VOL. 115

2009

NO. 1

## ASTHENO-KÄHLER STRUCTURES ON CALABI–ECKMANN MANIFOLDS

BҮ

KOJI MATSUO (Ichinoseki)

Dedicated to Professor Kentaro Mikami on his sixtieth birthday

**Abstract.** We show that there exist astheno-Kähler structures on Calabi–Eckmann manifolds.

**1. Introduction.** A Hermitian metric g on a complex manifold M of complex dimension m is called *astheno-Kähler* if its Kähler form  $\Omega$  satisfies  $\partial \overline{\partial} \Omega^{m-2} = 0$  (cf. [4], [5], [9]), where  $\partial$  and  $\overline{\partial}$  are the complex exterior differentials. It is known that every holomorphic 1-form on a compact astheno-Kähler manifold is closed. We note that the condition  $\partial \overline{\partial} \Omega^{m-2} = 0$  is automatically satisfied for m = 2.

The author [7] showed that there exist non-trivial examples of compact astheno-Kähler manifolds. Namely, let  $M_i$  be a 3-dimensional compact Sasakian manifold with the structure tensor fields  $(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g_i)$  for each i = 1, 2. On the product manifold  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , the Riemannian product metric  $g = g_1 + g_2$  is compatible with A. Morimoto's complex structure [8] defined by

(1.1) 
$$J = \phi_1 - \eta_2 \otimes \xi_1 + \phi_2 + \eta_1 \otimes \xi_2.$$

Then the Kähler form  $\Omega$  satisfies  $dd^c \Omega = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $\partial \overline{\partial} \Omega = 0$ , that is, the metric g is astheno-Kähler. Moreover, it was also shown in [7] that there exists a similar astheno-Kähler structure on the product manifold of a 3-dimensional compact Sasakian manifold and a compact cosymplectic manifold of dimension  $\geq 3$ . In these examples, the dimensions of Sasakian manifolds are restricted to 3. For instance, the Calabi–Eckmann manifold  $S^3 \times S^3$  is one of these astheno-Kähler manifolds.

In [10], K. Tsukada introduced a family of complex structures on the Calabi–Eckmann manifold  $S^{2m_1+1} \times S^{2m_2+1}$  containing Morimoto's complex structure (1.1) and defined Hermitian metrics compatible with the complex

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C55, 53C15, 53C25.

Key words and phrases: astheno-Kähler structures, Calabi–Eckmann manifolds, Sasakian manifolds.

structures. In this paper, we show that there exist astheno-Kähler structures among Tsukada's Hermitian structures on Calabi–Eckmann manifolds.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let (M, J, g) be a Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $m \geq 3$  with complex structure J and Hermitian metric g. The Kähler form  $\Omega$  on M is defined by  $\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$  for all vector fields X, Y on M. Extend the complex structure J to p-forms  $\varphi$  on M as follows:

$$J\varphi = \varphi \qquad \text{for } p = 0,$$
  
$$(J\varphi)(X_1, \dots, X_p) = (-1)^p \varphi(JX_1, \dots, JX_p) \qquad \text{for } p > 0,$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are vector fields on M. The real differential operator  $d^c$  (cf. [1]) is then defined by

$$d^c \varphi = -J^{-1} dJ \varphi = (-1)^p J dJ \varphi$$
 for any *p*-form  $\varphi$  on *M*.

Since it is well-known that  $dd^c = 2\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}$ , an astheno-Kähler manifold (M, J, g) may be defined by the condition  $dd^c \Omega^{m-2} = 0$ .

