

ON STABLE CURRENTS IN
POSITIVELY PINCHED CURVED HYPERSURFACES

BY

JINTANG LI (Xiammen)

Abstract. Let M^n ($n \geq 3$) be an n -dimensional complete hypersurface in a real space form $N(c)$ ($c \geq 0$). We prove that if the sectional curvature K_M of M satisfies the following pinching condition: $c + \delta < K_M \leq c + 1$, where $\delta = \frac{1}{5}$ for $n \geq 4$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$ for $n = 3$, then there are no stable currents (or stable varifolds) in M . This is a positive answer to the well-known conjecture of Lawson and Simons.

1. Introduction. The following conjecture is well known:

CONJECTURE. There are no stable currents (or stable varifolds) in a compact, simply connected $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinched Riemannian manifold.

In connection with this conjecture, Y. B. Shen and Q. He proved the following:

THEOREM A ([3]). *Let $N(c)$ be a real space form with constant sectional curvature c ($c \geq 0$) and $M \hookrightarrow N(c)$ be an n -dimensional ($n \geq 3$) complete hypersurface immersed in $N(c)$. If the sectional curvature K_M of M satisfies the following pinching condition:*

$$c + \delta < K_M \leq c + 1,$$

where $\delta = \frac{1}{5}$ for $n \geq 7$, $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$ for $n = 5, 6$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{3}$ for $n = 3, 4$, then there are no stable currents (or stable varifolds) in M .

In this paper, we prove the following theorem which is a positive answer to the above conjecture on complete pinched hypersurfaces immersed in a real space form.

THEOREM. *Let $N(c)$ be a real space form with constant sectional curvature c ($c \geq 0$) and $M \hookrightarrow N(c)$ be an n -dimensional ($n \geq 3$) complete hypersurface immersed in $N(c)$. If the sectional curvature K_M of M satisfies the following pinching condition:*

$$c + \delta < K_M \leq c + 1,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53C42; Secondary 58A25.

Key words and phrases: currents, hypersurfaces, stable.

where $\delta = \frac{1}{5}$ for $n \geq 4$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$ for $n = 3$, then there are no stable currents (or stable varifolds) in M .

2. Preliminaries. From now on we make use of the following convention on ranges of indices unless otherwise stated:

$$1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \dots \leq n; \quad 1 \leq i, j, \dots \leq p; \quad p+1 \leq r, s, \dots \leq n.$$

The following proposition is well known from [1]:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $N(c)$ be a real space form with constant sectional curvature c ($c \geq 0$) and $M \hookrightarrow N(c)$ an n -dimensional compact submanifold with the second fundamental form B in $N(c)$. If for any point $x \in M$ and any local orthonormal frame field $\{e_i, e_r\}$ at $x \in M$,*

$$(2.2) \quad F(n, p) = \sum_{i,r} \{2\|B(e_i, e_r)\|^2 - \langle B(e_i, e_i), B(e_r, e_r) \rangle\} < p(n-p)c,$$

where $0 < p < n$, then there are no stable p -currents (or stable p -varifolds) in M .

Let $x \in M$ be an arbitrary point and let $\{\lambda_\alpha\}$ be the principal curvatures of M corresponding to the principal direction vectors $\{\bar{e}_\alpha\}$ which form an orthonormal basis at x . For any local orthonormal frame field $\{e_\alpha\}$ at $x \in M$, there is an orthogonal matrix (a_α^β) such that

$$(2.3) \quad e_\alpha = \sum_{\beta} a_\alpha^\beta \bar{e}_\beta.$$

In the following, all calculations will be made at x . It can be seen from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$(2.4) \quad F(n, p) = \sum_{\alpha, i, r} (\lambda_\alpha a_i^\alpha a_r^\alpha)^2 - F_1 - F_2,$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad F_1 = \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta \left\{ \left(\sum_i a_i^\alpha a_i^\beta \right)^2 + \left(\sum_r a_r^\alpha a_r^\beta \right)^2 \right\},$$

$$(2.6) \quad F_2 = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ i, r}} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta (a_i^\alpha a_r^\beta)^2.$$

We may always assume that at $x \in M$,

$$(2.7) \quad 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n.$$

We need the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.2.

$$F_1 \geq 2\lambda_1 \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq 1 \\ i, r}} \lambda_\alpha (a_i^\alpha a_r^\alpha)^2 + 2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{i, r} (a_i^1 a_r^1)^2.$$

