

SIMPLE MIXING ACTIONS  
WITH UNCOUNTABLY MANY PRIME FACTORS

BY

ALEXANDRE I. DANILENKO and ANTON V. SOLOMKO (Kharkiv)

**Abstract.** Via the  $(C, F)$ -construction we produce a 2-fold simple mixing transformation which has uncountably many non-trivial proper factors and all of them are prime.

**0. Introduction.** This paper is about prime factors of simple probability preserving actions. We first recall the related definitions from the theory of joinings.

Let  $T = (T_g)_{g \in \Gamma}$  be an ergodic action of a locally compact second countable group  $\Gamma$  on a standard probability space  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$ . Our main interest is in  $\mathbb{Z}$ - and  $\mathbb{R}$ -actions. A measure  $\lambda$  on  $X \times X$  is called a *2-fold self-joining* of  $T$  if it is  $(T_g \times T_g)_{g \in \Gamma}$ -invariant and it projects onto  $\mu$  on both coordinates. Denote by  $J_2^e(T)$  the set of all ergodic 2-fold self-joinings of  $T$ . Let  $C(T)$  stand for the *centralizer* of  $T$ , i.e. the set of all  $\mu$ -preserving invertible transformations of  $X$  commuting with  $T_g$  for each  $g \in \Gamma$ . Given a transformation  $S \in C(T)$ , we denote by  $\mu_S$  the corresponding *off-diagonal measure* on  $X \times X$  defined by  $\mu_S(A \times B) := \mu(A \cap S^{-1}B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}$ . In other words,  $\mu_S$  is the image of  $\mu$  under the map  $x \mapsto (x, Sx)$ . Of course,  $\mu_S \in J_2^e(T)$  for every  $S \in C(T)$ . If  $T$  is weakly mixing,  $\mu \times \mu$  is also an ergodic self-joining. If  $J_2^e(T) \subset \{\mu_S \mid S \in C(T)\} \cup \{\mu \times \mu\}$  then  $T$  is called *2-fold simple* [Ve], [dJR]. By a *factor* of  $T$  we mean a non-trivial proper  $T$ -invariant sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . If  $T$  has no non-trivial proper factors then it is called *prime*. In [Ve] it was shown that if  $T$  is 2-fold simple then for each non-trivial factor  $\mathfrak{F}$  of  $T$  there exists a compact (in the strong operator topology) subgroup  $K_{\mathfrak{F}} \subset C(T)$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_{K_{\mathfrak{F}}}$ , where

$$\mathfrak{F}_K = \{A \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \mu(kA \triangle A) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in K\}$$

is the fixed algebra of  $K$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{F}$  (or, more precisely, the restriction of  $T$  to  $\mathfrak{F}$ ) is prime if and only if  $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $C(T)$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 37A05, 37A10.

*Key words and phrases*: joining, 2-fold simple transformation,  $(C, F)$ -construction.

One of the natural questions arising after the general theory of simple actions was developed in [dJR] is: are there simple maps with non-unique prime factors? The first example of such maps was constructed by Glasner and Weiss [GIW] as an inverse limit of certain horocycle flows. For that they used some subtle facts from Ratner's theory of joinings for horocycle flows and properties of lattices in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Danilenko and del Junco [DdJ] later utilized a more elementary cutting-and-stacking technique to construct a weakly mixing 2-fold simple transformation which has countably many factors, all of which are prime.

Our purpose in the present paper is to use a similar cutting-and-stacking technique to produce a *mixing* transformation which has *uncountably* many factors, all of which are prime.

Via the  $(C, F)$ -construction we produce a measure preserving action  $T$  of an auxiliary group  $G = \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that the transformation  $T_{(1,0,0)}$  is mixing 2-fold simple and  $C(T_{(1,0,0)}) = \{T_g \mid g \in G\}$ . Since all non-trivial compact subgroups of  $G$  are  $G_b = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, b, 1)\}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and all of them are maximal, this gives an example of a 2-fold simple transformation with uncountably many prime factors. All these factors are 2-to-1 and pairwise isomorphic.

We also correct a gap in the proof of [DdJ, Lemma 2.3(ii)] (see Remark 2.4).

The skeleton of the proof of the main result is basically the same as in [DdJ], where the "discrete case" (i.e. when the auxiliary group is discrete) was under consideration. To work with the  $(C, F)$ -construction for actions of continuous (i.e. non-discrete) groups we use the approximation techniques from [Da2].

**1.  $(C, F)$ -construction.** We now briefly outline the  $(C, F)$ -construction of measure preserving actions for locally compact groups. For details see [Da1] and references therein.

Let  $G$  be a unimodular locally compact second countable (l.c.s.c.) amenable group. Fix a  $(\sigma$ -finite) Haar measure  $\lambda$  on it. Given  $E, F \subset G$ , we denote by  $EF$  their algebraic product, i.e.  $EF = \{ef \mid e \in E, f \in F\}$ . The set  $\{e^{-1} \mid e \in E\}$  is denoted by  $E^{-1}$ . If  $E$  is a singleton, say  $E = \{e\}$ , then we write  $eF$  for  $EF$ . For abelian groups we use additive notation. Given a finite set  $A$ ,  $|A|$  will denote the cardinality of  $A$ . Given a subset  $F \subset G$  of finite Haar measure,  $\lambda_F$  will denote the probability on  $F$  given by  $\lambda_F(A) := \lambda(A)/\lambda(F)$  for each measurable  $A \subset F$ . If  $D$  is finite, then  $\kappa_D$  is the equidistributed probability on  $D$ , that is,  $\kappa_D(A) := |A \cap D|/|D|$  for each  $A$ . The notation  $a \neq b \in A$  will refer to two distinct elements  $a, b$  of a set  $A$ .

To define a  $(C, F)$ -action of  $G$  we need two sequences  $(F_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  and  $(C_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of subsets in  $G$  such that the following are satisfied:

- (1.1)  $(F_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Følner sequence in  $G$ ,
- (1.2)  $C_n$  is finite and  $|C_n| > 1$ ,
- (1.3)  $F_n C_{n+1} \subset F_{n+1}$ ,
- (1.4)  $F_n c \cap F_n c' = \emptyset$  for all  $c \neq c' \in C_{n+1}$ .

This means that  $F_n C_{n+1}$  consists of  $|C_{n+1}|$  mutually disjoint ‘copies’  $F_n c$ ,  $c \in C_{n+1}$ , of  $F_n$ , and all these copies are contained in  $F_{n+1}$ .

First, we define a probability space  $(X, \mu)$  in the following way. We equip  $F_n$  with the measure  $(|C_1| \cdots |C_n|)^{-1} \lambda|_{F_n}$  and endow  $C_n$  with the equidistributed probability measure. Let  $X_n := F_n \times \prod_{k>n} C_k$  stand for the product of measure spaces. Define an embedding  $X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  by setting

$$(f_n, c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}, \dots) \mapsto (f_n c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}, \dots).$$

It is easy to see that this embedding is measure preserving. Then  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$ . Let  $X := \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty X_n$  denote the inductive limit of the sequence of measure spaces  $X_n$  and let  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mu$  denote the corresponding Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and measure on  $X$  respectively. Then  $X$  is a standard Borel space and  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. It is easy to check that  $\mu$  is finite if and only if

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(F_n)}{|C_1| \cdots |C_n|} < \infty.$$

If (1.5) is satisfied then we choose (i.e., normalize)  $\lambda$  in such a way that  $\mu(X) = 1$ .

