

FINITE GROUPS OF OTP PROJECTIVE REPRESENTATION TYPE

BY

LEONID F. BARANNYK (Slupsk)

Abstract. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, K^* the multiplicative group of K and $G = G_p \times B$ a finite group, where G_p is a p -group and B is a p' -group. Denote by $K^\lambda G$ a twisted group algebra of G over K with a 2-cocycle $\lambda \in Z^2(G, K^*)$. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for G to be of OTP projective K -representation type, in the sense that there exists a cocycle $\lambda \in Z^2(G, K^*)$ such that every indecomposable $K^\lambda G$ -module is isomorphic to the outer tensor product $V \# W$ of an indecomposable $K^\lambda G_p$ -module V and a simple $K^\lambda B$ -module W . We also exhibit finite groups $G = G_p \times B$ such that, for any $\lambda \in Z^2(G, K^*)$, every indecomposable $K^\lambda G$ -module satisfies this condition.

0. Introduction. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and $G = G_p \times B$ a finite group, where G_p is a Sylow p -subgroup and $|G_p| > 1$, $|B| > 1$. Given $\mu \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$ and $\nu \in Z^2(B, K^*)$, the map $\mu \times \nu: G \times G \rightarrow K^*$ defined by

$$(\mu \times \nu)_{x_1 b_1, x_2 b_2} = \mu_{x_1, x_2} \cdot \nu_{b_1, b_2},$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in G_p$, $b_1, b_2 \in B$, belongs to $Z^2(G, K^*)$. Every cocycle $\lambda \in Z^2(G, K^*)$ is cohomologous to $\mu \times \nu$, where μ is the restriction of λ to $G_p \times G_p$ and ν is the restriction of λ to $B \times B$.

From now on, we suppose that each cocycle $\lambda \in Z^2(G, K^*)$ under consideration satisfies the condition $\lambda = \mu \times \nu$, and all $K^\lambda G$ -modules are assumed to be left and finite-dimensional (as vector spaces over K).

Let $\lambda = \mu \times \nu \in Z^2(G, K^*)$ and $\{u_g: g \in G\}$ be a canonical K -basis of $K^\lambda G$. Then $\{u_h: h \in G_p\}$ is a canonical K -basis of $K^\mu G_p$ and $\{u_b: b \in B\}$ is a canonical K -basis of $K^\nu B$. Moreover, if $g = hb$, where $g \in G$, $h \in G_p$, $b \in B$, then $u_g = u_h u_b = u_b u_h$. It follows that $K^\lambda G \cong K^\mu G_p \otimes_K K^\nu B$.

Given a $K^\mu G_p$ -module V and a $K^\nu B$ -module W , we denote by $V \# W$ the $K^\lambda G$ -module whose underlying vector space is $V \otimes_K W$ with the $K^\lambda G$ -module structure given by

$$u_{hb}(v \otimes w) = u_h v \otimes u_b w,$$

for all $h \in G_p$, $b \in B$, $v \in V$, $w \in W$, and extended to $K^\lambda G$ and $V \otimes_K W$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 16G60; Secondary 20C20, 20C25.

Key words and phrases: modular representation, outer tensor product, projective representation, representation type, twisted group algebra.

by K -linearity. The module $V \# W$ is called the *outer tensor product* of V and W (see [21, p. 122]).

We recall from [7, p. 10] the following definitions.

- (a) The algebra $K^\lambda G$ is defined to be of *OTP representation type* if every indecomposable $K^\lambda G$ -module is isomorphic to the outer tensor product $V \# W$, where V is an indecomposable $K^\mu G_p$ -module and W is a simple $K^\nu B$ -module.
- (b) A group $G = G_p \times B$ is defined to be of *OTP projective K -representation type* if there exists a cocycle $\lambda \in Z^2(G, K^*)$ such that the algebra $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type.
- (c) A group $G = G_p \times B$ is said to be of *purely OTP projective K -representation type* if $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type for any $\lambda \in Z^2(G, K^*)$.

In [13] Brauer and Feit proved that if K is algebraically closed, then the group algebra KG is of OTP representation type. Blau [10] and Gudyvok [17, 18] have independently shown that if K is an arbitrary field, then KG is of OTP representation type if and only if G_p is cyclic or K is a splitting field for B . Gudyvok [19, 20] also investigated a similar problem for group rings SG , where S is a complete discrete valuation ring. In [3, 6], the results of Blau and Gudyvok are generalized to the twisted group rings $S^\lambda G$, where $G = G_p \times B$, $S = K$ or S is a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $S = K[[X]]$ be the ring of formal power series in the indeterminate X with coefficients in the field K . In [7], necessary and sufficient conditions on G and K are given for G to be of OTP projective S -representation type and of purely OTP projective S -representation type.

In the present work we determine finite groups $G = G_p \times B$ of OTP projective K -representation type and of purely OTP projective K -representation type.

Denote by l_B the product of all pairwise distinct prime divisors of $|B|$. Unless stated otherwise, we assume that if G_p is non-abelian, then $[K(\varepsilon) : K]$ is not divisible by p , where ε is a primitive l_B th root of 1. This condition is satisfied if K contains a primitive q th root of 1 for every prime q dividing $|B|$ such that the characteristic p divides $q - 1$. For simplicity of presentation, we set

$$i(K) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } [K : K^p] = p^t, \\ \infty & \text{if } [K : K^p] = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let s be the number of invariants of the abelian group G_p/G'_p , and D the subgroup of G_p such that $G'_p \subset D$ and $D/G'_p = \text{soc}(G_p/G'_p)$. Suppose that if $p \neq 2$, $s = i(K) + 1$, G'_p is cyclic and D is a non-abelian group of exponent p , then $|D : Z(D)| = p^2$, where $Z(D)$ is the center of D . We prove in Theorem 3.1 that the group $G = G_p \times B$ is of OTP projective

K -representation type if and only if one of the following three conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $s \leq i(K)$ and G'_p is cyclic;
- (ii) $s = i(K) + 1$, G'_p is cyclic and there exists a cyclic subgroup T of G_p such that $G'_p \subset T$ and G_p/T has $i(K)$ invariants;
- (iii) K is a splitting field for $K^\nu B$ for some $\nu \in Z^2(B, K^*)$.

We also prove in Proposition 3.6 that if $G = G_p \times B$ is abelian, then G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $s \leq i(K) + 1$;
- (ii) B has a subgroup H such that B/H is of symmetric type and K contains a primitive m th root of 1, where $m = \max\{\exp(B/H), \exp H\}$.