## 3. Hermitian structures on Calabi–Eckmann manifolds

**3.1.** Almost contact metric structures. Let N be a differentiable manifold of dimension 2n+1. An almost contact structure on N is a triple  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ , where  $\phi$  is a tensor field of type  $(1, 1), \xi$  is a vector field, and  $\eta$  is a 1-form on N satisfying the following conditions (cf. [2]):

(3.1) 
$$\eta(\xi) = 1,$$

(3.2) 
$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi,$$

where I denotes the identity transformation on each tangent space of N. Endowed with  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ , N is called an *almost contact* manifold. Then we also have the following equalities:

$$(3.3) \qquad \qquad \phi\xi = 0$$

(3.4) 
$$\eta \circ \phi = 0$$

Moreover, if there is a Riemannian metric g on an almost contact manifold N satisfying

(3.5) 
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any vector fields X, Y on N, then N is said to have an *almost contact* metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  and N endowed with this structure is called an almost contact metric manifold. Then, from (3.1)–(3.5), we immediately get

$$\eta(X) = g(X,\xi)$$
 and  $g(X,\phi Y) = -g(Y,\phi X)$ 

for any vector fields X, Y on N. The 2-form  $\Phi$  defined by  $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$  is called the *fundamental 2-form* on the almost contact metric

manifold N. We have  $\eta \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$ . If  $\Phi = d\eta$ , then N is, by definition, a contact manifold. Such an almost contact metric structure is called a *contact* metric structure.

An almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  is said to be *normal* if

$$[\phi,\phi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0,$$

where  $[\phi, \phi]$  denotes the Nijenhuis tensor field of  $\phi$  defined by

$$[\phi, \phi](X, Y) = [\phi X, \phi Y] + \phi^2[X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y]$$

for all vector fields X, Y on N. A normal contact metric structure is called a *Sasakian structure*. It is well-known (cf. [2], [10]) that there is a standard Sasakian structure on the unit sphere  $S^{2n+1}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ .

On the other hand, an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  satisfying  $d\Phi = 0$  and  $d\eta = 0$  is called an *almost cosymplectic structure*. A normal almost cosymplectic structure is called a *cosymplectic structure*. The product of a unit circle and a compact Kähler manifold is the trivial example of compact cosymplectic manifolds. Non-trivial examples of compact cosymplectic manifolds are found in [3] and [6].

**3.2.** Tsukada's Hermitian structures on the product of two Sasakian manifolds. Let  $M_i$  be a  $(2m_i + 1)$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold with the structure tensor fields  $(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g_i)$  for each i = 1, 2. On the product manifold  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , K. Tsukada [10] introduced an almost complex structure J defined by

(3.6) 
$$J = \phi_1 - \left(\frac{a}{b}\eta_1 + \frac{a^2 + b^2}{b}\eta_2\right) \otimes \xi_1 + \phi_2 + \left(\frac{1}{b}\eta_1 + \frac{a}{b}\eta_2\right) \otimes \xi_2,$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \neq 0$ . In the case of a = 0 and b = 1, this almost complex structure coincides with A. Morimoto's complex structure (1.1). Since each almost contact structure is normal, we can prove, by the same method as A. Morimoto [8], that this almost complex structure J is integrable. Thus M endowed with J is a complex manifold of complex dimension  $m = m_1 + m_2 + 1$ .

K. Tsukada also introduced the following Hermitian metric g on the complex manifold (M, J):

(3.7) 
$$g = g_1 + g_2 + a \left(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2 + \eta_2 \otimes \eta_1\right) + \left(a^2 + b^2 - 1\right) \eta_2 \otimes \eta_2.$$

Then the Kähler form  $\Omega$  on the Hermitian manifold (M, J, g) is given by

(3.8) 
$$\Omega = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 - 2b\,\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2,$$

where  $\Phi_i$  denotes the fundamental 2-form on  $M_i$  for each i = 1, 2. In particular, we can define this Hermitian structure on the Calabi–Eckmann manifold  $S^{2m_1+1} \times S^{2m_2+1}$ .

4. Astheno-Kähler structures on Calabi–Eckmann manifolds. In this section, we show that there exist astheno-Kähler structures among the Hermitian structures defined by (3.6) and (3.7) on the Calabi–Eckmann manifold  $M = S^{2m_1+1} \times S^{2m_2+1}$ , or more generally, on the product manifold  $M = M_1 \times M_2$  of two Sasakian manifolds.