Now we define a  $\mu$ -preserving action of  $G$  on  $X$ . Suppose that

$$(1.6) \quad \text{for any } g \in G, \text{ there is } m \geq 0 \text{ with } gF_n C_{n+1} \subset F_{n+1} \text{ for all } n \geq m.$$

For such  $n$ , take  $x \in X_n \subset X$  and write the expansion  $x = (f_n, c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}, \dots)$  with  $f_n \in F_n$  and  $c_i \in C_i$ ,  $i > n$ . Then we let

$$T_g x := (g f_n c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}, \dots) \in X_{n+1} \subset X.$$

It follows from (1.6) that  $T_g$  is a well defined  $\mu$ -preserving transformation of  $X$ . Moreover,  $T_g T_h = T_{gh}$ , i.e.  $T := (T_g)_{g \in G}$  is a  $\mu$ -preserving Borel action of  $G$  on  $X$ ; it is called the  $(C, F)$ -action of  $G$  associated with  $(C_{n+1}, F_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ .

We now recall some basic properties of  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$ . Given a Borel subset  $A \subset F_n$ , we set

$$[A]_n := \{x \in X \mid x = (f_n, c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}, \dots) \in X_n \text{ and } f_n \in A\}$$

and call it an  $n$ -cylinder. It is clear that the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  is generated by the family of all cylinders. Given Borel subsets  $A, B \subset F_n$ , we have

$$(1.7) \quad [A \cap B]_n = [A]_n \cap [B]_n, \quad [A \cup B]_n = [A]_n \cup [B]_n,$$

$$(1.8) \quad [A]_n = [AC_{n+1}]_{n+1} = \bigsqcup_{c \in C_{n+1}} [Ac]_{n+1},$$

$$(1.9) \quad \mu([A]_n) = |C_{n+1}| \mu([Ac]_{n+1}) \quad \text{for every } c \in C_{n+1},$$

$$(1.10) \quad \mu([A]_n) = \mu(X_n) \lambda_{F_n}(A),$$

$$(1.11) \quad T_g[A]_n = [gA]_n \quad \text{if } gA \subset F_n,$$

$$(1.12) \quad T_g[A]_n = T_h[h^{-1}gA]_n \quad \text{if } h^{-1}gA \subset F_n.$$

Each  $(C, F)$ -action is of funny rank one (for the definition see [Fe] for the case of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -actions and [So] for the general case) and hence ergodic. It also follows from (1.2) that  $T$  is conservative.

**2. Main result.** We denote by  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  a cyclic group of order  $n$ :  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Let  $G := \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$  with multiplication law

$$(x, a, n)(y, b, m) := (x + y, a + (-1)^n b, n + m).$$

Then the center  $C(G)$  of  $G$  is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$ . Each compact subgroup of  $G$  coincides with  $G_b = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, b, 1)\}$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Notice that  $G_b$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  for each  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To construct the required  $(C, F)$ -action of  $G$  we will determine a sequence  $(C_{n+1}, F_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ . Let  $(r_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^4 / r_n = 0.$$

Below—just after Lemma 2.1—one more restriction on the growth of  $(r_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  will be imposed: we will assume that  $r_n$  is so large that (2.7) is satisfied. We recurrently define three other sequences  $(\tilde{a}_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ ,  $(a_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  and  $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of positive integers by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_0 &:= 1, & a_0 &:= 1, \\ a_n &:= (2r_{n-1} + 1)\tilde{a}_{n-1} & \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ b_n &:= (2n - 1)\tilde{a}_{n-1} & \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ \tilde{a}_n &:= a_n + b_n + n & \text{for } n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &:= \{-n, \dots, n\}^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2, \\ H_n &:= \{-r_n, \dots, r_n\}^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2, \\ F_n &:= (-a_n, a_n]\mathbb{Z} \times (-a_n, a_n]\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \\ S_n &:= (-b_n, b_n]\mathbb{Z} \times (-b_n, b_n]\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \\ \tilde{F}_n &:= (-\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n]\mathbb{Z} \times (-\tilde{a}_n, \tilde{a}_n]\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

We also consider the homomorphism  $\phi_n: \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow G$  given by

$$\phi_n(i, j) := (2i\tilde{a}_n, 2j\tilde{a}_n, 0).$$

We then have

$$(2.2) \quad S_n \subset F_n, \quad F_n S_n = S_n F_n \subset \tilde{F}_n \subset G,$$

$$(2.3) \quad S_{n+1} = \tilde{F}_n \phi_n(I_n) = \bigsqcup_{h \in I_n} \tilde{F}_n \phi_n(h) = \bigsqcup_{h \in I_n} \phi_n(h) \tilde{F}_n,$$

$$(2.4) \quad F_{n+1} = \tilde{F}_n \phi_n(H_n) = \bigsqcup_{h \in H_n} \tilde{F}_n \phi_n(h) = \bigsqcup_{h \in H_n} \phi_n(h) \tilde{F}_n,$$

We also equip  $F_n$  with a finite partition  $\xi_n$  such that:

- (i) the diameter of each atom of  $\xi_n$  is less than  $1/n$ ,
- (ii) for each atom  $A \in \xi_{n-1}$  and each  $c \in C_n$ , the subset  $Ac \subset F_n$  is  $\xi_n$ -measurable, and
- (iii)  $\xi_n$  is symmetric, that is,  $A^{-1} \in \xi_n$  whenever  $A \in \xi_n$ .

For instance, we can define such partitions inductively in the following way. Each  $\xi_n$  will consist of ‘rectangles’ of the form  $\{a\} \times \Delta \times \{m\} \subset G$ , where  $a \in (-a_n, a_n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\Delta \subset (-a_n, a_n]_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a subinterval of length less than  $1/n$ . Let  $\xi_0 := \{F_0\}$ . Now suppose we have already defined  $\xi_{n-1}$ . Denote by  $E_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}$  the finite set of endpoints of the intervals  $\Delta$  for all the atoms  $\{a\} \times \Delta \times \{m\} \in \xi_{n-1}$ . Let  $\pi_2: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  stand for the natural projection on the second coordinate. Set

$$E'_n := E_{n-1} + \pi_2(C_n) \quad \text{and} \quad E_n := -E'_n \cup E'_n \cup \{k/n \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, |k| \leq na_n\}.$$

The finite set  $E_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  defines a partition  $\xi_n^{(2)}$  of  $[-a_n, a_n]_{\mathbb{R}}$  into intervals with endpoints in  $E_n$ . Denote by  $l_n$  the length of the shortest interval from  $\xi_n^{(2)}$ . Finally, set  $\xi_n := \varepsilon_n^{(1)} \times \xi_n^{(2)} \times \varepsilon_n^{(3)}$ , where  $\varepsilon_n^{(1)}$  and  $\varepsilon_n^{(3)}$  are partitions of  $(-a_n, a_n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  respectively into single points. Properties (i)–(iii) are clearly satisfied for  $\xi_n$ .

It follows that for each measurable subset  $A \subset F_n$ , any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $k$  large enough, there is a  $\xi_k$ -measurable subset  $B \subset F_k$  such that  $\mu([A]_n \Delta [B]_k) < \varepsilon$ . We will denote by  $\sigma(\xi_n)$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $F_n$  generated by  $\xi_n$ .