Now suppose that K is an arbitrary field of characteristic p . We establish in Proposition 3.11 that if every prime divisor of $|B'|$ is also a divisor of $|B : B'|$, then $G = G_p \times B$ is of purely OTP projective K -representation type if and only if either G_p is cyclic, or $K = K^q$ and K contains a primitive q th root of 1, for each prime q dividing $|B|$.

In the general case, a finite group $G = G_p \times B$ is of purely OTP projective K -representation type if and only if either G_p is cyclic, or there exists a finite central group extension $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \widehat{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 1$ such that any projective K -representation of B lifts projectively to an ordinary K -representation of \widehat{B} and K is a splitting field for \widehat{B} (Theorem 3.12).

Let $t(K^*)$ denote the torsion subgroup of the multiplicative group K^* of K . Assume that either $t(K^*) = t(K^*)^q$ for every prime q dividing $|B'|$, or every prime divisor of $|B'|$ is also a divisor of $|B : B'|$. Then G is of purely OTP projective K -representation type if and only if either G_p is cyclic, or there exists a covering group \widehat{B} of B over K such that K is a splitting field for \widehat{B} (Proposition 3.13).

1. Preliminaries. Throughout the paper, we use the standard group representation theory notation and terminology introduced in the monographs by Alperin [1], Benson [9], Curtis and Reiner [14], and Karpilovsky [21, 22]. The books by Karpilovsky give a systematic account of the projective representation theory. For classical problems and solutions of group representation theory, we refer to [1, 9, 14] and to the old and nice papers [11, 12]. A background of the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras can be found in the monographs by Assem, Simson and Skowroński [2], Drozd and Kirichenko [16], Simson [23], and Simson and Skowroński [24], where among other things the representation types (finite, tame, wild) of finite groups and algebras are discussed.

In particular, we use the following notation: $p \geq 2$ is a prime; K is a field of characteristic p , $K^q = \{\alpha^q : \alpha \in K\}$; K^* is the multiplicative group of K ; $t(K^*)$ is the torsion subgroup of K^* ; $o(\xi)$ is the order of $\xi \in t(K^*)$; $G = G_p \times B$ is a finite group, where G_p is a p -group, B is a p' -group, $|G_p| > 1$ and $|B| > 1$; H' is the commutant of a group H , $Z(H)$ is the center of H , e is the identity element of H , $|h|$ is the order of $h \in H$ and $\exp H$ is the exponent of H ; $\text{soc } A$ is the socle of an abelian group A . Let l_B be the product of all pairwise distinct prime divisors of $|B|$. Unless stated otherwise, we assume that if G_p is non-abelian, then $[K(\varepsilon) : K]$ is not divisible by p , where ε is a primitive l_B th root of 1. It is not difficult to see that $[K(\varepsilon) : K]$ is not divisible by p if and only if $[K(\xi) : K]$ is not divisible by p , where ξ is a primitive $(\exp B)$ th root of 1. Given $\lambda \in Z^2(H, K^*)$, $K^\lambda H$ denotes the twisted group algebra of a group H over K with a 2-cocycle λ , and $\text{rad } K^\lambda H$ the radical of $K^\lambda H$. A K -basis $\{u_h : h \in H\}$ of $K^\lambda H$ satisfying $u_a u_b = \lambda_{a,b} u_{ab}$ for all $a, b \in H$ is called *canonical* (corresponding to λ). If D is a subgroup of a group H , the restriction of $\lambda \in Z^2(H, K^*)$ to $D \times D$ is also denoted by λ . In this case, $K^\lambda D$ is a subalgebra of $K^\lambda H$.

Throughout this paper we assume that all cocycle groups are defined with respect to the trivial action of the underlying group on K^* . By Theorem 4.7 in [21, p. 40], the embedding $t(K^*) \rightarrow K^*$ induces an injective homomorphism

$$H^2(B, t(K^*)) \rightarrow H^2(B, K^*).$$

We shall identify $H^2(B, t(K^*))$ with the subgroup of $H^2(B, K^*)$ which consists of all cohomology classes containing cocycles of finite order.

Given $\mu \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$, the kernel $\text{Ker}(\mu)$ of μ is the union of all cyclic subgroups $\langle g \rangle$ of G_p such that the restriction of μ to $\langle g \rangle \times \langle g \rangle$ is a coboundary. We recall from [4, p. 196] that $G'_p \subset \text{Ker}(\mu)$, $\text{Ker}(\mu)$ is a normal subgroup of G_p and the restriction of μ to $\text{Ker}(\mu) \times \text{Ker}(\mu)$ is a coboundary.

Let M be a finite group, N a normal subgroup of M and $T = M/N$. Given $\mu \in Z^2(T, K^*)$, denote by $\text{inf}(\mu)$ (see [21, p. 14]) the element of $Z^2(M, K^*)$ defined by

$$\text{inf}(\mu)_{a,b} = \mu_{aN, bN} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in M.$$

We have $\text{inf}(\mu)_{x,y} = 1$ for all $x, y \in N$. Therefore

$$K^{\text{inf}(\mu)} N = KN.$$

Let $\lambda = \text{inf}(\mu)$, $\{v_{aN} : a \in M\}$ be a canonical K -basis of $K^\mu T$ corresponding to μ , and $\{u_a : a \in M\}$ a canonical K -basis of $K^\lambda M$ corresponding to λ . The formula

$$f\left(\sum_{a \in M} \alpha_a u_a\right) = \sum_{a \in M} \alpha_a v_{aN}$$

defines a K -algebra epimorphism $f : K^\lambda M \rightarrow K^\mu T$ with the kernel $U :=$

$K^\lambda M \cdot I(N)$, where $I(N)$ is the augmentation ideal of the group algebra KN (see [21, p. 88]). Hence $K^\lambda M/U \cong K^\mu T$. We recall that

$$I(N) = \bigoplus_{x \in N \setminus \{e\}} K(u_x - u_e).$$

Assume that N and M are groups. An *extension* of N by M is a short exact sequence of groups

$$E : 1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} N \rightarrow \widehat{M} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 1.$$

If $\varphi(N)$ is contained in the center of \widehat{M} , then E is called a *central extension*. If N and M are finite groups, then E is a *finite extension*.