Since  $M_i$  is Sasakian, i.e.,  $\Phi_i = d\eta_i$  for each i = 1, 2, we have

(4.1) 
$$d\Omega = -2b(\Phi_1 \wedge \eta_2 - \eta_1 \wedge \Phi_2).$$

We now show that  $\Phi_1$  is *J*-invariant, i.e.,  $J\Phi_1 = \Phi_1$ . For any vector fields X, Y on M,

$$(J\Phi_1)(X,Y) = \Phi_1(JX,JY) = g_1(JX,\phi_1JY) = g_1(JX,\phi_1^2Y_1)$$
  
=  $g_1(\phi_1X_1,\phi_1^2Y_1) = g_1(X_1,\phi_1Y_1) = \Phi_1(X_1,Y_1) = \Phi_1(X,Y).$ 

Of course,  $\Phi_2$  is also J-invariant. Similarly, we can show that  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  satisfy

$$J\eta_1 = \frac{a}{b}\eta_1 + \frac{a^2 + b^2}{b}\eta_2, \quad J\eta_2 = -\frac{1}{b}\eta_1 - \frac{a}{b}\eta_2$$

Since, from (4.1),  $d^c \Omega = J dJ \Omega = J d\Omega = -2b(J \Phi_1 \wedge J \eta_2 - J \eta_1 \wedge J \Phi_2)$ , we obtain

(4.2) 
$$d^{c}\Omega = 2[\Phi_{1} \wedge (\eta_{1} + a\eta_{2}) + (a\eta_{1} + (a^{2} + b^{2})\eta_{2}) \wedge \Phi_{2}].$$

By taking the exterior differential of this equation, we get

(4.3) 
$$dd^{c}\Omega = 2[\Phi_{1}^{2} + 2a\Phi_{1} \wedge \Phi_{2} + (a^{2} + b^{2})\Phi_{2}^{2}]$$

From (4.1) and (4.2) we also obtain

(4.4) 
$$d\Omega \wedge d^c \Omega = 4b[\Phi_1^2 + 2a\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 + (a^2 + b^2)\Phi_2^2] \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2.$$

We now assume that the complex dimension m of M is greater than 3. Then

$$\begin{aligned} dd^{c} \Omega^{m-2} &= d(d^{c} \Omega^{m-2}) = d(JdJ\Omega^{m-2}) = d(Jd\Omega^{m-2}) \\ &= (m-2)d[J(d\Omega \wedge \Omega^{m-3})] = (m-2)d[(Jd\Omega) \wedge (J\Omega^{m-3})] \\ &= (m-2)d[d^{c} \Omega \wedge \Omega^{m-3}] \\ &= (m-2)[dd^{c} \Omega \wedge \Omega^{m-3} - d^{c} \Omega \wedge d\Omega^{m-3}] \\ &= (m-2)[dd^{c} \Omega \wedge \Omega^{m-3} - (m-3)d^{c} \Omega \wedge d\Omega \wedge \Omega^{m-4}] \\ &= (m-2)[dd^{c} \Omega \wedge \Omega + (m-3)d\Omega \wedge d^{c} \Omega] \wedge \Omega^{m-4}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (3.8) and (4.1)-(4.4) we have

$$dd^{c}\Omega \wedge \Omega + (m-3)d\Omega \wedge d^{c}\Omega$$
  
= 2[\Phi\_{1}^{2} + 2a\Phi\_{1} \wedge \Phi\_{2} + (a^{2}+b^{2})\Phi\_{2}^{2}] \wedge [\Phi\_{1}+\Phi\_{2}+2(m-4)b\eta\_{1} \wedge \eta\_{2}].