For a finite subset  $D$  in  $S_n$ , we denote by  $\kappa_D$  the corresponding normalized *Dirac comb*, i.e. the measure on  $S_n$  given by  $\kappa_D(A) := |A \cap D|/|D|$  for each subset  $A \subset S_n$ . Given two subsets  $A, B \subset F_n$  define a function  $f_{A,B}: S_n \times S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by setting  $f_{A,B}(x, y) := \lambda(Ax \cap By)/\lambda(F_n)$  for  $x, y \in S_n$ . We now define a finite subset  $D_n$  in  $S_n$  by

$$D_n := \{(a, k/(nl_n), m) \mid a \in (-b_n, b_n]_{\mathbb{Z}}, k \in (-nl_n b_n, nl_n b_n]_{\mathbb{Z}}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}.$$

It is an easy exercise to check that for such  $\xi_n$  and  $D_n$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \kappa_{D_n}(Ag) - \frac{1}{\lambda(S_n)} \lambda(Ag) \right| < \frac{1}{n}$$

for each  $\xi_n$ -measurable subset  $A \subset F_n$  and  $g \in F_n$ , and

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \int_{S_n \times S_n} f_{Ag, Bh} d\kappa_{D_n} d\kappa_{D_n} - \frac{1}{\lambda(S_n)^2} \int_{S_n \times S_n} f_{Ag, Bh} d\lambda d\lambda \right| < \frac{1}{n}$$

for any  $\xi_n$ -measurable subsets  $A, B \subset F_n$  and any  $g, h \in F_n$  such that  $AgS_n, BhS_n \subset F_n$ . We also notice that  $|D_n^0| = |D_n^1|$ .

Given a finite (signed) measure  $\nu$  on a finite set  $D$ , we let  $\|\nu\|_1 := \sum_{d \in D} |\nu(d)|$ . If  $\pi: D \rightarrow E$  then clearly  $\|\nu \circ \pi^{-1}\|_1 \leq \|\nu\|_1$ . Given a finite set  $Y$  and a mapping  $s: Y \rightarrow D$ , let  $\text{dist}_{y \in Y} s(y)$  denote the image of the equidistribution on  $Y$  under  $s$ :

$$\text{dist}_{y \in Y} s(y) := \frac{1}{|Y|} \sum_{y \in Y} \delta_{s(y)} = \kappa_D \circ s^{-1}.$$

The following lemma easily follows from [dJ, Lemma 2.1] (cf. [Da2, Lemma 3.2]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $D$  be a finite set. Then given  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ , there is  $R \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $r > R$ , there exists a map  $s: \{-r, \dots, r\}^2 \rightarrow D$  such that*

$$\left\| \text{dist}_{0 \leq t < N} (s_n(h + (t, 0)), s_n(h' + (t, 0))) - \kappa_D \times \kappa_D \right\|_1 < \varepsilon$$

for each  $N > \delta r$  and  $h \neq h' \in \{-r, \dots, r\}^2$  with  $h_1 + N < r$  and  $h'_1 + N < r$ .

Applying this lemma with  $\varepsilon = 1/n$  and  $\delta = 1/n^2$  we get the following. If  $r_n$  is large enough then there is a mapping  $s_n: H_n \rightarrow D_n$  such that for any  $N > r_n/n^2$  and  $h \neq h' \in H_n \cap (H_n - (N - 1, 0))$  we have

$$(2.7) \quad \left\| \text{dist}_{0 \leq t < N} (s_n(h + (t, 0)), s_n(h' + (t, 0))) - \kappa_{D_n} \times \kappa_{D_n} \right\|_1 < 1/n.$$

From now on we assume that  $r_n$  is so large that this condition is satisfied, and for each  $n$  we fix  $s_n: H_n \rightarrow D_n$  satisfying (2.7).

Now we define a map  $c_{n+1}: H_n \rightarrow G$  by setting  $c_{n+1}(h) := s_n(h)\phi_n(h)$ . We set  $C_{n+1} := c_{n+1}(H_n)$ .

The reader should have the following picture in mind. The set  $F_{n+1}$  is exactly tiled with the sets  $\tilde{F}_n\phi_n(h)$ ,  $h \in H_n$ , which may be thought of as ‘windows’. Each  $F_n$  has a ‘natural’ translate  $F_n\phi_n(h)$  in  $\tilde{F}_n\phi_n(h)$  but the translate we actually choose is the natural translate perturbed by a further translation  $s_n(h)$  which is chosen in a ‘random’ way and does not move  $F_n\phi_n(h)$  out of its window.

It is easy to derive that properties (1.1)–(1.6) are satisfied for the sequence  $(F_n, C_{n+1})_{n=0}^\infty$ . Hence the associated  $(C, F)$ -action  $T = (T_g)_{g \in G}$  of  $G$  is well defined on a standard probability space  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$ .

We now state the main result.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *The transformation  $T_{(1,0,0)}$  is mixing and 2-fold simple. All non-trivial proper factors of  $T_{(1,0,0)}$  are of the form  $\mathfrak{F}_{G_b}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . All these factors are 2-to-1, prime and pairwise isomorphic.*

We first prove some technical lemmata. After that in Proposition 2.8 we show mixing for  $T_{(1,0,0)}$ , and in Proposition 2.9 we prove simplicity and describe the centralizer of  $T_{(1,0,0)}$ . The structure of factors then follows from Veech's theorem.

Denote by  $G^0$  the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  of index 2 in  $G$ . Given any subset  $A$  in  $G$  we set  $A^0 := A \cap G^0$  and  $A^1 := A \setminus A^0$ . We will refer to  $A^0$  and  $A^1$  as *levels* of  $A$ . We will say that a subset  $A \subset G$  is  $\varepsilon$ -balanced if

$$|\lambda(A^0) - \lambda(A^1)| < \varepsilon \lambda(A).$$

Denote by  $\pi_3: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  the natural projection on the third coordinate. Since  $\kappa_{D_n} \circ \pi_3^{-1} = \kappa_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ , it follows from (2.7) that

$$(2.8) \quad \|\text{dist}_{h \in H_n} \pi_3 \circ s_n(h) - \kappa_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\|_1 < 1/n.$$

In particular, for any  $A^* \subset F_n$  the set  $A = A^* C_{n+1}$  is  $1/n$ -balanced:

$$(2.9) \quad |\lambda(A^0) - \lambda(A^1)| < \frac{1}{n} \lambda(A).$$

Indeed, since

$$A^0 = \bigsqcup_{h \in s_n^{-1}(G^0)} A^{*0} c_n(h) \sqcup \bigsqcup_{h \in s_n^{-1}(G^1)} A^{*1} c_n(h),$$

we have

$$\lambda(A^0) = \lambda(A^{*0}) |s_n^{-1}(G^0)| + \lambda(A^{*1}) |s_n^{-1}(G^1)|,$$

and similarly

$$\lambda(A^1) = \lambda(A^{*1}) |s_n^{-1}(G^0)| + \lambda(A^{*0}) |s_n^{-1}(G^1)|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(A^0) - \lambda(A^1)| &= |\lambda(A^{*0}) - \lambda(A^{*1})| \left| |s_n^{-1}(G^0)| - |s_n^{-1}(G^1)| \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|H_n|} \lambda(A) \left| |s_n^{-1}(G^0)| - |s_n^{-1}(G^1)| \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|H_n|} \left| |s_n^{-1}(G^0)| - |s_n^{-1}(G^1)| \right| &\leq \left| \frac{|s_n^{-1}(G^0)|}{|H_n|} - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{|s_n^{-1}(G^1)|}{|H_n|} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \\ &= \|\text{dist}_{h \in H_n} \pi_3 \circ s_n(h) - \kappa_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\|_1 < 1/n \end{aligned}$$

by (2.9). It follows that  $A = A^* C_{n+1}$  is  $1/n$ -balanced for each  $A^* \subset F_n$ .