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over K , $\text{GL}(V)$ the group of all automorphisms of V , 1_V the identity automorphism of V , M a finite group, and let

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \widehat{M} \xrightarrow{\psi} M \rightarrow 1$$

be a finite central group extension. Denote by $\pi : \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)/K^*1_V$ the canonical group epimorphism. Assume that Γ is an ordinary K -representation of \widehat{M} in V with $\Gamma(x) \in K^*1_V$ for any $x \in N$. There exists a projective K -representation Δ of M in V such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widehat{M} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & \text{GL}(V) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{GL}(V)/K^*1_V \\ \psi \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \text{GL}(V) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{GL}(V)/K^*1_V \end{array}$$

is commutative. We say that Δ *lifts projectively* to the ordinary K -representation Γ of \widehat{M} . If $|N| = |H^2(M, K^*)|$ and any projective K -representation of M lifts projectively to an ordinary K -representation of \widehat{M} , then \widehat{M} is called a *covering group* of M over K [21, p. 138].

We recall that, for any cocycle $\lambda \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$, the quotient algebra $K^\lambda G_p / \text{rad } K^\lambda G_p$ is K -isomorphic to a field that is a finite purely inseparable field extension of K [21, p. 74]. We call $K^\lambda G_p$ *uniserial* if the left regular and the right regular $K^\lambda G_p$ -modules have a unique composition series. It should be noted that some authors use the terminology “uniserial algebra” to mean principal ideal algebras [16, p. 171] and serial algebras (see [15, p. 505] and [16, p. 175]) that are Nakayama algebras [2, p. 168]. By the Morita theorem in [15, p. 507], the algebra $K^\lambda G_p$ is uniserial if and only if $\text{rad } K^\lambda G_p = K^\lambda G_p \cdot v = v \cdot K^\lambda G_p$ for some $v \in K^\lambda G_p$. By [16, p. 170], the algebra $K^\lambda G_p$ is uniserial if and only if $\text{rad } K^\lambda G_p$ is a principal left (equivalently, right) ideal of $K^\lambda G_p$.

We say that an algebra $K^\lambda G_p$ satisfies the *Q-condition* if there exists a K -algebra epimorphism $K^\lambda G_p \rightarrow K^\mu T$, where T is a p -group and T contains an abelian subgroup A such that $K^\mu A$ is not a uniserial algebra.

The following four facts are proved in [6].

LEMMA 1.1. *Let K be an arbitrary field of characteristic p , $G = G_p \times B$, $\mu \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$, $\nu \in Z^2(B, K^*)$ and $\lambda = \mu \times \nu$. If $K^\mu G_p$ is a uniserial algebra or K is a splitting field for $K^\nu B$, then $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type.*

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $G = G_p \times B$, $\mu \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$, $\nu \in Z^2(B, K^*)$, $\lambda = \mu \times \nu$ and assume that $K^\mu G_p$ satisfies the Q -condition. The algebra $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type if and only if K is a splitting field for $K^\nu B$.*

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $G = G_p \times B$, $\mu \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$, $\nu \in Z^2(B, K^*)$, $\lambda = \mu \times \nu$ and $d = \dim_K(K^\mu G_p / \text{rad } K^\mu G_p)$. Denote by D the subgroup of G_p such that $G'_p \subset D$ and $D/G'_p = \text{soc}(G_p/G'_p)$. Assume that if $K^\mu G_p$ is not uniserial, $pd = |G_p : G'_p|$ and $|G'_p| = p$, then $\text{Ker}(\mu) \neq G'_p$ or $|D : Z(D)| \in \{1, p^2\}$. The K -algebra $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type if and only if either $K^\mu G_p$ is uniserial, or K is a splitting field for $K^\nu B$.*

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let K be an arbitrary field of characteristic p , $G = G_p \times B$, $\nu \in Z^2(B, K^*)$ and $K^\lambda G = KG_p \otimes_K K^\nu B$. The K -algebra $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type if and only if either G_p is cyclic, or K is a splitting field for $K^\nu B$.*

2. On splitting fields for twisted group algebras. We say that an abelian group is of *symmetric type* if it can be decomposed into a direct product of two isomorphic subgroups.

Let G be an abelian group, F an arbitrary field, $\lambda \in Z^2(G, F^*)$, $\{u_g : g \in G\}$ a canonical F -basis of $F^\lambda G$ corresponding to λ , Z the center of $F^\lambda G$ and $H = \{h \in G : u_h \in Z\}$. Then H is a subgroup of G and $Z = F^\lambda H$. Obviously

$$H = \{h \in G : \lambda_{h,g} = \lambda_{g,h} \text{ for any } g \in G\}.$$

We call H the λ -center of G .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let G be abelian, $\lambda \in Z^2(G, F^*)$, H the λ -center of G , $\overline{G} = G/H$ and $\overline{x} = xH$ for any $x \in G$. Assume that $G \neq H$.*

- (i) *The algebra $F^\lambda G$ may be viewed as a twisted group ring $Z^{\overline{\lambda}} \overline{G}$ of \overline{G} over the ring $Z = F^\lambda H$. Moreover*

$$\overline{\lambda}_{\overline{x}, \overline{y}} \cdot \overline{\lambda}_{\overline{y}, \overline{x}}^{-1} \in t(F^*) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G.$$

- (ii) *There exists a direct product decomposition $\overline{G} = \overline{C}_1 \times \cdots \times \overline{C}_s$ such that $\overline{C}_i = \langle \overline{a}_i \rangle \times \langle \overline{b}_i \rangle$ is a q_i -group of type $(q_i^{n_i}, q_i^{n_i})$,*

$$Z^{\overline{\lambda}} \overline{G} \cong Z^{\overline{\lambda}} \overline{C}_1 \otimes_Z \cdots \otimes_Z Z^{\overline{\lambda}} \overline{C}_s$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{C}_i = \bigoplus_{j,k=0}^{q_i^{n_i}-1} Z v_{\bar{a}_i}^j v_{\bar{b}_i}^k$$

with

$$v_{\bar{a}_i}^{q_i^{n_i}} = \alpha_i v_{\bar{e}}, \quad v_{\bar{b}_i}^{q_i^{n_i}} = \beta_i v_{\bar{e}}, \quad v_{\bar{a}_i} v_{\bar{b}_i} = \varepsilon_i v_{\bar{b}_i} v_{\bar{a}_i},$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in Z$, $\varepsilon_i \in t(F^*)$ and $o(\varepsilon_i) = q_i^{n_i}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.

(iii) \bar{G} is a group of symmetric type and F contains a primitive m th root of 1, where $m = \exp \bar{G}$.

