that group but 2.2 says that  $\mathcal{C}_l(\text{Dih}_\infty) \neq \mathcal{C}_r(\text{Dih}_\infty)$ . ■

**4. Actions by uniformly bornologous functions.** We want to generalize isometric actions to the framework of coarse geometry. The appropriate

concept is not only to require that each function  $x \mapsto g \cdot x$  is bornologous but that those functions are uniformly bornologous.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** A group  $G$  acts on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  by *uniformly bornologous functions* if for any controlled set  $E$  there is a controlled set  $E'$  such that  $(g \cdot x, g \cdot y) \in E'$  for all  $(x, y) \in E$  and all  $g \in G$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** A group  $G$  acts on a coarse space  $X$  by *uniformly bornologous functions* if and only if for any uniformly bounded family  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  in  $X$  the family  $G \cdot \mathcal{B} = \{g \cdot B_s\}_{(g,s) \in G \times S}$  is uniformly bounded.

*Proof.* Suppose the action is by uniformly bornologous functions and  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  is a uniformly bounded family. Put  $E = \bigcup_{s \in S} B_s \times B_s$  and notice it is a controlled set. Pick a controlled set  $E'$  such that  $(g \cdot x, g \cdot y) \in E'$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $(x, y) \in E$ . Define  $\mathcal{B}'$  as the family of all  $B \subset X$  satisfying  $B \times B \subset E'$ . It is a uniformly bounded family containing  $G \cdot \mathcal{B}$ .

Suppose the family  $G \cdot \mathcal{B} = \{g \cdot B_s\}_{(g,s) \in G \times S}$  is uniformly bounded for any uniformly bounded family  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  in  $X$ . Assume  $E$  is a symmetric controlled set containing the diagonal. Consider the family  $\mathcal{B}$  of all sets  $B \subset X$  such that  $B \times B \subset E \circ E \circ E \circ E$  and let

$$E' = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}, g \in G} g \cdot B \times g \cdot B.$$

It is a controlled set and, if  $(x, y) \in E$ , then  $\{x, y\} \times \{x, y\} \subset E \circ E \circ E \circ E$  and  $(g \cdot x, g \cdot y) \in E'$ . ■

**COROLLARY 4.3.** Let  $G$  be a group and  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  be a coarse space. If  $\phi: (G \times X, \mathcal{C}_l(G) \times \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is bornologous, then the action of  $G$  on  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is by *uniformly bornologous functions*.

*Proof.* Given a uniformly bounded family  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_s\}_{s \in S}$  in  $X$ , the family  $\{\{g\} \times B_s\}_{(g,s) \in G \times S}$  is uniformly bounded in  $G \times X$ , so  $\{\phi(\{g\} \times B_s)\}_{(g,s) \in G \times S}$  is uniformly bounded, which means  $G \cdot \mathcal{B}$  is uniformly bounded. ■

**REMARK 4.4.** Notice that the infinite dihedral group  $\text{Dih}_\infty$  acts on itself by left multiplication so that the action is by uniformly bornologous functions but the multiplication is not bornologous (see 2.3 and 2.2).

## 5. Coarsely proper and cobounded actions

**DEFINITION 5.1.** An action  $\phi$  of a group  $G$  on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is *coarsely proper* if  $\phi_x: G \rightarrow G \cdot x$  is coarsely proper for all  $x \in X$ .

**LEMMA 5.2.** An action  $\phi$  of a group  $G$  on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is *coarsely proper* if and only if for every bounded subset  $U$  of  $X$  the family  $\{g \cdot U\}_{g \in G}$  is *point-finite*.

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $\phi_x^{-1}(U) = \{g \in G \mid x \in g^{-1} \cdot U\}$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $U \subset X$ . ■

**COROLLARY 5.3.** *If an action  $\phi$  of a group  $G$  on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is coarsely proper and by uniformly bornologous functions, then  $\phi_x: G \rightarrow G \cdot x$  is a coarse equivalence for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Notice the stabilizer of  $x_0$  is finite by 5.2 and use (2) of 3.1. ■

**LEMMA 5.4.** *Let  $\phi$  be an action of a group  $G$  on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  by uniformly bornologous functions. Then it is coarsely proper if and only if the stabilizer*

$$S_U = \{g \in G \mid U \cap (g \cdot U) \neq \emptyset\}$$

*of  $U$  is finite for every bounded subset  $U$  of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* One direction is obvious in view of 5.2, so assume  $\phi$  is an action by uniformly bornologous functions that is coarsely proper. If  $S_U = \{g \in G \mid U \cap (g \cdot U) \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite for some bounded set  $U$ , then put  $V = \text{St}(U, \{g \cdot U\}_{g \in G})$  and notice that  $\phi_x^{-1}(V)$  contains  $S_U$  for all  $x \in U$ , a contradiction. ■

**DEFINITION 5.5.** An action of a group  $G$  on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is *cobounded* if  $X = G \cdot U$  for some bounded subset  $U$  of  $X$ .