Given  $h = (h_1, h_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we let  $h^* := (h_1, -h_2)$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $f = f' \phi_{n-1}(h)$  with  $f' \in \tilde{F}_{n-1}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ .*

(i) *Suppose  $f \in G^\alpha$  and let  $\beta := 1 - \alpha$ . Let*

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^- &:= \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha \phi_{n-1}(I_{n-2} + h) \sqcup \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\beta \phi_{n-1}(I_{n-2} + h^*), \\ L_n^+ &:= \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha \phi_{n-1}(I_n + h) \sqcup \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\beta \phi_{n-1}(I_n + h^*). \end{aligned}$$

*Then  $L_n^- \subset fS_n \subset L_n^+$ . Hence*

$$\frac{\lambda(fS_n \triangle L_n^-)}{\lambda(S_n)} = \bar{o}(1).$$

(ii) *If, in addition,  $fS_n \subset F_n$  then for any subset  $A = A^*C_{n-1}$  with  $A^* \subset F_{n-2}$  we have*

$$\frac{\lambda(AC_n \cap fS_n)}{\lambda(S_n)} = \lambda_{F_{n-1}}(A) + \bar{o}(1).$$

Here  $\bar{o}(1)$  means a sequence that goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and does not depend on the choice of  $A^*$  in  $F_{n-2}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose  $f \in G^0$  (the case  $f \in G^1$  is considered in a similar way). We have

$$\begin{aligned} fS_n &= f' \phi_{n-1}(h) \tilde{F}_{n-1} \phi_{n-1}(I_{n-1}) \\ &= f' \tilde{F}_{n-1}^0 \phi_{n-1}(h + I_{n-1}) \sqcup f' \tilde{F}_{n-1}^1 \phi_{n-1}(h^* + I_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{F}_{n-1}^0 \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha \subset \bigsqcup_{u \in I_1} \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha \phi_{n-1}(u)$ , there exists a partition of  $\tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha$  into subsets  $A_u^\alpha$ ,  $u \in I_1$ , such that  $f' A_u^\alpha \subset \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha \phi_{n-1}(u)$  for any  $u$  and  $\alpha = 0, 1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} fS_n &= \\ &\bigsqcup_{u \in I_1} (f' A_u^0 \phi_{n-1}(u)^{-1} \phi_{n-1}(u + h + I_{n-1}) \sqcup f' A_u^1 \phi_{n-1}(u)^{-1} \phi_{n-1}(u + h^* + I_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to notice that  $\bigsqcup_{u \in I_1} f' A_u^\alpha \phi_{n-1}(u)^{-1} = \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha$ .

(ii) Since  $fS_n \subset F_n$  and  $F_n = \tilde{F}_{n-1} \phi_{n-1}(H_{n-1})$ , it follows from (i) that the subsets  $K := I_{n-1} + h$  and  $K^* := I_{n-1} + h^*$  are contained in  $H_{n-1}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda(AC_n \cap fS_n)}{\lambda(S_n)} &= \sum_{k \in H_{n-1}} \frac{\lambda(AC_n(k) \cap fS_n)}{\lambda(S_n)} = \sum_{k \in H_{n-1}} \frac{\lambda(AC_n(k) \cap L_n^-)}{\lambda(S_n)} + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda(S_n)} \sum_{k \in H_{n-1}} \lambda(As_{n-1}(k) \phi_{n-1}(k) \cap \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha \phi_{n-1}(K) \sqcup \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\beta \phi_{n-1}(K^*)) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda(S_n)} \sum_{k \in K} \lambda(As_{n-1}(k) \cap \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha) + \frac{1}{\lambda(S_n)} \sum_{k \in K^*} \lambda(As_{n-1}(k) \cap \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\beta) + \bar{o}(1). \end{aligned}$$



Notice that

$$\lambda(As_{n-1}(k) \cap \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha) = \begin{cases} \lambda(A^\alpha) & \text{if } s_{n-1}(k) \in G^0, \\ \lambda(A^\beta) & \text{if } s_{n-1}(k) \in G^1. \end{cases}$$

In any case, since  $A = A'C_{n-1}$  is  $\frac{1}{n-2}$ -balanced, we conclude from (2.9) that

$$\lambda(As_{n-1}(k) \cap \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\alpha) = (1/2 + \bar{o}(1))\lambda(A).$$

In a similar way

$$\lambda(As_{n-1}(k) \cap \tilde{F}_{n-1}^\beta) = (1/2 + \bar{o}(1))\lambda(A).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda(AC_n \cap fS_n)}{\lambda(S_n)} &= \frac{\lambda(A)|K|(1 + \bar{o}(1))}{\lambda(S_n)} + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{\lambda(A)}{\lambda(F_{n-1})} \cdot \frac{\lambda(F_{n-1})|K|}{\lambda(S_n)} \cdot (1 + \bar{o}(1)) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \lambda_{F_{n-1}}(A) \cdot \frac{\lambda(F_{n-1})(2n-1)^2}{(2n+1)^2\lambda(\tilde{F}_{n-1})} \cdot (1 + \bar{o}(1)) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \lambda_{F_{n-1}}(A) + \bar{o}(1). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.4. We note that there is a gap in [DdJ, Lemma 2.3(ii)]. It was stated there that the claim (ii) is true for each subset  $A \subset F_{n-1}$ . This is not true. However—as shown in Lemma 2.3(ii) above—the claim is true if  $A = A^*C_{n-1}$  for an arbitrary subset  $A^* \subset F_{n-2}$ . This corrected version of the claim suffices to apply it in the proof of [DdJ, Theorem 2.5] which is the only place in that paper where [DdJ, Lemma 2.3(ii)] was used.

We will also use the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $S$  be subsets of finite Haar measure in  $G$ . Then*

$$\int_{S \times S} \lambda(Ax \cap By) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) = \int_{A \times B} \lambda(aS \cap bS) d\lambda(a) d\lambda(b).$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $G$  is unimodular. Consider two subsets in  $G^3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &:= \{(a, x, y) \mid x \in S, y \in S, a \in A \cap Byx^{-1}\} \\ &= \{(a, x, y) \mid a \in A, y \in S, x \in a^{-1}By \cap S\}, \\ \Omega_2 &:= \{(a, b, y) \mid a \in A, b \in B, y \in b^{-1}aS \cap S\} \\ &= \{(a, b, y) \mid a \in A, y \in S, b \in B \cap aSy^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the mapping  $\Omega_1 \ni (a, x, y) \mapsto (a, axy^{-1}, y) \in \Omega_2$  is 1-to-1 and

