

## SYMMETRIC BESSEL MULTIPLIERS

BY

KHADIJA HOUISSA and MOHAMED SIFI (Tunis)

**Abstract.** We study the  $L^p$ -boundedness of linear and bilinear multipliers for the symmetric Bessel transform.

**1. Introduction.** Bessel functions occur in the analysis of radial problems. The simplest case is the analysis of structures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which are invariant under the action of the orthogonal group  $O(n)$ . In the present paper we are concerned with radially on matrix spaces  $M_{p,q} = M_{p,q}(\mathbb{F})$  over one of the skew-fields  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ , as invariance under the left action of the unitary group  $U_p = U_p(\mathbb{F})$ ,

$$U_p \times M_{p,q} \rightarrow M_{p,q}, \quad (u, x) \mapsto ux.$$

Note that in [FT], the authors gave the basic elements of radial analysis in  $M_{p,q}$ . The mapping  $U_p x \mapsto \sqrt{x^* x}$  establishes a homeomorphism between the space of  $U_p$ -orbits in  $M_{p,q}$  and the cone  $\Pi_q = \Pi_q(\mathbb{F})$  of positive semi-definite hermitian  $q \times q$ -matrices over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Radial functions on  $M_{p,q}$  can thus be considered as functions on the cone  $\Pi_q$  and the Fourier transform of a radial function can be expressed in terms of a generalized Hankel transform involving Bessel functions of a matrix argument. These functions occur in the theory of multi-variable hypergeometric functions of Dunkl type. Let  $G = U(p, q)$  denote the indefinite unitary group of index  $(p, q)$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Its maximal compact subgroup is naturally isomorphic to  $U_p \times U_q$ . We may identify  $M_{p,q}$  with the tangent space of the Riemannian symmetric space  $G/K$  at the coset  $eK$ . This action induces an action of  $U_p \times U_q$  on  $M_{p,q}$  via

$$((u, v), x) \mapsto uxv^{-1}, \quad u \in U_p, v \in U_q.$$

The associated orbit space is canonically parameterized by the possible singular spectra of matrices from  $M_{p,q}$  and is homeomorphic to

$$\Xi_q = \{\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q : \xi_1 \geq \dots \geq \xi_q \geq 0\},$$

which is a Weyl chamber of type  $B_q$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 42B15; Secondary 46E30.

*Key words and phrases*: Bessel functions, Hörmander multiplier.

Let

$$\mathcal{M}_q := \{pd/2 : p = q, q + 1, \dots\} \cup ]\rho - 1, \infty[, \quad d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{F} = 1, 2, 4,$$

where  $\rho = d(q - 1/2) + 1$  is a real parameter. M. Rösler [R3] has shown that for  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_q$  the set  $\Xi_q$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space endowed with a convolution structure  $\circ_{\mu} : M^b(\Xi_q) \times M^b(\Xi_q) \rightarrow M^b(\Xi_q)$  such that  $(\Xi_q, \circ_{\mu})$  is a hypergroup. The characters of  $\Xi_q$  were identified with multi-variable Bessel functions of Dunkl type which are associated with root system of type  $B_q$ ,

$$\xi \mapsto J_k^{B_q}(\xi, i\eta), \quad \eta \in \Xi_q.$$

These functions satisfy the positive product formula

$$J_k^B(\xi, z)J_k^B(\eta, z) = \int_{\Xi_q} J_k^B(\zeta, z) d(\delta_{\xi} \circ_{\mu} \delta_{\eta})(\zeta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \Xi_q, z \in \mathbb{C}^q.$$

This allows us to introduce the symmetric Bessel translation and symmetric Bessel convolution on  $\Xi_q$  by

$$(\tau_{\eta}f)(\xi) = \int_{\Xi_q} f(\zeta) d(\delta_{\xi} \circ_{\mu} \delta_{\eta})(\zeta), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\Xi_q),$$

and

$$(f \circ_{\mu} g)(\xi) = \int_{\Xi_q} (\tau_{\xi}f)(\eta)g(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_{\mu}(\eta), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\Xi_q).$$

By analogy with the ordinary Fourier analysis, one can define the symmetric Bessel transform on  $\Xi_q$  by

$$\hat{f}(\eta) = \int_{\Xi_q} f(\xi)J_k^B(\xi, i\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_{\mu}(\xi)$$

where  $\tilde{\omega}_{\mu}$  is a Haar measure on  $\Xi_q$ .

Let  $m : \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded function and define the linear multiplier operator  $T_m$  associated with  $m$  by  $T_m(f) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m\mathcal{F}f)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the ordinary Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{R}^q$ . The multiplier theorem of Hörmander [Ho] gives a sufficient condition on  $m$  guaranteeing the boundedness of  $T_m$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^q)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . It states that is enough for  $m$  to be a bounded  $C^{\ell}$ -function satisfying

$$\left( \int_{R/2 \leq |\xi| \leq R} |\partial_{\xi}^s m(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \leq CR^{q/2 - |s|} \quad \text{for all } R > 0,$$

where  $\ell$  is the least integer greater than  $q/2$  and  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_q)$ ,  $|s| = s_1 + \dots + s_q \leq \ell$ .

Anker [A] proves a result analogous to the Hörmander–Mikhlin multiplier theorem on a general Riemannian symmetric space  $G/K$  of non-compact type. Next, Gosselin and Stempak [GS] develop Hörmander’s orig-

inal technique to establish an analogous multiplier theorem with respect to the Fourier–Bessel transform.

The aim of this work is to prove the Hörmander multiplier theorem for the symmetric Bessel transform by using Hörmander’s technique. This is done in our Theorem 3.1.

The second part of this paper is devoted to the study of  $L^p$ -boundedness of bilinear multiplier operators for the symmetric Bessel transform. By means of Littlewood–Paley theory we establish the analogue of Coifman and Meyer’s result for a smooth multiplier. Analogous results were obtained in [AGS] for the Dunkl transform in the one-dimensional case.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect the important results of [R3] about the hypergroup  $(\Xi_q, \circ_\mu)$ . Next, we introduce Bessel functions associated with root systems, and we identify the characters of the hypergroup  $\Xi_q$  with Bessel functions of Dunkl type associated with a root system of type  $B_q$ . We define a translation operator  $\tau_\eta$ ,  $\eta \in \Xi_q$ , which satisfies, for  $f \in C_c(\Xi_q)$  (the space of continuous functions on  $\Xi_q$  with compact support),

$$\int_{\Xi_q} (\tau_\eta f)(\xi) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) = \int_{\Xi_q} f(\xi) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi), \quad \eta \in \Xi_q.$$

Next we define the convolution of two functions on  $\Xi_q$ . We give the properties of the translation operator and convolution on  $\Xi_q$ . This provides a handy tool for extending some results from the classical Fourier transform to the symmetric Bessel transform. In Section 3, we prove the Hörmander multiplier theorem in greater generality for the symmetric Bessel transform by using Hörmander’s techniques. Section 4 is devoted to the study of bilinear multiplier operators for the symmetric Bessel transform.

In what follows,  $C$  represents a suitable positive constant which is not necessarily the same at each occurrence. Furthermore, we denote by

- $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^q)$  the space of  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}^q$  with compact support;
- $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^q)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$ ) the space of Schwartz functions on  $\mathbb{R}^q$  (resp.  $\Xi_q$ );
- $\|\cdot\|_{p,\mu}$  the usual norm of  $L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$ .

## 2. The symmetric Bessel hypergroup $(\Xi_q, \circ_\mu)$

**2.1. Preliminaries.** In this subsection we collect some basic notation and facts about matrix Bessel hypergroups associated with rational Dunkl operators of type  $B_q$  (see [FK], [BH], [J], [R3]).