**PROPOSITION 5.6.** *If an action  $\phi$  of a group  $G$  on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is cobounded and by uniformly bornologous functions, then for every uniformly bounded family  $\mathcal{B}$  there is a bounded set  $U$  such that  $\mathcal{B}$  refines  $\{g \cdot U\}_{g \in G}$ .*

*Proof.* Pick a bounded set  $V$  such that  $G \cdot V = X$ . Given  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_s\}_{s \in S} \in \mathcal{C}_X$  put  $U = \text{St}(V, G \cdot \mathcal{B})$ . Then  $U$  is bounded and  $\mathcal{B}$  refines  $\{g \cdot V\}_{g \in G}$ . ■

**COROLLARY 5.7.** *If an action  $\phi$  of a group  $G$  on a set  $X$  is cobounded and by uniformly bornologous functions under two coarse structures  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  on  $X$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$  if and only if bounded sets in both structures are identical.*

*Proof.* By 5.6 both structures are generated by families  $\{g \cdot U\}_{g \in G}$ , where  $U$  is bounded. ■

## 6. Coarse actions

**DEFINITION 6.1.** An action of a group  $G$  on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C})$  is *coarse* if it is coarsely proper, cobounded, and by uniformly bornologous functions.

**COROLLARY 6.2.** *If an action  $\phi$  of a group  $G$  on a coarse space  $(X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is coarse, then  $\phi_x: (G, \mathcal{C}_l(G)) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}_X)$  is a coarse equivalence for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* By 5.3 the function  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is a coarse equivalence from  $G$  to  $G \cdot x_0$ . Notice the inclusion  $G \cdot x_0 \rightarrow X$  is a coarse equivalence by the coboundedness of the action. ■

**THEOREM 6.3.** *Suppose  $\alpha_i: G_i \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are two commutative left actions of groups  $G_i$  on the same set  $X$ . If there are coarse structures  $\mathcal{C}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , whose bounded sets coincide such that  $\alpha_i$  is coarse with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_i$ , then*

- (a)  $G_1$  is coarsely equivalent to  $G_2$ ,
- (b)  $(X, \mathcal{C}_1)$  is coarsely equivalent to  $(X, \mathcal{C}_2)$ .

*Proof.* Pick a bounded set (in both coarse structures)  $U$  with  $G_i \cdot U = X$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Pick  $x_0 \in U$ . Define  $\psi: G_2 \rightarrow G_1$  so that  $h^{-1} \cdot x_0 \in \psi(h) \cdot U$  for all  $h \in G_2$ .

To show  $\psi$  is large scale uniform consider a finite subset  $F$  of  $G_2$  containing identity, define  $V = F^{-1} \cdot U$  and define  $E$  as the set of all  $g \in G_1$  so that  $V \cap (g \cdot V) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $h = h_1^{-1} h_2 \in F$  and  $g_i = \psi(h_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Consider  $y = g_1^{-1}(h_2^{-1} \cdot x_0)$  and put  $g = g_1^{-1} g_2$ . Our goal is to show  $y \in V \cap (g \cdot V)$ , resulting in  $g \in E$ . Since  $g^{-1} \cdot y = g_2^{-1}(h_2^{-1} \cdot x_0) \in U \subset V$ , we have  $y \in g \cdot V$ . Now, as  $h_2 = h_1 \cdot h$ , we see that  $y = g_1^{-1}(h_2^{-1} \cdot x_0) = g_1^{-1}(h^{-1} \cdot h_1^{-1} \cdot x_0) = h^{-1}(g_1^{-1}(h_1^{-1} \cdot x_0)) \subset h^{-1} \cdot U \subset F^{-1} \cdot U = V$ .