By the binomial theorem, we also have

$$\Omega^{m-4} = (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 - 2b\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2)^{m-4} \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{m-4} \binom{m-4}{i} (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)^{(m-4)-i} \wedge (-2b\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2)^i \\
= (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)^{m-4} - 2(m-4)b(\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)^{m-5} \wedge \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \\
= [\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 - 2(m-4)b\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2] \wedge (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)^{m-5}.$$

Since  $[\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + 2(m-4)b\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2] \wedge [\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 - 2(m-4)b\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2] = (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)^2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} [dd^c \Omega \wedge \Omega + (m-3)d\Omega \wedge d^c \Omega] \wedge \Omega^{m-4} \\ &= 2[\Phi_1^2 + 2a\Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 + (a^2 + b^2)\Phi_2^2] \wedge (\Phi_1 + \Phi_2)^{m-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} dd^{c} \Omega^{m-2} &= 2(m-2) [\varPhi_{1}^{2} + 2a\varPhi_{1} \wedge \varPhi_{2} + (a^{2} + b^{2})\varPhi_{2}^{2}] \wedge (\varPhi_{1} + \varPhi_{2})^{m-3} \\ &= 2(m-2) \sum_{k=0}^{m-3} \binom{m-3}{k} [\varPhi_{1}^{(m-1)-k} \wedge \varPhi_{2}^{k} \\ &+ 2a\varPhi_{1}^{(m-2)-k} \wedge \varPhi_{2}^{k+1} + (a^{2} + b^{2})\varPhi_{1}^{(m-3)-k} \wedge \varPhi_{2}^{k+2}] \\ &= 2(m-2) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} C(m,k)\varPhi_{1}^{(m-1)-k} \wedge \varPhi_{2}^{k}, \end{split}$$

where C(m, k) are given as follows:

$$C(m,0) = 1, \quad C(m,1) = m - 3 + 2a,$$
  

$$C(m,m-2) = 2a + (m-3)(a^2 + b^2), \quad C(m,m-1) = a^2 + b^2,$$
  

$$C(m,k) = \binom{m-3}{k} + 2\binom{m-3}{k-1}a + \binom{m-3}{k-2}(a^2 + b^2) \quad \text{for } 2 \le k \le m - 3.$$

If  $p > m_i$ , then  $\Phi_i^p = 0$  on  $M_i$ . Therefore, if  $0 \le k < m_2$ , then  $\Phi_1^{(m-1)-k} = 0$  on  $M_1$ , and if  $m_2 < k \le m-1$ , then  $\Phi_2^k = 0$  on  $M_2$ . Thus

$$\Phi_1^{(m-1)-k} \wedge \Phi_2^k = 0 \quad \text{on } M \quad \text{if } k \neq m_2,$$

and hence

$$dd^{c} \Omega^{m-2} = 2(m-2)C(m,m_2)\Phi_1^{m_1} \wedge \Phi_2^{m_2}$$

Moreover,  $C(m, m_2) = 0$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for the Hermitian structure defined by (3.6) and (3.7) on M to be astheno-Kähler. The condition

$$C(m, m_2) = \binom{m-3}{m_2} + 2\binom{m-3}{m_2-1}a + \binom{m-3}{m_2-2}(a^2+b^2) = 0$$

implies

$$m_1(m_1 - 1) + 2m_1m_2a + m_2(m_2 - 1)(a^2 + b^2) = 0.$$

We deduce the following.

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $M_i$  be a  $(2m_i + 1)$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold with the structure tensor fields  $(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g_i)$  for each i = 1, 2, and  $m = m_1 + m_2 + 1 > 3$ . Then the Hermitian structure defined by (3.6) and (3.7) on the product manifold of  $M = M_1 \times M_2$  is astheno-Kähler if and only if the constants a and b satisfy

$$m_1(m_1 - 1) + 2m_1m_2a + m_2(m_2 - 1)(a^2 + b^2) = 0.$$

We note that, in the case of m = 3, i.e.,  $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ , the astheno-Kähler condition  $dd^c \Omega^{m-2} = dd^c \Omega = 0$  is equivalent to a = 0 because of (4.3). That is, the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 is also valid in the case of m = 3.

