

*A SIMPLE SOLUTION OF HILBERT'S FOURTEENTH PROBLEM  
IN DIMENSION FIVE*

BY

ARNO VAN DEN ESSEN (Nijmegen)

**Abstract.** We give a short proof of a counterexample (due to Daigle and Freudenburg) to Hilbert's fourteenth problem in dimension five.

**Introduction.** In 1900 at the International Congress of Mathematicians in Paris David Hilbert presented a list of 23 problems, intended to challenge the mathematicians of the new century. The fourteenth problem of this list can be stated as follows: let  $k$  be a field,  $k[x] := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring,  $k(x)$  its quotient field and  $L$  a subfield containing  $k$ .

*Is  $L \cap k[x]$  a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra?*

A positive answer was given by Zariski ([7]) in case  $\text{trdeg}_k L \leq 2$ . However in 1958 Nagata ([5]) constructed a counterexample in dimension 32. Then in 1988 Roberts ([6]) found a new counterexample in dimension 7. Recently, in 1998 Freudenburg ([2]), studying Robert's example, found a 6-dimensional counterexample, from which a 5-dimensional example was obtained in 1999 by Daigle and Freudenburg in [1]: they consider on  $B := k[X, S, T, U, V]$  the derivation  $D := X^3\partial_S + S\partial_T + T\partial_U + X^2\partial_V$  and show that  $B^D := \ker D : B \rightarrow B$  is not finitely generated over  $k$  (then the quotient field  $L$  of  $B^D$  is a counterexample to Hilbert fourteen, since  $L \cap B = B^D$ ).

The main aim of this note is to give a short proof of this result, by substantially simplifying the arguments given in [1] and [2].

Finally, I would like to mention that recently S. Kuroda has constructed new counterexamples to Hilbert fourteen in the missing dimensions 4 and 3 ([3], [4]).

**1. The main result.** Throughout this paper we use the following notations:  $k$  is a field of characteristic zero,

$$B := k[X, S, T, U, V], \quad D_0 := X^3\partial_S + S\partial_T + T\partial_U, \quad D := D_0 + X^2\partial_V.$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13A50, 14R20.

*Key words and phrases*: Hilbert's fourteenth problem, locally nilpotent derivations.

Furthermore,

$$A := k[S, T, U], \quad D_1 := \partial_S + S\partial_T + T\partial_U.$$

Finally, for any  $0 \neq f \in B$ ,  $\deg f$  denotes the usual degree of  $f$ . We also use another grading on  $A$  given by a vector  $w \in \mathbb{N}^3$  and we write  $w$ -deg to denote the degree with respect to this grading. The main aim of this note is to give a short proof of

**THEOREM 1.1** (Daigle–Freudentburg).  *$B^D$  is not a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra.*

The proof is based on the following result which will be proved in the next section.

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Let  $e : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be defined by  $e(3l) = 2l$ ,  $e(3l + 1) = e(3l + 2) = 2l + 1$  for all  $l \geq 0$ . There exist  $c_0 = 1, c_1, c_2, \dots$  in  $A$  with  $D_1 c_i = c_{i-1}$  and  $\deg c_i \leq e(i)$  for all  $i \geq 1$*

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* (i) Define

$$a_i := X^{2i+1} c_i \left( \frac{S}{X^3}, \frac{T}{X^3}, \frac{U}{X^3} \right) \quad \text{for } i \geq 0.$$

Then one easily verifies that  $D_0 a_i = X^2 a_{i-1}$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and that

$$F_n := \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \frac{n!}{(n-i)!} a_i V^{n-i} \in B^D \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Suppose now that  $B^D$  is finitely generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_s$  over  $k$ . We may assume that  $g_i(0) = 0$  for all  $i$ . Write  $g_i = \sum g_{ij} V^j$  with  $g_{ij} \in k[X, S, T, U]$ . By (ii) below we find that  $g_{ij} \in (X, S, T, U)$  for all  $i, j$ . Let  $d$  denote the maximum of the  $V$ -degrees of all  $g_i$ . Consider  $F_{d+1} = X V^{d+1}$  + lower degree  $V$ -terms as above. So  $F_{d+1} \in B^D = k[g_1, \dots, g_s]$ . Looking at the coefficient of  $V^{d+1}$ , we deduce that  $X \in (X, S, T, U)^2$ , a contradiction.

(ii) To prove that  $g_{ij} \in (X, S, T, U)$  for all  $i, j$  it suffices to show that if  $g = \sum g_j V^j \in B^D$  satisfies  $g(0) = 0$  then each  $g_j \in (X, S, T, U)$ . First, clearly  $g_0 \in (X, S, T, U)$ . So let  $j \geq 1$ . From  $Dg = 0$  we get  $j g_j X^2 = D_0(-g_{j-1}) \in D_0(k[X, S, T, U]) \subset (X^3, S, T)$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . If  $g_j(0) \in k^*$ , then  $X^2 \in (X^3, S, T, U X^2)$ , contradiction. So  $g_j(0) = 0$ , i.e.  $g_j \in (X, S, T, U)$ .

## 2. The proof of Proposition 1.2. Put

$$T_1 := T - \frac{1}{2} S^2, \quad U_1 := U - ST + \frac{1}{3} S^3.$$

Then  $A = k[T_1, U_1][S]$ . Since  $D_1 T_1 = D_1 U_1 = 0$  and  $D_1 S = 1$  we get  $A_1^D = k[T_1, U_1]$ . Consider on  $A$  the grading defined by  $w(S) = 1, w(T) = 2$  and  $w(U) = 3$ . Then  $D_1(A_n) \subset A_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $A_n$  is the  $k$ -span of all monomials of  $A$  of  $w$ -degree  $n$ . By induction on  $n$  we construct  $c_n \in A$ .