Proof. Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ be a cross section of H in G and $g_1 = e$. Then

$$F^\lambda G = Z u_{g_1} \oplus \dots \oplus Z u_{g_r}.$$

Put $v_{\bar{g}_i} = u_{g_i}$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. The algebra $F^\lambda G$ may be viewed as a twisted group ring $Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G}$ of the group \bar{G} over the ring Z with a canonical Z -basis $v_{\bar{g}_1}, \dots, v_{\bar{g}_r}$. For any $x, y \in G$ we have $v_x v_y = \xi v_y v_x$, where $\xi \in t(F^*)$. The ring Z is the center of $Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G}$. We also have

$$Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G} \cong Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G}_{q_1} \otimes_Z \dots \otimes_Z Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G}_{q_k},$$

where \bar{G}_{q_i} is the Sylow q_i -subgroup of \bar{G} for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Let q be a prime and $\bar{G}_q = \langle \bar{x}_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \bar{x}_t \rangle$ be a Sylow q -subgroup of \bar{G} . Assume that $|\bar{x}_j| = q^{m_j}$ and $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_t$. The set

$$\{v_{\bar{x}_1}^{k_1} \dots v_{\bar{x}_t}^{k_t} : k_i = 0, 1, \dots, q^{m_i} - 1 \text{ for every } i \in \{1, \dots, t\}\}$$

is a Z -basis of the algebra $Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G}_q$. We have

$$v_{\bar{x}_1} v_{\bar{x}_j} = \xi_j v_{\bar{x}_j} v_{\bar{x}_1}$$

for any $j \in \{2, \dots, t\}$, where $\xi_j \in F^*$ and $o(\xi_j) \leq q^{m_j}$. If $m_1 > m_2$, then $v_{\bar{x}_1}^{q^{m_2}} \neq v_{\bar{e}}$ and $v_{\bar{x}_1}^{q^{m_2}}$ belongs to the center of $Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G}$. Hence there exists an \bar{x}_{j_0} such that $|\bar{x}_{j_0}| = q^{m_1}$ and $o(\xi_{j_0}) = q^{m_1}$. Let $j_0 = 2$ and $\xi = \xi_2$. We have

$$v_{\bar{x}_1} v_{\bar{x}_2} = \xi v_{\bar{x}_2} v_{\bar{x}_1}, \quad v_{\bar{x}_i} v_{\bar{x}_j} = \xi^{\gamma_{ij}} v_{\bar{x}_j} v_{\bar{x}_i}$$

for all i, j , where $0 \leq \gamma_{ij} < q^{m_1}$ and $o(\xi^{\gamma_{ij}}) \leq \max\{|\bar{x}_i|, |\bar{x}_j|\}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$.

Let $\bar{y}_1 = \bar{x}_1$, $\bar{y}_2 = \bar{x}_2$, $\bar{y}_3 = \bar{x}_1^{\alpha_{31}} \bar{x}_2^{\alpha_{32}} \bar{x}_3$, \dots , $\bar{y}_t = \bar{x}_1^{\alpha_{t1}} \bar{x}_2^{\alpha_{t2}} \bar{x}_t$ and

$$w_{\bar{y}_1} = v_{\bar{x}_1}, \quad w_{\bar{y}_2} = v_{\bar{x}_2}, \quad w_{\bar{y}_3} = v_{\bar{x}_1}^{\alpha_{31}} v_{\bar{x}_2}^{\alpha_{32}} v_{\bar{x}_3}, \quad \dots, \quad w_{\bar{y}_t} = v_{\bar{x}_1}^{\alpha_{t1}} v_{\bar{x}_2}^{\alpha_{t2}} v_{\bar{x}_t},$$

where

$$\alpha_{j1} = \gamma_{2j}, \quad \alpha_{j2} = q^{m_1} - \gamma_{1j}$$

for every $j \in \{3, \dots, t\}$. Then

$$w_{\bar{y}_1} w_{\bar{y}_j} = w_{\bar{y}_j} w_{\bar{y}_1}, \quad w_{\bar{y}_2} w_{\bar{y}_j} = w_{\bar{y}_j} w_{\bar{y}_2}$$

for every $j \in \{3, \dots, t\}$, and $\bar{G}_q = \langle \bar{y}_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle \bar{y}_t \rangle$. Therefore

$$Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G}_q \cong Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G}_q^{(1)} \otimes_Z Z^{\bar{\lambda}}\bar{G}_q^{(2)},$$

where $\overline{G}_q^{(1)} = \langle \overline{y}_1 \rangle \times \langle \overline{y}_2 \rangle$, $\overline{G}_q^{(2)} = \langle \overline{y}_3 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle \overline{y}_t \rangle$ and $Z^\lambda \overline{G}_q^{(2)}$ is Z -central. By induction on t , we conclude that

$$Z^\lambda \overline{G}_q \cong Z^\lambda \overline{D}_1 \otimes_Z \cdots \otimes_Z Z^\lambda \overline{D}_{s_q},$$

where \overline{D}_j is a q -group of type (q^{k_j}, q^{k_j}) and $Z^\lambda \overline{D}_j$ is a central Z -algebra of the form (2.1), for any $j \in \{1, \dots, s_q\}$.

The group \overline{G}_q is of symmetric type. Hence \overline{G} is a group of symmetric type. The field F contains a primitive m_q th root of 1, where $m_q = \exp \overline{G}_q$. It follows that F contains a primitive m th root of 1, where $m = \exp \overline{G}$. ■

We note that Proposition 2.1 is a generalization of Theorem 2.12 in [22, p. 375]. From Proposition 2.1 one can also deduce Corollary 1.12 in [22, p. 368].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let B be an abelian p' -group, $\lambda \in Z^2(B, K^*)$, H the λ -center of B and $\overline{B} = B/H$. Assume that K is a splitting field for $K^\lambda B$.*

- (i) *The field K contains a primitive $(\exp H)$ th root of 1, and there exists $\mu \in Z^2(\overline{B}, K^*)$ such that λ is cohomologous to $\text{inf}(\mu)$.*
- (ii) *The algebra $K^\lambda B$ is K -algebra isomorphic to $K^{\mu_1} \overline{B} \times \cdots \times K^{\mu_l} \overline{B}$, where $l = |H|$, $\mu_1 = \mu$ and $K^{\mu_i} \overline{B}$ is K -algebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_n(K)$, $n^2 = |\overline{B}|$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$.*

Proof. (i) K is a splitting field for $Z = K^\lambda H$. It follows that the restriction of λ to $H \times H$ is a coboundary and K contains a primitive $(\exp H)$ th root of 1. The algebra $K^\lambda H$ is isomorphic to KH . We may assume that $K^\lambda H = KH$. Denote by $I(H)$ the augmentation ideal of KH . By Lemma 5.5 in [21, p. 91], $K^\lambda B / K^\lambda B \cdot I(H) \cong K^\mu \overline{B}$ for some $\mu \in Z^2(\overline{B}, K^*)$ such that λ is cohomologous to $\text{inf}(\mu)$.

