

Contents

1. Introduction	5
2. Notation and auxiliary results	8
3. Differential inequality for velocity	10
4. Differential inequality for the magnetic field	16
5. Global existence	36
6. Korn inequality	39
References	44

Abstract

We consider the motion of incompressible mhd in a domain bounded a free surface. In the external domain there exists an electromagnetic field generated by some currents which keeps the mhd flow in the bounded domain. On the free surface transmission conditions for the electromagnetic fields are imposed. For sufficiently small initial velocity and vanishing external force the global existence is proved. The L_2 -approach is used. This helps us to treat the transmission conditions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35A01; Secondary 35Q30, 35R35, 76D05, 76W05.

Key words and phrases: free boundary, incompressible viscous magnetohydrodynamics, global existence, transmission problem, Hilbert–Sobolev spaces, Korn inequality.

Received 1.9.2014; revised version 16.12.2014.

1. Introduction

In this paper we show global in time existence of solutions to a free boundary problem for incompressible magnetohydrodynamics. In a domain $\overset{1}{\Omega}_t \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ bounded by a free surface S_t we consider the motion of incompressible magnetohydrodynamics. A domain $\overset{2}{\Omega}_t$, which is bounded by a fixed boundary B , is external to $\overset{1}{\Omega}_t$. In $\overset{2}{\Omega}_t$ we have an electromagnetic field generated by some currents on B . Hence the electromagnetic field in $\overset{2}{\Omega}_t$ acts on the motion of magnetohydrodynamics in $\overset{1}{\Omega}_t$ through the free boundary S_t . Moreover, the motion in $\overset{1}{\Omega}_t$ is generated by the initial velocity and the initial magnetic field and also by the initial shape of the free surface S_t . Our aim is to prove global existence assuming that the initial data are sufficiently small. Our considerations base on [4], where local existence of solutions of this problem is proved.

In $\overset{1}{\Omega}_t$ the motion is described by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} v_t + v \cdot \nabla v - \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(v, p) - \operatorname{div}(\mu \mathbb{T}(\overset{1}{H})) &= f && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_1^T, \\ \operatorname{div} v &= 0 && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_1^T, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(\overset{1}{H}_t + v \cdot \nabla \overset{1}{H} - \overset{1}{H} \cdot \nabla v) + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \overset{1}{H} &= 0 && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_1^T, \\ \operatorname{div} \overset{1}{H} &= 0 && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_1^T, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_1^T = \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \overset{1}{\Omega}_t \times \{t\}$, $v = (v_1(x, t), v_2(x, t), v_3(x, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity of the fluid, $p = p(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the pressure, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ the global cartesian coordinates. $\overset{i}{H} = \overset{i}{H}(x, t) = (\overset{i}{H}_1(x, t), \overset{i}{H}_2(x, t), \overset{i}{H}_3(x, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $i = 1, 2$, is the magnetic field, $f = f(x, t) = (f_1(x, t), f_2(x, t), f_3(x, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the external force field, μ is the constant magnetic permeability and σ_i is the constant electric conductivity in $\overset{i}{\Omega}_t$, $i = 1, 2$. We denote by $\mathbb{T}(v, p)$ the stress tensor of the form

$$\mathbb{T}(v, p) = \nu \mathbb{D}(v) - p \mathbb{I},$$

where ν is the constant viscosity coefficient, \mathbb{I} is the unit matrix and

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{D}(v) = \{v_{ix_j} + v_{jx_i}\}_{i,j=1,2,3}$$

is the dilatation tensor.

Moreover, we denote by $\mathbb{T}(\overset{1}{H})$ the stress tensor of the magnetic field described by

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{T}(\overset{1}{H}) = \left\{ \overset{1}{H}_i \overset{1}{H}_j - \frac{\overset{1}{H}^2}{2} \delta_{ij} \right\}_{i,j=1,2,3}.$$

In $\tilde{\Omega}_t^2$ there is no fluid motion but there is a fluid under a constant pressure p_0 , where the electromagnetic field is described by the problem

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \overset{2}{H}'_t + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \overset{2}{H}' &= 0 && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_2^T, \\ \operatorname{div} \overset{2}{H}' &= 0 && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_2^T, \\ \overset{2}{H}' &= H_* && \text{on } B, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_2^T = \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \tilde{\Omega}_t \times \{t\}$. As the initial conditions we assume $\overset{i}{\Omega}_t|_{t=0} = \overset{i}{\Omega}$, $S_t|_{t=0} = S$, $i = 1, 2$, $v|_{t=0} = v_0$, $\overset{1}{H}|_{t=0} = \overset{1}{H}_0$ in $\overset{1}{\Omega}$, $\overset{2}{H}|_{t=0} = \overset{2}{H}_0$ in $\overset{2}{\Omega}$.

It is difficult to examine problem (1.5) because the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on B implies that integration by parts will not give any estimate. Therefore, we construct an extension \tilde{H}_* of H_* satisfying

$$(1.6) \quad \tilde{H}_*|_B = H_*,$$

which is divergence free and vanishes in a neighborhood of S_t . The divergence free extension is possible if

$$(1.7) \quad 0 = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_t^2} \operatorname{div} \tilde{H}_* dx = \int_B H_* \cdot \bar{n} dB,$$

where \bar{n} is the unit normal external vector to B . Using the extension \tilde{H}_* we can introduce the new function

$$(1.8) \quad \overset{2}{H} = \overset{2}{H}' - \tilde{H}_*$$

which is a solution to the problem

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \overset{2}{H}_t + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \overset{2}{H} &= -\mu \tilde{H}_{*t} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \tilde{H}_* \equiv G && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_2^T, \\ \operatorname{div} \overset{2}{H} &= 0 && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_2^T, \\ \overset{2}{H} &= 0 && \text{on } B, \\ \overset{2}{H}|_{t=0} &= \overset{2}{H}'(0) - \tilde{H}_*|_{t=0} \equiv \overset{2}{H}(0) && \text{in } \overset{2}{\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

To transform problem (1.9) to lagrangian coordinates we extend v on $\tilde{\Omega}_t^2$. The extension is denoted by v' and it is divergence free (see [11]). In this case we can express problem (1.9) in the form

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu (\overset{2}{H}_t + v' \cdot \nabla \overset{2}{H}) - \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \overset{2}{H} &= G + \mu v' \cdot \nabla \overset{2}{H} && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_2^T, \\ \operatorname{div} \overset{2}{H} &= 0 && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}_2^T, \\ \overset{2}{H} &= 0 && \text{on } B, \\ \overset{2}{H}|_{t=0} &= \overset{2}{H}(0) && \text{in } \overset{2}{\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Examining problem (1.10) we can introduce in Ω_t^2 the lagrangian coordinates ξ as the initial data to the problem

$$(1.11) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = v'(x, t), \quad x|_{t=0} = \xi \in \Omega^2, \quad x \in \Omega_t^2.$$

Similarly, lagrangian coordinates in Ω_t^1 are defined by the problem

$$(1.12) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = v(x, t), \quad x|_{t=0} = \xi \in \Omega^1, \quad x \in \Omega_t^1.$$

To simplify the presentation of the results we introduce the notation

$$(1.13) \quad (v, v') = (\overset{1}{v}, \overset{2}{v}).$$

Finally, we introduce the following boundary and transmission conditions on S_t :

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{n} \cdot \mathbb{T}(v, p) + \mu \bar{n} \cdot \mathbb{T}(\overset{1}{H}) &= -p_0 \bar{n} \quad \text{on } S_t, \\ v \cdot \bar{n} &= -\frac{\varphi_t}{|\nabla \varphi|} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.15) \quad [H] = 0, \quad \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1} \operatorname{rot} \overset{1}{H} - \mu v \times \overset{1}{H} \right)_\tau = \frac{1}{\sigma_2} (\operatorname{rot} \overset{2}{H})_\tau \quad \text{on } S_t,$$

where the subscript τ means the tangent coordinates to S_t , $\varphi(x, t) = 0$ describes at least locally S_t and $[A] = \overset{1}{A} - \overset{2}{A}$, where $\overset{i}{A}$ is the quantity defined in Ω_t^i , $i = 1, 2$. The transmission conditions (1.15) couple the magnetic fields $\overset{1}{H}$ and $\overset{2}{H}$.

To prove global existence we need the following local result (see [4]).

THEOREM 1. *Assume that $v_0 \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\overset{i}{H}_0 \in H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega})$, $i = 1, 2$, $\partial_t^k v|_{t=0} \in L_2(\Omega)$, $\partial_t^k \overset{i}{H}|_{t=0} \in L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega})$, $i = 1, 2$, $k \leq 2$, $\partial_t^i \bar{f} \in L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}^T)$, $i \leq 2$, $\bar{G}, \bar{G}_t \in L_2(\overset{2}{\Omega}^T)$ and $S \in H^{5/2}$, where $\overset{i}{\Omega}^T = \overset{i}{\Omega} \times [0, T]$, $i = 1, 2$. Assume the transmission conditions (1.15) hold on S_t . Then for T sufficiently small there exists a solution to problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.10), (1.15) such that*

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t^i \bar{v} &\in L_\infty(0, T; H^{2-i}(\overset{1}{\Omega})) \cap L_2(0, T; H^{3-i}(\overset{1}{\Omega})), \quad i \leq 2, \\ \partial_t^k \overset{i}{H} &\in L_\infty(0, T; H^{2-k}(\overset{i}{\Omega})) \cap L_2(0, T; H^{3-k}(\overset{i}{\Omega})) \equiv X(\overset{i}{\Omega} \times [0, T]), \\ & \quad k \leq 2, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|\bar{v}\|_{X(\overset{1}{\Omega}^T)} + \|\bar{p}'\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}^T)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{X(\overset{i}{\Omega}^T)} \\ &\leq c \left[\sum_{k=0}^2 \left(\|\partial_t^k v|_{t=0}\|_{H^{2-k}(\overset{1}{\Omega})} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\partial_t^k \overset{i}{H}|_{t=0}\|_{H^{2-k}(\overset{i}{\Omega})} \right) + \|\bar{f}\|_{L_2(0, T; H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}))} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\bar{f}_t\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}^T)} + \|\bar{f}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}^T)} + \|\bar{G}\|_{L_2(0, T; \overset{1}{H}(\overset{2}{\Omega}))} + \|\bar{G}_t\|_{L_2(\overset{2}{\Omega}^T)} + \|\bar{G}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{2}{\Omega}^T)} \right] \equiv \bar{D}, \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{G} is given by (1.9), $\bar{p}' = \bar{p} - p_0$ and $\bar{u} = \bar{u}(\xi, t) = u(x(\xi, t), t)$ (see (1.11), (1.12)).

MAIN THEOREM. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Assume that $f = 0$, $\int_{\Omega} v_0 dx = \int_{\Omega} v_0 \cdot \varphi_i dx = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, where φ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are defined in (6.4). Assume that D from (1.17) is sufficiently small. Then there exists a global solution to the problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.10), (1.15) in $X(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$, $i = 1, 2$, where $X(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ is defined in (1.16).*

REMARK 1.1. For \bar{D} small Theorem 1 holds with suitably large T . Moreover, if \bar{D} goes to zero then the existence time T converges to infinity.