$\lambda^3$ -preserving. Applying the Fubini theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S \times S} \lambda(Ax \cap By) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) &= \lambda^3(\Omega_1) = \lambda^3(\Omega_2) \\ &= \int_{A \times B} \lambda(aS \cap bS) d\lambda(a) d\lambda(b). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is the first step to prove mixing for  $T_{(1,0,0)}$ . Let  $h_0 := (1, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then  $\phi_n(h_0) = (1, 0, 0)^{2\tilde{a}_n}$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *Given a sequence of subsets  $H_n^* \subset H_n$  such that  $|H_n^*|/|H_n| \rightarrow \delta$  for some  $\delta \geq 0$ , let  $C_n^* := c_n(H_{n-1}^*)$ . Then*

$$\sup_{A^*, B^* \in \sigma(\xi_{n-1})} \left| \mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}[A^* C_n^*]_n \cap [B^*]_{n-1}) - \mu([A^* C_n^*]_n) \mu([B^*]_{n-1}) \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A, B \in \sigma(\xi_n)$ . We set  $F_n^\circ := \{f \in F_n \mid fS_n S_n^{-1} \subset F_n\}$ ,  $A^\circ := A \cap F_n^\circ$ ,  $B^\circ := B \cap F_n^\circ$ ,  $H'_n := H_n \cap (H_n - h_0)$ . It is clear that  $\mu(F_n \setminus F_n^\circ) \rightarrow 0$  and  $|H'_n|/|H_n| \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\phi_n(h_0) \in C(G)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\phi_n(h_0) A c_{n+1}(h) = A s_n(h) \phi_n(h_0 + h) = A s_n(h) s_n(h_0 + h)^{-1} c_{n+1}(h_0 + h)$$

whenever  $h \in H'_n$ . In particular,  $\phi_n(h_0) A^\circ c_{n+1}(h) \subset F_{n+1}$  for all  $h \in H'_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}[A]_n \cap [B]_n) &= \mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}[A^\circ]_n \cap [B^\circ]_n) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H_n} \mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}[A^\circ c_{n+1}(h)]_{n+1} \cap [B^\circ]_n) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H'_n} \mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}[A^\circ c_{n+1}(h)]_{n+1} \cap [B^\circ]_n) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H'_n} \mu([A^\circ s_n(h) s_n(h_0 + h)^{-1} c_{n+1}(h_0 + h)]_{n+1} \cap [B^\circ]_n) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H'_n} \mu([(A^\circ s_n(h) s_n(h_0 + h)^{-1} \cap B^\circ) c_{n+1}(h_0 + h)]_{n+1}) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H_n|} \sum_{h \in H'_n} \mu([A^\circ s_n(h) s_n(h_0 + h)^{-1} \cap B^\circ]_n) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H_n|} \sum_{h \in H'_n} \lambda_{F_n}(A^\circ s_n(h) \cap B^\circ s_n(h_0 + h)) \mu(X_n) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H'_n|} \sum_{h \in H'_n} \lambda_{F_n}(A^\circ s_n(h) \cap B^\circ s_n(h_0 + h)) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H'_n|} \sum_{h \in H'_n} \lambda_{F_n}(A s_n(h) \cap B s_n(h_0 + h)) + \bar{o}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\nu_n := \text{dist}_{h \in H'_n}(s_n(h), s_n(h + h_0))$ . Set  $f_{A,B}(x, y) := \lambda_{F_n}(Ax \cap By) = \lambda(Ax \cap By)/\lambda(F_n)$ . Notice that

$$\nu_n = \frac{1}{2r_n - 1} \sum_{i=-r_n}^{r_n} \text{dist}_{-r_n \leq t < r_n}(s_n(t, i), s_n(t + 1, i)).$$

It follows from (2.7) that  $\|\nu_n - \kappa_{D_n} \times \kappa_{D_n}\|_1 < 1/n$ . Then by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}[A]_n \cap [B]_n) &= \int_{S_n \times S_n} f_{A,B} d\nu_n + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \int_{S_n \times S_n} f_{A,B} d\kappa_{D_n} d\kappa_{D_n} + \bar{o}(1) = \frac{1}{\lambda(S_n)^2} \int_{S_n \times S_n} f_{A,B} d\lambda d\lambda + \bar{o}(1), \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $A := A^*C_n$  and  $B := B^*C_n$  for some  $\xi_{n-1}$ -measurable subsets  $A^*, B^* \subset F_{n-1}$ . We say that elements  $c$  and  $c'$  of  $C_n$  are *partners* if  $F_{n-1}cS_n \cap F_{n-1}c'S_n \neq \emptyset$ . We then write  $c \bowtie c'$ . Since  $A^*cx \cap B^*c'y = \emptyset$  for  $c \not\bowtie c'$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_n \times S_n} f_{A,B} d\lambda d\lambda &= \int_{S_n \times S_n} \lambda_{F_n}(A^*C_nx \cap B^*C_ny) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda(F_n)} \int_{S_n \times S_n} \sum_{C_n^* \ni c \bowtie c' \in C_n} \lambda(A^*cx \cap B^*c'y) d\lambda(x) d\lambda(y). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 we now obtain

$$\int_{S_n \times S_n} f_{A,B} d\lambda d\lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda(F_n)} \sum_{C_n^* \ni c \bowtie c' \in C_n} \int_{A^* \times B^*} \lambda(acS_n \cap bc'S_n) d\lambda(a) d\lambda(b).$$

Next, we note that

$$|\lambda(acS_n \cap bc'S_n) - \lambda(cS_n \cap c'S_n)| \leq 8n\lambda(\tilde{F}_{n-1}) = \bar{o}(1)\lambda(S_n).$$

Each  $c \in C_n$  has no more than  $2(4n + 1)^2$  partners. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}[A^*C_n^*]_n \cap [B^*]_{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda(S_n)^2} \sum_{C_n^* \ni c \bowtie c' \in C_n} \int_{A^* \times B^*} \frac{\lambda(cS_n \cap c'S_n) + \lambda(S_n)\bar{o}(1)}{\lambda(F_n)} d\lambda(a) d\lambda(b) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{\lambda(A^*)\lambda(B^*)}{\lambda(F_{n-1})^2} \frac{\lambda(F_{n-1})^2}{\lambda(S_n)^2\lambda(F_n)} \sum_{C_n^* \ni c \bowtie c' \in C_n} (\lambda(cS_n \cap c'S_n) + \lambda(S_n)\bar{o}(1)) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \lambda_{F_{n-1}}(A^*)\lambda_{F_{n-1}}(B^*)\theta_n \pm \frac{\lambda(F_{n-1})^2|H_n^*|2(4n + 1)^2\lambda(S_n)\bar{o}(1)}{\lambda(S_n)^2\lambda(F_n)} + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \lambda_{F_{n-1}}(A^*)\lambda_{F_{n-1}}(B^*)\theta_n \pm \frac{\lambda(F_{n-1})^2|H_n^*|2(4n + 1)^2\bar{o}(1)}{\lambda(\tilde{F}_{n-1})^2(2n - 1)^2|H_n|} + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \lambda_{F_{n-1}}(A^*)\lambda_{F_{n-1}}(B^*)\theta_n + \bar{o}(1), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta_n = \frac{\lambda(F_{n-1})^2}{\lambda(S_n)^2 \lambda(F_n)} \sum_{C_n^* \ni c \times c' \in C_n} \lambda(cS_n \cap c'S_n).$$

Substituting  $A^* = B^* = F_{n-1}$  and passing to the limit we find that  $\theta_n \rightarrow \delta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$\mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}[A^*C_n^*]_n \cap [B^*]_{n-1}) = \mu([A^*C_n^*]_n)\mu([B^*]_{n-1}) + \bar{o}(1).$$

Since  $\bar{o}(1)$  does not depend on the choice of  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  inside  $F_{n-1}$ , the claim is proven. ■

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *The transformation  $T_{(1,0,0)}$  is weakly mixing.*

*Proof.* Substituting  $H_n^* := H_n$  in Lemma 2.6 we obtain

$$\sup_{A^*, B^* \in \sigma(\xi_{n-1})} |\mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}[A^*]_{n-1} \cap [B^*]_{n-1}) - \mu([A^*]_{n-1})\mu([B^*]_{n-1})| \rightarrow 0.$$