(ii) Let $l = |H|$, e_1, \dots, e_l be a complete system of primitive pairwise orthogonal idempotents of Z and $u_h e_1 = e_1$ for any $h \in H$. Then $Z e_i$ is K -algebra isomorphic to K and, by Proposition 2.1,

$$K^\lambda B e_i \cong (Z e_i)^{\sigma_i} \overline{B} \cong K^{\mu_i} \overline{B}$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. Moreover, $K^{\mu_1} \overline{B} \cong K^\mu \overline{B}$, $K^{\mu_i} \overline{B}$ is a central K -algebra and K is a splitting field for $K^{\mu_i} \overline{B}$ for each i . Hence $K^{\mu_i} \overline{B}$ is K -algebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_n(K)$, $n^2 = |\overline{B}|$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$. ■

LEMMA 2.3. *Let B be an abelian p' -group of symmetric type. Assume that the field K contains a primitive $(\exp B)$ th root of 1. Then there exists a cocycle $\mu \in Z^2(B, t(K^*))$ such that $K^\mu B \cong \mathbb{M}_n(K)$, where $n^2 = |B|$.*

Proof. We may suppose that B is an abelian q -group of type (q^r, q^r) , where $q \neq p$. Let ξ be a primitive q^r th root of 1, F a finite subfield of K

which contains ξ , $B = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ and

$$F^\mu B = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{q^r-1} F u_x^i u_y^j, \quad u_x^{q^r} = u_e, \quad u_y^{q^r} = u_e, \quad u_x u_y = \xi u_y u_x.$$

The F -algebra $F^\mu B$ is central. Since a finite division algebra is a field, $F^\mu B$ is F -algebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_n(F)$, where $n = q^r$. It follows that the K -algebra $K^\mu B := K \otimes_F F^\mu B$ is K -isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_n(K)$. ■

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Assume that B is an abelian p' -group and H is a subgroup of B such that $\overline{B} := B/H$ is of symmetric type and K contains a primitive m th root of 1, where $m = \max\{\exp \overline{B}, \exp H\}$. Let $\mu \in Z^2(\overline{B}, K^*)$ and $\lambda = \inf(\mu)$.*

- (i) *If $K^\mu \overline{B}$ is a central K -algebra then $K^\lambda B$ can be decomposed into a direct product of central twisted group algebras of \overline{B} over K .*
- (ii) *If $\mu \in Z^2(\overline{B}, t(K^*))$ and $K^\mu \overline{B}$ is a central K -algebra, then K is a splitting field for the algebra $K^\lambda B$.*
- (iii) *Let K contain a primitive $(\exp B)$ th root of 1. If $K^\mu \overline{B}$ is K -algebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}_n(K)$, where $n^2 = |\overline{B}|$, then $K^\lambda B$ is K -algebra isomorphic to the direct product of l copies of $\mathbb{M}_n(K)$, where $l = |H|$.*

Proof. (i) Denote by $\{v_{bH} : b \in B\}$ a canonical K -basis of $K^\mu \overline{B}$ corresponding to μ and by $\{u_b : b \in B\}$ a canonical K -basis of $K^\lambda B$ corresponding to λ .

We have $K^\lambda H = KH$. If $b \in B$ and $h \in H$ then $\lambda_{b,h} = \mu_{bH,H} = 1$ and $\lambda_{h,b} = 1$. It follows that $u_b u_h = u_h u_b$. Therefore $KH \subset Z(K^\lambda B)$. Assume that $u_g \in Z(K^\lambda B)$ for certain $g \in B$. Then $u_g u_b = u_b u_g$ for each $b \in B$. Hence $v_{gH} v_{bH} = v_{bH} v_{gH}$ for any $b \in B$. Since $K^\mu \overline{B}$ is a central K -algebra, $gH = H$ and consequently $Z(K^\lambda B) = KH$. This means that H is the λ -center of B . The field K is a splitting field for KH . It follows, by Proposition 2.1, that $K^\lambda B$ can be decomposed into a direct product of central twisted group algebras of \overline{B} over K .

(ii) Denote by F a finite subfield of K which contains a primitive m th root of 1 and all values of the cocycle μ . The algebra $F^\mu \overline{B}$ is a central F -algebra. By (i), F is a splitting field for $F^\lambda B$, since each finite division algebra is a field. It follows that K is a splitting field for the algebra $K^\lambda B \cong K \otimes_F F^\lambda B$.

(iii) By Theorem 6.1 in [25, p. 179], $K^\lambda B$ can be decomposed into a direct product of mutually isomorphic simple algebras over K . Since $K^\mu \overline{B}$ is a simple component of $K^\lambda B$, the algebra $K^\lambda B$ is K -algebra isomorphic to $K^\mu \overline{B} \times \cdots \times K^\mu \overline{B}$. ■

PROPOSITION 2.5 ([7, p. 20]). *Let B be an abelian p' -group. The field K is a splitting field for some K -algebra $K^\lambda B$ if and only if B has a subgroup*

H such that B/H is of symmetric type and K contains a primitive m th root of 1, where $m = \max\{\exp(B/H), \exp H\}$.

Proof. Apply Propositions 2.1, 2.2, 2.4 and Lemma 2.3. ■

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic p , B an abelian p' -group, $\lambda \in Z^2(B, K^*)$ and H the λ -center of B . The field K is a splitting field for $K^\lambda B$ if and only if the restriction of λ to $H \times H$ is a coboundary and K contains a primitive $(\exp H)$ th root of 1.*

Proof. Apply Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. ■

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let B be a nilpotent p' -group. If K is a splitting field for some twisted group algebra of B over K , then K contains a primitive q th root of 1 for each prime q that divides $|B|$.*

Proof. Assume that K is a splitting field for an algebra $K^\lambda B$ and K does not contain a primitive q th root of 1 for a certain prime q dividing $|B|$. Denote by B_q the Sylow q -subgroup of B . The center of B_q contains an element b of order q . Let $\{u_g : g \in B\}$ be a canonical K -basis of $K^\lambda B$ corresponding to λ . Then u_b lies in the center Z of $K^\lambda B$. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ be a complete system of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of Z . We have $u_b = \beta_1 f_1 + \dots + \beta_s f_s$, where $\beta_j \in K$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. If $u_b^q = \gamma u_e$, $\gamma \in K^*$, then $\gamma = \beta_j^q$ for each j . It follows that $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_s$ and $u_b = \beta_1 u_e$. This contradiction proves that K contains a primitive q th root of 1 for each prime q that divides $|B|$. ■

