VOL. 98

2003

NO. 2

## FULL MATRIX ALGEBRAS WITH STRUCTURE SYSTEMS

ΒY

HISAAKI FUJITA (Tsukuba)

**Abstract.** We study associative, basic  $n \times n$  A-full matrix algebras over a field, whose multiplications are determined by structure systems A, that is, *n*-tuples of  $n \times n$  matrices with certain properties.

Introduction. Let D be a discrete valuation ring with a unique maximal ideal  $\pi D$ . It is standard to reduce homological properties of D-orders  $\Lambda$  to those of factor algebras  $\Lambda/\pi\Lambda$ . For example, Gorenstein D-orders can be reduced to quasi-Frobenius  $D/\pi D$ -algebras. (See e.g. [7] and [9].) As another example, we recall a theorem of Jategaonkar. It is proved in [4] that there are only finitely many tiled D-orders in  $\mathbb{M}_n(D)$  having finite global dimension for a fixed integer  $n \geq 2$ . The key idea of its proof comes from a fact concerning the structure of factor algebras  $\Lambda/\pi\Lambda$  of tiled D-orders  $\Lambda$ , and [5, III Theorem 9]. We note that further information can be found in [3].

In this paper we introduce A-full matrix algebras over a field to provide a framework for such factor algebras  $\Lambda/\pi\Lambda$  of tiled *D*-orders  $\Lambda$ , and as an application of the framework, we study Frobenius A-full matrix algebras. We also give an example to show that the class of A-full matrix algebras is strictly larger than that of factor algebras of tiled *D*-orders.

In Section 1, we define an  $n \times n$  A-full matrix algebra A determined by an *n*-tuple A of  $n \times n$  matrices which we call a *structure system*, and we examine the Gabriel quiver of an A-full matrix algebra A. We note that the notion of structure systems is a modification of structure constants of finite-dimensional algebras. (See e.g. [2].) In the study of tiled D-orders, irreducible A-lattices play an important role. For an irreducible A-lattice L, the  $A/\pi A$ -module  $L/\pi L$  has dimension type  $(1, \ldots, 1)$ . In Section 2, we define representation matrices of right A-modules of dimension type  $(1, \ldots, 1)$ , and we show that for each indecomposable projective right A-module and each indecomposable injective right A-module, their representation matrices consist of a part of a structure system. In Section 3, we notice a relationship between tiled orders and A-full matrix algebras. The factor algebras of tiled D-orders form a large class of A-full matrix algebras. However, we

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 16G10, 16G30, 16L60, 16S50.

give an example of a structure system which does not have corresponding tiled *D*-orders. In Section 4, we show that for an arbitrary permutation  $\sigma$ such that  $\sigma(i) \neq i$  for all *i*, there exists a Frobenius A-full matrix algebra with Nakayama permutation  $\sigma$ , which is determined by a special structure system. We give a procedure to find other structure systems of Frobenius A-full matrix algebras for a given permutation.

**1. Structure systems for full matrix algebras.** Let K be a field and n an integer with  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  be an n-tuple of  $n \times n$ matrices  $A_k = (a_{ij}^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(K)$   $(k = 1, \ldots, n)$ , which satisfies the following conditions:

(A1) 
$$a_{ij}^{(k)}a_{il}^{(j)} = a_{il}^{(k)}a_{kl}^{(j)}$$
 for all  $1 \le i, j, k, l \le n$ .

(A2) 
$$a_{kj}^{(k)} = a_{ik}^{(k)} = 1$$
 for all  $1 \le i, j, k \le n$ .

(A3) 
$$a_{ii}^{(k)} = 0$$
 whenever  $i \neq k, \ 1 \leq i, k \leq n$ .

Let  $A = \bigoplus_{1 \le i,j \le n} K u_{ij}$  be a K-vector space with basis  $\{u_{ij} \mid 1 \le i,j \le n\}$ . Then we define multiplication in A by

$$u_{ik}u_{lj} := \begin{cases} a_{ij}^{(k)}u_{ij} & \text{if } k = l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 1.1. A is an associative basic K-algebra and  $u_{11}, \ldots, u_{nn}$ are orthogonal primitive idempotents with  $1 = u_{11} + \ldots + u_{nn}$ .