Since each measurable subset of  $X$  can be approximated by  $[A^*]_{n-1}$  for large  $n$  and some  $\xi_{n-1}$ -measurable subset  $A^* \subset F_{n-1}$ , it follows that the sequence  $(\phi_n(h_0))_{n=1}^\infty$  is mixing for  $T$ , that is,  $\mu(T_{\phi_n(h_0)}A \cap B) \rightarrow \mu(A)\mu(B)$  for every pair of measurable subsets  $A, B \subset X$ . ■

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** *The transformation  $T_{(1,0,0)}$  is mixing.*

*Proof.* We have to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(T_{g_n}A \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$$

for any sequence  $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  that goes to infinity in  $C(G)$  and every pair of measurable subsets  $A, B \subset X$ . Let  $g_n \in F_{n+1} \setminus F_n$ . It suffices to show that a subsequence of  $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is mixing for  $T$ . We write  $g_n = f_n \phi_n(h_n)$  for some  $f_n \in \tilde{F}_n \cap C(G)$  and  $h_n \in H_n$ . Denote by  $z: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow C(G)$  the natural embedding  $z(x) := (x, 0, 0)$ . We may assume that  $f_n \in z(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  for all  $n$  (the case  $f_n \in z(\mathbb{Z}_-)$  is considered in a similar way). Let  $H'_n := H_n \cap (H_n - h_n)$  and  $F'_n := F_n \cap (f_n^{-1}F_n)$ . Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may also assume without loss of generality that

$$\frac{|H'_n|}{|H_n|} \rightarrow \delta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda(F'_n)}{\lambda(F_n)} \rightarrow \delta_2$$

for some  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \geq 0$ . Partition  $H_n$  into

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^1 &:= \{h \in H_n \mid g_n F_n c_{n+1}(h) \subset F_{n+1} \phi_{n+1}(h_0)\}, \\ H_n^2 &:= \{h \in H_n \mid g_n F_n c_{n+1}(h) \subset F_{n+1}\}, \\ H_n^3 &:= H_n \setminus (H_n^1 \sqcup H_n^2). \end{aligned}$$

As before,  $h_0 = (1, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Let  $C_{n+1}^i := \phi_{n+1}(H_n^i)$ . It is clear that  $|H_n^3| \leq 4(n+1)(2r_n+1)$  and  $|H_n^2 \triangle H'_n| \leq 2r_n+1$ . Since  $|H_n| = (2r_n+1)^2$ , it

follows that

$$\frac{|H_n^1|}{|H_n|} \rightarrow 1 - \delta_1, \quad \frac{|H_n^2|}{|H_n|} \rightarrow \delta_1, \quad \frac{|H_n^3|}{|H_n|} \rightarrow 0.$$

Take two  $\xi_n$ -measurable subsets  $A, B \subset F_n$ . Since

$$\mu([AC_{n+1}^3]_{n+1}) = \frac{|C_{n+1}^3|}{|C_{n+1}|} \mu([A]_n) \leq \frac{1}{2r_n + 1} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$(2.10) \quad |\mu(T_{g_n}[AC_{n+1}^3]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n) - \mu([AC_{n+1}^3]_{n+1})\mu([B]_n)| \rightarrow 0,$$

so  $[F_n C_{n+1}^3]_{n+1}$  is negligible. It suffices to show mixing separately on each of the remaining subsets  $[F_n C_{n+1}^1]_{n+1}$  and  $[F_n C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1}$ .

First, we note that  $\phi_{n+1}(h_0)^{-1} g_n F_n C_{n+1}^1 \subset F_{n+1}$ . Thus, by (1.12),

$$T_{g_n}[AC_{n+1}^1]_{n+1} = T_{\phi_{n+1}(h_0)}[\phi_{n+1}(h_0)^{-1} g_n AC_{n+1}^1]_{n+1}.$$

By Lemma 2.6 (with  $C_{n+1}^* := \phi_{n+1}(h_0)^{-1} \phi_n(h_n) C_{n+1}^1$  and  $A^* := f_n A$ ) we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad |\mu(T_{g_n}[AC_{n+1}^1]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n) - \mu([AC_{n+1}^1]_{n+1})\mu([B]_n)| \rightarrow 0.$$

It remains to consider the second case involving  $C_{n+1}^2$ . If  $\delta_1 = 0$ , then obviously

$$(2.12) \quad \mu([AC_{n+1}^2]_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose now that  $\delta_1 > 0$ . Partition  $A$  into  $A_1 := A \cap f_n^{-1} F_n$ ,  $A_2 := A \cap f_n^{-1} F_n \phi_n(h_0)$  and  $A_3 := A \setminus (A_1 \sqcup A_2)$ . In other words,  $f_n A_1 \subset F_n$ ,  $f_n A_2 \subset F_n \phi_n(h_0)$ ,  $f_n A_3 \cap (F_n \sqcup F_n \phi_n(h_0)) = \emptyset$ .

Note that

$$(2.13) \quad \mu([A_3 C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1}) \leq \mu([A_3]_n) \leq \frac{2n+1}{2r_n+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

For  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  we argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.6. Set  $F_n^\circ := \{f \in F_n \mid f S_n S_n^{-1} \subset F_n\}$ ,  $A_1^\circ := A_1 \cap F_n^\circ$  and  $B^\circ := B \cap F_n^\circ$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(T_{g_n}[A_1 C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n) &= \sum_{h \in H'_n} \mu([\phi_n(h_n) f_n A_1^\circ c_{n+1}(h)]_{n+1} \cap [B^\circ]_n) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \sum_{h \in H'_n} \mu([(f_n A_1^\circ s_n(h) s_n(h_n + h)^{-1} \cap B^\circ) c_{n+1}(h)]_{n+1}) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{|H_n|} \sum_{h \in H'_n} \mu([f_n A_1^\circ s_n(h) s_n(h_n + h)^{-1} \cap B^\circ]_n) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{\delta_1}{|H'_n|} \sum_{h \in H'_n} \lambda_{F_n}(f_n A_1^\circ s_n(h) \cap B^\circ s_n(h_n + h)_n) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \delta_1 \int_{S_n \times S_n} f_{A_1 f_n, B} d\nu_n + \bar{o}(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nu_n := \text{dist}_{h \in H'_n}(s_n(h), s_n(h_n + h))$  and  $f_{A_1 f_n, B}(x, y) = \lambda_{F_n}(A_1 f_n x \cap B y)$ . Write  $h_n = (t_n, 0)$ . Since

$$\frac{2r_n - t_n + 1}{2r_n + 1} = \frac{|H'_n|}{|H_n|} \rightarrow \delta_1 > 0$$

and

$$\nu_n = \frac{1}{2r_n - 1} \sum_{i=-r_n}^{r_n} \text{dist}_{-r_n \leq t \leq r_n - t_n}(s_n(t, i), s_n(t + t_n, i)),$$

it follows from (2.7) and (2.6) that

$$\mu(T_{g_n}[A_1 C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n) = \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda(S_n)^2} \int_{S_n \times S_n} f_{A_1 f_n, B} d\lambda d\lambda + \bar{o}(1).$$

Now take  $A := A^* C_n^*$  and  $B := B^* C_n$  for some  $\xi_{n-1}$ -measurable subsets  $A^*, B^* \subset F_{n-1}$ . Let  $C'_n := C_n \cap F'_n$ . It follows that  $|C'_n|/|C_n| \rightarrow \delta_2$  and  $\mu([A_1]_n \triangle [A^* C_n]_n) = \bar{o}(1)$ . Hence  $\mu([A_1]_n) = \delta_2 \mu([A^*]_{n-1}) + \bar{o}(1)$ . Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.6 we obtain

$$\mu(T_{g_n}[A^* C'_n C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1} \cap [B^*]_{n-1}) = \delta_2 \mu([A^*]_{n-1}) \mu([B^*]_{n-1}) + \bar{o}(1).$$