Similarly, define  $\phi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  so that  $g^{-1} \cdot x_0 \in \phi(g) \cdot U$  for all  $g \in G_1$  and notice it is large scale uniform.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a uniformly bounded family in  $\mathcal{C}_1$  so that all sets  $g \cdot U$ ,  $g \in G_1$ , refine  $\mathcal{B}$ . Observe  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  and  $g \mapsto \psi(\phi(g)) \cdot x_0$  are  $\text{St}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ -close. Indeed, using the definition of  $\phi$  and commutativity of the two actions, we get  $\phi(g)^{-1} \cdot x_0 \in g \cdot U$ , and by definition of  $\psi$  we have  $\phi(g)^{-1} \cdot x_0 \in \psi(\phi(g)) \cdot U$ . Since  $g \mapsto g \cdot x_0$  is a coarse equivalence from  $G_1$  to  $(X_1, \mathcal{C}_1)$  (see 6.2),  $\psi \circ \phi$  is close to the identity of  $G_1$ . Similarly,  $\phi \circ \psi$  is close to the identity of  $G_2$ . ■

**7. Topological actions.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $G$  be a group. Recall that an action of  $G$  on  $X$  is *topologically proper* if each point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood  $U_x$  such that the stabilizer  $\{g \in G \mid U_x \cap (g \cdot U_x) \neq \emptyset\}$  of  $U_x$  is finite. An action of  $G$  on  $X$  is *cocompact* if there exists a compact subspace  $K \subset X$  such that  $G \cdot K = X$ .

**DEFINITION 7.1.** Let  $X$  be a locally compact topological space. An action of a group  $G$  on  $X$  is *topological* if it is by homeomorphisms, it is cocompact and topologically proper.

**PROPOSITION 7.2.** *Suppose  $X$  is a locally compact topological space. If  $\phi$  is a topological action of  $G$  on  $X$ , then there is a unique coarse structure  $\mathcal{C}_\phi$  on  $X$  such that the action  $\phi$  of  $G$  on  $(X, \mathcal{C}_\phi)$  is coarse and the bounded sets*



in  $\mathcal{C}_\phi$  are precisely the relatively compact subsets of  $X$ . The structure  $\mathcal{C}_\phi$  is generated by the families  $\{g \cdot K\}_{g \in G}$  where  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Uniqueness of  $\phi$  follows from 5.7. Let us show that the stabilizer of each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  is finite. If it is not, then there is an infinite subset  $I$  of  $G$  and points  $x_g \in K \cap (g \cdot K)$  for each  $g \in I$ . The set  $\{x_g\}_{g \in I}$  must be discrete (otherwise the action would not be topologically proper at its accumulation point), so infinitely many  $x_g$ 's are equal, a contradiction.

Consider the structure  $\mathcal{C}_\phi$  on  $X$  described in the proof of 3.2. Notice it has the required properties. ■

**COROLLARY 7.3.** *Suppose  $\phi: G \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $\psi: H \times X \rightarrow X$  are two topological actions on a locally compact space  $X$ . If  $\phi$  commutes with  $\psi$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{C}_\phi)$  and  $(X, \mathcal{C}_\psi)$  are coarsely equivalent.*

*Proof.* Use 6.3. ■

**REMARK 7.4.** It is not true that  $\mathcal{C}_\phi = \mathcal{C}_\psi$  in general. Use 3.3 and equip groups with discrete topologies.

**THEOREM 7.5.** *If  $G$  and  $H$  are coarsely equivalent groups, then there is a locally compact topological space  $X$  and topological actions  $\phi: G \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $\psi: H \times X \rightarrow X$  that commute.*

*Proof.* Pick a coarse equivalence  $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ . Choose a function  $c$  assigning to each finite subset  $F$  of  $G$  a finite subset  $c(F)$  of  $H$  with the property that  $u^{-1} \cdot v \in F$  implies  $\alpha(u)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(v) \in c(F)$ .

Choose a function  $d$  assigning to each finite subset  $F$  of  $H$  a finite subset  $d(F)$  of  $G$  with the property that  $\alpha(u)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(v) \in F$  implies  $u^{-1} \cdot v \in d(F)$ .

Let  $E$  be a finite subset of  $H$  so that  $H = \alpha(G) \cdot E$ .

Let  $X$  be the space of all functions  $\beta: G \rightarrow H$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $u^{-1} \cdot v \in F$  implies  $\beta(u)^{-1} \cdot \beta(v) \in c(F)$  for all finite subsets  $F$  of  $G$ ,
- (2)  $\beta(u)^{-1} \cdot \beta(v) \in F$  implies  $u^{-1} \cdot v \in d(F)$  for all finite subsets  $F$  of  $H$ ,
- (3)  $H = \beta(G) \cdot E$ .