*Proof.* For all  $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$ , we have

$$(u_{ik}u_{kj})u_{jl} = a_{ij}^{(k)}u_{ij}u_{jl} = a_{ij}^{(k)}a_{il}^{(j)}u_{il}$$

and

$$u_{ik}(u_{kj}u_{jl}) = u_{ik}(a_{kl}^{(j)}u_{kl}) = a_{il}^{(k)}a_{kl}^{(j)}u_{il}.$$

Hence the multiplication is associative if and only if (A1) holds.

It follows from (A2) that  $u_{ii}u_{ij} = u_{ij}u_{jj} = u_{ij}$  and  $u_{ii}Au_{ii} \cong K$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Hence  $u_{11}, \ldots, u_{nn}$  are orthogonal primitive idempotents with  $1 = u_{11} + \ldots + u_{nn}$ .

It follows from (A3) that  $u_{ik}u_{ki} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq k$ , so that  $u_{ii}A$  is not isomorphic to  $u_{kk}A$ . Hence A is basic. This completes the proof.

DEFINITION. An *n*-tuple  $\mathbb{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices  $A_k = (a_{ij}^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(K)$  is said to be a *structure system* for a *K*-algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} K u_{ij}$  provided that (A1)–(A3) hold. In this case, we call A an  $\mathbb{A}$ -full matrix *K*-algebra.

Next, we examine the Gabriel quiver  $\mathcal{Q}(A)$  of an A-full matrix algebra A (see [1]). Since A is basic, the set of vertices is  $\mathcal{Q}(A)_0 = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

PROPOSITION 1.2. (1) The Jacobson radical of A is  $J = \bigoplus \{Ku_{ij} \mid i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ .

(2) For any  $i, j \in \mathcal{Q}(A)_0$  with  $i \neq j$ , there exists an arrow  $j \to i \in \mathcal{Q}(A)_1$ if and only if  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$  for any  $k \neq i, j$ .

(3)  $\mathcal{Q}(A)$  has no loops, and there exists at most one arrow from j to i in  $\mathcal{Q}(A)$ , for any  $i \neq j$ .

*Proof.* (1) We can show that J is a two-sided ideal of A,  $J^n = 0$  and that  $A/J \cong Ku_{11} \oplus \ldots \oplus Ku_{nn}$  is semisimple. Hence J is the Jacobson radical of A.

(2) Note that  $J^2 = \bigoplus \{ Ku_{ij} \mid i \neq j, \text{ and } u_{ik}u_{kj} \neq 0 \text{ for some } k \neq i, j \}$ . As  $j \to i \in \mathcal{Q}(A)_1$  if and only if  $u_{ii}(J/J^2)u_{jj} \neq 0$ , (2) follows from the multiplication  $u_{ik}u_{kj} = a_{ij}^{(k)}u_{ij}$ .

(3) Note that the number of arrows from j to i is  $\dim_K u_{ii}(J/J^2)u_{jj} \leq 1$ , and that  $u_{ii}Ju_{ii} = 0$ . Hence (3) holds.

Let B be a finite-dimensional basic K-algebra, and let  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  be orthogonal primitive idempotents of B with  $1 = e_1 + \ldots + e_n$ . For a right Bmodule M, let  $m_i$  be the length of  $Me_i$  as  $e_iBe_i$ -module. Then  $(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ is called the *dimension type* of M, denoted by  $\underline{\dim} M$ . The *Cartan matrix*  $C_B$  of B is the  $n \times n$  matrix whose *i*th row is  $\underline{\dim} e_i B$ . It is well known that if gl.dim  $B < \infty$  then det  $C_B = \pm 1$ . For A-full matrix algebras A, we have the following proposition, whose proof is straightforward.

PROPOSITION 1.3. Every entry of the Cartan matrix of an A-full matrix algebra A is 1. Therefore gl.dim  $A = \infty$ .