Therefore

$$(2.14) \quad \left| \mu(T_{g_n}[A_1 C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n) - \mu([A_1 C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1}) \mu([B]_n) \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $T_{g_n}[A_2]_n = T_{\phi_n(h_n+h_0)}[\phi_n(h_0)^{-1} f_n A_2]$  with  $\phi_n(h_0)^{-1} f_n A_2 \subset F_n$ , a similar reasoning yields

$$(2.15) \quad \left| \mu(T_{g_n}[A_2 C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n) - \mu([A_2 C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1}) \mu([B]_n) \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$[A^*]_{n-1} = [A^* C_n C_{n+1}^1]_{n+1} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i=1}^3 [A_i C_{n+1}^2]_{n+1} \sqcup [A^* C_n C_{n+1}^3]_{n+1},$$

it follows from (2.10)–(2.15) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{A^*, B^* \in \sigma(\xi_{n-1})} \left| \mu(T_{g_n}[A^*]_{n-1} \cap [B^*]_{n-1}) - \mu([A^*]_{n-1}) \mu([B^*]_{n-1}) \right| = 0.$$

Since  $\xi_n$ -measurable cylinders generate the entire  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude that  $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a mixing sequence for  $T$ , as desired. ■

**PROPOSITION 2.9.** *The transformation  $T_{(1,0,0)}$  is 2-fold simple and  $C(T_{(1,0,0)}) = \{T_g \mid g \in G\}$ .*

*Proof.* Take an ergodic joining  $\nu \in J_2^e(T_{(1,0,0)})$ . Let

$$K_n := [-a_n/n^2, a_n/n^2]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad J_n := [-r_n/n^2, r_n/n^2]_{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \Phi_n := K_n + 2\tilde{a}_n J_n.$$

We claim that  $\nu$ -a.e. point  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is *generic* for  $T_{(1,0,0)} \times T_{(1,0,0)}$ , i.e. for all cylinders  $A, B \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(\xi_n)$  we have

$$(2.16) \quad \nu(A \times B) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_n|} \sum_{i \in \Phi_n} \chi_A(T_{(i,0,0)}x) \chi_B(T_{(i,0,0)}y).$$

To see this, we first note that  $(\Phi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Følner sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since

$$\frac{a_n}{n^2} + \frac{2\tilde{a}_n r_n}{n^2} < \frac{\tilde{a}_n(2r_n + 1)}{n^2} < \frac{2a_{n+1}}{(n+1)^2},$$

it follows that  $\Phi_n \subset K_{n+1} + K_{n+1}$  and hence  $\bigcup_{m=1}^n \Phi_m \subset K_{n+1} + K_{n+1}$ . This implies that  $|\Phi_{n+1} + \bigcup_{m \leq n} \Phi_m| \leq 3|\Phi_{n+1}|$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , i.e. Shulman's condition [Li] is satisfied for  $(\Phi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . By [Li], the pointwise ergodic theorem holds along  $(\Phi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  for any ergodic transformation. Since  $T \times T$  is  $\nu$ -ergodic, (2.16) holds for  $\nu$ -a.a.  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  and for every pair of cylinders  $A, B \subset X$  from  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(\xi_n)$ .

Fix a generic point  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . Since  $x, y \in X_n$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ , we have the expansions

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (f_n, c_{n+1}(h_n), c_{n+2}(h_{n+1}), \dots), \\ y &= (f'_n, c_{n+1}(h'_n), c_{n+2}(h'_{n+1}), \dots) \end{aligned}$$

with  $f_n, f'_n \in F_n$ ,  $h_i, h'_i \in H_i$ ,  $i \geq n$ . We let

$$H_n^- := [-(1 - 1/n^2)r_n, (1 - 1/n^2)r_n]_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 \subset H_n.$$

Since the marginals of  $\nu$  are both equal to  $\mu$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $h_n, h'_n \in H_n^-$ . Indeed,

$$\mu(\{x = (f_n, c_{n+1}(h_n), c_{n+2}(h_{n+1}), \dots) \in X_n \mid h_n \notin H_i^-\}) < 2/i^2,$$

and by the Borel–Cantelli lemma for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in X_n$  we have  $h_i \in H_i^-$  for all but finitely many  $i$ . So we may replace  $x = (f_n, c_{n+1}(h_n), c_{n+2}(h_{n+1}), \dots) \in X_n$  with  $x = (f_n c_{n+1}(h_n) \cdots c_m(h_{m-1}), c_{m+1}(h_m), \dots) \in X_m$  for some  $m > n$  if necessary. Similarly,  $h'_n \in H_n^-$ .

This implies, in turn, that

$$(2.17) \quad f_{n+1} = f_n c_{n+1}(h_n) \in \tilde{F}_n \phi_n(H_n^-) \subset [-c_n, c_n]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [-c_n, c_n]_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where  $c_n = \tilde{a}_n(1 + 2r_n(1 - 1/n^2))$ , and, similarly,  $f'_{n+1} \in [-c_n, c_n]_{\mathbb{Z}} \times [-c_n, c_n]_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Given  $g \in \Phi_n$ , there are some uniquely determined  $k \in K_n$  and  $j \in J_n$  such that  $g = k + 2\tilde{a}_n j$ , i.e.  $(g, 0, 0) = (k, 0, 0)\phi_n(j, 0)$ . Moreover, we have  $(j, 0) + h_n \in H_n$  since  $h_n \in H_n^-$ . It also follows from (2.17) that

$$(2.18) \quad (k, 0, 0)f_n S_n S_n^{\pm 1} \subset F_n.$$

Take  $g \in \Phi_n$  and calculate  $T_{(g,0,0)}x$ . We have

$$x = (f_n, c_{n+1}(h_n), \dots) = (f_n c_{n+1}(h_n), \dots) = (f_n s_n(h_n)\phi_n(h_n), \dots)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(g, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n)\phi_n(h_n) &= (k, 0, 0)\phi_n(j, 0)f_n s_n(h_n)\phi_n(h_n) \\
&= (k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n)\phi_n((j, 0) + h_n) \\
&= (k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n)s_n((j, 0) + h_n)^{-1}c_{n+1}((j, 0) + h_n) \\
&= dc_{n+1}((j, 0) + h_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $d := (k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n)s_n((j, 0) + h_n)^{-1} \in F_n$  by (2.18). This means that  $T_{(g,0,0)}x = (d, \dots) \in X_n$ . Similarly,

$$(g, 0, 0)f'_n s_n(h'_n)\phi_n(h'_n) = d'c_{n+1}((j, 0) + h'_n)$$

with  $d' := (b, 0, 0)f'_n s_n(h'_n)s_n((t, 0) + h'_n)^{-1} \in F_n$ .

Now take any  $\xi_{n-2}$ -measurable subsets  $A^*, B^* \subset F_{n-2}$  and set  $A := A^*C_{n-1}C_n$ ,  $B := B^*C_{n-1}C_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu([A^*]_{n-2} \times [B^*]_{n-2}) &= \nu([A]_n \times [B]_n) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{g \in \Phi_n \mid T_{(g,0,0)}x \in [A]_n, T_{(g,0,0)}y \in [B]_n\}|}{|\Phi_n|} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{g \in \Phi_n \mid d \in A, d' \in B\}|}{|\Phi_n|} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|K_n|} \sum_{k \in K_n} \frac{|\{j \in J_n \mid d \in A, d' \in B\}|}{|J_n|} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|K_n|} \sum_{k \in K_n} \zeta_n(A^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n) \times B^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f'_n s_n(h'_n)),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta_n := \text{dist}_{j \in J_n}(s_n((j, 0) + h_n), s_n((j, 0) + h'_n))$ . We distinguish two cases.