Let  $V$  denote a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra of rank  $q$  and of dimension constant  $d$  corresponding to the symmetric cone  $\Omega$ . Then the hypergeometric function  ${}_0F_1^\alpha(\mu; \cdot)$  essentially coincides, for  $\alpha = 2/d$ , with the Bessel function  $\mathcal{J}_\mu$  associated with  $\Omega$  in the sense of [FK]. Indeed, the latter

is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu(x) = \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda|}}{(\mu)_\lambda |\lambda|!} Z_\lambda(x), \quad x \in V,$$

where  $(\mu)_\lambda$  is the generalized Pochhammer symbol,  $Z_\lambda = c_\lambda \Phi_\lambda$  with constants  $c_\lambda > 0$  are the normalized spherical polynomials, and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  is an index with  $(\mu)_\lambda \neq 0$  for a partition  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

In this paper we shall work with Bessel functions of two variables,

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda|}}{(\mu)_\lambda |\lambda|!} \frac{Z_\lambda(x)Z_\lambda(y)}{Z_\lambda(e)}, \quad x, y \in V,$$

where  $e$  is the unit of  $V$ . For  $x, y \in V$  with eigenvalues  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q)$  and  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q)$  respectively, we thus have

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu(x, y) = {}_0F_1^{2/d}(\mu; i\xi, i\eta).$$

We consider in this work the set  $H_q$  of Hermitian  $q \times q$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}$  and regard it as a Euclidean vector space with scalar product  $(x | y) = \text{Re tr}(xy)$ , where  $\text{tr}$  denotes the trace on  $M_q(\mathbb{F})$ . The dimension of  $H_q$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $n = q + \frac{d}{2}q(q - 1)$  where  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{F}$ . With the above scalar product and the Jordan product  $x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$ , the space  $H_q$  becomes a Euclidean Jordan algebra with unit  $I = I_q$ . The set  $\Omega = \Omega_q$  of positive definite matrices from  $H_q$  is a symmetric cone.

The following properties summarize the important results shown in [R3]:

PROPERTIES.

- (i) For each  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_q$ , the set  $\Xi_q$  carries a commutative hypergroup structure with convolution

$$(\delta_\xi \circ_\mu \delta_\eta)(f) := \int_{U_q} (f \circ \pi)(\xi *_\mu u\eta u^{-1}) du, \quad f \in C(\Xi_q).$$

The neutral element of the hypergroup  $\Xi_{q,\mu} := (\Xi_q, \circ_\mu)$  is  $0 \in \Xi_q$  and the involution is given by the identity mapping.

- (ii) A Haar measure on  $\Xi_q$  is given by

$$\tilde{\omega}_\mu = d_\mu h_\mu(\xi) d\xi, \quad \text{with} \quad h_\mu(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^q \xi_i^{2\gamma+1} \prod_{i < j} (\xi_i^2 - \xi_j^2)^d$$

and a constant  $d_\mu > 0$ .

- (iii) The characters of the hypergroup  $\Xi_q$  are all defined by

$$\psi_\xi(\eta) := \int_{U_q} \varphi_\xi(u\eta u^{-1}) du, \quad \xi \in \Xi_q,$$

where  $\varphi_\xi(r) = \mathcal{J}_\mu(\frac{1}{4}r\xi^2r)$ , and  $\psi_\xi \in C_b(\Xi_q)$ . We easily verify that  $\psi_\xi(\eta) = \psi_\eta(\xi)$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \Xi_q$ .

The dual space of the hypergroup  $\Xi_q = (\Xi_q, \circ_\mu)$  is given by

$$\widehat{\Xi}_{q,\mu} = \{\psi_\xi : \xi \in \Xi_q\}.$$

- (iv) The hypergroup  $\Xi_q$  is self-dual via the homeomorphism  $\Xi_q \rightarrow \widehat{\Xi}_q$ ,  $\xi \mapsto \psi_\xi$ . Under this identification, the Plancherel measure  $\tilde{\pi}_\mu$  of  $\Xi_q$  coincides with the Haar measure  $\tilde{\omega}_\mu$ .
- (v) For  $\xi, \eta \in \Xi_q$ , we have the integral representation

$$\psi_\xi(\eta) = \int_{U_q} \mathcal{J}_\mu \left( \frac{1}{4} \xi u \eta^2 u^{-1} \xi \right) du = \mathcal{J}_\mu(\xi^2/2, \eta^2/2).$$

**2.2. Dunkl theory and Dunkl Bessel functions.** Let  $G$  be a finite reflection group on  $\mathbb{R}^q$  equipped with the usual scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and  $R$  be a reduced root system of  $G$ . From now on we assume that  $R$  is normalized in the sense that  $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2$  for all  $\alpha \in R$ ; this simplifies formulas, but is no loss of generality. We extend the action of  $G$  to  $\mathbb{C}^q$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to a bilinear form on  $\mathbb{C}^q \times \mathbb{C}^q$ . The Dunkl operators associated with  $R$  can be considered as perturbations of the usual partial derivatives by reflection parts. These reflections parts are coupled by means of parameters, which are given in terms of multiplicity functions:

A function  $k : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is invariant under  $G$  is called a *multiplicity function* on  $R$ . For a finite reflection group  $G$  and a fixed multiplicity function  $k$  on its root system, the associated (rational) *Dunkl operators* are defined by

$$(T_n f)(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R} k(\alpha) \alpha_n \frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x \rangle}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq q, x \in \mathbb{R}^q;$$

here  $\sigma_\alpha$  denotes the reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to  $\alpha$  and the action of  $G$  is extended to functions on  $\mathbb{C}^q$  via  $g.f(x) := f(g^{-1}x)$  (see [D] and [DO] for more properties of  $T_n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq q$ ). Moreover, for each fixed  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^q$ , the joint eigenvalue problem

$$T_n f = \omega_n f, \quad f(0) = 1, \quad 1 \leq n \leq q, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^q,$$

has a unique holomorphic solution  $f(z) = E_k(z, \omega)$  called the *Dunkl kernel*. It is symmetric in its arguments and satisfies  $E_k(\lambda z, \omega) = E(z, \lambda \omega)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  as well as  $E_k(gz, \omega) = E(z, g\omega)$  for all  $g \in G$ . The *generalized Bessel function*

$$(2.1) \quad J_k(z, \omega) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} E_k(z, g\omega)$$

is  $G$ -invariant in both arguments. Moreover,  $g(z) = J_k(z, \omega)$  is the unique holomorphic solution of the Bessel system

$$p(T)f = p(\omega)f, \quad g(0) = 1, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}^G,$$

where  $T = (T_1, \dots, T_q)$  and  $\mathcal{P}^G$  denotes the subalgebra of  $G$ -invariant polynomials in  $\mathcal{P}$  (see [O]). The Dunkl kernel  $E_k$  gives rise to an integral transform on  $\mathbb{R}^q$  called the *Dunkl transform*. Let  $\omega_k$  denote the weight function

$$\omega_k(x) = \prod_{\alpha \in R} |\langle \alpha, x \rangle|^{2k(\alpha)}$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^q$ .

Let us denote by  $J_k^B$  the Dunkl type Bessel function associated with the root system  $R = B_q$ , given by

$$B_q = \{\pm e_j : 1 \leq j \leq q\} \cup \{\pm e_i \pm e_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq q\},$$

where  $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq q}$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^q$  and  $k = (k_1, k_2)$  a multiplicity function, and by  $[\cdot, \cdot]_k^B$  the associated Dunkl pairing (see [D], [R2]). For  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_q) \in \mathbb{C}^q$  we put  $z^2 = (z_1^2, \dots, z_q^2)$ .