2. Notation and auxiliary results

First we introduce the notation employed in this paper. We do not distinguish between norms of scalar and vector-valued functions. Let ω be a vector, $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$. Then

$$|\omega| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\omega_i|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $L_p(\Omega) = \{u : \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx < \infty\}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$. The space of functions with the norm

$$\|u\|_{V_2^0(\Omega^T)} = \|u\|_{L_{\infty}(0,T;L_2(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))}$$

is denoted by $V_2^0(\Omega^T)$. We shall use the notation

$$\|u\|_{\Gamma_k^l(\Omega)} = \sum_{i \leq l-k} \|\partial_t^i u\|_{H^{l-i}(\Omega)}, \quad l, k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $H^l(\Omega) = \{u : \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \|D_x^{\alpha} u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} < \infty\}$, and

$$\|u\|_{\Gamma_{k,r}^l(\Omega^T)} = \|u\|_{L_r(0,T;\Gamma_k^l(\Omega))}.$$

Let

$$\|u\|_{L_p^k(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|D_x^{\alpha} u\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad \|u\|_{L_2^1(\Omega^t)} = \|u_x\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)} + \|u_t\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ is a multiindex, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \equiv \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $D_x^{\alpha} = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \partial_{x_3}^{\alpha_3}$.

By c we denote a generic constant which changes its value from formula to formula. Similarly we denote by φ a generic function which is always positive and increasing.

To examine free boundary problems in hydrodynamics we use lagrangian coordinates which are the initial data to the following Cauchy problem:

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = v(x, t), \quad x|_{t=0} = \xi \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.2) \quad x_v(\xi, t) = \xi + \int_0^t \bar{v}(\xi, s) ds,$$

where $\bar{v}(\xi, t) = v(x_v(\xi, t), t)$.

To define lagrangian coordinates in $\bar{\Omega}_t^2$ we need

LEMMA 2.1 ([11]). *Let $X(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)$ be some Sobolev space. Let $v \in X(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)$ be divergence free. Then there exists an extension v' of v on $\overset{1}{\Omega}_t \cup \overset{2}{\Omega}_t$ such that v' is divergence free, $v'|_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} = v$ and there exists a constant c such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \|v'\|_{X(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t \cup \overset{2}{\Omega}_t)} \leq c \|v\|_{X(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}.$$

In view of the definition of lagrangian coordinates we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{1}{\Omega}_t &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = x_v(\xi, t), \xi \in \overset{1}{\Omega}\}, & S_t &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = x_v(\xi, t), \xi \in S\}, \\ \overset{1}{\Omega}_t \cup \overset{1}{\Omega}_t &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = x_{v'}(\xi, t), \xi \in \overset{1}{\Omega} \cup \overset{2}{\Omega}\}. \end{aligned}$$

To formulate our problem in lagrangian coordinates we need the notation

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{\bar{v}} &= \frac{\partial \xi_k}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}, & \mathbb{D}_{\bar{v}} \bar{u} &= \nabla_{\bar{v}} \bar{u} + (\nabla_{\bar{v}} \bar{u})^T, \\ \mathbb{T}_{\bar{v}}(\bar{u}, \bar{p}) &= \mathbb{D}_{\bar{v}}(\bar{u}) - \bar{p}\mathbb{I}, & \operatorname{div}_{\bar{v}} \bar{v} &= \partial_{x_i} \xi_k \partial_{\xi_k} \bar{v}_i = \nabla_{\bar{v}} \cdot \bar{v}, \end{aligned}$$

where summation over repeated indices is assumed, $\xi = \xi(x, t)$ is the inverse transformation to $x = x_{\bar{v}}(\xi, t)$. From [13, 12] we have

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a given bounded domain. Let $v \in L_2(\Omega)$ be such that*

$$(2.5) \quad E_{\Omega}(v) = \int_{\Omega} (v_{jx_i} + v_{ix_j})^2 dx.$$

Then there exists a constant c such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c(E_{\Omega}(v) + \|v\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2).$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Let (2.2) describe the relation between the eulerian x and lagrangian ξ coordinates. Then*

$$(2.7) \quad |x_{\xi} - I| \leq \left| \int_0^t \bar{v}_{\xi}(\xi, s) ds \right|,$$

$$(2.8) \quad |\xi_x| \leq \exp \int_0^t |\bar{v}_{\xi}(\xi, s)| ds.$$

Proof. Expressing (2.2) in the form

$$(2.9) \quad x = \xi + \int_0^t \bar{v}(\xi, s) ds,$$

we see that (2.7) is obvious. To show (2.8) we obtain from (2.9) the relation

$$\xi_x = I + \int_0^t \bar{v}_{\xi}(\xi, s) \xi_x ds,$$

so

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \xi_x = \bar{v}_{\xi} \xi_x.$$

From (2.10) it follows that

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \ln |\xi_x|^2 \leq |\bar{v}_{\xi}|,$$

so (2.8) follows. ■

3. Differential inequality for velocity

To prove the differential inequality we need a sufficiently regular local solution.

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that $X_1 = \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 < \infty$, $f \in \Gamma_0^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)$ $v(0) \in L_2(\dot{\Omega})$, $|\int_0^t \int_{\dot{\Omega}_{t'}} f dx dt'| < \infty$. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p'\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ & \leq c \left[X_1^2(1 + X_1 + X_1^2) + X_1(1 + X_1) \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + X_1^2 \|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + X_1^2 \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|f\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\dot{\Omega}_{t'}} f(x, t') dx dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int v(0) dx \right|^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $p' = p - p_0$.

Proof. Multiplying (1.1)₁ by v , integrating over $\dot{\Omega}_t$ and using boundary conditions (1.14) yields

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + E_{\dot{\Omega}_t}^1(v) = \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} f \cdot v dx.$$

In view of the Korn inequality (6.18) we have

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \leq c \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c \left| \int_0^t \int_{\dot{\Omega}_{t'}} f(x, t') dx dt' \right|^2 + c \left| \int v(0) dx \right|^2.$$

Differentiating (1.1)₁ with respect to t , multiplying the result by v_t and integrating over $\dot{\Omega}_t$ gives

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 - \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \operatorname{div}[\mathbb{T}(v, p) + \mu \mathbb{T}(\dot{H})]_t \cdot v_t dx = - \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} v_t \cdot \nabla v \cdot v_t dx + \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} f_t \cdot v_t dx.$$

Integrating by parts, the second term on the l.h.s. equals

$$- \int_{S_t} \bar{n} \cdot [\mathbb{T}(v, p') + \mathbb{T}(\dot{H})]_t \cdot v_t dS_t + E_{\dot{\Omega}_t}^1(v_t) + \mu \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \mathbb{T}(\dot{H})_t \cdot \nabla v_t dx \equiv I_1 + E_{\dot{\Omega}_t}^1(v_t) + I_2,$$

where in view of the boundary conditions

$$I_1 = \int_{S_t} \bar{n}_t (\mathbb{T}(v, p') + \mu \mathbb{T}(\dot{H})) \cdot v_t dS_t,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| & \leq c \int_{S_t} |v| (|\nabla v| + |p'| + |\dot{H}|^2) |v_t| dS_t \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 (\|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^4) \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|I_2| \leq \varepsilon \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2.$$

Finally, the r.h.s. of (3.4) is bounded by

$$\varepsilon \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2).$$

Employing the estimates in (3.4) yields

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + E_{\dot{\Omega}_t}^1(v_t) \leq \varepsilon (\|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\ + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^4) \\ + \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2).$$

In view of the Korn inequality (6.30) and ε sufficiently small we derive

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ \leq \varepsilon \|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^4) \\ + \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2).$$

Differentiating (1.1)₁ twice with respect to t , multiplying the result by v_{tt} and integrating over Ω_t yields

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} v_{tt}^2 dx + \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} (2v_t \cdot \nabla v_t \cdot v_{tt} + v_{tt} \cdot \nabla v \cdot v_{tt}) dx \\ - \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{T}(v, p) + \mu \mathbb{T}(\dot{H}))_{tt} \cdot v_{tt} dx = \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} f_{tt} \cdot v_{tt} dx.$$

We bound the second term on the l.h.s. by

$$\varepsilon \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^4).$$

Integration by parts in the last term on the l.h.s. of (3.7) implies

$$- \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \operatorname{div}(\mathbb{T}(v, p') + \mathbb{T}(\dot{H}))_{tt} \cdot v_{tt} dx = - \int_{S_t} \bar{n} \cdot (\mathbb{T}(v, p') + \mathbb{T}(\dot{H}))_{tt} \cdot v_{tt} dS_t \\ + \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \mathbb{T}(v, p)_{tt} \cdot \nabla v_{tt} dx + \mu \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \mathbb{T}(\dot{H})_{tt} \cdot \nabla v_{tt} dx \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

Now we estimate the integrals I_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$. To employ the boundary conditions we have

$$I_1 = - \int_{S_t} (\bar{n} \cdot (\mathbb{T}(v, p') + \mathbb{T}(\dot{H})))_{tt} \cdot v_{tt} dS_t + \int_{S_t} \bar{n}_{tt} \cdot (\mathbb{T}(v, p') + \mu \mathbb{T}(\dot{H})) \cdot v_{tt} dS_t \\ + 2 \int_{S_t} \bar{n}_t \cdot (\mathbb{T}(v, p') + \mu \mathbb{T}(\dot{H}))_t \cdot v_{tt} dS_t \equiv J_1 + J_2 + J_3.$$

In view of the boundary conditions (1.14), J_1 vanishes. Next,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2| &\leq c \int_{S_t} (|v_t| + |v|^2)(|\nabla v| + |p'| + |\overset{1}{H}|^2)|v_{tt}| dS_t \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon)(\|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4)(\|v\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|p'\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon)(\|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4)\|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &\leq c \int_{S_t} |v_t|(|\nabla v_t| + |p_t| + |\overset{1}{H}| |\overset{1}{H}_t|)|v_{tt}| dS_t \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon)(\|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v_t\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|p_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon)\|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The term I_2 equals $I_2 = E_{\Omega_t}(v_{tt})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq c \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} (|\overset{1}{H}_{tt}| |\overset{1}{H}| + |\overset{1}{H}_t|^2)|\nabla v_{tt}| dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon)(\|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above considerations and estimates in (3.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + E_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t}^1(v_{tt}) &\leq \varepsilon (\|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2) + c(1/\varepsilon) [\|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4 \\ &\quad + (\|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4)(\|v\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\ &\quad + (\|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4)\|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v_t\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4 + \|f_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying, (3.8) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + E_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t}^1(v_{tt}) &\leq \varepsilon (\|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2) + c(1/\varepsilon) [\|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\ &\quad + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2) \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4 + \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4 \\ &\quad + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2) \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v_t\|_{H^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

We recall the Korn inequality (6.44):

$$(3.10) \quad \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \leq cE_{\dot{\Omega}_t}^1(v_{tt}) + c(\|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^4 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2).$$

