

*PRODUCT RULE AND CHAIN RULE ESTIMATES FOR
THE HAJŁASZ GRADIENT ON DOUBLING METRIC
MEASURE SPACES*

BY

A. EDUARDO GATTO (Chicago, IL) and CARLOS SEGOVIA (Buenos Aires)

Abstract. We use the Calderón Maximal Function to prove the Kato–Ponce Product Rule Estimate and the Christ–Weinstein Chain Rule Estimate for the Hajłasz gradient on doubling measure metric spaces.

1. Introduction and definitions. In [4] it was shown that the Kato–Ponce Product Rule Estimate [9] and the Christ–Weinstein Chain Rule Estimate [3] are valid for fractional derivatives on spaces of homogeneous type [5].

Since the Hajłasz gradient [6] is equivalent in L^p to the Calderón Maximal Function of order one [1], [2] (see Theorem 1 below), and the Calderón Maximal Function can be thought of as a “maximal fractional derivative of integral order”, it is reasonable to expect that both estimates mentioned before are also valid for the Calderón Maximal Function and consequently for the Hajłasz gradient on doubling measure metric spaces. The purpose of this paper is to prove this claim.

The equivalence between the Hajłasz gradient and the Calderón Maximal Function is also implicit in [7], where Hajłasz and Kinnunen consider the sharp maximal function. We give here a direct proof.

We shall say that (X, d, μ) is a *metric measure space* if (X, d) is a metric space and μ is a Borel measure on X . Let $B_r(x)$ denote the ball of center x and radius $r > 0$. If μ satisfies the condition

$$\mu(B_{2r}(x)) \leq C_D \mu(B_r(x))$$

with a constant C_D independent of x and r , then (X, d, μ) will be called a *doubling metric measure space*.

Following Hajłasz [6] we shall say that a measurable function f has a *gradient* g in $L^p(X, d, \mu)$ if

$$(1.1) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq d(x, y)(g(x) + g(y))$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46E35, 42B35, 26A33; Secondary 28A15, 46G05.

Key words and phrases: Hajłasz gradient, Calderón Maximal Function, Product Rule Estimate, Chain Rule Estimate.

for all $x, y \in X$. It was shown in [6] that for $p > 1$ there exists a unique $g = f^\nabla$ in L^p satisfying (1.1) and such that

$$(1.2) \quad \|f^\nabla\|_p = \inf_g \|g\|_p,$$

where the infimum is taken over all g satisfying (1.1). We will call f^∇ the *Hajlasz gradient* of f in L^p .

Let f be a measurable function and $u \geq 1$. We define the *Calderón Maximal Function* $N_u(f)$ of order one [1] by

$$N_u(f, x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u}.$$

We denote by $M_u, u \geq 1$, the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function

$$M_u(g)(x) = \sup_{r>0} \left[\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |g(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right]^{1/u}.$$

We show in Theorem 1 the relationship between f^∇ and $N_u(f)$. In Theorem 2 we prove the main estimate for $N_u(f)$ that is needed to obtain the rules. We prove in Theorem 3 the Product Rule Estimate and in Theorem 4 the Chain Rule Estimate. The letter C will denote a constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

2. Theorems and proofs

THEOREM 1. *Let (X, d, μ) be a doubling metric measure space, f a measurable function on X , $1 < p < \infty$, and $1 \leq u < p$.*

(a) *If f has the Hajlasz gradient in L^p , then there is a constant $C_1(u, p)$ such that*

$$\|N_u(f)\|_p \leq C_1(u, p) \|f^\nabla\|_p.$$

(b) *If $N_u(f)$ is in L^p , then f has the Hajlasz gradient in L^p and there is a constant $C_2(u, p)$ such that*

$$\|f^\nabla\|_p \leq C_2(u, p) \|N_u(f)\|_p.$$

Proof. We first prove (a). Let g satisfy (1.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\ & \leq r \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} [g(x) + g(y)]^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\ & \leq r \left[g(x) + \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} [g(y)]^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by r and taking the supremum over r we get