The key result of [R3] identifies  $J_k^B$  with a generalized  ${}_0F_1$  hypergeometric function of two arguments: For  $z, \omega \in \mathbb{C}^q$ , we have

$$J_k^B(z, \omega) = {}_0F_1^\alpha(\mu; z^2/2, \omega^2/2) \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{k_2}, \mu = k_1 + (m - 1)k_2 + 1/2.$$

As a consequence, Bessel functions associated with a symmetric cone can be identified with Dunkl Bessel functions of type  $B_q$  with specific multiplicities.

Let  $\Omega$  be an irreducible symmetric cone in a Euclidean Jordan algebra of rank  $q$ . Then for  $r, s \in \overline{\Omega}$  with eigenvalues  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q)$  and  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q)$  respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_\mu(r^2/2, s^2/2) = J_k^B(\xi, i\eta)$$

where  $k = k(\mu, d) = (\mu - (d/2)(q - 1) - 1/2, d/2)$ .

A consequence of the above identification can be formulated in two ways:

1) The characters of the hypergroup  $\Xi_{q, \mu}$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_q$ , are given by

$$\psi_\eta(\xi) = J_k^B(\xi, i\eta), \quad \eta \in \Xi_q,$$

with multiplicity  $k = k(\mu, d)$  as above.

2) Consider a root system of type  $B_q$  with multiplicity  $k = (k_1, k_2)$  where  $k_2 = d/2$ ,  $d \in \{1, 2, 4\}$ , and  $k_1 = (d/2)(p - q + 1) - 1/2$  for integer  $p \geq q$  or arbitrary  $k_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}(dq - 1)$ . Then the associated Dunkl type Bessel functions  $\xi \mapsto J_k^B(\xi, i\eta)$  are the characters of the hypergroup  $(\Xi_q, \circ_\mu)$ , where  $\mu = k_1 + (q - 1)k_2 + 1/2$  and the convolution  $\circ_\mu$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$  depending on the value of  $d$ . In particular we have

**THEOREM 2.1** ([R3]). *The Bessel function  $J_k^B$  satisfies the positive product formula*

$$(2.2) \quad J_k^B(\xi, z) J_k^B(\eta, z) = \int_{\Xi_q} J_k^B(\zeta, z) d(\delta_\xi \circ_\mu \delta_\eta)(\zeta), \quad \xi, \eta \in \Xi_q, z \in \mathbb{C}^q.$$

We shall need the following anti-symmetry of Dunkl operators ([R2]).

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let  $k \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq n \leq q$ . Then for every  $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^q)$  and  $G \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^q)$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (T_n F)(x)G(x)\omega_k(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x)(T_n G)(x)\omega_k(x) dx.$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. *In our situation, i.e. for the Haar measure  $\tilde{\omega}_\mu$ ,*

$$\int_{\Xi_q} (T_n f)(\eta)g(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta) = - \int_{\Xi_q} f(\eta)(T_n g)(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta), \quad 1 \leq n \leq q.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta) = d_\mu h_\mu(\eta)d\eta$ . Notice that  $h_\mu$  coincides up to a constant factor with  $\omega_k$  for  $k = k(\mu, d)$ . As  $\omega_k$  is  $B_q$ -invariant, we therefore have

$$T_n f = T_n F|_{\Xi_q}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq q,$$

where  $F$  (resp.  $G$ ) is the  $B_q$ -invariant extension of  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ) to  $\mathbb{R}^q$ . ■

The Dunkl transform is defined on  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^q, \omega_k)$  by

$$f \mapsto \hat{f}^k, \quad \hat{f}^k(\xi) = c_k^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} f(x)E_k(-i\xi, x)\omega_k(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^q,$$

with the constant

$$c_k := \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} e^{-|x|/2}\omega_k(x) dx$$

(see [dJ1] and [dJ2] for more details). It shares many properties with the usual Fourier transform to which it reduces in case  $k = 0$ . In particular, the Dunkl transform (as normalized above) extends to an isometric isomorphism of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^q, \omega_k)$ , and

$$(\widehat{T_\eta f})^k(\xi) = i\xi_n \hat{f}^k(\xi)$$

for differentiable  $f$  of sufficient decay.

The symmetric Bessel transform on  $\Xi_q$  is given by

$$\hat{f}(\eta) = \int_{\Xi_q} f(\xi)J_k^B(\xi, i\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi).$$

As  $\omega_k$  is  $B_q$ -invariant, we have  $\hat{f}(\eta) = \text{const} \cdot \hat{F}^k(\eta)$ , where  $F$  denotes the  $B_q$ -invariant extension of  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}^q$  and  $\hat{F}^k$  its Dunkl transform. Using the Plancherel theorem for the Dunkl transform and the identification of  $\Xi_q$  with its dual we obtain

$$\hat{f} = \hat{F}^k|_{\Xi_q} \quad \text{and} \quad d_\mu = \left( \int_{\Xi_q} h_\mu(x)e^{-|x|^2/2} dx \right)^{-1}.$$

The symmetric Bessel transform has the following properties:

(i) For  $f \in L^1(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  we have

$$(2.3) \quad \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty, \mu} \leq \|f\|_{1, \mu}.$$

(ii) For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$  we have

$$(2.4) \quad \widehat{p(T)f}(\eta) = p(-i\eta)\hat{f}(\eta), \quad p \in \mathcal{P}^G.$$

(iii)  $\hat{\cdot} : f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is a topological automorphism of  $\mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$ .

(iv)  $\hat{\cdot} : f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is an isometric automorphism of  $L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  and we have the Parseval and Plancherel formulas: If  $f, g \in L^1(\tilde{\omega}_\mu) \cap L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$ , then

$$\int_{\Xi_q} f\bar{g} d\tilde{\omega}_\mu = \int_{\Xi_q} \hat{f}\bar{\hat{g}} d\tilde{\omega}_\mu, \quad \|\hat{f}\|_{2,\mu} = \|f\|_{2,\mu}.$$

(v) (Inversion formula) For  $f \in L^1(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  such that  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  we have

$$(2.5) \quad f(\eta) = \int_{\Xi_q} \hat{f}(\xi) J_k^B(\xi, i\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi).$$

For more details about these properties see [BH, Section 2.2, Chap 2]. (We shall apply the results of this section to our hypergroup  $\Xi_q$  where its characters for  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_q$  are given by  $\psi_\eta(\xi) = J_k^B(\xi, i\eta)$  and the Plancherel measure coincides with  $\tilde{\omega}_\mu$  under the natural identification of  $\Xi_q$  with its dual.)

### 2.3. Symmetric Bessel translation and symmetric Bessel convolution

DEFINITION 2.4. For  $\xi, \eta \in \Xi_q$  and a continuous function  $f$  on  $\Xi_q$ , we put

$$(\tau_\eta f)(\xi) = \int_{\Xi_q} f(\zeta) d(\delta_\xi \circ_\mu \delta_\eta)(\zeta),$$

and call  $\tau_\eta$  the *symmetric Bessel translation* operator on  $\Xi_q$ .

The symmetric Bessel translation operator has the following properties.

PROPERTIES.