Proof. By using (2.7) and the fact that the matrix (a_α^β) is orthogonal, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{\beta \neq 1} \lambda_1 \lambda_\beta \left\{ \left(\sum_i a_i^1 a_i^\beta \right)^2 + \left(\sum_r a_r^1 a_r^\beta \right)^2 \right\} \\ \geq \sum_{\beta \neq 1} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \left\{ \left(\sum_i a_i^1 a_i^\beta \right)^2 + \left(\sum_r a_r^1 a_r^\beta \right)^2 \right\} = 2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{i,r} (a_i^1 a_r^1)^2.$$

For all $\alpha \neq 1$,

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta \left\{ \left(\sum_i a_i^\alpha a_i^\beta \right)^2 + \left(\sum_r a_r^\alpha a_r^\beta \right)^2 \right\} \\ \geq \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \lambda_1 \lambda_\alpha \left\{ \left(\sum_i a_i^\alpha a_i^\beta \right)^2 + \left(\sum_r a_r^\alpha a_r^\beta \right)^2 \right\} = 2\lambda_1 \lambda_\alpha \sum_{i,r} (a_i^\alpha a_r^\alpha)^2.$$

The assertion follows from (2.5), (2.8) and (2.9) immediately.

LEMMA 2.3.

$$F_2 \geq \frac{n-1}{n} \left\{ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \sum_{\alpha \neq 1} \lambda_\alpha \right\} - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{i,r} (a_i^1 a_r^1)^2 - \lambda_1 \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq 1 \\ i,r}} \lambda_\alpha (a_i^\alpha a_r^\alpha)^2.$$

Proof. For all $\alpha \neq 1$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq \alpha \\ i,r}} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta (a_i^\alpha a_r^\beta)^2 \geq \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq \alpha \\ i,r}} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_1 (a_i^\alpha a_r^\beta)^2 \\ = \lambda_1 \lambda_\alpha (n-p) \sum_i (a_i^\alpha)^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_\alpha \sum_{i,r} (a_i^\alpha a_r^\alpha)^2,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 1 \\ i,r}} \lambda_1 \lambda_\beta (a_i^1 a_r^\beta)^2 \geq \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 1 \\ i,r}} (a_i^1 a_r^\beta)^2 \\ = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 (n-p) \sum_i (a_i^1)^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{i,r} (a_i^1 a_r^1)^2.$$

It follows from (2.6), (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$(2.12) \quad F_2 \geq (n-p) \left\{ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_i (a_i^1)^2 + \lambda_1 \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq 1 \\ i}} \lambda_\alpha (a_i^\alpha)^2 \right\} \\ - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{i,r} (a_i^1 a_r^1)^2 - \lambda_1 \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq 1 \\ i,r}} \lambda_\alpha (a_i^\alpha a_r^\alpha)^2.$$

On the other hand, we also have, for all $\beta \neq 1$,

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq \beta \\ i,r}} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta (a_i^\alpha a_r^\beta)^2 \geq \lambda_1 \lambda_\beta p \sum_r (a_r^\beta)^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_\beta \sum_{i,r} (a_i^\beta a_r^\beta)^2,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq 1 \\ i,r}} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_1 (a_i^\alpha a_r^1)^2 \geq \lambda_1 \lambda_2 p \sum_r (a_r^1)^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{i,r} (a_i^1 a_r^1)^2.$$

Substituting (2.13) and (2.14) into (2.6), we get

$$(2.15) \quad F_2 \geq p \left\{ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_r (a_r^1)^2 + \lambda_1 \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 1 \\ r}} \lambda_\beta (a_r^\beta)^2 \right\} \\ - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{i,r} (a_i^1 a_r^1)^2 - \lambda_1 \sum_{\substack{\beta \neq 1 \\ i,r}} \lambda_\beta (a_i^\beta a_r^\beta)^2.$$

By (2.12) $\times p +$ (2.15) $\times (n - p)$, we obtain

$$F_2 \geq \frac{p(n-p)}{n} \left\{ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \sum_{\alpha \neq 1} \lambda_\alpha \right\} \\ - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \sum_{i,r} (a_i^1 a_r^1)^2 - \lambda_1 \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq 1 \\ i,r}} \lambda_\alpha (a_i^\alpha a_r^\alpha)^2.$$

LEMMA 2.4.

$$F(n, p) \leq -\frac{n-1}{n} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \neq 1} \left(\lambda_\alpha^2 - \frac{5n-4}{n} \lambda_1 \lambda_\alpha \right).$$

Proof. By (2.4) and Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$(2.16) \quad F(n, p) \leq -\frac{n-1}{n} \left\{ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \sum_{\alpha \neq 1} \lambda_\alpha \right\} + \sum_{i,r} (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2) (a_i^1 a_r^1)^2 \\ + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq 1 \\ i,r}} (\lambda_\alpha^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_\alpha) (a_i^\alpha a_r^\alpha)^2.$$

Since the matrix (a_α^β) is orthogonal, we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{i,r} (a_i^\alpha a_r^\alpha)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\sum_i (a_i^\alpha)^2 + \sum_r (a_r^\alpha)^2 \right]^2 = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \forall \alpha.$$

From (2.16) and (2.17), the conclusion follows.