$$N_u(f, x) \leq g(x) + M_u(g)(x).$$

Since $p > u$, we have $\|M_u(g)\|_p \leq C(u, p)\|g\|_p$, thus

$$\|N_u(f, x)\|_p \leq C_1(u, p)\|f^\nabla\|_p.$$

We now prove (b). Let $x, y \in X$ and $r = d(x, y)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(x) - f(z)|^u d\mu(z) \right)^{1/u} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(z) - f(y)|^u d\mu(z) \right)^{1/u} \\ &\leq \frac{d(x, y)}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(x) - f(z)|^u d\mu(z) \right)^{1/u} \\ &\quad + \frac{d(x, y)}{r} \left[\frac{\mu(B_{2r}(y))}{\mu(B_r(x))} \right]^{1/u} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_{2r}(y))} \int_{B_{2r}(y)} |f(z) - f(y)|^u d\mu(z) \right)^{1/u} \\ &\leq d(x, y)[2C_D^{2/u} N_u(f, x) + 2C_D^{2/u} N_u(f, y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (1.1) and (1.2) it follows that

$$\|f^\nabla\|_p \leq 2C_D^{2/u} \|N_u(f)\|_p. \quad \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 2. *Let $1 \leq u < s \leq \infty$ and $1/s = 1/p + 1/q$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, $1 < q \leq \infty$. If $N_u(f) \in L^p$ and $h \in L^q$, then*

$$\left\| \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)|^u |h(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \right\|_s \leq C \|N_u(f)\|_p \|h\|_q,$$

where C is a constant independent of f and h .

Proof. We use inequality (2.1) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)|^u |h(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} 2^u d^u(x, y) C_D^2 [N_u(f, x) + N_u(f, y)]^u |h(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2^u C_D^2}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} [N_u(f, x) + N_u(f, y)]^u |h(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2N_u(f, x)C_D^{2/u} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |h(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\
&\quad + 2C_D^{2/u} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} N_u^u(f, y)|h(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\
&\leq 2C_D^{2/u} N_u(f, x)M_u(h)(x) + 2C_D^{2/u} M_u(N_u(f) \cdot h)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, taking the supremum on the left hand side, then the L^s -norm of both sides, and using the fact that $1 \leq u < s \leq q$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)|^u |h(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \right\|_s \\
&\leq C[\|N_u(f) \cdot M_u(h)\|_s + \|M_u(N_u(f) \cdot h)\|_s] \\
&\leq C[\|N_u(f)\|_p \|M_u(h)\|_q + \|N_u(f) \cdot h\|_s] \leq C\|N_u(f)\|_p \|h\|_q. \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3 (Product Rule Estimate). *Let $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty, 1 < q_1, q_2 \leq \infty, 1 < s \leq \infty, 1 < u < s$ and $1/s = 1/p_1 + 1/q_1 = 1/p_2 + 1/q_2$. If $N_u(f) \in L^{p_1}, N_u(g) \in L^{p_2}, f \in L^{q_2}$ and $g \in L^{q_1}$, then $N_u(fg) \in L^s$, and there is a constant C independent of f and g such that*

$$\|N_u(fg)\|_s \leq C[\|N_u(f)\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{q_1} + \|N_u(g)\|_{p_2} \|f\|_{q_2}].$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
N_u(fg)(x) &= \sup_r \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)g(y) - f(x)g(x)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\
&\leq \sup_r \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)|^u |g(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\
&\quad + \sup_r \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |g(y) - g(x)|^u |f(x)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\
&\leq \sup_r \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)|^u |g(y)|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\
&\quad + N_u(g, x)f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

We now compute the L^s -norm of both sides, and using Theorem 2 and Hölder's inequality to estimate the terms on the right hand side, we obtain

$$\|N_u(fg)\|_s \leq C[\|N_u(f)\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{q_1} + \|N_u(g)\|_{p_2} \|f\|_{q_2}]. \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 4 (Chain Rule Estimate). *Let $F \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$ and $H(z) = \sup_{|w|<|z|} |F'(w)|$, where $|F'(w)|$ denotes $|\nabla \operatorname{Re}(F)(w)| + |\nabla \operatorname{Im}(F)(w)|$. Let*

$1/s = 1/p + 1/q$, $1 < s \leq \infty$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, $1 < q \leq \infty$, $1 \leq u < s$. If $N_u(g) \in L^q$ and $H \circ g \in L^p$, then $N_u(F \circ g) \in L^s$ and

$$\|N_u(F \circ g)\|_s \leq C \|H \circ g\|_p \|N_u(g)\|_q$$

with C independent of F and g .