Using (3.10) in (3.9) we obtain, for sufficiently small ε ,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 &\leq \varepsilon(\|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\ &\quad + c(1/\varepsilon)[\|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^4 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\ &\quad + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^4 + \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^4 \\ &\quad + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the above expressions we introduce the notation

$$(3.12) \quad X_1 = \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2.$$

Then (3.6) takes the form

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ \leq \varepsilon \|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon)(X_1^2 + X_1^3 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \end{aligned}$$

and (3.11) can be expressed as

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 &\leq \varepsilon(\|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\ &\quad + c(1/\varepsilon)[X_1^2(1 + X_1 + X_1^2) + X_1(1 + X_1)\|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + X_1^2\|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following stationary Stokes problem:

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(v, p') &= -v_t - v \cdot \nabla v + \mu \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(\dot{H}) + f && \text{in } \dot{\Omega}_t, \\ \operatorname{div} v &= 0 && \text{in } \dot{\Omega}_t, \\ \bar{n} \cdot \mathbb{T}(v, p') &= -\mu \bar{n} \cdot \mathbb{T}(\dot{H}) && \text{on } S_t, \end{aligned}$$

where t is fixed. For solutions to problem (3.15) we have

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \\ \leq c(\|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \|\dot{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}) \\ \leq c\|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + c(X_1 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$\|\dot{H}\dot{H}\|_{H^{1/2}(S_t)} \leq c\|\dot{H}\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \leq c\|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \|\dot{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}.$$

Using (3.16) in (3.13) and assuming that ε is sufficiently small we get

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \leq c(X_1^2 + X_1^3 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2).$$

Adding (3.16) and (3.17) yields

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ \leq c(X_1^2 + X_1^3 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} v_x^2 dx &= \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \partial_t v_x^2 dx + \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} v \cdot \nabla v_x^2 dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon (\|v_{xt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_{xx}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2), \end{aligned}$$

in (3.18) implies

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ \leq c\|v_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(X_1^2 + X_2^3 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.3) and (3.20) gives

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ \leq c \left(X_1^2 + X_1^3 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\dot{\Omega}_{t'}} f dx dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\dot{\Omega}} v(0) dx \right|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.16) in (3.14) yields

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 &\leq \varepsilon (\|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\ &\quad + c(1/\varepsilon) [X_1^2(1 + X_1 + X_1^2) + X_1(1 + X_1) \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ &\quad + X_1^2 \|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + X_1^2 \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (3.15) with respect to time gives

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(v_t, p_t) &= -v_{tt} - v_t \cdot \nabla v - v \cdot \nabla v_t + \mu \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T}(\dot{H})_t + f_t, \\ \operatorname{div} v_t &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{n} \cdot \mathbb{T}(v_t, p_t) = -\bar{n}_t \cdot \mathbb{T}(v, p') - \bar{n}_t \mathbb{T}(\dot{H}) - \mu \bar{n} \cdot \mathbb{T}(\dot{H})_t.$$

For solutions to problem (3.23) the following estimate is valid:

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} &\leq c [\|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \\ &\quad + \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \|\dot{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|v \cdot \nabla v\|_{H^{1/2}(S_t)} \\ &\quad + \|v p'\|_{H^{1/2}(S_t)} + \|v \dot{H} \dot{H}\|_{H^{1/2}(S_t)} + \|\dot{H} \dot{H}_t\|_{H^{1/2}(S_t)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.25) \quad & \|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \\
& \leq c[\|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}\|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}\|\dot{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \\
& \quad + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}\|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}\|\dot{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
& \quad + \|\dot{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}\|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}] \\
& \leq c[\|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + X_1 + X_1^{3/2} + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}\|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}].
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.16) in (3.25) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.26) \quad & \|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \\
& \leq c[\|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + X_1 + X_1^{3/2} + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}\|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}].
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.16), (3.22) and (3.26) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.27) \quad & \frac{d}{dt}\|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
& \leq c[\|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + X_1^2(1 + X_1 + X_1^2) + X_1(1 + X_1)\|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
& \quad + X_1^2\|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + X_1\|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.21) and (3.27) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.28) \quad & \frac{d}{dt}(\|v\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\
& \quad + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
& \leq c[X_1^2(1 + X_1 + X_1^2) + X_1(1 + X_1)\|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
& \quad + X_1^2\|v_t\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + X_1^2\|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
& \quad + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\dot{\Omega}_{t'}} f \, dx \, dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\dot{\Omega}} v(0) \, dx \right|].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we need

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.29) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} v_{xt}^2 \, dx = \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} [(v_{xt}^2)_t + v \cdot \nabla(v_{xt}^2)] \, dx = 2 \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} (v_{xt}v_{xtt} + vv_{xxt}v_{xt}) \, dx \\
& \leq \varepsilon(\|v_{xtt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) + c(1/\varepsilon)(\|v_{xt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}\|v_{xt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.17) and (3.29) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.30) \quad & \frac{d}{dt}\|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \leq \varepsilon(\|v_{xtt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\
& \quad + c(1/\varepsilon)(X_1^2 + X_1^3 + \|f\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we examine

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\frac{1}{\Omega_t}} v_{xx}^2 dx &= \int_{\frac{1}{\Omega_t}} [(v_{xx}^2)_t + v \cdot \nabla(v_{xx}^2)] dx = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{\Omega_t}} [v_{xx} v_{xxt} + v v_{xxx} v_{xx}] dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon (\|v_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v_{xxx}\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2) + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v_{xx}\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \|v_{xx}\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.18) and (3.31) gives

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|v_t\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v_{xx}\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2) + \|v\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \\ \leq \varepsilon (\|v_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v_{xxx}\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2) + c(1/\varepsilon) (X_1^2 + X_1^3 + \|f\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2). \end{aligned}$$

For solutions to (3.15) we have

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H^3(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \\ \leq c [\|v_t\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^4 + \|\bar{H}\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^4 + \|f\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|\bar{n} \cdot \mathbb{T}(\bar{H})\|_{H^{3/2}(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2] \\ \leq c [\|v_t\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + X_1^2 + \|f\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.17) and (3.33) yields

$$(3.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_t\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v\|_{H^3(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|p'\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \\ \leq c [X_1^2 + X_1^3 + \|f\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2]. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.28), (3.30), (3.32) and (3.34), for sufficiently small ε we derive

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|p'\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \\ \leq c \left[X_1^2 (1 + X_1 + X_1^2) + X_1 (1 + X_1) \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + X_1^2 \|v_t\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + X_1^2 \|f\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|f\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|f_{tt}\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \left| \int_{\frac{0}{\Omega_t}}^t \int f dx dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\frac{1}{\Omega_t}} v(0) dx \right|^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies (3.1) and concludes the proof. ■

4. Differential inequality for the magnetic field

In this section we derive a differential inequality for magnetic fields which is similar to the inequality (3.1) from Section 3.

THEOREM 4.1. *Assume that the magnetic fields \bar{H} , \bar{H} satisfy equations (1.2), (1.9) and the transmission conditions (1.15). Assume that the assumptions of Lemmas 4.2–4.8 are satisfied. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 X_{2j} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
& \leq c \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
& \quad + c \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c (\|G\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_{tt}\|_{L_{6/5}(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad X_{21} + X_{22} + X_{23} = & \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\|\dot{H}_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\dot{H}_{xx}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\dot{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \right. \\
& + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} (\|\dot{H}_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\dot{H}_{xx}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\dot{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2) \\
& \left. + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} (\|\dot{H}_\tau\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\dot{H}_{\tau\tau}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\dot{H}_{\tau t}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

To describe X_{2j} , $j = 1, 2, 3$, we need a partition of unity $\zeta_k^i \in C^\infty$, $0 \leq \zeta_k^i(x) \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \zeta_k^i = \dot{\Omega}_k \subset \dot{\Omega}_t$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $\dot{\Omega}_t = \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{N}} \dot{\Omega}_k$, $i = 1, 2$. By $\dot{\Omega}_k$, $k \in \mathcal{M}$, we denote an interior subdomain of $\dot{\Omega}_t$ and by $\hat{\Omega}_k$, $k \in \mathcal{N}$, a boundary subdomain. In some neighborhoods of S_t and B we introduce a system of curvilinear coordinates (τ_1, τ_2, n) , where τ_1, τ_2 are tangent coordinates and n is the normal.

Moreover, τ replaces either τ_1 or τ_2 . Finally $\dot{H} = \dot{H} \zeta_k^i$.

LEMMA 4.2. Assume that $\|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} < \infty$, $G \in L_{6/5}(\dot{\Omega}_t)$ and assume the transmission conditions hold on S_t . Then

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \leq c \|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c \|G\|_{L_{6/5}(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2,$$

where we have used that v' is an extension of v into $\dot{\Omega}_t$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \|v'\|_{L_3(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \leq c \|v'\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)} \leq c \|v\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}.$$

Proof. We multiply (1.2) by \dot{H} and integrate the result over $\dot{\Omega}_t$. Next we multiply (1.9)₁ by \dot{H} and integrate the result over $\dot{\Omega}_t$. Adding, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad & \mu \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} [(\dot{H}^2)_t + v \cdot \nabla \dot{H}^2] dx + \mu \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} [(\dot{H}^2)_t + v' \cdot \nabla \dot{H}^2] dx \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \text{rot rot } \dot{H} \cdot \dot{H} dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \text{rot rot } \dot{H} \cdot \dot{H} dx \\
& = \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} G \cdot \dot{H} dx + \mu \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} \dot{H} \cdot \nabla v \cdot \dot{H} dx + \mu \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} v' \cdot \nabla \dot{H} \cdot \dot{H} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.75) and the transmission conditions we get

$$(4.6) \quad \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} \overset{1}{H}^2 dx + \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} \overset{2}{H}^2 dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} |\operatorname{rot} \overset{1}{H}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} |\operatorname{rot} \overset{2}{H}|^2 dx \\ \leq \varepsilon_1 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{L_6(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_1) \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ + \varepsilon_2 \|\overset{2}{H}\|_{L_6(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_2) \left(\|G\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|v'\|_{L_3(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla \overset{2}{H}\|_{L_2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \left| \int_{S_t} v \times \overset{1}{H} \cdot \bar{n} \times \overset{1}{H} dS_t \right| \right).$$

The last term is bounded by

$$(4.7) \quad \varepsilon_3 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_3) \|v\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2.$$

Employing (4.7) in (4.6) and assuming that $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ are sufficiently small implies

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} \overset{1}{H}^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} \overset{2}{H}^2 dx + \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{2}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ \leq c(\|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|v\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v'\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{2}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2).$$

Hence (4.8) yields (4.3). ■

LEMMA 4.3. *Assume that $\|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)} < \infty$, $G_t \in L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)$ and assume the transmission conditions hold on S_t . Then*

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}_t\|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \leq c \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{v}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_t\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \right],$$

where $v' = v^2$.