- (1)  $\tau_\eta$  is a continuous linear operator from  $C_c(\Xi_q)$  into itself.
- (2) For  $\xi, \eta \in \Xi_q$  and  $f \in C_c(\Xi_q)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau_\eta f)(\xi) &= (\tau_\xi f)(\eta), & \tau_\eta \circ \tau_\xi &= \tau_\xi \circ \tau_\eta, \\ (\tau_0 f)(\xi) &= f(\xi), & T_n \circ \tau_\eta &= \tau_\eta \circ T_n. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) For all  $\xi \in \Xi_q$ , the operator  $\tau_\xi$  can be extended to  $L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) and for  $f \in L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  we have

$$\|\tau_\xi f\|_{p,\mu} \leq \|f\|_{p,\mu}.$$

- (4) (Product formula) For all  $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \Xi_q$ ,

$$\tau_\xi(J_k^B(\cdot, \zeta))(\eta) = J_k^B(\xi, \zeta) J_k^B(\eta, \zeta).$$



- (5) Let  $f, g$  be two measurable and positive functions on  $\Xi_q$  and let  $\xi \in \Xi_q$ . If either  $f$  or  $g$  is  $\sigma$ -finite with respect to  $\tilde{\omega}_\mu$ , then

$$\int_{\Xi_q} (\tau_\xi f)(\eta)g(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta) = \int_{\Xi_q} f(\eta)(\tau_\xi g)(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta).$$

- (6) For all  $\xi, \eta \in \Xi_q$  and  $f \in L^1(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$ , we have

$$\widehat{\tau_\xi f}(\eta) = J_k^B(\xi, i\eta)\hat{f}(\eta).$$

DEFINITION 2.5. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two continuous functions on  $\Xi_q$  with compact support. Then we define the *convolution* of  $f$  and  $g$  by

$$(f \circ_\mu g)(\xi) = \int_{\Xi_q} (\tau_\xi f)(\eta)g(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta), \quad \text{a.e. } \xi.$$

PROPERTIES.

- (1) The convolution  $\circ_\mu$  is associative and commutative.
- (2) Let  $p, q, r \in [1, \infty]$  be such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$ . The map  $(f, g) \mapsto f \circ_\mu g$ , defined on  $C_c(\Xi_q) \times C_c(\Xi_q)$ , extends to a continuous map from  $L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu) \times L^q(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  to  $L^r(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$ , and

$$\|f \circ_\mu g\|_{r,\mu} \leq \|f\|_{p,\mu}\|g\|_{q,\mu}.$$

- (3) If  $f \in L^1(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  and  $g \in L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad \widehat{f \circ_\mu g} = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}.$$

- (4) If  $\text{supp}(f) \subset \{x : |x| \leq a\}$  and  $\text{supp}(g) \subset \{x : b \leq |x| \leq c\}$  with  $0 < a < b < c$ , then

$$(2.7) \quad \text{supp}(f \circ_\mu g) \subset \{x : b - a \leq |x| \leq c + a\}.$$

**3. Hörmander multiplier theorem.** Let  $m : \Xi_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded measurable function. We define a linear transformation  $T_m$  on  $L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu) \cap L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  by

$$\widehat{T_m f}(\xi) = m(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi).$$

We shall say that  $m$  is an  $L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  multiplier if

$$(3.1) \quad \|T_m f\|_{p,\mu} \leq A_p \|f\|_{p,\mu}.$$

The smallest  $A_p$  for which (3.1) holds will be called the norm of the multiplier. We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_p$  the class of  $L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  multipliers with the indicated norm. It is clearly a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose that  $m$  is a bounded  $C^\ell$ -function on  $\Xi_q \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $\ell$  is the least integer such that  $\ell > \mu q$ , satisfying the Hörmander condition: For every differential monomial  $\partial_\xi^s$ ,  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_q)$  with  $|s| =$*

$s_1 + \dots + s_q \leq \ell$ , and every  $0 < R < \infty$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \left( \int_{R/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2R} |\partial_\xi^s m(\xi)|^2 d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \right)^{1/2} \leq CR^{\mu q - |s|},$$

where  $C$  is a constant. Then  $m \in \mathfrak{M}_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , that is,

$$\|T_m f\|_{p,\mu} \leq A_p \|f\|_{p,\mu}.$$

REMARK 3.2. Theorem 3.1 gives a sufficient condition for a function  $m$  to be a  $G$ -invariant Dunkl multiplier of the root system  $B_q$ .

The condition (3.2) is satisfied if for  $\ell$  an integer greater than  $\mu q$ ,  $m$  is a  $C^\ell$ -function on  $\Xi_q \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying

$$|\partial_\xi^s m(\xi)| \leq C/|\xi|^{|s|} \quad \text{whenever } |s| \leq k.$$

The following theorem plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $h \in L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  be such that its symmetric Bessel transform  $\hat{h}$  is essentially bounded. Put  $H(\xi, \eta) = (\tau_\xi h)(\eta)$  and suppose that

$$\int_{|\xi-\eta| \geq 2|\eta-\eta_0|} |H(\xi, \eta) - H(\xi, \eta_0)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C, \quad \eta, \eta_0 \in \Xi_q.$$

Let  $T$  be a bounded linear transformation mapping  $L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  to itself, such that for  $f \in L^1 \cap L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad (Tf)(\xi) = \int_{\Xi_q} H(\xi, \eta) f(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta)$$

for a.e.  $\xi \in \Xi_q$ . Then there exists a constant  $A_p$  such that

$$\|Tf\|_{p,\mu} \leq A_{p,\mu} \|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p \leq 2.$$

One can thus extend  $T$  to all of  $L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  by continuity. The constant  $A_p$  depends only on  $p$ ,  $C$ , and the rank  $q$ . In particular it does not depend on the  $L^2$  norm of  $h$ .

REMARK 3.4. The assumption that  $h \in L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  is made for the purpose of having a direct definition of  $Tf$  on a dense subset of  $L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  (in this case  $L^1 \cap L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$ ) and it could be replaced by other assumptions. In applications this hypothesis is of no consequence since it can be dispensed with by an appropriate limiting process; this is because the final bounds in Theorem 3.3 do not depend on the  $L^2$  norm of  $h$ .

We first note that  $(\Xi_q, \tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  is a space of homogeneous type ([S2, Ch. I]), that is, there is a fixed constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_\mu(B_q(x, 2r)) \leq C\tilde{\omega}_\mu(B_q(x, r)), \quad x \in \Xi_q, r > 0,$$

where  $B_q(x, r)$  is the intersection of  $\Xi_q$  with the closed ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $x$ . Then we can adapt to our context the classical technique.

We shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $f$  be a nonnegative integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^q$  and  $\alpha$  be a positive constant. Then there exists a decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^q$  so that*

- (i)  $\mathbb{R}^q = F \cup \Omega, F \cap \Omega = \emptyset.$
- (ii)  $f(\xi) \leq \alpha$  almost everywhere on  $F.$
- (iii)  $\Omega$  is the union of cubes,  $\Omega = \bigcup_k Q_k,$  whose interiors are disjoint, and for each  $Q_k$  there exist constants  $A$  and  $C$  (depending only on the dimension  $q$ ) such that

$$\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\Omega) \leq \frac{A}{\alpha} \|f\|_{1,\mu}, \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}_\mu(Q_k)} \int_{Q_k} f(\xi) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C\alpha.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4 of [S1, p. 17]. In fact, it suffices to replace the Lebesgue measure by  $\tilde{\omega}_\mu.$  ■

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* First, for  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu),$  we have

$$\widehat{Tf}(\zeta) = \hat{h}(\zeta)\hat{f}(\zeta).$$

The Plancherel theorem gives

$$\|Tf\|_{2,\mu} \leq C\|f\|_{2,\mu},$$

which implies that  $T$  has a unique extension to all  $L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu),$  where the above inequality still valid. For  $\alpha > 0,$  we obtain

$$\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\{\xi \in \Xi_q : |(Tf)(\xi)| > \alpha\}) \leq \frac{C^2}{\alpha^2} \int_{\Xi_q} |f|^2 d\tilde{\omega}_\mu, \quad f \in L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu).$$

Thus  $T$  is of weak type  $(2, 2).$

Now, to prove Theorem 3.3 it suffices to prove that  $T$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  and conclude by the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem. For this it suffices to replace, in the proof of Theorem 3 of [S2, p. 20],  $q$  by 2,  $B_k^*$  by  $Q_k$  and  $B_k^{**}$  by  $Q_k^*,$  so  $F^* = (\bigcup B_k^{**})^c.$  ■

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we need two lemmas.

In the first lemma, we prove a Bernstein inequality for the symmetric Bessel translation. An analogous result has been proved in [GS] for the generalized translation associated with the Bessel operator.