**2. Representation matrices of projectives and injectives.** Let A be an  $\mathbb{A}$ -full matrix K-algebra with structure system  $\mathbb{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ , where  $A_k = (a_{ij}^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(K)$   $(k = 1, \ldots, n)$ . In this section we study representation matrices of right A-modules of dimension type  $(1, \ldots, 1)$  to distinguish indecomposable projective A-modules and indecomposable injective A-modules.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let M be a K-vector space with basis  $\{v_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$ . Then a right A-module structure of M with  $\underline{\dim} M = (1, \ldots, 1)$  is determined by a matrix  $S = (s_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(K)$  satisfying the condition

(\*) 
$$s_{ik}s_{kj} = a_{ij}^{(k)}s_{ij}$$
 and  $s_{ii} = 1$ , for all  $1 \le i, j, k \le n$ .

In this case  $S = (s_{ij})$  is determined by  $v_i u_{ij} = s_{ij} v_j$  for all  $1 \le i, j \le n$ .

*Proof.* It is well known that a right A-module structure of M is determined by a K-algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : A \to M_n(K)$  as follows. For any  $a \in A$ , let  $\varphi(a) = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(K)$ . Then M becomes a right A-module if we

 $\operatorname{set}$ 

$$v_i a := \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} v_j$$
 for all  $1 \le i \le n$ .

Conversely, if M is a right A-module then the above equation defines a K-algebra homomorphism  $\varphi: A \to \mathbb{M}_n(K), a \mapsto (a_{ij}).$ 

Let  $\varphi : A \to \mathbb{M}_n(K)$  be a K-algebra homomorphism. Put  $\varphi(u_{ij}) = M_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}_n(K)$ . Since  $\dim M = (1, \ldots, 1)$ ,  $\varphi(u_{ii}) \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence by Proposition 1.1,  $M_{11}, \ldots, M_{nn}$  are orthogonal primitive idempotents in  $\mathbb{M}_n(K)$  with  $E_n = M_{11} + \ldots + M_{nn}$ , where  $E_n$  is the identity matrix. Hence for some invertible matrix  $P \in \mathbb{M}_n(K)$ ,  $P^{-1}M_{ii}P = E_{ii}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $E_{ii}$  is the usual matrix unit. (See e.g. [6, §3.7, Proposition 3].) Hence we may assume that  $M_{ii} = E_{ii}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $M_{ij} = M_{ii}M_{ij} =$  $M_{ij}M_{jj}$ , excepting the (i, j)-entry of  $M_{ij}$ , all other entries are 0. We let  $s_{ij}$ be the (i, j)-entry of  $M_{ij}$ , and let S be the matrix  $(s_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(K)$ . Since  $M_{ik}M_{kj} = \varphi(u_{ik}u_{kj}) = a_{ij}^{(k)}M_{ij}$ , the condition (\*) holds.

Conversely, for a given matrix  $S = (s_{ij}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(K)$  with (\*), we can define a K-algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : A \to \mathbb{M}_n(K)$  by

$$\varphi\Big(\sum_{i,j}a_{ij}u_{ij}\Big):=(a_{ij}s_{ij})$$

for all elements  $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} u_{ij} \in A$ . Since  $\varphi(u_{ii}) = E_{ii}$ ,  $\underline{\dim} M = (1, \ldots, 1)$  and  $v_i u_{ij} = s_{ij} v_j$ . This completes the proof.

We call the above  $S = (s_{ij})$  a representation matrix of a right A-module M. Note that

$$v_i u_{jk} = \begin{cases} s_{ik} v_k & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. (1) For each indecomposable projective right A-module  $u_{ii}A$ , its representation matrix is given by  $(a_{ij}^{(k)})_{k,j}$ , i.e., an  $n \times n$  matrix whose (k, j)-entry is  $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ .

(2) Let M be a right A-module with  $\underline{\dim} M = (1, \ldots, 1)$  and representation matrix  $S = (s_{ij})$ . Then M is isomorphic to  $u_{ll}A$  if and only if  $s_{lk} = 1$ for all  $1 \le k \le n$ .