Proof. Differentiating (1.2)₁ with respect to time, multiplying the result by $\overset{1}{H}_t$ and integrating over $\overset{1}{\Omega}_t$ we get

$$(4.10) \quad \mu \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} [\partial_t(\overset{1}{H}_t^2) + v \cdot \nabla(\overset{1}{H}_t^2)] dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \overset{1}{H}_t \cdot \overset{1}{H}_t dx \\ = -\mu \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} v_t \cdot \nabla \overset{1}{H} \cdot \overset{1}{H}_t dx + \mu \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} (\overset{1}{H}_t \cdot \nabla v + \overset{1}{H} \cdot \nabla v_t) \cdot \overset{1}{H}_t dx.$$

Using formula (4.75) implies

$$(4.11) \quad \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} \overset{1}{H}_t^2 dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \overset{1}{H}_t \cdot \overset{1}{H}_t dx \leq \varepsilon_1 \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{L_6(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ + c(1/\varepsilon_1) [\|v_t\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla \overset{1}{H}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla v_t\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2].$$

Differentiating (1.9)₁ with respect to time, multiplying the result by $\overset{2}{H}_t$ and integrating over $\overset{2}{\Omega}_t$ gives

$$(4.12) \quad \mu \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} [\partial_t \overset{2}{H}_t^2 + v' \cdot \nabla (\overset{2}{H}_t^2)] dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} \text{rot rot } \overset{2}{H}_t \cdot \overset{2}{H}_t dx \\ = \mu \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} v' \cdot \nabla \overset{2}{H}_t \cdot \overset{2}{H}_t dx + \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} G_t \cdot \overset{2}{H}_t dx.$$

In view of formula (4.75) we obtain

$$(4.13) \quad \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} \overset{2}{H}_t dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} \text{rot rot } \overset{2}{H}_t \cdot \overset{2}{H}_t dx \leq \varepsilon_2 \|\nabla \overset{2}{H}_t\|_{L_2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ + c(1/\varepsilon_2) \|v'\|_{L_4(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{2}{H}_t\|_{L_4(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \varepsilon_3 \|\overset{2}{H}_t\|_{L_6(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_3) \|G_t\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2.$$

Adding (4.11) and (4.13) and employing the transmission conditions, we obtain for sufficiently small $\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3$ the inequality

$$(4.14) \quad \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} \overset{1}{H}_t^2 dx + \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} \overset{2}{H}_t^2 dx + \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{2}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ \leq \left| \int_{S_t} (v \times \overset{1}{H})_t \cdot \bar{n} \times \overset{1}{H}_t dS_t \right| \\ + c[\|v_t\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla \overset{1}{H}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ + \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla v_t\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v'\|_{L_4(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{2}{H}_t\|_{L_4(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_t\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2].$$

The first term on the r.h.s. of (4.14) is estimated by

$$(4.15) \quad \varepsilon \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{L_3(S_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v_t\|_{L_3(S_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{L_3(S_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{L_3(S_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{L_3(S_t)}^2).$$

Then, for a sufficiently small ε , we derive from (4.14) the inequality

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \|\overset{2}{H}_t\|_{L_2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{2}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ \leq c[\|v'\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{2}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{H^1(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_t\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2] \\ \leq c(\|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v'\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{2}{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_t\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2).$$

Now (4.16) yields (4.9). ■

Next we obtain an estimate for second time derivatives.

LEMMA 4.4. *Assume that*

$$\|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)} + \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\overset{i}{H}\|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)} + \|\overset{i}{H}_t\|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{i}{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}) < \infty,$$

$G_{tt} \in L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)$ and assume the transmission conditions hold on S_t . Then

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ \leq c \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\overset{i}{H}\|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{i}{H}_t\|_{H^2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{i}{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2) + c \|G_{tt}\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2,$$

where in the above inequalities we have used the extension

$$(4.18) \quad \|v'\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)} \leq c \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}.$$

Proof. Differentiating (1.2)₁ twice with respect to t , multiplying by $\overset{1}{H}_{tt}$ and integrating over $\overset{1}{\Omega}_t$ we obtain

$$(4.19) \quad \mu \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} [\partial_t(\overset{1}{H}_{tt}^2) + v \cdot \nabla(\overset{1}{H}_{tt}^2)] dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} \text{rot rot } \overset{1}{H}_{tt} \cdot \overset{1}{H}_{tt} dx \\ = -\mu \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} (v_{tt} \cdot \nabla \overset{1}{H} + 2v_t \cdot \nabla \overset{1}{H}_t - \overset{1}{H}_{tt} \cdot \nabla v - 2\overset{1}{H}_t \cdot \nabla v_t) \cdot \overset{1}{H}_{tt} dx.$$

In view of formula (4.75) we have

$$(4.20) \quad \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} \overset{1}{H}_{tt}^2 dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_t} \text{rot rot } \overset{1}{H}_{tt} \cdot \overset{1}{H}_{tt} dx \\ \leq \varepsilon \|\overset{1}{H}_{tt}\|_{L_6(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) [\|v_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla \overset{1}{H}\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|v_t\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla \overset{1}{H}_t\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ + \|\overset{1}{H}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{1}{H}_t\|_{L_3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\nabla v_t\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2] \\ \leq \varepsilon \|\overset{1}{H}_{tt}\|_{L_6(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2.$$

Differentiating (1.9)₁ twice with respect to t , multiplying the result by $\overset{2}{H}_{tt}$ and integrating over $\overset{2}{\Omega}_t$ yields

$$(4.21) \quad \mu \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} [\partial_t(\overset{2}{H}_{tt}^2) + v' \cdot \nabla(\overset{2}{H}_{tt}^2)] dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} \text{rot rot } \overset{2}{H}_{tt} \cdot \overset{2}{H}_{tt} dx \\ = \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} (\mu v' \cdot \nabla \overset{2}{H}_{tt} \cdot \overset{2}{H}_{tt} + G_{tt} \cdot \overset{2}{H}_{tt}) dx.$$

In view of (4.75) we get

$$(4.22) \quad \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} \overset{2}{H}_{tt}^2 dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\overset{2}{\Omega}_t} \text{rot rot } \overset{2}{H}_{tt} \cdot \overset{2}{H}_{tt} dx \\ \leq \varepsilon \|\overset{2}{H}_{xtt}\|_{L_2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v'\|_{H^2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{2}{H}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_{tt}\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2).$$

Adding (4.20) and (4.22), and integrating by parts, we derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.23) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\frac{1}{\Omega_t}} \frac{1}{2} \dot{H}_{tt}^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\frac{2}{\Omega_t}} \frac{1}{2} \dot{H}_{tt}^2 dx + \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2 \\
 & - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_t} \text{rot } \dot{H}_{tt} \cdot \dot{\bar{n}} \times \dot{H}_{tt} dS_t \\
 & \leq c[\|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v'\|_{H^2(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2 \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|G_{tt}\|_{L_{6/5}(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2],
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that ε is sufficiently small.

Now we examine the last two terms on the l.h.s. of (4.23). In view of the transmission conditions they are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 I & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_t} \text{rot } \dot{H}_{tt} \times \dot{\bar{n}} \cdot \dot{H}_{tt} dS_t \\
 & = \int_{S_t} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_1} \text{rot } \dot{H}_{tt} \times \dot{\bar{n}} \cdot \dot{H}_{tt} - \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \text{rot } \dot{H}_{tt} \times \dot{\bar{n}} \cdot \dot{H}_{tt} \right] dS_t \\
 & = \int_{S_t} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_1} (\text{rot } \dot{H} \times \dot{\bar{n}})_{tt} - \frac{1}{\sigma_2} (\text{rot } \dot{H} \times \dot{\bar{n}})_{tt} \right] \cdot \dot{H}_{tt} dS_t \\
 & \quad + \int_{S_t} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_1} \text{rot } \dot{H} \times \dot{\bar{n}}_{tt} + \frac{2}{\sigma_1} \text{rot } \dot{H}_t \times \dot{\bar{n}}_t - \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \text{rot } \dot{H} \times \dot{\bar{n}}_{tt} - \frac{2}{\sigma_2} \text{rot } \dot{H}_t \times \dot{\bar{n}}_t \right] \cdot \dot{H}_{tt} dS_t \\
 & \equiv I_1 + I_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Employing the transmission conditions we have

$$I_1 = \int_{S_t} \mu(v \times \dot{H})_{tt} \cdot \dot{H}_{tt} dS_t = \mu \int_{S_t} (v_{tt} \times \dot{H} + 2v_t \times \dot{H}_t) \cdot \dot{H}_{tt} dS_t.$$

Hence

$$|I_1| \leq \varepsilon \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon)(\|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_2| & \leq \varepsilon \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon)[\|v_t\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 (\|\dot{H}\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|\dot{H}\|_{H^2(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2) \\
 & \quad + \|v\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 (\|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^2(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing ε sufficiently small inequality (4.23) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.24) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{L_2(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2 \\
 & \leq c[\|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \\
 & \quad + \|v\|_{H^1(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^2(\frac{1}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|v'\|_{H^2(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2 \|\dot{H}_{tt}\|_{H^1(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2 + \|G_{tt}\|_{L_{6/5}(\frac{2}{\Omega_t})}^2].
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.24) we deduce (4.17). ■

Now we obtain estimates for the space derivatives. First we make

REMARK 4.5. Let us introduce a partition of unity $\zeta_k^i(x)$, $i = 1, 2$, $k \in \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{N}$, where $\text{supp } \zeta_k^i$, $k \in \mathcal{M}$, is an interior subdomain of Ω_t^i and $\text{supp } \zeta_k^i$, $k \in \mathcal{N}$, is a boundary subdomain. Then ζ_k^i , $k \in \mathcal{N}$, are equal to 1 in some neighborhood of S_t . Moreover $\zeta_k^1(x) = \zeta_k^2(x)$, $x \in S_t$, $k \in \mathcal{N}$. Let $\xi_k \in S_t$, $k \in \mathcal{N}$, be in $\text{supp } \zeta_k^i \cap S_t$, $i = 1, 2$.

In the subdomain $\text{supp } \zeta_k^i$, $k \in \mathcal{N}$, we introduce curvilinear coordinates $\tau_1(x)$, $\tau_2(x)$, $n(x)$ such that for $x \in S_t$, $\tau_1(x)$, $\tau_2(x)$ are tangent coordinates to S_t and $n(x)$ the normal coordinate. With these coordinates there is connected a system of orthonormal vectors such that $\bar{\tau}_1(x)$, $\bar{\tau}_2(x)$, $x \in S_t$, are vectors tangent to S_t and $\bar{n}(x)$, $x \in S_t$ is a normal vector to S_t . Moreover, we assume that $\nabla \tau_\alpha = \bar{\tau}_\alpha$, $\nabla n = \bar{n}$, $|\bar{\tau}_\alpha| = 1$, $|\bar{n}| = 1$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, and $\bar{\tau}_1$, $\bar{\tau}_2$, \bar{n} are orthogonal.

Finally, we introduce the notation $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}^i \zeta_k^i$, $i = 1, 2$, $k \in \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{N}$.

