

*EMBEDDING A TOPOLOGICAL GROUP  
INTO A CONNECTED GROUP*

BY

RYO OHASHI (Wilkes Barre, PA)

**Abstract.** It was proved in [HM] that each topological group  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  may be embedded into a connected topological group  $(\widehat{G}, \bullet, \widehat{\tau})$ . In fact, two methods of introducing  $\widehat{\tau}$  were given. In this note we show relations between them.

At the beginning let us recall Hartman and Mycielski's construction of the embedding of a topological group  $G$  into a connected group  $\widehat{G}$  ([HM]).

If  $(G, \cdot)$  is a group, then all functions from the interval  $[0, 1)$  to  $G$  form a group with the pointwise multiplication  $f \bullet g(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$ . Of course  $G$  is isomorphic to the subgroup of all constant functions. If  $\widehat{G}$  denotes the subset of all step functions, i.e.  $f \in \widehat{G}$  if there are points  $a_0 = 0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1$  such that  $f|_{[a_{i-1}, a_i]}$  is a constant, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $(\widehat{G}, \bullet)$  is a group.

Given a topological group  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  one can construct a topology  $\widehat{\tau}$  making  $(\widehat{G}, \bullet, \widehat{\tau})$  a topological group. Namely, let  $\beta$  denote a symmetric open basis of the neutral element  $e \in G$  and let  $m$  stand for the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1)$ . Put  $N(V, \varepsilon) = \{f \in \widehat{G} : m(f^{-1}(G \setminus V)) < \varepsilon\}$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $V \in \beta$ . Then  $\{g \bullet N(V, \varepsilon) : g \in \widehat{G}, \varepsilon > 0, V \in \beta\}$  is a basis for the topology  $\widehat{\tau}$ .

If  $d$  is a metric on  $G$ , then  $\widehat{d}(f, g) = \int_0^1 d(f(x), g(x)) dx$  defines a metric  $\widehat{d}$  on  $\widehat{G}$  and if  $(G, \cdot, \tau_d)$  is a topological group, then  $(\widehat{G}, \bullet, \tau_{\widehat{d}})$  is a topological group as well, where  $\tau_d, \tau_{\widehat{d}}$  denote the topologies generated by  $d, \widehat{d}$ .

For  $x_0, x_1 \in G$  the family  $\{f_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]} \subset \widehat{G}$ , where  $f_t([0, t)) = x_0$  and  $f_t([t, 1)) = x_1$ , is an arc in both topologies  $\tau_{\widehat{d}}$  and  $\widehat{\tau}$  on  $\widehat{G}$ . Moreover, in both topologies  $\widehat{G}$  is arcwise and locally arcwise connected.

A natural question arises: if the topology  $\tau$  in  $(G, \cdot, \tau)$  is generated by a metric  $d$ , is then  $\widehat{\tau}$  the same topology as the one generated by  $\widehat{d}$ ? In other words: is it true that  $\widehat{\tau} = \tau_{\widehat{d}}$ ? We show in Proposition 1 that it

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54A10; Secondary 54C25, 22A05.

*Key words and phrases*: topological group, embedding, connected group.

is not the case in general, however for bounded metrics it holds true (see Theorem 2).

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \tau)$  be the additive group of integers with the discrete topology  $\tau$  generated by the Euclidean metric  $d$ . Then  $\hat{\tau} \subsetneq \tau_{\hat{d}}$ , i.e. the metric  $\hat{d}$  generates a stronger topology  $\tau_{\hat{d}}$  than  $\hat{\tau}$  on  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ .*