LEMMA 2.5 ([3]). *If $\varepsilon^2 = \delta$, then*

- (1) $\lambda_\alpha > \varepsilon$ for $\alpha \neq 1$,
- (2) $\lambda_\alpha \leq 1$ for $\alpha \neq n$,
- (3) $\lambda_n < \varepsilon^{-1}$ and $\lambda_1 > \varepsilon^2$ if $n \geq 3$.

3. Proof of the Theorem. The proof is divided into two cases.

The first case. Suppose that $\lambda_1 < \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon < \lambda_n < \varepsilon^{-1}$, we consider six subcases separately.

$$\text{Subcase (1): } \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{5\varepsilon} \leq \lambda_n < \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

By (2.7) and the Gauss equation, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_1 \geq \varepsilon^2 \lambda_n \geq \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{5} \varepsilon.$$

It can be seen from (3.1) that

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{5n-4}{2n} \lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_1} \right).$$

For $\alpha \neq 1, n$, we think of $\lambda_\alpha^2 - \frac{5n-4}{n} \lambda_1 \lambda_\alpha$ as a function of λ_α . By (3.2) we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_\alpha^2 - \frac{5n-4}{n} \lambda_1 \lambda_\alpha \leq \frac{\varepsilon^4}{\lambda_1^2} - \frac{5n-4}{n} \varepsilon^2.$$

Using (2.7), (3.3) and Lemma 2.4, we get

$$(3.4) \quad F(n, p) \leq -\frac{n-1}{n} \varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_n^2 - \frac{5n-4}{4n} \lambda_1 \lambda_n \\ + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \neq 1, n} \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon^4}{\lambda_1^2} - \frac{5n-4}{n} \varepsilon^2 \right\} \leq \frac{1}{4n\lambda_1^2} f(\lambda_1),$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad f(\lambda_1) = \frac{n\lambda_1^2}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{5n-4}{\varepsilon} \lambda_1^3 - (5n^2 - 10n + 4)\varepsilon^2 \lambda_1^2 + n(n-2)\varepsilon^4.$$

When $\lambda_1 \in [\frac{2\sqrt{5}}{5}\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, $f(\lambda_1)$ is a decreasing function, so we have

$$(3.6) \quad F(n, p) \leq \frac{1}{4n\lambda_1^2} \left\{ \frac{4}{5}n - \frac{8}{25}\sqrt{5}(5n-4)\varepsilon^2 \right. \\ \left. - \frac{4}{5}(5n^2 - 10n + 4)\varepsilon^4 + n(n-2)\varepsilon^4 \right\} < 0.$$

$$\text{Subcase (2): } \frac{\sqrt{15}}{5\varepsilon} \leq \lambda_n < \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{5\varepsilon}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda_1 \geq \varepsilon^2 \lambda_n \geq \frac{\sqrt{15}}{5} \varepsilon,$$

and hence

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{5n-4}{2n} \lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_1} \right).$$

From (2.7), (3.8) and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & F(n, p) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4n\lambda_1^2} \{n\lambda_n^2\lambda_1^2 - (5n-4)\lambda_1^3\lambda_n - (5n^2-10n+4)\varepsilon^2\lambda_1^2 + n(n-2)\varepsilon^4\} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4n\lambda_1^2} g(\lambda_1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad g(\lambda_1) = \frac{4n}{5\varepsilon^2}\lambda_1^2 - \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{5\varepsilon}(5n-4)\lambda_1^3 - (5n^2-10n+4)\varepsilon^2\lambda_1^2 + n(n-2)\varepsilon^4.$$

When $\lambda_1 \in [\frac{\sqrt{15}}{5}\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, $g(\lambda_1)$ is a decreasing function, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad & F(n, p) \leq \frac{1}{4n\lambda_1^2} \left\{ \frac{12}{25}n - \frac{6\sqrt{3}}{25}(5n-4)\varepsilon^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{3}{5}(5n^2-10n+4)\varepsilon^4 + n(n-2)\varepsilon^4 \right\} < 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Subcase (3): $\frac{x}{\varepsilon} \leq \lambda_n < \frac{\sqrt{15}}{5\varepsilon}$, where $x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ for $n \geq 4$, $x = \frac{\sqrt{66}}{11}$ for $n = 3$.