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $u_{ii}A$  is a K-vector space with basis  $\{u_{ik} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  and  $\dim u_{ii}A = (1, \ldots, 1)$ . Since  $u_{ik}u_{kj} = a_{ij}^{(k)}u_{ij}$ , the (k, j)-entry of the representation matrix of  $u_{ii}A$  is  $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ .

(2) The "only if" part follows from (1) and (A2). Assume that  $s_{lk} = 1$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $s_{ij} = s_{li}s_{ij} = a_{lj}^{(i)}s_{lj} = a_{lj}^{(i)}$ . This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$ 

252

We denote the duality functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(, K)$  by  $()^{*}$ . As a dual of Proposition 2.2, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2.3. (1) For each indecomposable injective right A-module  $(Au_{jj})^*$ , its representation matrix is given by  $(a_{ij}^{(k)})_{i,k}$ , i.e., an  $n \times n$  matrix whose (i, k)-entry is  $a_{ij}^{(k)}$ .

(2) Let M be a right A-module with  $\underline{\dim} M = (1, \ldots, 1)$  and representation matrix  $S = (s_{ij})$ . Then M is isomorphic to  $(Au_{kk})^*$  if and only if  $s_{lk} = 1$  for all  $1 \le l \le n$ .

Let M be a right A-module with  $\underline{\dim} M = (1, \ldots, 1)$  and representation matrix  $S = (s_{ij})$ . Then we draw a *diagram* of M as follows. (See [3].) The diagram has vertices  $1, \ldots, n$  corresponding to composition factors of M. There is an arrow  $j \to i$  if  $s_{ij} \neq 0$  and  $s_{ik}s_{kj} = 0$  for any  $k \neq i, j$ . Note that a is in the top if  $s_{ia} = 0$  for all  $i \neq a$ , and that b is in the socle if  $s_{bj} = 0$  for all  $j \neq b$ .

The following example illustrates the above observations.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let

| (              | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |   |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A              | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |   |
| $\mathbf{A} =$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |   |
| (              | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | J |

be a structure system of an A-full matrix algebra A. Then representation matrices of  $u_{11}A, \ldots, u_{44}A$  are given by

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

with the following diagrams, respectively:



**3.** A-full matrix algebras and tiled orders. In this section we study a certain relationship between A-full matrix algebras and tiled orders. We begin with the following simple example.

EXAMPLE 3.1. When n = 2, there exists a unique structure system, namely

$$\mathbb{A} = (A_1, A_2) = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Now we recall the definition of tiled orders (see [4], [8], [10], [11]). Let D be a commutative discrete valuation domain with a unique maximal ideal  $\pi D$ . Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $\{\lambda_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  be the set of non-negative integers satisfying

$$\lambda_{ik} + \lambda_{kj} \ge \lambda_{ij}, \quad \lambda_{ii} = 0, \quad \lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{ji} > 0 \quad \text{if } i \ne j$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ . Then  $\Lambda = (\pi^{\lambda_{ij}}D)$  is a *D*-subalgebra of  $\mathbb{M}_n(D)$ . We call  $\Lambda$  an  $n \times n$  tiled *D*-order. The following example provides us a prototype of  $\mathbb{A}$ -full matrix algebras.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $n \times n$  tiled D-order and  $A = \Lambda/\pi\Lambda$  the factor ring of  $\Lambda$ . For each matrix unit  $e_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}_n(D)$ , let  $u_{ij} \in \Lambda$  be the image of  $\pi^{\lambda_{ij}}e_{ij} \in \Lambda$  via the canonical epimorphism  $\Lambda \to \Lambda$ . Let  $K = D/\pi D$  be the residue field. Then  $\Lambda$  is a K-algebra with basis  $\{u_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ . For each  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ , define  $A_k = (a_{ij}^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(K)$  by

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda_{ik} + \lambda_{kj} = \lambda_{ij}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mathbb{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$  is the structure system for the K-algebra A.

In what follows, we assume that every entry of structure systems of A-full matrix algebras is 0 or 1.