*Proof.* First we will show that  $\hat{\tau} \subset \tau_{\hat{d}}$ . Let  $N(V, \varepsilon) \in \hat{\tau}$  be a neighborhood of the neutral element  $\widehat{0} \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1/n < \varepsilon$ . Consider a ball  $B(\widehat{0}, 1/n^2) \in \tau_{\hat{d}}$ , i.e. the set of all functions in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  with distance  $< 1/n^2$  to the constant function  $\widehat{0}(x) = 0$ . For  $f \in B(\widehat{0}, 1/n^2)$  we have  $\widehat{d}(f, \widehat{0}) = \int_0^1 |f(x) - \widehat{0}(x)| dx = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx < 1/n^2$ . Since  $\{x \in [0, 1) : f(x) \notin V\} \subset \{x \in [0, 1) : f(x) \neq 0\} = \{x \in [0, 1) : |f(x)| \geq 1/n\}$ , we obtain  $m(\{x \in [0, 1) : f(x) \notin V\}) \leq m(\{x \in [0, 1) : |f(x)| \geq 1/n\}) < 1/n < \varepsilon$ . This shows  $B(\widehat{0}, 1/n^2) \subset N(V, \varepsilon)$ .

Conversely, we consider a ball  $B(\widehat{0}, 1/n) \in \tau_{\hat{d}}$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $\tau_{\hat{d}} \subset \hat{\tau}$ , hence  $N(V, \varepsilon) \subset B(\widehat{0}, 1/n)$  for some  $V \in \beta$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence defined by  $f_k = k^2 \chi_{[0, 1/k)}$ . Then  $f_k \in N(V, \varepsilon)$  for  $k > 1/\varepsilon$ . On the other hand,  $\widehat{d}(f_k, \widehat{0}) = \int_0^1 |f_k(x)| dx = k$ , thus  $f_k \notin B(\widehat{0}, 1/n)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . ■

We can improve the statement of Proposition 1 as follows:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(G, \cdot, \tau_\varrho)$  be a topological group with the topology  $\tau_\varrho$  generated by a metric  $\varrho$ . If  $\varrho$  is bounded, then the metric  $\widehat{\varrho}$  generates the topology  $\tau_{\widehat{\varrho}}$  coinciding with  $\widehat{\tau}_\varrho$  on  $\widehat{G}$ . In particular, if  $G$  is compact, then  $\widehat{\tau}_\varrho = \tau_{\widehat{\varrho}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e$  and  $\widehat{e}$  denote the neutral elements of  $G$  and  $\widehat{G}$  (respectively), where  $\widehat{e}$  is the constant function  $\widehat{e}(x) = e$ . Let  $B_r(e) \subset G$  and  $\widehat{B}_r(\widehat{e}) \subset \widehat{G}$  denote the open balls of radius  $r$  centered at  $e$  in  $(G, \varrho)$  and centered at  $\widehat{e}$  in  $(\widehat{G}, \widehat{\varrho})$ , respectively. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\varrho(x, y) \leq \lambda$  for all  $x, y \in G$ .

First we will show  $\widehat{\tau}_\varrho \subset \tau_{\widehat{\varrho}}$ . Suppose  $N(V, \varepsilon) \in \widehat{\tau}_\varrho$  is given, where  $e \in V \in \tau_\varrho$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is  $0 < r < \varepsilon$  such that  $B_r(e) \subset V$ . For  $\delta = r^2$ , we show that  $\widehat{B}_\delta(\widehat{e})$  is a subset of  $N(V, \varepsilon)$ , so we suppose  $g \in \widehat{B}_\delta(\widehat{e})$ .

Put  $E = \{x \in [0, 1) : \varrho(g(x), \widehat{e}(x)) \geq r\}$  and  $F = [0, 1) \setminus E$ .

Observe that  $m(E) < \varepsilon$ . Indeed, since  $m(E) = (1/r) \int_E r dx$ , we have

$$m(E) \leq \frac{1}{r} \int_E \varrho(g(x), \widehat{e}(x)) dx \leq \frac{1}{r} \int_0^1 \varrho(g(x), \widehat{e}(x)) dx = \frac{1}{r} \widehat{\varrho}(g, \widehat{e}) < \varepsilon.$$

Further note that  $g(F) \subset V$ . Indeed, suppose  $y \in g(F)$ , hence  $y = g(x)$  for some  $x \in F$ . Thus,  $\varrho(g(x), \widehat{e}(x)) < r$ , which implies  $y = g(x) \in B_r(e) \subset V$ . Therefore  $m(\{x \in [0, 1) : g(x) \notin V\}) \leq m(E) < \varepsilon$  and  $g \in N(V, \varepsilon)$ , which proves  $\widehat{B}_\delta(\widehat{e}) \subset N(V, \varepsilon)$ . Notice that this part of proof does not depend on the boundedness assumption on  $\varrho$ , hence  $\widehat{\tau}_\varrho \subset \tau_{\widehat{\varrho}}$  is always true.