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let B be a p' -group.*

- (i) *If the field K is a splitting field for all twisted group algebras of B over K , then $K = K^q$ and K contains a primitive q th root of 1 for each prime q that divides $|B : B'|$.*
- (ii) *If $K = K^q$ and K contains a primitive q th root of 1 for any prime q that divides $|B|$, then K is a splitting field for every twisted group algebra of B over K .*
- (iii) *Assume that every prime divisor of $|B'|$ is also a divisor of $|B : B'|$. Then K is a splitting field for any twisted group algebra of B over K if and only if $K = K^q$ and K contains a primitive q th root of 1 for each prime q that divides $|B|$.*

Proof. (i) Let $B \neq B'$ and q be a prime divisor of $|B : B'|$. Denote by D a normal subgroup of B such that $|B/D| = q$. Let $\tilde{B} := B/D = \langle xD \rangle$, $\alpha \in K^*$ and

$$K^\mu \tilde{B} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{q-1} K v_{xD}^i, \quad v_{xD}^q = \alpha v_D.$$

Denote $\lambda = \inf(\mu)$. There exists a K -algebra homomorphism of $K^\lambda B$ onto $K^\mu \tilde{B}$. It follows that K is a splitting field for $K^\mu \tilde{B}$. Hence $\alpha = \beta^q$ for some $\beta \in K^*$ and K contains a primitive q th root of 1.

(ii) Denote by n the order of a cohomology class $[\lambda] \in H^2(B, K^*)$. It is well known that n divides $|B|$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 53.3 in [14, p. 359], we show that $[\lambda]$ contains a cocycle α whose order is equal to n . By Theorem 1.3 in [21, p. 137], there exists a central group extension $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \hat{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 1$ such that A is a cyclic group of order n and

$$K\hat{B} \cong \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} K^{\alpha^i} B.$$

Since any prime divisor of $|\hat{B}|$ is also a divisor of B , the field K contains a primitive m th root of 1, where $m = \exp \hat{B}$. By the Brauer theorem, K is a splitting field for $K\hat{B}$. Hence K is a splitting field for $K^\alpha B$.

(iii) Apply (i) and (ii). ■

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let B be a p' -group. The field K is a splitting field for all twisted group algebras of B over K if and only if there exists a finite central group extension $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \hat{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 1$ such that any projective K -representation of B lifts projectively to an ordinary K -representation of \hat{B} and K is a splitting field for \hat{B} .*

Proof. Assume that K is a splitting field for all twisted group algebras of B over K . By Proposition 2.8, $K^* = (K^*)^m$, where m is the exponent of B/B' . In view of Corollary 2.5 in [21, p. 142], $H^2(B, K^*) = H^2(B, t(K^*))$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [21, p. 141], we conclude that there exists a finite central group extension $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \hat{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 1$ such that the following conditions hold:

- (i) If r is the exponent of A , then K^* contains a primitive r th root of 1.
- (ii) Every projective K -representation of B lifts projectively to an ordinary K -representation of \hat{B} .

By Theorem 4.2 in [21, p. 80], $K\hat{B} \cong \prod_i K^{\lambda_i} B$. It follows that K is a splitting field for $K\hat{B}$. This completes the proof of the necessity.

Let us prove the sufficiency. The group algebra KA lies in the center of $K\hat{B}$, hence K contains a primitive m th root of 1, where m is the exponent of A . It follows, by Theorem 4.2 in [21, p. 80] and Lemma 2.1 in [21, p. 139], that $K\hat{B}$ is K -algebra isomorphic to $K^{\sigma_1} B \times \cdots \times K^{\sigma_r} B$ and every algebra $K^\lambda B$ is isomorphic to some $K^{\sigma_i} B$. Hence K is a splitting field for every twisted group algebra of B over K . ■

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let B be a p' -group. Assume that either $t(K^*) = t(K^*)^q$ for every prime q that divides $|B'|$, or every prime divisor of $|B'|$ is*

also a divisor of $|B : B'|$. Then K is a splitting field for any twisted group algebra of B over K if and only if there exists a covering group \widehat{B} of B over K such that K is a splitting field for \widehat{B} .

Proof. Assume that K is a splitting field for any twisted group algebra of B over K . In view of Proposition 2.8, $K = K^q$ for each prime q dividing $|B : B'|$. It follows that $t(K^*) = t(K^*)^q$ for every prime q that divides B . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 53.3 in [14, p. 359], we show that each cohomology class $[\lambda] \in H^2(B, t(K^*))$ contains a cocycle whose order is equal to the order of $[\lambda]$. In view of Theorem 2.3 in [21, p. 140], there exists a finite central group extension $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \widehat{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 1$ such that $A \cong H^2(B, t(K^*))$ and any projective K -representation of B lifts projectively to an ordinary K -representation of \widehat{B} . By Corollary 2.5 in [21, p. 142], we have

$$H^2(B, K^*) \cong H^2(B, t(K^*)).$$

Hence \widehat{B} is a covering group of B over K . Theorem 4.2 in [21, p. 80] yields

$$K\widehat{B} \cong \prod_i K^{\sigma_i} B,$$

since K^* contains a primitive $(\exp A)$ th root of 1. It follows that K is a splitting field for \widehat{B} . This proves the necessity.

The sufficiency follows from Proposition 2.9. ■

We note that in [25] Yamazaki proved Theorem 4.2 from [21, p. 80] while Theorem 2.3 from [21, p. 140] and Corollary 2.5 from [21, p. 142] are proved in [26].

3. Groups of OTP projective representation type. We recall that K is a field of characteristic p and $G = G_p \times B$ is a finite group, where G_p is a p -group, B is a p' -group and $|G_p| \neq 1$, $|B| \neq 1$. We assume that if G_p is non-abelian then $[K(\xi) : K]$ is not divisible by p , where ξ is a primitive $(\exp B)$ th root of 1.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $G = G_p \times B$, s be the number of invariants of the group G_p/G'_p and D the subgroup of G_p such that $G'_p \subset D$ and $D/G'_p = \text{soc}(G_p/G'_p)$. Assume that if $p \neq 2$, $s = i(K) + 1$, $|G'_p| = p$ and D is a non-abelian group of exponent p , then $|D : Z(D)| = p^2$. The group G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $s \leq i(K)$ and G'_p is cyclic;
- (ii) $s = i(K) + 1$, G'_p is cyclic and there exists a cyclic subgroup T of G_p such that $G'_p \subset T$ and G_p/T has $i(K)$ invariants;
- (iii) K is a splitting field for some $K^\nu B$.