When  $n \leq 3$ , for every structure system one can find a corresponding tiled *D*-order as in Example 3.2. The following example shows that, for n = 4, there exists a structure system which has no corresponding tiled *D*-orders.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Consider the following structure system:

|                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |   |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\mathbb{A} =$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |   |
|                | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | · |
|                | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ) |

Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a  $4 \times 4$  tiled *D*-order  $\Lambda = (\pi^{\lambda_{ij}}D)$  corresponding to A. By [4, Lemma 1.1], we may assume that  $\lambda_{1j} = 0$  for

 $1 \leq j \leq 4$ . Since  $\lambda_{24} = \lambda_{21} + \lambda_{14}$ ,  $\lambda_{13} = \lambda_{12} + \lambda_{23}$  and  $\lambda_{24} = \lambda_{23} + \lambda_{34}$ , we have  $\lambda_{21} = \lambda_{24} = \lambda_{34}$ . Since  $\lambda_{13} = \lambda_{14} + \lambda_{43}$ ,  $\lambda_{42} = \lambda_{43} + \lambda_{32}$  and  $\lambda_{42} = \lambda_{41} + \lambda_{12}$ , we have  $\lambda_{32} = \lambda_{42} = \lambda_{41}$ . Hence  $\lambda_{31} < \lambda_{32} + \lambda_{21} = \lambda_{34} + \lambda_{41} = \lambda_{31}$ , a contradiction.

4. Frobenius  $\mathbb{A}$ -full matrix algebras. In this section we study Frobenius  $\mathbb{A}$ -full matrix algebras. We begin by recalling the following well known fact. (See e.g. [2].)

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let B be a finite-dimensional basic K-algebra, and let  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  be orthogonal primitive idempotents of B with  $1 = e_1 + \ldots + e_n$ . Then B is Frobenius if and only if the socle of each  $e_iB$  is simple and  $\operatorname{soc}(e_iB) \cong \operatorname{soc}(e_jB)$  whenever  $i \neq j$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$ . In this case, there is a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  (called a Nakayama permutation) such that  $\operatorname{soc}(e_iB) \cong \operatorname{top}(e_{\sigma(i)}B)$ .

LEMMA 4.2. Let A be an  $n \times n$  A-full matrix algebra with structure system  $\mathbb{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  where  $A_k = (a_{ij}^{(k)})$   $(1 \leq k \leq n)$ . Then the following are equivalent.

(1) A is a Frobenius algebra with Nakayama permutation  $\sigma$ .

(2) There exists a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  such that  $\sigma(i) \neq i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 1$  if i = k, j = k, or if  $j = \sigma(i)$ , for all  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Since dim  $u_{ii}A = (1, ..., 1)$ ,  $\sigma(i) \neq i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $\operatorname{soc}(u_{ii}A) \cong \operatorname{top}(u_{\sigma(i)\sigma(i)}A)$ , it follows from Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 that  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 1$  if i = k, j = k or if  $j = \sigma(i)$ , for all  $1 \leq i, k, j \leq n$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): This follows from Propositions 2.2, 2.3 and 4.1.

As an immediate application of Lemma 4.2, we have the following.

COROLLARY 4.3. When n = 2, there is a unique structure system of a Frobenius A-full matrix algebra.

*Proof.* The structure system of Example 3.1 defines a Frobenius A-full matrix algebra with Nakayama permutation  $\sigma = (1 \ 2)$ .

THEOREM 4.4. Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be an arbitrary permutation such that  $\sigma(i) \neq i$ for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then there exists a Frobenius  $n \times n$  A-full matrix algebra with Nakayama permutation  $\sigma$ .

*Proof.* For all  $1 \leq i, k, j \leq n$ , we put

$$a_{ij}^{(k)} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k \text{ or } j = \sigma(i), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then by Lemma 4.2, it is sufficient to show that (A1)–(A3) hold. It is clear that (A2) holds. Since  $\sigma(i) \neq i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , (A3) holds. In order to

show (A1), that is,  $a_{ij}^{(k)}a_{il}^{(j)} = a_{il}^{(k)}a_{kl}^{(j)}$  for all  $1 \le i, k, j, l \le n$ , we need to check the following.