By the last theorem, the Calabi–Eckmann manifold  $S^{2m_1+1} \times S^{2m_2+1}$  can be an example of a compact astheno-Kähler manifold.

REMARK 4.1. Let  $M_1$  be a  $(2m_1+1)$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold with the structure tensor fields  $(\phi_1, \xi_1, \eta_1, g_1)$ , and  $M_2$  a  $(2m_2 + 1)$ -dimensional cosymplectic manifold with the structure tensor fields  $(\phi_2, \xi_2, \eta_2, g_2)$ . On  $M = M_1 \times M_2$ , we can then consider Tsukada's Hermitian structure (3.6)– (3.7). Since  $\Phi_1 = d\eta_1$  and  $d\Phi_2 = 0, d\eta_2 = 0$ , we get

$$d\Omega = -2b\Phi_1 \wedge \eta_2, \quad d^c\Omega = 2\Phi_1 \wedge (\eta_1 + a\eta_2), \quad dd^c\Omega = 2\Phi_1^2.$$

Therefore

 $dd^c \Omega \wedge \Omega + (m-3)d\Omega \wedge d^c \Omega = 2\Phi_1^2 \wedge [\Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + 2(m-4)b\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2],$  and hence we obtain

$$dd^{c} \Omega^{m-2} = 2(m-2)\Phi_{1}^{2} \wedge (\Phi_{1} + \Phi_{2})^{m-3}$$
$$= 2(m-2)\sum_{k=0}^{m-3} {m-3 \choose k} \Phi_{1}^{(m-1)-k} \wedge \Phi_{2}^{k}.$$

If  $m_1 = 1$ , then  $\Phi_1^2 = 0$  on  $M_1$ , that is, each of Tsukada's Hermitian structures on M is astheno-Kähler.

If  $m_1 > 1$ , then  $m-3 \ge m_2$ . Therefore, if  $0 \le k < m_2$ , then  $\Phi_1^{(m-1)-k} = 0$  on  $M_1$ , and if  $m_2 < k \le m-3$ , then  $\Phi_2^k = 0$  on  $M_2$ . Thus

$$\Phi_1^{(m-1)-k} \wedge \Phi_2^k = 0 \quad \text{on } M \quad \text{if } k \neq m_2,$$

and hence

$$dd^{c} \Omega^{m-2} = 2(m-2) \binom{m-3}{m_{2}} \Phi_{1}^{m_{1}} \wedge \Phi_{2}^{m_{2}} \neq 0 \quad \text{on } M.$$

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referee for his kind advice and useful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [2] D. E. Blair, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 509, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [3] A. Fujimoto and H. Mutō, On cosymplectic manifolds, Tensor (N.S.) 28 (1974), 43–52.
- [4] J. Jost and S.-T. Yau, A nonlinear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry, Acta Math. 170 (1993), 221–254; Correction, ibid. 173 (1994), 307.
- [5] J. Li, S.-T. Yau and F. Zheng, On projectively flat hermitian manifolds, Comm. Anal. Geom. 2 (1994), 103–109.
- J. C. Marrero and E. Padron, New examples of compact cosymplectic solvmanifolds, Arch. Math. (Brno) 34 (1998), 337–345.
- [7] K. Matsuo, On compact astheno-Kähler manifolds, Colloq. Math. 89 (2001), 213– 221.
- [8] A. Morimoto, On normal almost contact structures, J. Math. Soc. Japan 15 (1963), 420–436.
- [9] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology 1, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.
- [10] K. Tsukada, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian on Calabi-Eckmann manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 33 (1981), 673–691.

Department of Mathematics Ichinoseki National College of Technology Ichinoseki 021-8511, Japan E-mail: matsuo@ichinoseki.ac.jp

Received 25 May 2008

(5055)