*First case.* Suppose first that  $h_n \neq h'_n$  for infinitely many, say *bad*,  $n$ . Since  $|J_n| \geq r_n/n^2$  it follows from (2.7) that  $\|\zeta_n - \kappa_{D_n} \times \kappa_{D_n}\| < 1/n$ . Moreover, it follows from (2.5) and the properties (ii) and (iii) of  $\xi_n$  that

$$\kappa_{D_n}(A^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h)) = \lambda_{S_n}(A^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h)) + \bar{o}(1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|K_n|} \sum_{k \in K_n} \zeta_n(A^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n) \times B^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f'_n s_n(h'_n)) \\
&= \frac{1}{|K_n|} \sum_{k \in K_n} \kappa_{D_n}(A^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n))\kappa_{D_n}(B^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f'_n s_n(h'_n)) + \bar{o}(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{|K_n|} \sum_{k \in K_n} \lambda_{S_n}(A^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n))\lambda_{S_n}(B^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f'_n s_n(h'_n)) + \bar{o}(1).
\end{aligned}$$



Now we derive from Lemma 2.3(ii) that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{S_n}(A^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n)) &= \frac{\lambda(A^{-1}(k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n) \cap S_n)}{\lambda(S_n)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda(A \cap (k, 0, 0)f_n s_n(h_n)S_n)}{\lambda(S_n)} \\ &= \lambda_{F_{n-2}}(A^*) + \bar{o}(1)\end{aligned}$$

and, in a similar way,  $\lambda_{S_n}(B^{-1}(b, 0, 0)f'_n s_n(h'_n)) = \lambda_{F_{n-2}}(B^*) + \bar{o}(1)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\nu([A^*]_{n-2} \times [B^*]_{n-2}) &= \lambda_{F_{n-2}}(A^*)\lambda_{F_{n-2}}(B^*) + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \mu([A^*]_{n-2})\mu([B^*]_{n-2}) + \bar{o}(1)\end{aligned}$$

for all bad  $n$  and all  $\xi_{n-2}$ -measurable subsets  $A^*, B^* \subset F_{n-2}$ . Since any measurable set can be approximated by  $[A^*]_{n-2}$ , it follows that in this case  $\nu = \mu \times \mu$ .

*Second case.* Now we consider the case where  $h_n = h'_n$  for all  $n$  greater than some  $N$ . Then it is easy to see that  $y = T_k x$ , where  $k = f'_N f_N^{-1} \in G$ , and then it follows immediately that  $(x, y)$  is generic for the off-diagonal joining  $\mu_{T_k}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\nu([A]_n \times [B]_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_n|} \sum_{i \in \Phi_n} \chi_{[A]_n}(T_{(i,0,0)}x) \chi_{[B]_n}(T_{(i,0,0)}T_k x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_n|} \sum_{i \in \Phi_n} \chi_{[A]_n \cap T_k^{-1}[B]_n}(T_{(i,0,0)}x) \\ &= \mu([A]_n \cap T_k^{-1}[B]_n) = \mu_{T_k}([A]_n \times [B]_n)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $A, B \in \sigma(\xi_n)$ , since  $\nu$  projects onto  $\mu$ . Since each measurable set can be approximated by cylinder sets, we deduce that in this case  $\nu = \mu_{T_k}$  with  $k \in G$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* The conclusion follows now from Veech's theorem, Propositions 2.8, 2.9 and the fact that  $\mathfrak{F}_{G_a}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_{G_b}$  are isomorphic if and only if  $G_a$  and  $G_b$  are conjugate in  $G$  [dJR, Corollary 3.3]. It is clear that  $G_b = hG_a h^{-1}$  with  $h = (0, (a+b)/2, 1)$ . ■

**3. Concluding remarks.** Notice that with some additional conditions on  $s_n$  in Lemma 2.1 (cf. [Da3, Lemma 2.3]) one can show that  $T_{(1,0,0)}$  is actually mixing of all orders, as well as simple of all orders (cf. [Da4, Section 6]). For the definitions of higher order simplicity we refer to [dJR].

If we replace  $G = \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$  with  $\Gamma := \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and apply the same construction (with obvious minor changes), we obtain a probability preserving  $\Gamma$ -action  $R$  such that the flow  $(R_{(t,0,0)})_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is 2-fold simple mixing and its centralizer coincides with the entire  $\Gamma$ -action. This gives an example of

a 2-fold simple mixing *flow* with uncountably many prime factors. By [Ry], each 2-fold simple flow is simple. Moreover, since  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a closed co-compact subgroup, the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subaction is also 2-fold simple and  $C(R_{(1,0,0)}) = \{R_g \mid g \in \Gamma\}$  by [dJR, Theorem 6.1]. Thus we get examples of two non-isomorphic 2-fold simple transformations with uncountably many prime factors:  $R_{(1,0,0)}$  is embeddable into a flow while  $T_{(1,0,0)}$  is not.

#### REFERENCES

- [Da1] A. I. Danilenko, *(C, F)-actions in ergodic theory*, in: Geometry and Dynamics of Groups and Spaces, Progr. Math. 265, Birkhäuser, 2008, 325–351.
- [Da2] A. I. Danilenko, *Mixing actions of the Heisenberg group*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 34 (2014), 1142–1167.
- [Da3] A. I. Danilenko, *Mixing rank-one actions for infinite sums of finite groups*, Israel J. Math. 156 (2006), 341–358.
- [Da4] A. I. Danilenko, *On simplicity concepts for ergodic actions*, J. Anal. Math. 102 (2007), 77–117.
- [DdJ] A. I. Danilenko and A. del Junco, *Cut-and-stack simple weakly mixing map with countably many prime factors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 2463–2472.
- [dJ] A. del Junco, *A simple map with no prime factors*, Israel J. Math. 104 (1998), 301–320.
- [dJR] A. del Junco and D. Rudolph, *On ergodic actions whose self-joinings are graphs*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 7 (1987), 531–557.
- [Fe] S. Ferenczi, *Systèmes de rang un gauche*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 21 (1985), 177–186.
- [GIW] E. Glasner and B. Weiss, *A simple weakly mixing transformation with nonunique prime factors*, Amer. J. Math. 116 (1994), 361–375.
- [Li] E. Lindenstrauss, *Pointwise theorems for amenable groups*, Invent. Math. 146 (2001), 259–295.
- [Ry] V. V. Ryzhikov, *Around simple dynamical systems. Induced joinings and multiple mixing*, J. Dynam. Control Systems 3 (1997), 111–127.
- [So] A. Sokhet, *Les actions approximativement transitives dans la théorie ergodique*, Thèse de doctorat, Univ. Paris VII, 1997.
- [Ve] W. A. Veech, *A criterion for a process to be prime*, Monatsh. Math. 94 (1982), 335–341.

Alexandre I. Danilenko, Anton V. Solomko  
 Institute for Low Temperature Physics & Engineering  
 National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  
 47 Lenin Ave.  
 Kharkiv, 61103, Ukraine  
 E-mail: alexandre.danilenko@gmail.com  
 solomko.anton@gmail.com

Received 2 June 2014;  
 revised 29 September 2014

(6279)