So assume that  $c_n$  is already constructed. Write  $c_n = \sum_{i=0}^n H_{n-i}S^i$  with  $H_{n-i} \in A_{n-i} \cap A^{D_1}$  (this is possible since  $A = A^{D_1}[S]$  and  $c_n \in A_n$ ). Then

$$\tilde{c}_{n+1} := \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{i+1} H_{n-i}S^{i+1} \in A_{n+1}$$

and  $D_1(\tilde{c}_{n+1}) = c_n$ . Finally, by Lemma 2.1 below, there exists  $h \in A_{n+1} \cap A^{D_1}$  such that  $\tilde{c}_{n+1} := c_{n+1} - h$  satisfies  $\deg c_{n+1} \leq e(n+1)$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $f \in A_{n+1}$  is such that  $\deg D_1f \leq e(n)$ , then there exists  $h \in A_{n+1} \cap A^{D_1}$  such that  $\deg(f - h) \leq e(n+1)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $n = 3l$  (the cases  $n = 3l + 1$  and  $n = 3l + 2$  are treated similarly) and let  $M$  be the  $k$ -span of all  $f \in A_{n+1}$  such that  $\deg D_1f \leq 2l$  ( $= e(3l)$ ). Write  $f = \sum \alpha_{ijk}S^i T^j U^k$  with  $i + 2j + 3k = 3l + 1$  and  $\alpha_{ijk} \in k$ . Then

$$D_1f = \sum_{i+2j+3k=3l+1} (i\alpha_{ijk} + (j+1)\alpha_{i-2,j+1,k} + (k+1)\alpha_{i-1,j-1,k+1})S^{i-1}T^jU^k.$$

So

$$(*) \quad \deg D_1f \leq 2l \quad \text{iff} \quad i\alpha_{ijk} + (k+1)\alpha_{i-1,j-1,k+1} + (j+1)\alpha_{i-2,j+1,k} = 0$$

for all  $i, j, k$  satisfying  $i + 2j + 3k = 3l + 1$  and  $(i - 1) + j + k \geq 2l + 1$ , i.e.  $i + j + k \geq 2l + 2$ . For such a triple we have  $i > 0$ . Hence by (\*) each  $\alpha_{ijk}$  is a linear combination of certain  $\alpha_{pqr}$ 's with  $p + q + r < i + j + k$ . Consequently, each  $\alpha_{ijk}$  is a linear combination of the  $\alpha_{pqr}$ 's satisfying  $p + q + r = 2l + 2$ . Since there are  $[(l - 1)/2] + 1$  of them (just solve the equations  $p + 2q + 3r = 0$  and  $p + q + r = 2l + 2$ ) it follows that  $\dim \pi(M) \leq [(l - 1)/2] + 1$ , where for any  $g \in A$ ,  $\pi(g)$  denotes the sum of all monomials of  $g$  of degree  $\geq 2l + 2$ .

(ii) Put  $N := A^{D_1} \cap A_{n+1}$ . Then  $N$  is the  $k$ -span of all "monomials"

$$n_p := T_1^{3p+2}U_1^{l-(2p+1)}, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq p \leq [(l - 1)/2].$$

CLAIM. *The  $\pi(n_p)$  are linearly independent over  $k$ .*

It then follows from (i) and the inclusion  $\pi(N) \subset \pi(M)$  that  $\pi(N) = \pi(M)$ , which proves the lemma.

(iii) To see the claim put

$$w_p := (-2)^{3p+2}3^{l-(2p+1)}\pi(n_p)|_{T=0, U=\frac{1}{3}S} = \pi((S^2)^{3p+2}(S + S^3)^{l-(2p+1)}).$$

Observe that

$$(S^2)^{3p+2}(S + S^3)^{l-(2p+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{l-(2p+1)} \binom{l-(2p+1)}{j} S^{3l+1-2j}.$$

Since  $3l + 1 - 2j \geq 2l + 2$  iff  $0 \leq j \leq [(l - 1)/2]$  we get

$$w_p = \sum_{j=0}^{[(l-1)/2]} \binom{l - (2p + 1)}{j} S^{3l+1-2j}.$$

Then the linear independence of the  $w_p$  (and hence of the  $\pi(n_p)$ ) follows since

$$\det \left( \binom{l - (2p + 1)}{j} \right)_{0 \leq p, j \leq [(l-1)/2]} \neq 0.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Daigle and G. Freudenburg, *A counterexample to Hilbert's Fourteenth Problem in dimension five*, J. Algebra 221 (1999), 528–535.
- [2] G. Freudenburg, *A counterexample to Hilbert's Fourteenth Problem in dimension six*, Transformation Groups 5 (2000), 61–71.
- [3] S. Kuroda, *A counterexample to the fourteenth problem of Hilbert in dimension four*, J. Algebra 279 (2004), 126–134.
- [4] —, *A counterexample to the fourteenth problem of Hilbert in dimension three*, Michigan Math. J. 53 (2005), 123–132.
- [5] M. Nagata, *On the Fourteenth Problem of Hilbert*, in: Proc. I.C.M. 1958, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1960, 459–462.
- [6] P. Roberts, *An infinitely generated symbolic blow-up in a power series ring and a new counterexample to Hilbert's fourteenth problem*, J. Algebra 132 (1990), 461–473.
- [7] O. Zariski, *Interprétations algébriques-géométriques du quatorzième problème de Hilbert*, Bull. Sci. Math. 78 (1954), 155–168.

Department of Mathematics  
 Radboud University Nijmegen  
 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands  
 E-mail: A.vandenEssen@math.ru.nl

Received 23 September 2005;

revised 22 October 2005

(4671)