Proof. Suppose (ii). Let $\tilde{G}_p = G_p/T$. There is a cocycle $\sigma \in Z^2(\tilde{G}_p, K^*)$ such that $K^\sigma \tilde{G}_p$ is a field. Let $\mu = \text{inf}(\sigma)$. If $V := K^\mu G_p \cdot I(T)$ then V is the radical of $K^\mu G_p$ and $K^\mu G_p/V$ is K -algebra isomorphic to $K^\sigma \tilde{G}_p$. Therefore $K^\mu G_p$ is a uniserial algebra. Let $\nu \in Z^2(B, K^*)$ and $\lambda = \mu \times \nu$. In view of Theorem 1.3, $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type.

Arguing as in the case (ii) we prove that if (i) holds, then there exists a cocycle $\lambda \in Z^2(G, K^*)$ such that $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type.

Assume that K is a splitting field for some $K^\nu B$. Let $K^\lambda G = KG_p \otimes_K K^\nu B$. By Theorem 1.3, $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type.

If $s \geq i(K) + 2$ or G'_p is non-cyclic then $K^\mu G_p$ is not a uniserial algebra for any $\mu \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$. Moreover, in the case $s \geq i(K) + 2$, we have $|G_p : G'_p| \geq p^2 d$, where

$$d = \dim_K(K^\mu G_p / \text{rad } K^\mu G_p).$$

Let $\nu \in Z^2(B, K^*)$ and $\lambda = \mu \times \nu$. By Theorem 1.3, an algebra $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type if and only if K is a splitting field for $K^\nu B$.

Assume now that $s = i(K) + 1$, $G'_p = \langle c \rangle$ and G_p does not contain a cyclic subgroup T such that $G'_p \subset T$ and G_p/T has $i(K)$ invariants. Let $H = \langle c^p \rangle$ and $G_p/G'_p = \langle a_1 G'_p \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_s G'_p \rangle$, where $|a_j G'_p| = p^{n_j}$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. We have

$$a_j^{p^{n_j}} \in H \quad \text{for each } j \in \{1, \dots, s\}.$$

First, we examine the case $p = 2$. Let $N_{r,t}$ be the subgroup of G_2 generated by the elements a_r, a_t and c , where $r, t \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and $r \neq t$. If $|N_{r,t} : G'_2| = 4$ and $N'_{r,t} = G'_2$, then $N_{r,t}$ is metacyclic. There exists a cyclic subgroup T of $N_{r,t}$ such that $G'_2 \subset T$ and G_2/T has $i(K)$ invariants, a contradiction. Hence, if $|N_{r,t} : G'_2| = 4$, we have $[a_r, a_t] \in H$ and

$$D/H = \langle cH \rangle \times \langle b_1 H \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle b_s H \rangle,$$

where $b_j = a_j^{2^{n_j-1}}$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Each twisted group algebra of the group D/H over the field K is non-uniserial. Consequently, every $K^\mu G_2$ satisfies the Q -condition. By Lemma 1.2, the group $G = G_2 \times B$ is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if condition (iii) holds.

Now we consider the case $p \neq 2$. By [5, p. 288], $|D'| \leq p$. If $|G'_p| \geq p^2$ then $D/H = \langle cH \rangle \times \langle b_1 H \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle b_s H \rangle$, where

$$b_j = a_j^{p^{n_j-1}} \quad \text{for each } j \in \{1, \dots, s\}.$$

Arguing as in the case $p = 2$, we conclude that G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if condition (iii) holds. Let $|G'_p| = p$. Then $\exp D = p$. If D is abelian then, for any $\mu \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$, the algebra $K^\mu D$ is not uniserial. Hence in this case every $K^\mu G_p$ satisfies the Q -condition, and Lemma 1.2 applies. Suppose that D is non-abelian. Then $|D : Z(D)| = p^2$

and, for every $\mu \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$, the algebra $K^\mu G_p / K^\mu G_p \cdot \text{rad } KG'_p$ is not a field. In view of Lemma 1.7 in [8, p. 177], $K^\mu G_p$ is not a uniserial algebra. By Theorem 1.3, G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if condition (iii) holds. ■

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $G = G_p \times B$ and K be an arbitrary perfect field of characteristic p . The group G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if G_p is cyclic or K is a splitting field for some $K^\nu B$.*

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $G = G_p \times B$ and $[K : K^p] = p$. Then G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if either G_p is metacyclic or K is a splitting field for some $K^\nu B$.*

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let $G = G_p \times B$, s be the number of invariants of G_p / G'_p and $[K : K^p] = p^2$. The group G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $s \leq 2$ and G'_p is cyclic;
- (ii) $s = 3$ and there exists a cyclic subgroup T of G_p such that $G'_p \subset T$ and G_p/T has two invariants;
- (iii) K is a splitting field for some $K^\nu B$.

Proof. Keep the notation of Theorem 3.1. Assume that $p \neq 2$, $s = 3$, $|G'_p| = p$ and D is a non-abelian group of exponent p . Moreover, let $D/G'_p = \langle b_1 G'_p \rangle \times \langle b_2 G'_p \rangle \times \langle b_3 G'_p \rangle$, $G'_p = \langle c \rangle$ and $[b_1, b_2] = c$, $[b_1, b_3] = c^r$, $[b_2, b_3] = c^t$, where $0 \leq r, t < p$. Set $h = b_1^t b_2^{-r} b_3$. Then $b_1 h = h b_1$, $b_2 h = h b_2$. It follows that $Z(D)$ is generated by h, c . Hence $|D : Z(D)| = p^2$. Applying Theorem 3.1, we conclude that G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if one of the present conditions (i)–(iii) is satisfied. ■

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let $G = G_p \times B$ and $[K : K^p] = \infty$. The group G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if either G'_p is cyclic, or K is a splitting field for some $K^\nu B$.*

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let $G = G_p \times B$ be an abelian group and s the number of invariants of G_p . The group G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $s \leq i(K) + 1$;
- (ii) B has a subgroup H such that B/H is of symmetric type and K contains a primitive m th root of 1, where $m = \max\{\exp(B/H), \exp H\}$.