(1) If  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$  then  $a_{il}^{(k)} = 0$  or  $a_{kl}^{(j)} = 0$ . (2) If  $a_{il}^{(j)} = 0$  then  $a_{il}^{(k)} = 0$  or  $a_{kl}^{(j)} = 0$ . (3) If  $a_{il}^{(k)} = 0$  then  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$  or  $a_{il}^{(j)} = 0$ . (4) If  $a_{kl}^{(j)} = 0$  then  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$  or  $a_{il}^{(j)} = 0$ .

Suppose that  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$  and  $a_{il}^{(k)} \neq 0$ . Then we obtain  $i \neq k, j \neq k$ ,  $j \neq \sigma(i)$  and also l = k or  $l = \sigma(i)$ . We need to show that  $k \neq j, l \neq j$ ,  $l \neq \sigma(k)$ . In the case of l = k, we have  $l \neq j$  because  $j \neq k$ , and since  $\sigma(k) \neq k$ , it follows that  $l \neq \sigma(k)$ . In the case of  $l = \sigma(i)$ , we have  $l \neq j$ because  $j \neq \sigma(i)$ , and since  $i \neq k$ , it follows that  $l = \sigma(i) \neq \sigma(k)$ . Therefore we have  $a_{kl}^{(j)} = 0$ , so that (1) has been checked. We can check (2), (3) and (4) in a similar way. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$ 

It is obvious that the structure system given in the proof of Theorem 4.4 is not unique for Frobenius A-full matrix algebras with a given Nakayama permutation. In order to find other structure systems, we use the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.5. Let  $\mathbb{A} = (A_1, \ldots, A_n) = (a_{ij}^{(k)})$  be a structure system whose A-full matrix algebra is Frobenius with Nakayama permutation  $\sigma$ . Then the following statements hold.

(1) For distinct  $1 \le i, k, j \le n$ ,  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$  whenever  $j = \sigma(k)$  or  $k = \sigma(i)$ . (2) Consider the set

 $X := \{(i, k, j) \mid 1 \le i, k, j \le n \text{ are distinct}, j \ne \sigma(i), j \ne \sigma(k), k \ne \sigma(i)\}.$ Then for any  $(i, k, j) \in X$ ,  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = a_{k\sigma(i)}^{(j)}$ , and the correspondence  $(i, k, j) \mapsto a_{k\sigma(i)}^{(k)}$  $(k, j, \sigma(i))$  defines a bijection  $\varphi: X \xrightarrow{\kappa\sigma(i)} X$ 

*Proof.* (1) For  $(i, k, i, \sigma(k)), a_{i\sigma(k)}^{(k)} a_{k\sigma(k)}^{(i)} = a_{ii}^{(k)} a_{i\sigma(k)}^{(i)} = 0$  if  $i \neq k$ . Since  $a_{k\sigma(k)}^{(i)} = 1$  by Lemma 4.2, we have  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$  if  $j = \sigma(k)$ . For  $(i, j, \sigma(i), j), a_{i\sigma(i)}^{(j)} a_{ij}^{(\sigma(i))} = a_{ij}^{(j)} a_{jj}^{(\sigma(i))} = 0$  if  $\sigma(i) = k (\neq j)$ . Hence

 $a_{ij}^{(k)} = 0 \text{ if } k = \sigma(i).$ 

(2) For  $(i, k, j, \sigma(i))$ , since  $a_{ij}^{(k)} a_{i\sigma(i)}^{(j)} = a_{i\sigma(i)}^{(k)} a_{k\sigma(i)}^{(j)}$ , we have  $a_{ij}^{(k)} = a_{k\sigma(i)}^{(j)}$ . If  $(i, k, j) \in X$  then we can verify that  $(k, j, \sigma(i)) \in X$ . Since  $\sigma$  is a

permutation,  $\varphi: (i, k, j) \mapsto (k, j, \sigma(i))$  defines a bijection from X to X.

REMARK 4.6. When n = 3, the Nakayama permutation is cyclic and hence the set X is empty, so that there is a unique structure system A whose A-full matrix algebra is Frobenius.

In the following example, by applying the bijection  $\varphi : X \to X$  of Lemma 4.5, we obtain structure systems of Frobenius A-full matrix algebras in the case of n = 4, 5.