LEMMA 3.6 (Bernstein’s inequality). *Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f \in L^1(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  be such that  $\hat{f}$  is supported in  $B_\lambda = \{|\xi| \leq \lambda\}.$  Then for all  $x, y \in \Xi_q,$*

$$\|\tau_x f - \tau_y f\|_{1,\mu} \leq C\lambda|x - y| \|f\|_{1,\mu}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$  be a radial function satisfying  $\hat{h} = 1$  in  $B_1$  and let  $h_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{\mu q}h(\lambda x);$  then  $\hat{h}_\lambda(x) = \hat{h}(x/\lambda) = 1$  in  $B_\lambda.$

An explicit formula for  $\tau_x h$ , due to Rösler [R1], with  $h(y) = \tilde{h}(|y|)$ , is

$$(\tau_x h)(y) = \int_{\Xi_q} \tilde{h}(A(x, -y, \eta)) d\nu_x(\eta),$$

where  $\nu_x$  is a probability measure supported in the convex hull  $\text{co}(Gx)$  and

$$A(x, y, \eta) = \sqrt{|x|^2 + |y|^2 - 2\langle y, \eta \rangle}.$$

Writing

$$\tau_x f - \tau_y f = h_\lambda \circ_\mu (\tau_x f - \tau_y f) = (\tau_x h_\lambda - \tau_y h_\lambda) \circ_\mu f,$$

we obtain

$$\|\tau_x f - \tau_y f\|_{1,\mu} \leq \|\tau_x h_\lambda - \tau_y h_\lambda\|_{1,\mu} \|f\|_{1,\mu} = \|\tau_{\lambda x} h - \tau_{\lambda y} h\|_{1,\mu} \|f\|_{1,\mu}.$$

Let  $x, y \in \Xi_q$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_x h - \tau_y h\|_{1,\mu} &= \int_{\Xi_q} |(\tau_x h)(z) - (\tau_y h)(z)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{\Xi_q} |(\tau_z h)(x) - (\tau_z h)(y)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\Xi_q} \int_{\Xi_q} |\tilde{h}(A(z, -x, \eta)) - \tilde{h}(A(z, -y, \eta))| d\nu_z(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\Xi_q} \int_{\Xi_q} \int_0^1 |\tilde{h}'(A(z, -x + s(x - y), \eta))| \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{d}{ds} A(z, -x + s(x - y), \eta) \right| ds d\nu_z(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\left| \frac{d}{ds} A(z, -x + s(x - y), \eta) \right| \leq |x - y|$ , it follows that

$$\|\tau_x h - \tau_y h\|_{1,\mu} \leq |x - y| \int_{\Xi_q} \sup_{s \in [0,1]} \sup_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^q} |\tilde{h}'(A(z, -x + s(x - y), \eta))| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(z).$$

Thus

$$\|\tau_x f - \tau_y f\|_{1,\mu} \leq C\lambda|x - y| \|f\|_{1,\mu}. \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.7. Assume  $m$  satisfies the condition (3.2). Then there exists a locally integrable function  $h \in \Xi_q \setminus \{0\}$  such that for all  $\xi \in (\text{supp}(f))^c$ ,

$$(T_m f)(\xi) = \int_{\Xi_q} H(\xi, \eta) f(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta)$$

where  $H$  is given by  $H(\xi, \eta) = (\tau_\xi h)(\eta)$ ,  $\xi \neq \eta$ .

*Proof.* In the whole proof  $C$  will denote constants depending only on  $q$  which may have different values in different formulas.

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi_q)$  be a function supported in  $\{1/2 < |\xi| < 2\}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi_j(\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \neq 0,$$

where we put  $\varphi_j(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)1_{\Xi_q}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi_1, \dots, 2^{-j}\xi_q)1_{\Xi_q}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q)$ . Let  $m_j(\xi) = m(\xi)\varphi_j(\xi)$ . The support of  $m_j$  is contained in the spherical crown  $\{2^{j-1} < |\xi| < 2^{j+1}\}$ . Leibniz's formula gives, for  $1 \leq n \leq q$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad \partial_\xi^s m_j(\eta) = \sum_{a+b=s} 2^{-j|b|} \partial_\xi^a m(\xi) \partial_\xi^b \varphi(2^{-j}\eta).$$

Using (3.2) with  $R = 2^j$  and the fact that the derivatives of  $\varphi$  are bounded, we obtain

$$\int_{2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}} \sum_{|s| \leq k} |2^j|^{|s|} |\partial_\xi^s m_j(\xi)|^2 d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C \cdot 2^{j\mu q}.$$

Let  $h_j$  be the inverse symmetric Bessel transform of  $m_j$ . Plancherel's theorem and (2.4) yield

$$\|(-|\eta|^2)^{|s|} h_j(\eta)\|_{2,\mu} = \|\Delta_\kappa^{|s|} m_j\|_{2,\mu}, \quad |s| \leq \ell,$$

where  $\Delta_\kappa = \sum_{n=1}^q T_n^2$  is the Dunkl laplacian.

We shall now prove the estimate

$$(3.5) \quad \|\Delta_\kappa^{|s|} m_j\|_{2,\mu} \leq C \cdot 2^{j(\mu q - |s|)}, \quad |s| \leq \ell.$$

The Dunkl operator is given by

$$T_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + \sum_{\alpha \in R} a_{\alpha,n} \frac{\text{id} - \sigma_\alpha}{\langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle}.$$

where  $a_{\alpha,\xi} = \frac{1}{2}k(\alpha)\alpha_n$ . Recall that for  $\alpha \in R$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha$  is the reflection in the hyperplane perpendicular to  $\alpha$ . Since  $\Xi_q$  is a Weyl chamber (see [R3]), if  $\eta \in \Xi_q$ , then for all  $\alpha \in R$ ,  $\sigma_\alpha \eta \notin \Xi_q$ ; thus  $m_j(\sigma_\alpha \eta) = 0$ . Therefore the Dunkl operator is reduced to

$$(T_n m_j)(\eta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_n} m_j(\eta) + \sum_{\alpha \in R} a_{\alpha,n} \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \eta \rangle} m_j(\eta).$$

Since  $\partial/\partial \eta_n$  and  $\text{id}/\langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle$  do not commute, we cannot apply the binomial formula. A straightforward calculation gives, for  $\eta \neq 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (T_n^r m_j)(\eta) &= \frac{\partial^r}{\partial \eta_n^r} m_j(\eta) + \sum_{a+b < r} C_{\alpha,n,a,b} \frac{\partial^a}{\partial \eta_n^a} \left( \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \eta \rangle} \right) \frac{\partial^b}{\partial \eta_n^b} m_j(\eta) \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{\alpha \in R} a_{\alpha,n} \frac{1}{\langle \alpha, \eta \rangle} \right)^r \cdot m_j(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.4) and (3.2), we immediately obtain

$$\int_{\Xi_q} |(T_n^r m_j)(\eta)|^2 d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta) \leq C \cdot 2^{2j(\mu q - r)}.$$

By (2.4) and Plancherel’s theorem, we obtain for  $|s| \leq \ell$ ,

$$\|\Delta_\kappa^{|s|} m_j\|_{2,\mu} = \|(-|\eta|^2)^{|s|} h_j\|_{2,\mu} \leq C \cdot 2^{j(\mu q - |s|)}.$$

Applying this formula with  $|s| = 0$  and  $|s| = \ell$ , we find that the series

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \|h_j\|_{2,\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \|(-|\eta|^2)^{|s|} h_j\|_{2,\mu}$$

are convergent and  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |h_j(\eta)|$  is convergent for a.e.  $\eta \neq 0$ .