Proof. Apply Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 3.1. ■

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let G_p be an abelian p -group, s the number of invariants of G_p , B a nilpotent p' -group and $G = G_p \times B$. Assume that K does not contain a primitive q th root of 1 for some prime q dividing $|B|$. The group G is of OTP projective K -representation type if and only if $s \leq i(K) + 1$.*

Proof. Apply Proposition 2.7 and Theorem 3.1. ■

From now on, K denotes an arbitrary field of characteristic p .

PROPOSITION 3.8. *A group $G = G_p \times B$ is of purely OTP projective K -representation type if and only if either G_p is cyclic, or K is a splitting field for every twisted group algebra of B over K .*

Proof. Let $\nu \in Z^2(B, K^*)$ be an arbitrary cocycle and $K^\lambda G = KG_p \otimes_K K^\nu B$. By Proposition 1.4, $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type if and only if either G_p is cyclic, or K is a splitting field for $K^\nu B$. Assume now that G_p is cyclic, $\mu \in Z^2(G_p, K^*)$ is an arbitrary cocycle and $\lambda = \mu \times \nu$. Since the algebra $K^\mu G_p$ is uniserial, by Lemma 1.1, $K^\lambda G$ is of OTP representation type. ■

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let $G = G_p \times B$. Assume that $K = K^q$ and K contains a primitive q th root of 1 for each prime q that divides $|B|$. Then G is of purely OTP projective K -representation type.*

Proof. Apply Propositions 2.8 and 3.8. ■

COROLLARY 3.10. *If K is a separably closed field then every group $G = G_p \times B$ is of purely OTP projective K -representation type.*

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Let $G = G_p \times B$. Assume that every prime divisor of $|B'|$ is also a divisor of $|B : B'|$. The group G is of purely OTP projective K -representation type if and only if either G_p is cyclic, or $K = K^q$ and K contains a primitive q th root of 1 for each prime q that divides $|B|$.*

Proof. Again apply Propositions 2.8 and 3.8. ■

THEOREM 3.12. *A group $G = G_p \times B$ is of purely OTP projective K -representation type if and only if either G_p is cyclic, or there exists a finite central group extension $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \widehat{B} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 1$ such that any projective K -representation of B lifts projectively to an ordinary K -representation of \widehat{B} and K is a splitting field for \widehat{B} .*

Proof. Apply Propositions 2.9 and 3.8. ■

PROPOSITION 3.13. *Let $G = G_p \times B$. Assume that either $t(K^*) = t(K^*)^q$ for any prime q dividing $|B'|$, or every prime divisor of $|B'|$ is also divisor of $|B : B'|$. Then G is of purely OTP projective K -representation type if and only if either G_p is cyclic, or there exists a covering group \widehat{B} of B over K such that K is a splitting field for \widehat{B} .*

Proof. Apply Propositions 2.10 and 3.8. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Alperin, *Local Representation Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993.

-
- [2] I. Assem, D. Simson and A. Skowroński, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras. Vol. 1: Techniques of Representation Theory*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts 65, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.
 - [3] L. F. Barannyk, *Modular projective representations of direct products of finite groups*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 63 (2003), 537–554.
 - [4] —, *On faithful irreducible projective representations of finite groups over a field of characteristic p* , J. Algebra 321 (2009), 194–204.
 - [5] —, *Finite-dimensional twisted group algebras of semi-wild representation type*, Colloq. Math. 120 (2010), 277–298.
 - [6] —, *Indecomposable projective representations of direct products of finite groups over a field of characteristic p* , Comm. Algebra, in press.
 - [7] L. F. Barannyk and D. Klein, *Indecomposable projective representations of direct products of finite groups over a ring of formal power series*, Prace Nauk. Akad. Jana Długosza Częstochowa Mat. 15 (2010), 9–24.
 - [8] L. F. Barannyk and K. Sobolewska, *On indecomposable projective representations of finite groups over fields of characteristic $p > 0$* , Colloq. Math. 98 (2003), 171–187.
 - [9] D. J. Benson, *Representations and Cohomology I*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
 - [10] H. I. Blau, *Indecomposable modules for direct products of finite groups*, Pacific J. Math. 54 (1974), 39–44.
 - [11] V. M. Bondarenko, *Representations of dihedral groups over a field of characteristic 2*, Mat. Sb. 96 (1975), 63–74 (in Russian); English transl.: Math. USSR-Sb. 25 (1975), 58–68.
 - [12] V. M. Bondarenko and Yu. A. Drozd, *The representation type of finite groups*, in: Modules and Representations, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI) 71 (1977), 24–41 (in Russian).
 - [13] R. Brauer and W. Feit, *An analogue of Jordan’s theorem in characteristic p* , Ann. of Math. 84 (1966), 119–131.
 - [14] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Wiley, 1962.
 - [15] —, —, *Methods of Representation Theory with Applications to Finite Groups and Orders, Vol. 2*, Wiley, 1987.
 - [16] Yu. A. Drozd and V. V. Kirichenko, *Finite Dimensional Algebras*, Springer, 1994.
 - [17] P. M. Gudyvok, *On modular and integral representations of finite groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 214 (1974), 993–996 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 15 (1974), 264–269.
 - [18] —, *On modular and integral P -adic representations of a direct product of groups*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 29 (1977), 580–588 (in Russian); English transl.: Ukrain. Math. J. 29 (1977), 443–450.
 - [19] —, *On representations of a direct product of groups over complete discretely normed rings*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 237 (1977), 25–27 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 18 (1977), 1388–1391.
 - [20] —, *On representations of a direct product of finite groups over complete discrete valuation rings*, Ukrain. Mat. Visn. 2 (2005), 65–73 (in Russian); English transl.: Ukrain. Math. Bull. 2 (2005), 67–75.
 - [21] G. Karpilovsky, *Group Representations, Vol. 2*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 177, North-Holland, 1993.
 - [22] —, *Group Representations, Vol. 3*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 180, North-Holland, 1994.
 - [23] D. Simson, *Linear Representations of Partially Ordered Sets and Vector Space Categories*, Algebra Logic Appl. 4, Gordon and Breach, 1992.

- [24] D. Simson and A. Skowroński, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras, Vol. 3: Representation-Infinite Tilted Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts 72, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007.
- [25] K. Yamazaki, *On projective representations and ring extensions of finite groups*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I 10 (1964), 147–195.
- [26] —, *A note on projective representations of finite groups*, Sci. Papers College Gen. Ed. Univ. Tokyo 14 (1964), 27–36.

Leonid F. Barannyk
Institute of Mathematics
Pomeranian University of Słupsk
Arciszewskiego 22b
76-200 Słupsk, Poland
E-mail: barannyk@apsl.edu.pl

Received 16 November 2011

(5586)