Using the above notation we express problems (1.2)₁ and (1.9)₁ in the forms

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mu(\tilde{H}_t + v \cdot \nabla \tilde{H} - \tilde{H} \cdot \nabla v) + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \text{rot rot } \tilde{H} \\ &= \mu \tilde{H}^1 \zeta_{kt}^1 + \mu \tilde{H}^1 v \cdot \nabla \zeta_k^1 + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \text{rot}(\nabla \zeta_k^1 \times \tilde{H}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \nabla \zeta_k^1 \times \text{rot } \tilde{H}, \\ & \tilde{H}|_{t=0} = \tilde{H}(0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mu(\tilde{H}_t + v' \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \text{rot rot } \tilde{H} = \mu \tilde{H}^2 \zeta_{kt}^2 + \mu v' \cdot \nabla \zeta_k^2 \tilde{H} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \text{rot}(\nabla \zeta_k^2 \times \tilde{H}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \nabla \zeta_k^2 \times \text{rot } \tilde{H} + \mu v' \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}^2 \zeta_k^2 + \tilde{G}, \\ & \tilde{H}|_B = 0, \quad \tilde{H}|_{t=0} = \tilde{H}(0), \end{aligned}$$

where $k \in \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{N}$.

LEMMA 4.6. *Assume that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\tilde{H}_{xt}^i\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t^i)} + \|\tilde{H}^i\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\dot{\Omega}_t^i)} + \|\tilde{H}_t^i\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t^i)}) + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} < \infty,$$

$G \in L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t^2)$ and assume the transmission conditions hold on S_t . Then

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} X_{21} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}^i\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t^i)}^2 &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}^i\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\dot{\Omega}_t^i)}^2 + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}_{xt}^i\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t^i)}^2 \\ &+ c \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}^i\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t^i)}^2 + c \|G\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_{21} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|\tilde{H}_x^i\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \|\tilde{H}_x^{i,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \|\tilde{H}_\tau^{i,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right).$$

Proof. To prove the lemma we shall restrict ourselves to neighborhoods located near S_t because calculations in neighborhoods at a positive distance from S_t are similar but much simpler. Hence we consider problems (4.25) and (4.26) for $k \in \mathcal{N}$. Differentiating (4.25) with respect to $\partial_\tau = \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla$, where $\bar{\tau}|_{S_t}$ is a vector tangent to S_t , next multiplying by $\tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k}$ and integrating the result over $\hat{\Omega}_k = \hat{\Omega}_t \cap \text{supp } \zeta_k$, $i = 1, 2$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} (4.28) \quad & \mu \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} (\tilde{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k} + v \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k}) \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k} dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \text{rot rot } \tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k} \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k} dx \\ &= \mu \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} (\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}^{1,k} - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v) \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}^{1,k} + v \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{H}^{1,k}) \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k} dx \\ & \quad + \mu \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \partial_\tau (\tilde{H} \zeta_k + \tilde{H} v \cdot \nabla \zeta_k + \tilde{H} \cdot \nabla v \zeta_k) \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k} dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \partial_\tau (\text{rot}(\nabla \zeta_k \times \tilde{H}) + \nabla \zeta_k \times \text{rot } \tilde{H}) \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k} dx \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_t} [\partial_\tau (\text{rot rot } \tilde{H}) - \text{rot rot } \partial_\tau \tilde{H}] \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (4.29) \quad & \mu \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} (\tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} + v' \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k}) \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \text{rot rot } \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} dx \\ &= \mu \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} (\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}^{2,k} - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v') \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}^{2,k} + v' \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k}) \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} dx \\ & \quad + \mu \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \partial_\tau (\tilde{H} \zeta_k + v' \cdot \nabla \zeta_k \tilde{H} + v' \cdot \nabla \tilde{H} \zeta_k) \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \partial_\tau (\text{rot}(\nabla \zeta_k \times \tilde{H}) + \nabla \zeta_k \times \text{rot } \tilde{H}) \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} dx + \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \tilde{G}_\tau \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} [\text{rot rot } \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} - \partial_\tau (\text{rot rot } \tilde{H})] \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the terms from the r.h.s. of (4.28). The first term is estimated by

$$\varepsilon_1 \|\tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_1) \|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}^{1,k}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2,$$

and the second by

$$\varepsilon_2 \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_2) \|v_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2.$$

Integrating by parts in the third term we can estimate it by

$$\varepsilon_3 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_3) \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2.$$

Finally, the last term is bounded by

$$\varepsilon_4 \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_4) \|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2.$$

All terms in (4.29) can be estimated in a similar way.

Adding (4.28), (4.29) and using the above estimates we obtain

$$(4.30) \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\mu \frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k}{}^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \text{rot rot } \tilde{H}_\tau \cdot \tilde{H}_\tau \, dx \right) \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \varepsilon \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \|\dot{v}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \left(\|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k}{}^2 + \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k}{}^2 \right) \\ + c(1/\varepsilon) \left(\|\tilde{G}_\tau\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^k{}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k}{}^2 \right),$$

where $v = \frac{1}{v}$, $v' = \dot{v}$.

Next we examine the last two terms on the l.h.s. of (4.30). Integrating by parts they are equal to

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} |\text{rot } \tilde{H}_\tau|^{1,k}{}^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} |\text{rot } \tilde{H}_\tau|^{2,k}{}^2 \, dx - \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_k} \text{rot } \tilde{H}_\tau \cdot \bar{n} \times \tilde{H}_\tau \, dS_t \equiv I,$$

where \bar{n} is the exterior normal to S_t and $S_k = \text{supp } \zeta_k^1 \cap S_t$.

Employing the transmission conditions we obtain

$$(4.31) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_k} \text{rot } \tilde{H}_\tau \cdot \bar{n} \times \tilde{H}_\tau \, dS_k \right| \leq \int_{S_k} |(v \times \tilde{H})_\tau \cdot \bar{n} \times \tilde{H}_\tau| \, dS_k \\ + \varepsilon_1 \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_1) \int_0^t \|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \, dt' \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 \\ \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \left(\|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 \|v\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 \|v_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \int_0^t \|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \, dt' \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 \right).$$

From the form of the last two terms on the l.h.s. of (4.31) we see that we need to find an

estimate for $\|\tilde{H}_n\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k}$, $i = 1, 2$. For this purpose we express the Laplace operator in

the curvilinear coordinates τ_1, τ_2, n such that $\frac{\partial \tau_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \tau_\beta}{\partial x_i} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$, $\frac{\partial \tau_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial n}{\partial x_i} = 0$, $\frac{\partial n}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial n}{\partial x_i} = 1$, where summation over i is assumed. Then we have

$$\Delta u = u_{\tau_\alpha \tau_\alpha} + u_{nn} + \Delta \tau_\alpha u_{\tau_\alpha} + \Delta n u_n,$$

where summation over $\alpha = 1, 2$ is assumed.

Using the above formula in (4.25) and (4.26) yields

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{nn}^{1,k} = & -\tilde{H}_{\tau_\alpha \tau_\alpha}^{1,k} - \Delta \tau_\alpha \tilde{H}_{\tau_\alpha}^{1,k} - \Delta n \tilde{H}_n^{1,k} + \mu \sigma_1 (\tilde{H}_t + v \cdot \nabla \tilde{H})^{1,k} \\ & - \sigma_1 \tilde{H}_{\zeta kt}^{1,1} - \sigma_1 v \cdot \nabla \zeta_k \tilde{H}^{1,1} - \mu \tilde{H}^{1,k} \cdot \nabla v + 2 \nabla \tilde{H} \nabla \zeta_k + \tilde{H} \Delta \zeta_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{nm}^{2,k} = & -\tilde{H}_{\tau_\alpha \tau_\alpha}^{2,k} - \Delta \tau_\alpha \tilde{H}_{\tau_\alpha}^{2,k} - \Delta n \tilde{H}_n^{2,k} - \sigma_2 \tilde{H}_{\zeta kt}^{2,2} \\ & + \mu \sigma_2 \tilde{H}_t^{2,k} + 2 \nabla \zeta_k \nabla \tilde{H}^{2,2} + \Delta \zeta_k \tilde{H}^{2,2} - \tilde{G}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the L_2 -norm of (4.32) we have

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{H}_{nn}^{1,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 & \leq c(\|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{1,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}_t^{1,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the L_2 -norm of (4.33) yields

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{H}_{nm}^{2,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 & \leq c(\|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}_t^{2,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \\ & + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{G}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|v'\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Adding (4.30), (4.31), (4.34) and (4.35) gives

$$(4.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}_\tau^{1,k}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}_\tau^{2,k}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \\ \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 [\|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 (\|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2) \\ + \|\tilde{H}_t^{i,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{i,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2] + c \left(\|\tilde{G}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

In interior subdomains similar estimates can be calculated separately in $\hat{\Omega}_t$ and $\hat{\Omega}_t$. Therefore the transmission conditions are not needed. Moreover, under the time derivative norms, all first derivatives appear.

However, in neighborhoods near S_t , the normal derivative to S_t does not appear under the time derivative (see (4.36)). To add such derivatives we consider the expression

$$(4.37) \quad \begin{aligned} J & = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}_x^i\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_t} \tilde{H}_x^2 dx \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} (\tilde{H}_x^2)(x(\xi, t), t) d\xi = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\hat{\Omega}} [(\tilde{H}_x^2)_x^i v + (\tilde{H}_x^2)_t^i] d\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} [(\overset{i}{H}_x^2)_x \overset{i}{v} + (\overset{i}{H}_x^2)_t] dx = 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\dot{\Omega}_t} (\overset{i}{H}_x \overset{i}{H}_{xx} \overset{i}{v} + \overset{i}{H}_x \overset{i}{H}_{xt}) dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\overset{i}{H}_{xx}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{i}{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\
&\quad + c(1/\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\overset{i}{v}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}_x\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{i}{H}_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{1}{v} = v$, $\overset{2}{v} = v'$.