Now, using the fact that if  $x \notin \text{supp}(f)$ , then  $0 \notin \text{supp}(\tau_x f)$ , we obtain, by Cauchy–Schwarz’s inequality,

$$\int_{\Xi_q} |(\tau_\xi f)(\eta)| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} |h_j(\eta)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta) \leq \|\tau_\xi f\|_{2,\mu} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \|h_j\|_{2,\mu} < \infty,$$

$$\int_{\Xi_q} |(\tau_\xi f)(\eta)| \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} |h_j(\eta)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta) \leq \left\| \frac{(\tau_\xi f)(\eta)}{(-|\eta|^2)^\ell} \right\|_{2,\mu} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \|(-|\eta|^2)^\ell h_j(\eta)\|_{2,\mu} < \infty.$$

Putting  $h = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} h_j$ , we can write

$$(T_m f)(\xi) = \int_{\Xi_q} h(\eta)(\tau_\xi f)(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta) = \int_{\Xi_q} H(\xi, \eta) f(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta).$$

This completes the proof. ■

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* The adjoint operator  $T_m^*$  is the multiplier operator associated with  $\bar{m}$  and

$$(T_m^* f)(\xi) = \int_{\Xi_q} \overline{H(\eta, \xi)} f(\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta).$$

From this and a duality argument, it suffices to show that the function  $H$  satisfies

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\|\xi|-|\eta|>2|\eta-\eta_0|} |(\tau_\eta h_j)(\xi) - (\tau_{\eta_0} h_j)(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C, \quad \eta_0 \in \Xi_q.$$

To simplify we can assume that  $\eta_0 = 0$ ; then we have  $|\eta - \eta_0| = |\eta| = t$ , and  $\|\xi| - |\eta|\| > 2|\eta - \eta_0|$  can be replaced by  $|\xi| > 2t$ . So (3.6) becomes

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{|\xi|>2t} |(\tau_\eta h_j)(\xi) - h_j(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C.$$

Now to prove (3.7), we need the estimates

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\Xi_q} |h_j(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C, \quad \int_{|\xi|>t} |h_j(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C(2^j t)^{\mu q - |s|}.$$

Cauchy–Schwarz’s inequality, Parseval’s formula and (3.5) give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Xi_q} |h_j(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\xi(\eta) &\leq \|(1 + |\eta|^2)^{-\ell}\|_{2,\mu} \|(1 + |\eta|^2)^\ell h_j\|_{2,\mu} \\ &\leq C \cdot 2^{-j\mu q} \sum_{b=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{b} 2^{jb} \|\Delta_\kappa^b m_j\|_{2,\mu} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this also shows that  $|m_j| = |\hat{h}_j| \leq C$  almost everywhere, hence  $|\sum m_j| \leq 2C$  since at most two  $m_j$  can be  $\neq 0$  at any point. In the same way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi|>t} |h_j(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) &\leq \|(1 + 2^j |\xi|^2)^\ell h_j(\xi)\|_{2,\mu} \left( \int_{|\xi|>t} ((1 + 2^j |\xi|^2)^\ell)^{-2\ell} d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(2^j t)^{\mu q - |s|}, \end{aligned}$$

proving (3.8).

Write

$$M_N = \sum_{j=-N}^N m_j, \quad H_N = \sum_{j=-N}^N h_j.$$

Then  $|M_N| \leq 2C$ , hence

$$\|H_N\|_{2,\mu} = \|M_N\|_{2,\mu} \leq 2C.$$

Let us estimate

$$\int_{|\xi|>2t} |(\tau_\eta H_N)(\xi) - H_N(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi), \quad |\eta| \leq t.$$

The second inequality of (3.8) gives

$$\int_{|\xi|\geq 2t} |(\tau_\eta h_j)(\xi) - h_j(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C(2^j t)^{\mu q - |s|},$$

which is a good estimate when  $2^j t \geq 1$ . Further, the first inequality of (3.8) and Bernstein’s inequality give

$$\int_{\Xi_q} |(\tau_\eta h_j)(\xi) - h_j(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C \cdot 2^{j+1} t, \quad |\eta| \leq t,$$

since the spectrum of  $h_j$  is contained in the ball with radius  $2^{j+1}$ . Thus, when  $|\eta| \leq t$ ,

$$\int_{|\xi|>2t} |(\tau_\eta H_N)(\xi) - H_N(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \min(2^j t, (2^j t)^{\mu q - |s|})$$

and since the sum is obviously a bounded function of  $t$ , we get

$$\int_{|\xi|>2t} |(\tau_\eta H_N)(\xi) - H_N(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C, \quad |\eta| \leq t.$$

As  $H_N$  converges to  $h = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} h_j$  and by continuity of  $\tau_\eta$ , we obtain

$$\int_{|\xi|>2t} |(\tau_\eta h)(\xi) - h(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) \leq C, \quad |\eta| \leq t.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. ■

**4. Bilinear multiplier operator.** Now consider  $m$  in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^q)$ , smooth away from the origin and satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad |\partial_\xi^r \partial_\eta^s m(\xi, \eta)| \leq C_{r,s} (|\xi| + |\eta|)^{-(|r|+|s|)}$$

for all  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}^q$ . It is associated with the multiplier bilinear operator

$$C_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} m(\xi, \eta) \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) e^{ix(\xi+\eta)} d\xi d\eta$$

where  $f$  and  $g$  are Schwartz functions.

The known result of Coifman and Meyer [CM1] says that  $C_m$  is bounded from  $L^{p_1} \times L^{p_2}$  into  $L^{p_3}$  whenever  $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 < \infty$  and  $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p_3$ .

In this section, we are concerned with an analogous bilinear operator associated with the symmetric Bessel transform, defined on  $\mathcal{S}(\Xi_q) \times \mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$  by

$$B_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\Xi_q} \int_{\Xi_q} m(\xi, \eta) \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) J_k(\xi, ix) J_k(\eta, ix) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta).$$

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $m$  be a bounded  $C^\infty$ -function on  $\Xi_q^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  satisfying (4.1). Then  $B_m$  can be extended to a bounded operator from  $L^{p_1}(\tilde{\omega}_\mu) \times L^{p_2}(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  into  $L^{p_3}(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$  whenever  $1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 < \infty$  and  $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p_3$ .*

For the proof we adopt the same strategy as in [CM2]. The idea is to split the multiplier  $m$  into  $m_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , where  $m_j$  is supported in an appropriate cone set. One then invokes Littlewood–Paley theory to establish the assertion for each  $m_j$ .

As a preliminary step we collect some standard facts from Littlewood–Paley theory, extended to the Dunkl Bessel setting.

To begin, let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi_q)$  be supported in  $\{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$  and such that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$



For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let

$$(S_j f)(x) = \int_{\Xi_q} \phi(2^{-j}\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) J_k(\xi, ix) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi).$$

Hence one can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (S_j f)(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x.$$

We define the Littlewood–Paley square function by

$$Sf = \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |S_j f|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

As in the classical theory, using Theorem 3.1 and Khintchine’s inequality [Ha], we get the following fundamental  $L^p$ -inequalities:

$$(4.2) \quad C'_p \|f\|_{p,\mu} \leq \|Sf\|_{p,\mu} \leq C_p \|f\|_{p,\mu}$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$  and any  $1 < p < \infty$ . As a consequence of (4.2) we have

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $0 < a \leq b < \infty$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that if  $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a sequence of functions in  $\mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$  with  $\text{supp}(\hat{f}_j) \subset \{a2^j \leq |\xi| \leq b2^j\}$  and  $\sum_j f_j$  is convergent in  $L^p(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$ , then*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f_j \right\|_{p,\mu} \leq C_p \left\| \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p,\mu}.$$

Now, let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi_q)$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\lambda \in \Xi_q$ , we define  $f_{j,\lambda} = f_{\varphi,j,\lambda}$  by

$$\hat{f}_{j,\lambda}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) J_k(\lambda, i2^j\xi) \hat{f}(\xi).$$