EXAMPLE 4.7. (1) Let n = 4 and  $\sigma = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4)$ . First observe that the set X of Lemma 4.5 has the form  $X = \{(1,4,3), (2,1,4), (3,2,1), (4,3,2)\}$ . Next note that X itself is a unique  $\varphi$ -orbit, i.e.,

$$(1,4,3) \mapsto (4,3,2) \mapsto (3,2,1) \mapsto (2,1,4) \ (\mapsto (1,4,3)).$$

If we put  $a = a_{ij}^{(k)}$  for all  $(i, k, j) \in X$ , then Lemma 4.5(1) yields the following two structure systems:

|                | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | a | 1 |   |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\mathbb{A} =$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | a | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |   |
|                | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | a | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ' |
|                | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | a | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ) |

where a = 0 or 1.

(2) n = 4 and  $\sigma = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4)$ : Observe that the set X is empty. Hence the structure system is unique.

(3) n = 5 and  $\sigma = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5)$ : Observe that the set X has two  $\varphi$ -orbits, i.e.,

$$X_1 = \{\varphi^t((2,1,4)) \mid 0 \le t \le 14\}, \quad X_2 = \{\varphi^t((4,1,3)) \mid 0 \le t \le 4\}.$$

Put  $a = a_{ij}^{(k)}$  for all  $(i, k, j) \in X_1$  and  $b = a_{ij}^{(k)}$  for all  $(i, k, j) \in X_2$ . Since  $(2, 1, 4) \in X_1$  and  $(2, 4, 1) \in X_2$ , we have

$$ab = a_{24}^{(1)}a_{21}^{(4)} = a_{21}^{(1)}a_{11}^{(4)} = 0.$$

Hence we obtain three structure systems depending on (a, b) = (0, 0), (1, 0),or (0, 1).

(4) n = 5 and  $\sigma = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4 \ 5)$ : Observe that the set X is a  $\varphi$ -orbit  $\{\varphi^t((3,1,5)) \mid 0 \le t \le 17\}$ . Put  $a = a_{ij}^{(k)}$  for all  $(i,k,j) \in X$ . Since  $(1,3,5) = \varphi^{13}((3,1,5)) \in X$ , we have  $a^2 = a_{15}^{(3)}a_{35}^{(1)} = a_{11}^{(3)}a_{15}^{(1)} = 0$ . Hence a = 0. Therefore the structure system is unique.

We note that there are corresponding Gorenstein tiled orders in each case, which can be found in [9, Examples].

## REFERENCES

- M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- Yu. A. Drozd and V. V. Kirichenko, *Finite Dimensional Algebras*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.

| [3] | K. R. Goodearl and B. Huisgen-Zimmermann, Repetitive resolutions over classical |
|-----|---|
|     | orders and finite dimensional algebras, in: Algebras and Modules II (Geiranger, |
|     | 1996), CMS Conf. Proc. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, 205–225.     |

- [4] V. A. Jategaonkar, Global dimension of tiled orders over a discrete valuation ring, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 196 (1974), 313–330.
- [5] I. Kaplansky, *Fields and Rings*, 2nd ed., Chicago Lectures in Math., The Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1972.
- [6] J. Lambek, *Lectures on Rings and Modules*, Blaisdell, Waltham (reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1976).
- M. Ramras, Maximal orders over regular local rings of dimension two, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (1969), 457–479.
- [8] I. Reiner, Maximal Orders, Academic Press, London, 1975.
- [9] K. W. Roggenkamp, V. V. Kirichenko, M. A. Khibina and V. N. Zhuravlev, Gorenstein tiled orders, Comm. Algebra 29 (2001), 4231–4247.
- [10] D. Simson, Linear Representations of Partially Ordered Sets and Vector Space Categories, Algebra Logic Appl. 4, Gordon & Breach, 1992.
- [11] A. G. Zavadskiĭ and V. V. Kirichenko, Semimaximal rings of finite type, Mat. Sb. 103 (1977), 323–345 (in Russian).

Institute of Mathematics University of Tsukuba Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571 Japan E-mail: fujita@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

> Received 15 August 2003; revised 6 November 2003

(4368)