Summing the above estimates over all subdomains of the partition of unity (4.37) and assuming that ε is sufficiently small we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.38) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} X_{21}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
&\leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c[\|\overset{1}{v}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{1}{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2] \\
&\quad + c(1/\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{v}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\overset{i}{H}\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\overset{i}{H}_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.39) \quad X_{21}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|\overset{i}{H}_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \|\overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}}_x\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \|\overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}}_\tau\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right).$$

Then inequality (4.38) yields (4.27). ■

LEMMA 4.7. *Assume that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\overset{i}{H}_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}) + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} < \infty,$$

$G \in H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)$ and assume the transmission conditions hold on S_t . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.40) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} X_{22} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{H^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
&\quad + c(1/\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c\|G\|_{H^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_{22} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|\overset{i}{H}_{xx}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \|\overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}}_{xx}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \|\overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right).$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.6 we shall restrict ourselves to neighborhoods of S_t . First we differentiate (4.25) twice with respect to τ . To obtain an equation appropriate for derivation of an energy estimate we need

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.41) \quad & \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \overset{l,k}{H}_t) = \overset{l,k}{H}_{\tau\tau t} - \bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}) \cdot \nabla \overset{l,k}{H} - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla \overset{l,k}{H}) + \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}_t) \cdot \nabla \overset{l,k}{H}, \\
& \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v \cdot \overset{l,k}{H})) = \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v) \cdot \nabla \overset{l,k}{H}) + \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v_j \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \nabla_j \overset{l,k}{H}) \\
& = \partial_\tau(v_{j\tau} \cdot \nabla_j \overset{l,k}{H}) + \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v_j) \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \nabla_j \overset{l,k}{H} + v_j \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \nabla_j \overset{l,k}{H}) \\
& = v \cdot \nabla \overset{l,k}{H}_{\tau\tau} + \partial_\tau(v_{j\tau} \cdot \nabla_j \overset{l,k}{H}) + \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v_j) \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \nabla_j \overset{l,k}{H} - v_j \nabla_j(\tau_l \tau_i) \nabla_l \nabla_i \overset{l,k}{H}, \\
& \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \Delta \overset{l,k}{H} = \nabla_i(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \nabla_i \overset{l,k}{H}) - \nabla_i(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla)) \nabla_i \overset{l,k}{H} \\
& = \Delta(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \overset{l,k}{H}) - \nabla_i(\nabla_i(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla)) \overset{l,k}{H}) - \nabla_i(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla)) \nabla_i \overset{l,k}{H} \\
& = \Delta \overset{l,k}{H}_{\tau\tau} + \overset{l,k}{A}, \\
& \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \operatorname{div} \overset{l,k}{H} = \nabla_i(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \overset{l,k}{H}_i) - \nabla_i(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla)) \nabla \overset{l,k}{H}_i \\
& = \nabla \operatorname{div} \overset{l,k}{H}_{\tau\tau} - \nabla(\nabla_i \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla)) \overset{l,k}{H}_i - \nabla_i(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla)) \nabla \overset{l,k}{H}_i \\
& \equiv \nabla \operatorname{div} \overset{l,k}{H}_{\tau\tau} + \overset{l,k}{B}, \quad l = 1, 2.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.41) we obtain from (4.25) the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.42) \quad & \mu(\overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau t} + v \cdot \nabla \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau} \\
& = \mu(\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}) \cdot \nabla \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}} + \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}) \\
& \quad - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}_t) \cdot \nabla \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}} - \partial_\tau(v_{j\tau} \cdot \nabla_j \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}) - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v_j) \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \nabla_j \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}} \\
& \quad + v_j \nabla_j(\tau_l \tau_j) \nabla_l \nabla_i \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}) - \frac{1}{\sigma_1} (\overset{1,k}{A} + \overset{1,k}{B}) + \mu \partial_\tau^2 (\overset{1}{H} \overset{1}{\zeta}_{kt} + v \cdot \nabla \overset{1}{\zeta}_k \overset{1}{H} + \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}} \cdot \nabla v) \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \operatorname{rot}(\nabla \overset{1}{\zeta}_k \times \overset{1}{H}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \nabla \overset{1}{\zeta}_k \times \operatorname{rot} \overset{1}{H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (4.42) by $\overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau}$ and integrating over $\overset{1}{\hat{\Omega}}_k$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.43) \quad & \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{1}{\hat{\Omega}}_k} |\overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\overset{1}{\hat{\Omega}}_k} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau} \cdot \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau} dx \\
& = \int_{\overset{1}{\hat{\Omega}}_k} [\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}) \cdot \nabla \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}} - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}_t) \cdot \nabla \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}} \\
& \quad - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v_j) \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \nabla_j \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}} + v_j \nabla_j(\tau_l \tau_i) \nabla_l \nabla_i \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}] \cdot \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau} dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\overset{1}{\hat{\Omega}}_k} \partial_\tau(\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}} - v_{j\tau} \nabla_j \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}) \cdot \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau} dx - \int_{\overset{1}{\hat{\Omega}}_k} \frac{1}{\sigma_1} (\overset{1,k}{A} + \overset{1,k}{B}) \cdot \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau} dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\overset{1}{\hat{\Omega}}_k} \partial_\tau^2 (\overset{1}{H} \overset{1}{\zeta}_{kt} + v \cdot \nabla \overset{1}{\zeta}_k \overset{1}{H} + \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}} \cdot \nabla v \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} (\operatorname{rot}(\nabla \overset{1}{\zeta}_k \times \overset{1}{H}) + \nabla \overset{1}{\zeta}_k \times \operatorname{rot} \overset{1}{H})) \cdot \overset{1,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the terms from the r.h.s. of (4.43). The first term is estimated by

$$\varepsilon_1 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{1,k}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}.$$

Integrating by parts in the second term we can bound it by

$$\varepsilon_2 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{1,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_2) \|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}.$$

We estimate the third term by

$$\varepsilon_3 \|\tilde{H}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k} + c(1/\varepsilon_3) \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}.$$

Integrating by parts in the last term we can bound it by

$$\varepsilon_4 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{1,k}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_4) (\|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 (\|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}) + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2).$$

Using (4.41), from (4.26) we obtain

$$(4.44) \quad \mu(\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau t}^{2,k} + v' \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k} = \mu[\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}) \cdot \nabla \tilde{H} \\ + \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}) - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}_t) \cdot \nabla \tilde{H} - \partial_\tau(v'_{j\tau} \cdot \nabla_j \tilde{H}) \\ - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v'_j) \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \nabla_j \tilde{H} + v'_j \nabla_j(\tau_l \tau_i) \nabla_l \nabla_i \tilde{H}] - \frac{1}{\sigma_2} (A + B) \\ + \mu \partial_\tau^2 (\bar{G} + \bar{H} \zeta_{kt} + v' \cdot \nabla \zeta_k \bar{H} + v' \cdot \nabla \bar{H} \zeta_k) \\ + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \operatorname{rot}(\nabla \zeta_k \times \bar{H}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \nabla \zeta_k \times \operatorname{rot} \bar{H}.$$

Multiplying (4.44) by $\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k}$ and integrating over $\hat{\Omega}_k$ we obtain

$$(4.45) \quad \mu \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} |\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k}|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k} \cdot \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k} dx \\ = \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} [\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}) \cdot \nabla \tilde{H} - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(\bar{\tau}_t) \cdot \nabla \tilde{H} - \bar{\tau}(v'_j) \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \nabla_j \tilde{H} \\ + v'_j \cdot \nabla_j(\tau_l \tau_i) \nabla_l \nabla_i \tilde{H}] \cdot \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k} dx \\ + \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \partial_\tau(\bar{\tau}_t \cdot \nabla \tilde{H} - v'_{j\tau} \nabla_j \tilde{H}) \cdot \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k} dx \\ - \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \frac{1}{\sigma_1} (A + B) \cdot \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k} dx + \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \partial_\tau^2 (\bar{G} + \bar{H} \zeta_{kt} + v' \cdot \nabla \zeta_k \bar{H} \\ + v' \cdot \nabla \bar{H} \zeta_k + v' \cdot \nabla \bar{H} \zeta_k + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} (\operatorname{rot}(\nabla \zeta_k \times \bar{H}) + \nabla \zeta_k \times \operatorname{rot} \bar{H})) \cdot \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}^{2,k} dx.$$

All terms of the r.h.s. in (4.45) can be estimated in a similar way to (4.43).

Summing the above inequalities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.46) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\mu \frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}\|_{L^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau} \cdot \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau} \, dx \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 [\varepsilon \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^3(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} + c(1/\varepsilon)(\|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^i (\|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^i) \\
 & \quad + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^i)] + \|\tilde{G}_{\tau\tau}\|_{L^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we examine the last two terms on the l.h.s. of (4.46). Integrating by parts they are equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \left(\int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} |\operatorname{rot} \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}|^2 \, dx - \int_{S_k} \operatorname{rot} \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau} \cdot \tilde{n} \times \tilde{H} \, dS_t \right).$$

Employing the transmission conditions we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.47) \quad & \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_k} (\operatorname{rot} \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{\tau}_\mu \bar{\tau}_\mu + \operatorname{rot} \tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{n} \tilde{n})_{\tau\tau} \cdot \tilde{n} \times \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau} \, dS_t \right| \\
 & = \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_k} (\operatorname{rot} \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{\tau}_\mu)_{\tau\tau} \bar{\tau}_\mu \cdot \tilde{n} \times \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau} \, dS_t \right| \leq \left| \int_{S_k} (v \times \tilde{H})_{\tau\tau} \bar{\tau}_\mu \cdot \tilde{n} \times \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau} \, dS_t \right| \\
 & \quad + \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_k} [(\operatorname{rot} \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{\tau}_\mu)_\tau \bar{\tau}_{\mu\tau} + (\operatorname{rot} \tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{n})_\tau \tilde{n}_\tau + \operatorname{rot} \tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{n} \tilde{n}_{\tau\tau}] \cdot \tilde{n} \times \tilde{H}_{\tau\tau} \, dS_t \right| \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 [\varepsilon \|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} + \varepsilon \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k}] \\
 & \quad + c(1/\varepsilon) \|\tilde{H}\|_{L^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v_{\tau\tau}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k} \\
 & \quad + \|v_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1 \|\tilde{H}_\tau\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k} + \|v\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.32) and (4.33) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.48) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}_{nn}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} + \|\tilde{H}_t\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} \\
 & \quad + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^i + \|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^i \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k}) + c \|\tilde{G}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

To have all second derivatives under the time derivative we have to repeat the considerations from (4.37).

Therefore, we examine the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.49) \quad J' &= \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^2 \| \overset{i}{H}_{xx} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{i}{\Omega}_t} \overset{i}{H}_{xx}^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\overset{i}{\Omega}} (\overset{i}{H}_{xx}^2)(x(\xi, t), t) d\xi \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\overset{i}{\Omega}} [(\overset{i}{H}_{xx})_x(x(\xi, t), t) \overset{i}{v} + (\overset{i}{H}_{xx})_t] d\xi \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\overset{i}{\Omega}_t} (\overset{i}{H}_{xx} \overset{i}{H}_{xxx} \overset{i}{v} + \overset{i}{H}_{xx} \overset{i}{H}_{xxt}) dx \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 (\| \overset{i}{H}_{xxx} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \| \overset{i}{H}_{xxt} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2) \\
&\quad + c(1/\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\| \overset{i}{v} \|_{L_\infty(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \| \overset{i}{H}_{xx} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \| \overset{i}{H}_{xx} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the considerations for interior subdomains we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.50) \quad &\sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \| \overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}}_{xx} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \| \overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}} \|_{H^3(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right) \\
&\leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 [\| \overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}}_t \|_{H^1(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \| \overset{i}{v}_\tau \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 (\| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}} \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \| \overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}} \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2) \\
&\quad + \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}} \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \| \overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}} \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \| \overset{i}{v} \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 (\| \overset{i}{H}_\tau \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}}_\tau \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2)] + c \| \overset{k}{\tilde{G}} \|_{H^2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_k)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $k \in \mathcal{M}$.