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi_q)$  with  $0 \notin \text{supp}(\varphi)$  and let  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $\ell > \mu q$ ). Then for all  $1 < p < \infty$  there exists a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in \Xi_q$ ,*

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f_{j,\lambda}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p,\mu} \leq C_p (1 + |\lambda|^2)^\ell \|f\|_{p,\mu} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi_q).$$

*Proof.* Consider the multiplier operator associated with

$$m_{N,\lambda}(\xi) = (1 + |\lambda|^2)^{-\ell} \sum_{j=-N}^N \varepsilon_j \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) J_k(\lambda, i\xi 2^{-j}), \quad \varepsilon_j \in \{+1, -1\}, N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using (2.1) and the properties of the Dunkl kernel (see [D] or [R2]), we can easily see that  $m_{N,\lambda}$  satisfies (3.2) uniformly in  $\lambda$ ,  $N$  and in the choice of  $\varepsilon_j$ . Then an application of Khintchine’s inequality and Theorem 3.1 gives (4.3). ■

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi_q)$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  (large enough). Then for  $1 < p < \infty$  there exists a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \in \Xi_q$ ,*

$$(4.4) \quad \left\| \sup_j |f_{j,\lambda}| \right\|_{p,\mu} \leq C_p(1 + |\lambda|^2)^\ell \|f\|_{p,\mu}.$$

*Proof.* One can write

$$f_{j,\lambda} = 2^{2j\mu q} \psi_\lambda(2^j \cdot) \circ_\mu f$$

where  $\hat{\psi}_\lambda(\cdot) = J_k(\lambda, i \cdot) \varphi(\cdot)$ , which satisfies the estimate

$$|\psi_\lambda(x)| \leq C \frac{(1 + |\lambda|^2)^\ell}{(1 + |x|^2)^\ell}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus

$$|f_{j,\lambda}| \leq 2^{2j\mu q} \psi_\lambda^e(2^j \cdot) \circ_\mu f^e$$

where  $h^e(x) = \sum_{g \in G} |h(gx)|$ . So, (4.4) can be deduced from (2.1) and Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 of [TX]. ■

LEMMA 4.5. *Let  $m$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function on  $\Xi_q \times \Xi_q$ , satisfying (4.1). Then*

$$(4.5) \quad |T_{n,\xi}^r T_{\ell,\eta}^s m(\xi, \eta)| \leq \frac{C_{r,s}}{(|\xi| + |\eta|)^{r+s}}$$

for any  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  where

$$T_{n,\xi} f(\xi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} f(\xi) + \sum_{\alpha \in R} \kappa(\alpha) \alpha_n \frac{f(\xi) - f(\sigma_\alpha(\xi))}{\langle \alpha, \xi \rangle}.$$

*Proof.* Let us remark that for  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ , we can write

$$(T_n f)(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} f(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha) \alpha_n \int_0^1 \partial_x f(x - t \langle \alpha, x \rangle \alpha) dt.$$

Applying this successively to the function  $m(\xi, \cdot)$  and using (4.1), we get

$$(4.6) \quad \left| |\xi|^{j+s} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \xi_n^j} T_{n,\eta}^s m(\xi, \eta) \right| \leq C_{j,s} \quad \text{for all } j, s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

However,

$$|\xi|^{r+s} T_{n,\xi}^r T_{\ell,\eta}^s m(\xi, \eta) = \sum_{j=0}^r \left( |\xi|^{j+s} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \xi_n^j} a_j \sum_{g \in G} T_{\ell,\eta}^s m(g\xi, \eta) \right),$$

where  $a_j$  is a constant. So by (4.6) we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \left| |\xi|^{r+s} T_{n,\xi}^r T_{\ell,\eta}^s m(\xi, \eta) \right| \leq C_{r,s}.$$

Similarly

$$(4.8) \quad \left| |\eta|^{r+s} T_{n,\xi}^r T_{\ell,\eta}^s m(\xi, \eta) \right| \leq C_{r,s}.$$

Combining (4.7) and (4.8) yields

$$|T_{n,\xi}^r T_{\ell,\eta}^s m(\xi, \eta)| \leq \frac{C_{r,s}}{|\xi|^{r+s} + |\eta|^{r+s}} \leq \frac{C_{r,s}}{(|\xi| + |\eta|)^{r+s}}.$$

Here  $C_{r,s}$  is a constant depending on  $r, s$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Given  $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi_q)$  supported in  $[-\frac{1}{65}, \frac{1}{65}]$  with  $\gamma(x) = 1$  in  $[-\frac{1}{257}, \frac{1}{257}]$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(\xi, \eta) &= m(\xi, \eta) \gamma\left(\frac{\eta^2}{\xi^2 + \eta^2}\right), \\ m_2(\xi, \eta) &= m(\xi, \eta) \left(1 - \gamma\left(\frac{\eta^2}{\xi^2 + \eta^2}\right)\right) \gamma\left(\frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + \eta^2}\right), \\ m_3(\xi, \eta) &= m(\xi, \eta) \left(1 - \gamma\left(\frac{\eta^2}{\xi^2 + \eta^2}\right)\right) \left(1 - \gamma\left(\frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + \eta^2}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $m_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ , satisfy the condition (4.1), since every homogenous function of degree 0 does. We are therefore reduced to proving the boundedness of  $B_m$  for  $\text{supp}(m) \subset D_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{(\xi, \eta) : |\eta| \leq \frac{1}{8}|\xi|\}, \\ D_2 &= \{(\xi, \eta) : |\xi| \leq \frac{1}{8}|\eta|\}, \\ D_3 &= \{(\xi, \eta) : \frac{1}{16}|\xi| \leq |\eta| \leq 16|\xi|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\text{supp}(m) \subset D_1$ . We begin by decomposing  $m$ , using a dyadic partition of unity given by taking  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi_q)$  supported in  $\{1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

Then one can write  $m = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} m_j$  with  $m_j(\xi, \eta) = m(\xi, \eta)\varphi(2^{-j}\xi)$ , so  $m_j$  is supported in  $\{(\xi, \eta) : 2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}, |\eta| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2^j\}$ . Note that  $m_j$  satisfies (4.1) uniformly in  $j$ , and similarly for (4.5). Next put, for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(4.9) \quad h_j(y, z) = \int_{\Xi_q} \int_{\Xi_q} m_j(2^j\xi, 2^j\eta) J_k(\xi, iy) J_k(\eta, iz) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta).$$

Since for all  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}^q$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{|r|+|s|} |y|^{2|r|} |z|^{2|s|} h_j(y, z) \\ &= 2^{2j(|r|+|s|)} \int_{\Xi_q} \int_{\Xi_q} \Delta_\kappa^{|r|} \Delta_\kappa^{|s|} m_j(2^j\xi, 2^j\eta) J_k(\xi, iy) J_k(\eta, iz) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

using (4.5) we deduce that, for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(4.10) \quad (1 + |y|^2)^N (1 + |z|^2)^N |h_j(y, z)| \leq C_N.$$

Here  $C_N$  is a constant independent of  $j$ . A remarkable consequence of the estimate (4.10) is that (4.9) can be inverted; by the inversion formula,

$$(4.11) \quad m_j(\xi, \eta) = \int_{\Xi_q} \int_{\Xi_q} h_j(y, z) J_k(y, i2^{-j}\xi) J_k(z, i2^{-j}\eta) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(y) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(z).$$