Next, we will show  $\widehat{\tau}_\varrho \supset \tau_{\widehat{\varrho}}$ . Suppose  $\widehat{B}_\varepsilon(\widehat{e}) \in \tau_{\widehat{\varrho}}$  is given. There is  $V \in \tau_{\widehat{\varrho}}$  containing  $e$  such that  $V \subset B_{\varepsilon/2}(e)$ . Put  $\delta = \varepsilon/2\lambda$ . We prove that  $N(V, \delta) \subset \widehat{B}_\varepsilon(\widehat{e})$ . Suppose  $g \in N(V, \delta)$ . Let  $E$  and  $F$  be subsets of  $[0, 1)$  defined by  $E = \{x \in [0, 1) : g(x) \in V\}$  and  $F = \{x \in [0, 1) : g(x) \notin V\}$ .

Since  $V \subset B_{\varepsilon/2}(e)$ , we have

$$\int_E \varrho(g(x), \widehat{e}(x)) \, dx \leq \int_E \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \, dx \leq \int_0^1 \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \, dx = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since  $g \in N(V, \delta)$  implies  $m(F) < \delta$ , we have

$$\int_F \varrho(g(x), \widehat{e}(x)) \, dx \leq \int_F \lambda \, dx = \lambda m(F) < \lambda \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\widehat{\varrho}(g, \widehat{e}) = \int_E \varrho(g(x), \widehat{e}(x)) \, dx + \int_F \varrho(g(x), \widehat{e}(x)) \, dx < \varepsilon,$$

and this implies  $g \in \widehat{B}_\varepsilon(\widehat{e})$  which completes the proof. ■

**EXAMPLE 3.** Consider a function  $\varrho : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\varrho(m, n) = 1/2^k$ , where  $k$  is the highest power of 2 that divides  $|n - m|$  for  $n \neq m$ , and  $\varrho(m, m) = 0$ . Then  $\varrho$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , called the “2-adic” metric, which is bounded. Therefore, we have  $(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \widehat{\tau}_\varrho) = (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \tau_{\widehat{\varrho}})$ .

Finally, I would like to remark that any metric  $\varrho$  on a metric space  $(G, \tau)$  is equivalent to a bounded metric  $\varrho'$ . Such a bounded metric can be obtained by defining either  $\varrho' = \varrho/(\varrho + 1)$  or  $\varrho' = \min\{\varrho, 1\}$ . Thus,  $\varrho$  and  $\varrho'$  generate the same topology on  $G$ . If we use such a bounded metric  $\varrho'$  on the group  $G$ , then the  $\widehat{\varrho}'$ -topology and  $\widehat{\tau}_{\widehat{\varrho}'}$  topology are the same.

**Acknowledgments.** I thank Professor T. Christine Stevens who handed me [HM]’s paper for an expository poster session. I noticed that [HM] did not make explicit the relation between  $\widehat{\tau}$  and  $\widehat{d}$ -metric topologies on  $\widehat{G}$  which made me think about it. She supervised my work on this paper. I would also like to thank Professor David G. Costa of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas who taught me foundations of analytic problems.

*REFERENCES*

- [HM] S. Hartman and J. Mycielski, *On the embedding of topological groups into connected topological groups*, *Colloq. Math.* 5 (1958), 167–169.

Department of Mathematics  
Kings's College  
133 North River Street  
Wilkes Barre, PA 18711, U.S.A.  
E-mail: ryoohashi@kings.edu

*Received 23 June 2005;*  
*revised 15 October 2006*

(4627)