Summing (4.46)–(4.50) over all subdomains of the partition of unity and using (4.49) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.51) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} X_{22}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}} \|_{H^3(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
&\leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 \| \overset{i}{H}_{xxt} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\| \overset{i}{v} \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}} \|_{H^3(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
&\quad + \| \overset{i}{v} \|_{H^3(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}} \|_{H^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}} \|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2) + c \| G \|_{H^2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.52) \quad X_{22}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \| \overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}}_{xx} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \| \overset{i,k}{\tilde{H}}_{\tau\tau} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}}_{xx} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \right).$$

Then inequality (4.51) implies (4.40). ■

Adding (4.3), (4.9) and (4.27) we derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.53) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 (\| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}} \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}}_t \|_{L_2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2) + X_{21} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^2 \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}} \|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
&\leq c \| v \|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \| \overset{i}{\tilde{H}} \|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c (\| G \|_{L_2(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \| G_t \|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (4.40) and (4.53) gives

$$(4.54) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i (\|\dot{H}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\dot{H}_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) + X_{21} + X_{22} \right] \\ + \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|\dot{H}\|_{H^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ + c(1/\varepsilon) \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(\|G\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_t\|_{L_{6/5}(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.8. *Assume that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\dot{H}_{xtt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|\dot{H}\|_{H^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}) + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} + \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)} < \infty,$$

$G_t \in L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)$ and assume the transmission conditions hold on S_t . Then

$$(4.55) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} X_{23} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}_{xtt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ + c(1/\varepsilon) \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\ + c \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{H^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c \|G_t\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_{23} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|\dot{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \|\dot{H}_{xt}^{i,k}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \|\dot{H}_{\tau t}^{i,k}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right).$$

Proof. Differentiating (4.25) with respect to τ and t , multiplying by $\dot{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k}$, next integrating the result over $\dot{\Omega}_k$ yields

$$(4.56) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu \int_{\dot{\Omega}_k} (\ddot{H}_{\tau t t}^{1,k} + v \cdot \nabla \ddot{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k}) \cdot \dot{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k} dx + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\dot{\Omega}_k} \text{rot rot } \dot{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k} \cdot \dot{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k} dx \\ = \mu \int_{\dot{\Omega}_k} v_t \cdot \nabla \dot{H}_{\tau}^{1,k} \cdot \dot{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k} dx + \int_{\dot{\Omega}_k} \partial_t (\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla \dot{H}^{1,k} - \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla(v) \cdot \nabla \dot{H}^{1,k} \\ + v \cdot \nabla (\bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla) \dot{H}^{1,k}) \cdot \dot{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k} dx + \mu \int_{\dot{\Omega}_k} \partial_t \partial_{\tau} (\dot{H} \zeta_{kt}^{1,1} + \dot{H} \cdot \nabla v \zeta_k^1 + \dot{H} v \cdot \nabla \zeta_k^1) \cdot \dot{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k} dx \\ + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\dot{\Omega}_k} \partial_t \partial_{\tau} (\text{rot}(\nabla \zeta_k^1 \times \dot{H}) + \nabla \zeta_k^1 \times \text{rot } \dot{H}) \cdot \dot{H}_{\tau t}^{1,k} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the terms from the r.h.s. of (4.56). The first term is estimated by

$$\varepsilon_1 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_1) \|v\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2.$$

We estimate the second term by

$$\varepsilon_2 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_2) (\|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2),$$

the third by

$$\varepsilon_3 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_3) (\|v\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1),$$

and the last one by

$$\varepsilon_4 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon_4) \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1{}^2.$$

Employing the above estimates in (4.56) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{L^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \text{rot rot } \tilde{H}_{\tau t} \cdot \tilde{H}_{\tau t} dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 (\|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1) \\ & \quad + \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^1 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{1,k}{}^2). \end{aligned}$$

After similar considerations in $\hat{\Omega}_t^2$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} (4.57) \quad & \mu \frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{L^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{2,k}{}^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma_2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \text{rot rot } \tilde{H}_{\tau t} \cdot \tilde{H}_{\tau t} dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{H^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{2,k}{}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|v\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 (\|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2) \\ & \quad + \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{2,k}{}^2 + \|\tilde{G}\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^k{}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Next adding (4.56), (4.57) we examine the term

$$\begin{aligned} (4.58) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} \text{rot rot } \tilde{H}_{\tau t} \cdot \tilde{H}_{\tau t} dx \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_k} |\text{rot } \tilde{H}_{\tau t}|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_k} \text{rot } \tilde{H}_{\tau t} \cdot \bar{n} \times \tilde{H}_{\tau t} dS_k. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the last term on the r.h.s. of (4.58). Using the transmission conditions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.59) \quad & \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_k} (\text{rot } \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{\tau}_\mu \bar{\tau}_\mu + \text{rot } \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{n} \bar{n})_{\tau t} \cdot \bar{n} \times \tilde{H}_{\tau t} dS_k \right| \\
& = \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_k} (\text{rot } \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{\tau}_\mu)_{\tau t} \bar{\tau}_\mu \cdot \bar{n} \times \tilde{H}_{\tau t} dS_k \right| \leq \left| \int_{S_k} (v \times \tilde{H})_{\tau t} \bar{\tau}_\mu \cdot \bar{n} \times \tilde{H}_{\tau t} dS_k \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \int_{S_k} [(\text{rot } \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{\tau}_\mu)_t \bar{\tau}_{\mu\tau} + (\text{rot } \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{\tau}_\mu)_\tau \bar{\tau}_{\mu t} + (\text{rot } \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{n})_\tau \bar{n}_t \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (\text{rot } \tilde{H} \cdot \bar{n})_t \cdot \bar{n}_\tau] \cdot \bar{n} \times \tilde{H}_{\tau t} dS_k \right| \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\varepsilon \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) (\|\dot{v}_t\|_{H^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\dot{v}\|_{H^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}_t\|_{H^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\tilde{H}_t\|_{L_2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In virtue of (4.56)–(4.59) we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.60) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{L_2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right) \\
& \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[(\|\dot{v}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 (\|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2) + \|\dot{v}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 (\|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2) \right] + \|\tilde{G}\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 = A_k^2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.32) and (4.33) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.61) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}_{nnt}\|_{L_2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau t}\|_{L_2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right. \\
& \quad + \|\dot{v}_t \tilde{H} + \dot{v} \tilde{H}_t + \dot{H}_t \dot{v}_x + \dot{H}_t \dot{v}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \\
& \quad + \|\dot{v}_{xx} \tilde{H}_x + \tilde{H}_{xt} + \tilde{H}_{tt} + \dot{v}_t \tilde{H}_x + \dot{v}_x \tilde{H}_t + \dot{v}_{xt} \tilde{H}\|_{L_2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}_t + \dot{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{G}_t\|_{L_2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right) \\
& \leq c \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|\tilde{H}_{\tau\tau t}\|_{L_2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\dot{v}\|_{H^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{H^3(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \right. \\
& \quad + \|\dot{v}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\tilde{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 + \|\dot{v}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2 \left. \right) \\
& \quad + c \|\tilde{G}_t\|_{H^1(\tilde{\Omega}_k)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.60), (4.61) we get

$$(4.62) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}_{\tau t}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}_t\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^{i,k} \leq A_k^2(t).$$

To have all space derivatives under the time derivative we consider the expression

$$(4.63) \quad \begin{aligned} J &= \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_i}{2} \|\dot{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_i \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_t} \dot{H}_{xt}^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_i}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} \dot{H}_{xt}^2(x(\xi, t), t) d\xi \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_i}{2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}} (\dot{H}_{xxt} \dot{H}_{xt} \dot{v} + \dot{H}_{xtt} \dot{H}_{xt}) (x(\xi, t), t) d\xi \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_i}{2} \int_{\hat{\Omega}_t} (\dot{H}_{xxt} \dot{H}_{xt} \dot{v} + \dot{H}_{xtt} \dot{H}_{xt}) dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\dot{H}_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i + \|\dot{H}_{xtt}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i) \\ &\quad + c(1/\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^2 (\|\dot{v}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i \|\dot{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i + \|\dot{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i). \end{aligned}$$

For interior subdomains, so for $k \in \mathcal{M}$, we obtain instead of (4.62) the inequality

$$(4.64) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\sigma_i}{2} \|\tilde{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\Omega_k)}^{i,k} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\tilde{H}_t\|_{H^2(\Omega_k)}^{i,k} \leq cA_k^2(t).$$

To show (4.64) we do not need the transmission conditions because $\hat{\Omega}_k$, $k \in \mathcal{M}$, are separated from S_t .

Summing (4.62), (4.64) over all subdomains of the partition of unity, using (4.63) and assuming that ε is sufficiently small we obtain

$$(4.65) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} X_{23}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}_{xxt}\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^i \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 (\varepsilon \|\dot{H}_t\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i + \|\dot{v}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i + \|\dot{v}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i \\ + \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i + \|\dot{H}\|_{H^2(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^i) + \|G\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\hat{\Omega}_t)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.66) \quad X_{23}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\|\dot{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^i + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \|\dot{H}_{xt}\|_{L_2(\Omega_k)}^i + \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \|\dot{H}_{\tau t}\|_{L_2(\hat{\Omega}_k)}^i \right).$$

Then inequality (4.65) implies (4.55). ■

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Adding (4.54) and (4.55) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.67) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 X_{2j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_2^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
 & \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}_{xtt}\|_{L_2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(1/\varepsilon) \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
 & \quad + c(1/\varepsilon) \|v\|_{H^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{H^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c\|G\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, adding (4.9) and (4.17), assuming that ε is sufficiently small we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.68) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^3 X_{2j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
 & \leq c\|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 (1 + \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2) \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \\
 & \quad + c\|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\dot{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + c(\|G\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_{tt}\|_{L_{6/5}(\dot{\Omega}_t)}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality implies (4.1). ■

REMARK 4.9. Let ξ be the lagrangian coordinate and x the eulerian one. They are connected by the problem

$$(4.69) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = v(x, t), \quad x|_{t=0} = \xi.$$

Solving (4.69) we get

$$(4.70) \quad x = \xi + \int_0^t v(x, t') dt' = \xi + \int_0^t \bar{v}(\xi, t') dt' \equiv x_1(\xi, t), \quad \xi \in \overset{1}{\Omega}.$$

Hence, we have

$$(4.71) \quad \overset{1}{\Omega}_t = \{x = x_1(\xi, t) : \xi \in \overset{1}{\Omega}\}, \quad S_t = \{x = x_1(\xi, t) = x_2(\xi, t) : \xi \in S\},$$

because $v = v'$ on S_t ,

$$(4.72) \quad \overset{2}{\Omega}_t = \{x = x_2(\xi, t) : \xi \in \overset{2}{\Omega}\}.$$

In the case (4.72) instead of (4.70) we have

$$(4.73) \quad x = \xi + \int_0^t \bar{v}'(\xi, t') dt' \equiv x_2(\xi, t), \quad \xi \in \overset{2}{\Omega}.$$

By $\{x_\xi\}$ we denote the Jacobi matrix of transformations (4.70) and (4.73). Let $J = \det\{x_\xi\}$ be the Jacobian of the transformations. Then

$$(4.74) \quad \frac{dJ}{dt} = J \operatorname{div} v.$$

For the divergence free vector v we have $J(t) = 1$.