Now, let  $\theta, \chi \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi_q)$  be respectively supported in  $\{x : 7/16 \leq |x| \leq 3\}$  and  $\{x : |x| \leq 5/16\}$ , with  $\theta(x) = 1$  in  $\{x : 1/2 \leq |x| \leq 2\}$  and  $\chi(x) = 1$  in  $\{x : |x| \leq 1/4\}$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $y, z \in \Xi_q$  define the functions  $f_{j,y}, g_{j,z} \in \mathcal{S}(\Xi_q)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_{j,y}(\xi) &= \theta(2^{-j}\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) J_k(y, i2^{-j}\xi), & \xi \in \Xi_q, \\ \hat{g}_{j,z}(\eta) &= \chi(2^{-j}\eta) \hat{g}(\eta) J_k(z, i2^{-j}\eta), & \eta \in \Xi_q. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.11), we obtain

$$B_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\Xi_q} \int_{\Xi_q} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} h_j(y, z) f_{j,y}(x) g_{j,z}(x) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(y) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(z).$$

We note that  $\sum_j h_j(y, z) f_{j,y}(x) g_{j,z}(x)$  converges in  $L^2(\tilde{\omega}_\mu)$ . Indeed, by (4.10),

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|h_j(y, z) f_{j,y} g_{j,z}\|_{2,\mu} \leq C \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_{j,y} g_{j,z}\|_{2,\mu}.$$

However, from (2.3), (2.4) and (2.6),

$$\|f_{j,y} g_{j,z}\|_{2,\mu} \leq C \|f_{j,y}\|_\infty \|g_{j,z}\|_{2,\mu} \leq C \|\hat{f}_{j,y}\|_{1,\mu} \|\hat{g}\|_{2,\mu}.$$

Moreover,

$$\|\hat{f}_{j,y}\|_{1,\mu} \leq C \int_{\{7/16 \cdot 2^j \leq |\xi| \leq 3 \cdot 2^j\}} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(\xi),$$

which implies the convergence of  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}_{j,y}\|_{1,\mu}$  and  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_{j,y} g_{j,z}\|_{2,\mu}$ .

Next, in view of (2.6) and (2.7),  $\widehat{f_{j,y} g_{j,z}} = \hat{f} \circ_\mu \hat{g}$  is supported in  $\{2^{j-3} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+2}\}$ . Then, by using (4.10), Hölder's inequality, and Lemmas 4.2–4.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} h_j(y, z) f_{j,y} g_{j,z} \right\|_{r,\mu} &\leq C \left\| \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} |h_j(y, z) f_{j,y} g_{j,z}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{r,\mu} \\ &\leq \frac{C_N}{(1 + |y|^2)^N (1 + |z|^2)^N} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_{j,y}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p,\mu} \left\| \sup_j |g_{j,z}| \right\|_{q,\mu} \\ &\leq C_N \frac{(1 + |y|^2)^\ell (1 + |z|^2)^\ell}{(1 + |y|^2)^N (1 + |z|^2)^N} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|g\|_{q,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $N$  and  $\ell$  are arbitrary large integers, we can choose  $N > 2\mu q + \ell$  to

obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_m(f, g)\|_{r,\mu} &\leq C_N \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|g\|_{q,\mu} \int_{\Xi_q} \frac{(1 + |y|^2)^\ell (1 + |z|^2)^\ell}{(1 + |y|^2)^N (1 + |z|^2)^N} d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(y) d\tilde{\omega}_\mu(z) \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|g\|_{q,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the boundedness of  $B_m$  is proved.

As  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are symmetric with respect to the origin, if  $\text{supp}(m) \subset D_2$  the boundedness of  $B_m$  follows by similar arguments.

Now suppose that  $\text{supp}(m) \subset D_3$ . Proceeding as in the first case and considering  $\theta, \chi \in \mathcal{D}(\Xi_q)$  respectively supported in  $\{x : 1/3 \leq |x| \leq 3\}$  and  $\{x : 1/48 \leq |x| \leq 48\}$  with  $\theta(x) = 1$  in  $\{x : 1/2 \leq |x| \leq 2\}$  and  $\chi(x) = 1$  in  $\{x : 1/32 \leq |x| \leq 32\}$ , by Cauchy–Schwarz’s inequality, Hölder’s inequality and Lemma 4.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} h_j(y, z) f_{j,y} g_{j,z} \right\|_{r,\mu} \\ &\leq \frac{C_N}{(1 + |y|^2)^N (1 + |z|^2)^N} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_{j,y}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p,\mu} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |g_{j,z}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{q,\mu} \\ &\leq C_N \frac{(1 + |y|^2)^\ell (1 + |z|^2)^\ell}{(1 + |y|^2)^N (1 + |z|^2)^N} \|f\|_{p,\mu} \|g\|_{q,\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, choosing  $N > 2\mu q + \ell$  we deduce the boundedness of  $B_m$ , which completes the proof of the theorem. ■

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are supported by the DGRST research project 04/UR/15-02 and the CMCU program 10G1503.

REFERENCES

[AGS] B. Amri, A. Gasmi and M. Sifi, *Linear and bilinear multiplier operators for the Dunkl transform*, Mediterr. J. Math. 7 (2010), 503–521.  
 [A] J. Ph. Anker,  *$L^p$  Fourier multipliers on Riemannian symmetric spaces of the noncompact type*, Ann. of Math. (2) 132 (1990), 597–628.  
 [BH] W. R. Bloom and H. Heyer, *Harmonic Analysis of Probability Measures on Hypergroups*, de Gruyter Stud. Math. 20, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.  
 [CM1] R. Coifman et Y. Meyer, *Opérateurs multilinéaires*, Hermann, Paris, 1991.  
 [CM2] R. Coifman et Y. Meyer, *Commutateurs d’intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 28 (1978), no. 3, 177–202.  
 [dJ1] M. F. E. de Jeu, *The Dunkl transform*, Invent. Math. 113 (1993), 147–162.  
 [dJ2] M. F. E. de Jeu, *Paley–Wiener theorems for the Dunkl transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 4225–4250.  
 [D] C. F. Dunkl, *Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 311 (1989), 167–183.  
 [DO] C. F. Dunkl and E. M. Opdam, *Dunkl operators for complex reflection groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 86 (2003), 70–108.

- [FK] J. Faraut and A. Korányi, *Analysis on Symmetric Cones*, Oxford Sci. Publ., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [FT] J. Faraut and G. Travaglini, *Bessel functions associated with representations of formally real Jordan algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 71 (1987), 123–141.
- [GS] J. Gosselin and K. Stempak, *A weak-type estimate for Fourier–Bessel multipliers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1989), 655–662.
- [Ha] U. Haagerup, *The best constants in the Khintchine inequality*, Studia Math. 70 (1981), 231–283.
- [Ho] L. Hörmander, *Estimates for translation invariant operators on  $L^p$  spaces*, Acta Math. 104 (1960), 93–140.
- [J] R. I. Jewett, *Spaces with an abstract convolution of measures*, Adv. Math. 18 (1975), 1–101.
- [O] E. M. Opdam, *Dunkl operators, Bessel functions and the discriminant of a finite Coxeter group*, Compos. Math. 85 (1993), 333–373.
- [R1] M. Rösler, *A positive radial product formula for the Dunkl kernel*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 2413–2438.
- [R2] M. Rösler, *Dunkl operators: Theory and applications*, in: Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions (Leuven, 2002), Lecture Notes in Math. 1817, Springer, 2003, 93–135.
- [R3] M. Rösler, *Bessel convolutions on matrix cones*, Compos. Math. 143 (2007), 749–779.
- [S1] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [S2] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [TX] S. Thangavelu and Y. Xu, *Convolution operator and maximal function for the Dunkl transform*, J. Anal. Math. 97 (2005), 25–55.

Khadija Houissa, Mohamed Sifi  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Sciences of Tunis  
University Tunis El Manar  
2092, Tunis, Tunisia  
E-mail: k.houissa@laposte.net  
mohamed.sifi@fst.rnu.tn

Received 16 June 2011;  
revised 9 December 2011

(5518)