Obviously, the following inequality holds:

$$(3.12) \quad \lambda_1 \geq \varepsilon^2\lambda_n \geq x\varepsilon,$$

so we have

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{5n-4}{2n}\lambda_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_1} \right).$$

By (2.7), (3.13) and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad & F(n, p) \leq \frac{1}{4n\lambda_1^2} \{n\lambda_1^2\lambda_n^2 - (5n-4)\lambda_1^3\lambda_n \\
 & \quad - (5n^2-10n+4)\varepsilon^2\lambda_1^2 + n(n-2)\varepsilon^4\} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{4n\lambda_1^2} h(\lambda_1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad & h(\lambda_1) = \frac{3}{5\varepsilon^2}n\lambda_1^2 - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{5\varepsilon}(5n-4)\lambda_1^3 \\
 & \quad - (5n^2-10n+4)\varepsilon^2\lambda_1^2 + n(n-2)\varepsilon^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

When $\lambda_1 \in [x\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, $h(\lambda_1)$ is a decreasing function, so we have

$$(3.16) \quad F(n, p) \leq \frac{1}{4n\lambda_1^2} \left\{ \frac{3}{5} nx^2 - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{5} x^3(5n-4)\varepsilon^2 - [(5x^2-1)n^2 - (10x^2-2)n + 4x^2]\varepsilon^4 \right\} < 0.$$

Subcase (4): $\sqrt{2} \leq \lambda_n < \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$, where $x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ for $n \geq 4$ or $x = \frac{\sqrt{66}}{11}$ for $n = 3$.

From (2.7) and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad F(n, p) \leq -\frac{n-1}{n}\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_n^2 - \frac{5n-4}{4n}\varepsilon^2\lambda_n + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \neq 1, n} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} - \frac{5n-4}{n}\varepsilon^2 \right\} < 0.$$

Subcase (5): $1 \leq \lambda_n < \sqrt{2}$.

Similarly, we have

$$(3.18) \quad F(n, p) \leq \{n\lambda_n^4 - (5n^2 - 5n)\varepsilon^2\lambda_n^2 + n(n-2)\} < 0.$$

Subcase (6): $\varepsilon < \lambda_n < 1$.

Obviously,

$$(3.19) \quad F(n, p) < -\frac{n-1}{n}\varepsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \neq 1} \left(1 - \frac{5n-4}{n}\varepsilon^2 \right) = \frac{n-1}{4} (1 - 5\varepsilon^2) \leq 0.$$

The second case. Suppose that $\lambda_1 \geq \varepsilon$. By Lemma 2.4 and 2.5, we have

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} F(n, p) &\leq -\frac{n-1}{n}\lambda_2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \neq 1} \left(\lambda_\alpha^2 - \frac{5n-4}{n}\varepsilon\lambda_\alpha \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4}\lambda_2^2 - \frac{9n-8}{4n}\lambda_2\varepsilon \right) + \left(\frac{1}{4}\lambda_n^2 - \frac{5n-4}{4n}\varepsilon\lambda_n \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \neq 1, 2, n} \left(\lambda_\alpha - \frac{5n-4}{n}\varepsilon \right) \lambda_\alpha \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{9n-8}{4n}\varepsilon^2 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} - \frac{5n-4}{4n} \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, $F(n, p) < 0$ at any point $x \in M$ and any local orthonormal frame field $\{e_\alpha\}$ at $x \in M$. By Proposition 2.1, the Theorem is proved completely.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank the referee for his close reading of the first draft of this paper which led to various improvements.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. B. Lawson and J. Simons, *On stable currents and their applications to global problems in real and complex geometry*, Ann. of Math. 98 (1973), 427–450.
- [2] H. Z. Li, *Some nonexistence theorems on stable minimal submanifolds*, Colloq. Math. 73 (1997), 1–13.
- [3] Y. B. Shen and Q. He, *On stable currents and positively curved hypersurfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 237–246.

Department of Mathematics
Xiammen University
361005 Xiammen
Fujian, P.R. China
E-mail: dli66@xmu.edu.cn

Received 16 May 2003;
revised 3 September 2003

(4346)