Proof. Observe that

$$\sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1} |F'(\lambda z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2)| \leq H(z_1) + H(z_2)$$

for any z_1, z_2 . Then applying the Mean Value Theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(g(y)) - F(g(x))| &\leq \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1} |F'(\lambda g(y) + (1 - \lambda)g(x))| |g(y) - g(x)| \\ &\leq [H(g(y)) + H(g(x))] |g(y) - g(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} N_u(F \circ g, x) &= \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int |F(g(y)) - F(g(x))|^u d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} \\ &\leq \sup_r \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |g(y) - g(x)|^u H^u(g(y)) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/u} + H(g(x)) N_u(g, x). \end{aligned}$$

We now compute the L^s -norm of both sides, and using Theorem 2 and Hölder's inequality to estimate the terms on the right hand side, we obtain

$$\|N_u(F \circ g)\|_s \leq C \|H \circ g\|_p \|N_u(g)\|_q. \quad \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY (of Theorem 3). *Let L_1^p be the space of functions f such that $f \in L^p$ and $N_u(f) \in L^p$, $1 \leq u < p$. Then $L_1^p \cap L^\infty$ is closed under pointwise multiplication.*

Proof. Let $f, g \in L_1^p \cap L^\infty$. Clearly $f \cdot g$ is in $L^p \cap L^\infty$. On the other hand, from Theorem 3 with $q_1 = q_2 = \infty$ and $p_1 = p_2 = p$ we get $N_u(fg) \in L^p$. \blacksquare

COROLLARY (of Theorem 4, Power Rule Estimate). *Let $F(z) = z^n$, n integer > 1 , $1/s = 1/p + 1/q$, $1 < s \leq \infty$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, $1 < q \leq \infty$, $1 \leq u < s$. If $g^{n-1} \in L^p$ and $N_u(g) \in L^q$, then $N_u(g^n) \in L^s$ and*

$$\|N_u(g^n)\|_s \leq C_n \|g^{n-1}\|_p \|N_u(g)\|_q.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4 by observing that $H(z) \leq c_n |z^{n-1}|$. \blacksquare

NOTE 1. The explicit formula for H in Theorem 4 was suggested by Michael Christ (personal communication).

NOTE 2. The Power Rule Estimate can also be proven directly using Theorem 2 and Hölder's inequality, by observing that

$$g^n(x) - g^n(y) = (g(x) - g(y)) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g^{n-1-k}(x) g^k(y) \right)$$

and that

$$\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{n-k-1}{(n-1)q} + \frac{k}{(n-1)q}.$$

NOTE 3. Observe that the definition of the Calderón Maximal Function and inequality (2.1) imply that “ f is a Lipschitz function of order 1 if and only if $N_u(f)$ is in L^∞ , and $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(1)}$ is equivalent to $\|N_u(f)\|_\infty$ ”.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Calderón, *Estimates for singular integral operators in terms of maximal functions*, *Studia Math.* 44 (1972), 563–582.
- [2] A. P. Calderón and R. Scott, *Sobolev type inequalities for $p > 0$* , *ibid.* 62 (1978), 75–92.
- [3] F. M. Christ and M. I. Weinstein, *Dispersion of small amplitude solutions of the generalized Korteweg–de Vries equation*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 100 (1991), 87–109.
- [4] A. E. Gatto, *Product rule and chain rule estimates for fractional derivatives on spaces that satisfy the doubling condition*, *ibid.* 188 (2002), 27–37.
- [5] A. E. Gatto, C. Segovia and S. Vagi, *On fractional differentiation and integration on spaces of homogeneous type*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamer.* 12 (1996), 111–145.
- [6] P. Hajlasz, *Sobolev spaces on an arbitrary metric space*, *Potential Anal.* 5 (1996), 403–415.
- [7] P. Hajlasz and J. Kinnunen, *Hölder quasicontinuity of Sobolev functions on metric spaces*, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamer.* 14 (1998), 601–622.
- [8] P. Hajlasz and P. Koskela, *Sobolev meets Poincaré*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 320 (1995), 1211–1215.
- [9] J. Heinonen, *Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [10] T. Kato and G. Ponce, *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier–Stokes equations*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 41 (1998), 891–907.

Department of Mathematics
DePaul University
Chicago, IL 60614, U.S.A.
E-mail: aegatto@condor.depaul.edu

Instituto Argentino de Matemática
(IAM-CONICET)
Saavedra 15
Buenos Aires, Argentina
E-mail: segovia@iamba.edu.ar

Received 9 March 2005

(4575)