We consider  $X$  with the compact-open topology provided both  $G$  and  $H$  are given the discrete topologies. Notice  $X$  is closed in the space  $H^G$  of all functions from  $G$  to  $H$  equipped with the compact-open topology. Indeed, conditions (1) and (2) above hold for all  $\beta \in \text{cl}(X)$ , so it remains to check  $H = \beta(G) \cdot E$  for such  $\beta$ . Given  $h \in H$  consider the set  $F = \beta(1_G)^{-1} \cdot h \cdot E^{-1}$  and choose  $\gamma \in X$  so that  $\gamma(g) = \beta(g)$  for all  $g \in d(F) \cup \{1_G\}$ . Pick  $g_1 \in G$  and  $e \in E$  so that  $h = \gamma(g_1) \cdot e$ . Since  $\gamma(1_G)^{-1} \cdot \gamma(g_1) \in F$ , we see that  $g_1 = 1_G^{-1} \cdot g_1 \in d(F)$  and  $\gamma(g_1) = \beta(g_1)$ . Thus  $h \in \beta(G) \cdot E$ .

Notice  $X$  is locally compact. Indeed, given  $\beta \in X$  consider  $U = \{\gamma \in X \mid \gamma(1_G) = \beta(1_G)\}$ . It is clearly open and equals  $X \cap K$ , where  $K \subset H^G$  is the

set of all functions  $u$  satisfying  $u(g) \in \beta(1_G) \cdot c(\{g\})$ . Notice  $K$  is compact (it is a product of finite sets). Since  $X$  is closed in  $H^G$ ,  $X \cap K$  is compact as well.

The action of  $G$  on  $X$  is given by  $(g \cdot \beta)(x) := \beta(g \cdot x)$ . The action of  $H$  on  $X$  is given by  $(h \cdot \beta)(x) := h \cdot \beta(x)$ . Notice that the two actions commute. The action of  $H$  on  $X$  is cocompact:  $X = H \cdot K$ , where  $K = \{\beta \in X \mid \beta(1_G) = 1_H\}$ . The action of  $G$  on  $X$  is cocompact:  $X = G \cdot L$ , where  $L$  is the set of  $\beta \in X$  such that  $\beta(1_G) \in E^{-1}$  (which implies  $\beta(g) \in E^{-1} \cdot c(\{g\})$  for all  $g \in G$  so that  $L$  is compact). Indeed, for any  $\gamma \in X$  there is  $e \in E$  such that  $1_H = \gamma(g_1) \cdot e$  for some  $g_1 \in G$ . Put  $\beta(x) = \gamma(g_1 \cdot x)$  and notice  $\beta(1_G) = e^{-1} \in E^{-1}$ , so  $\beta \in L$  and  $\gamma = g_1 \cdot \beta$ .

The action of  $H$  is proper: for  $\beta \in X$  put  $U = \{\gamma \in X \mid \gamma(1_G) = \beta(1_G)\}$ . If  $\lambda \in U \cap (h \cdot U)$ , then  $\lambda(1_G) = \beta(1_G)$  and  $h^{-1} \cdot \lambda(1_G) = \beta(1_G)$ . Thus  $h = 1_H$ .

The action of  $G$  is proper: for  $\beta \in X$  put  $U = \{\gamma \in X \mid \gamma(1_G) = \beta(1_G)\}$ . If  $\lambda \in U \cap (g \cdot U)$ , then  $\lambda(1_G) = \beta(1_G)$  and  $\lambda(g^{-1}) = \beta(1_G)$ . Thus  $\lambda(g^{-1}) = \lambda(1_G)$ , which implies  $g^{-1} \in d(\{1_H\})$ , so the set of such  $g$  is finite. ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 319, Springer, 1999.
- [2] N. Brodskiy, J. Dydak and A. Mitra, *Švarc–Milnor Lemma: a proof by definition*, preprint math.GT/0603487.
- [3] J. Dydak and C. S. Hoffland, *An alternative definition of coarse structures*, preprint math.MG/0605562.
- [4] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, in: Geometric Group Theory, Vol. 2, G. A. Niblo and M. A. Roller (eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 182, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993, 1–295.
- [5] J. Roe, *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*, Univ. Lecture Ser. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

Department of Mathematics  
 University of Tennessee  
 Knoxville, TN 37996, U.S.A.  
 E-mail: brodskiy@math.utk.edu  
 dydak@math.utk.edu  
 ajmitra@math.utk.edu