Let Ω_t replace either $\overset{1}{\Omega}_t$ or $\overset{2}{\Omega}_t$. Then

$$(4.75) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} f(x, t) dx &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} f(x(\xi, t), t) J d\xi \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla f + f \operatorname{div} v \right) J d\xi = \int_{\Omega_t} (f_t(x, t) + v \cdot \nabla f + f \operatorname{div} v) dx. \end{aligned}$$

5. Global existence

From Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 we get

LEMMA 5.1. *For a sufficiently smooth solution $(v, p, \overset{1}{H}, \overset{2}{H})$ of (1.1)–(1.10) we have*

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \varphi + \phi &\leq c \left(\varphi(1 + \varphi + \varphi^2) \phi + \|f\|_{L_2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^4 + \|f\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}_{t'}} f(x, t') dx dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\overset{1}{\Omega}} v(0) dx \right|^2 + \|G\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_{tt}\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= \|v\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{\Gamma_0^2(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + X, \quad X = \sum_{i=1}^3 X_{2i}, \\ \phi(t) &= \|v\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\overset{i}{H}\|_{\Gamma_1^3(\overset{i}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|p'\|_{\Gamma_1^2(\overset{1}{\Omega}_t)}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove global existence we introduce the spaces

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = \{(v, p, \overset{1}{H}, \overset{2}{H}) : \varphi(t) < \infty\}, \quad \mathcal{M}(t) = \left\{ (v, p, \overset{1}{H}, \overset{2}{H}) : \varphi(t) + \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau < \infty \right\}.$$

From Theorem 1 we get

LEMMA 5.2. *Assume that $(v(0), p(0), \overset{1}{H}(0), \overset{2}{H}(0)) \in \mathcal{N}(0)$ and $\varphi(0) < \varepsilon_1$. Then we have $(v(t), p(t), \overset{1}{H}(t), \overset{2}{H}(t)) \in \mathcal{M}(t)$, $t \leq T$, where T is the time of local existence and*

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi(t) + \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau \leq D \equiv c(\varepsilon_1 + \beta(t)),$$

where D is given by (1.17).

LEMMA 5.3. *Assume that there exists a local solution to (1.1), (1.2), (1.10), (1.15) in $\mathcal{M}(t)$, $t \leq T$, with initial data in $\mathcal{N}(0)$ sufficiently small and*

$$(5.4) \quad \alpha(t) = \|G\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 + \|G_{tt}\|_{L_{6/5}(\overset{2}{\Omega}_t)}^2 \leq e^{-\mu t},$$

$t \leq T$ and $\mu > 1/2$. Then

$$(5.5) \quad \varphi(t) \leq ce^{-t/2} \left(\varphi(0) + \frac{1}{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Proof. From (5.1) and (5.4) we get

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\varphi + \phi \leq c\varphi(1 + \varphi + \varphi^2)\phi + ce^{-\mu t}.$$

From Lemma 5.2 we have $c\varphi(1 + \varphi + \varphi^2)\phi \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi$ if α and ε_1 are sufficiently small. Then from (5.4) we get

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\phi \leq ce^{-\mu t}.$$

We have $\varphi < \phi$. Then from (5.7),

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \leq ce^{-\mu t}.$$

Inequality (5.8) implies (5.5). ■

LEMMA 5.4. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 5.3 be satisfied and $\varphi(0) \leq \varepsilon_1$. Then $\varphi(kT) \leq \varepsilon_1$, where T is the time of local existence and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. If T and $\mu > 1/2$ are sufficiently large, then from (5.5) we obtain

$$\varphi(T) \leq ce^{-T/2} \left(\varphi(0) + \frac{1}{\mu - 1/2} \right) \leq \varphi(0).$$

Now we consider problem (1.1)–(1.10) for $t \in [kT, (k+1)T]$. Then (5.1) implies

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\varphi + \phi \leq c(\varphi(1 + \varphi + \varphi^2)\phi + \|G\|_{\Gamma_0^1(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|G_{tt}\|_{L_{6/5}^2(\Omega_t)}^2), \quad t \in [kT, (k+1)T].$$

Let $\varphi(kT) \leq \varphi(0)$. Then from (5.9) similarly to (5.5) we get, for $t \in [kT, (k+1)T]$

$$(5.10) \quad \varphi(t) \leq \frac{c}{\mu - 1/2} e^{kT(\frac{1}{2}-\mu) - \frac{1}{2}t} + c\varphi(kT)e^{\frac{1}{2}(kT-t)}$$

and then

$$\varphi((k+1)T) \leq \frac{c}{\mu - 1/2} e^{-T(\frac{1}{2}+\mu k)} + c\varphi(kT)e^{-\frac{1}{2}T} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}T} \left(\frac{c}{\mu - 1/2} e^{-T\mu k} + c\varphi(0) \right) \leq \varphi(0)$$

if T, μ are sufficiently large.

From (5.10) we also obtain

$$(5.11) \quad \varphi(kT) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}kT} \left(\frac{c}{\mu - 1/2} \cdot \frac{e^{T(\mu-1/2)}}{e^{T(\mu-1/2)} - 1} + \varphi(0) \right), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (5.11) we have

$$(5.12) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi(iT) \leq \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}T}}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}T}} \left(\frac{c}{\mu - 1/2} \cdot \frac{e^{(\mu-1/2)}}{e^{T(\mu-1/2)} - 1} + \varphi(0) \right) + \varphi(0) \equiv \mathcal{A}.$$

From (5.9) we get

$$\varphi(t) + \int_{kT}^t \phi dt' \leq \frac{c}{\mu} e^{-\mu kT} + c\varphi(kT)$$

and then

$$(5.13) \quad \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} \phi dt \leq \frac{c}{\mu} e^{-\mu kT} + c\varphi(kT).$$

Finally (5.13) and (5.12) imply

$$(5.14) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|v\|_{L_2(iT, (i+1)T; H^3(\Omega_t))}^2 \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} \phi(t) dt \\ \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c}{\mu} e^{-\mu iT} + \varphi(iT) \right) \leq \frac{c}{\mu(1 - e^{-\mu T})} + \mathcal{A} \equiv I. \quad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Main Theorem. The theorem is proved step by step using local existence in a fixed time interval. Under the assumption that

$$(5.15) \quad (v(0), p(0), H(0)) \in \mathcal{N}(0),$$

Theorem 1 and Lemma 5.1 yield local existence of solutions of (1.1), (1.2), (1.10), (1.15).

By (5.15) and Lemma 5.1 the local solution belongs to $\mathcal{M}(t)$, $t \leq T$. For small ε_1 and $\beta(t)$ the existence time T is suitably large, so we can assume it is a fixed positive large number. To prove the Main Theorem we need the Korn inequalities (see Section 6) and imbedding theorems over the domain Ω_t . The constants in those theorems depend on Ω_t , the shape of S_t and $\int_0^t \|v\|_{H^3(\Omega_\tau)}^2 d\tau$, so generally they are functions of t .

But in view of (5.3) with sufficiently small ε_1 , β we obtain

$$(5.16) \quad \left| \int_0^t v d\tau \right| \leq c(\varepsilon_1 + \beta(t)), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Hence from the relation

$$(5.17) \quad x = \xi + \int_0^t v(x(\xi, \tau), \tau) d\tau, \quad \xi \in S, \quad t \leq T,$$

for sufficiently small ε_1 , β and fixed T , the shape of S_t , $t \leq T$, does not change too much, so the constants from the imbedding theorems can be chosen independent of time. Now we wish to extend the solution to the interval $[T, 2T]$. Using Lemma 5.4 and (5.9)–(5.13) we can prove the existence of a local solution in $\mathcal{M}(t)$, $T \leq t \leq 2T$. To prove

$$(5.18) \quad \varphi(2T) \leq \varepsilon_1$$

we need inequality (5.11), where the constants depend on the constants from the imbedding theorems and Korn inequalities for $t \in [T, 2T]$. Therefore we show that the shape of S_t and $\int_0^t \|v\|_{H^3(\Omega_\tau)}^2 d\tau$, $t \leq 2T$, do not change more than for $t \leq T$. Then for $k = 2$, ε_1 sufficiently small and μ sufficiently large we see that $\int_0^t v(x(\xi, t), t) dt$ is small for any $t \in [0, 2T]$, so (5.14) imply that the constants of the imbedding theorems do not change more than in $[0, T]$, and then the differential inequality (5.1) can also be shown for this interval with the same constants. Hence in view of Lemma 5.1 the solution of (1.1), (1.2), (1.10), (1.15) belongs to $\mathcal{M}(t)$, $t \in [T, 2T]$. Continuing, we find that there exists a local solution in the interval $[0, kT]$.

Showing that $\int_0^t v(x, \tau) d\tau$ is sufficiently small for all t we have $\text{dist}\{S_t, S_0\} \leq \left| \int_0^t v d\tau \right| \leq I$, where I defined in (5.14) is small. Hence the domains Ω_t and Ω are close to each other and all the imbedding theorems can be applied and results for elliptic problems (3.15), (3.23) are valid for all Ω_t , $t > 0$.

Repeating the above discussion for the intervals $[kT, (k+1)T]$, $k \geq 2$, we prove the existence for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. ■

6. Korn inequality

LEMMA 6.1. *Let Ω_t be a bounded domain. Let (v, p) be a solution of (1.1) and*

$$(6.1) \quad E_{\Omega_t} = \int_{\Omega_t} (\partial_{x_i} v_j + \partial_{x_j} v_i)^2 dx < \infty.$$

Then there exists a constant c such that

$$(6.2) \quad \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c(E_{\Omega_t}(v) + \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2).$$

Proof. Set

$$(6.3) \quad u = \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i \varphi_i(x) + v,$$

where

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_i &= (x - \bar{x}) \times e_i, \quad e_i = (\delta_{i1}, \delta_{i2}, \delta_{i3}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \bar{x} &= \frac{1}{|\Omega_t|} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} x_1 dx, \int_{\Omega_t} x_2 dx, \int_{\Omega_t} x_3 dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Define $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ by

$$(6.5) \quad b = \frac{1}{2|\Omega_t|} \int_{\Omega_t} \text{rot } v dx.$$

Since $\text{rot } \varphi_i = -2e_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, equations (6.3), (6.4) imply

$$(6.6) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} \text{rot } u dx = 0.$$

From (6.4) we have $\int_{\Omega_t} \varphi_i dx = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ so

$$(6.7) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} u dx = \int_{\Omega_t} v dx \quad \text{and also} \quad E_{\Omega_t}(\varphi_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

so

$$(6.8) \quad E_{\Omega_t}(u) = E_{\Omega_t}(v).$$

By Theorem 1 of [10] we have

$$(6.9) \quad \partial_{x_j} w_i = \varepsilon_{ikt} \partial_{x_k} S_{jk}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad w = \text{rot } u, \quad S_{ij} = \partial_{x_i} u_j + \partial_{x_j} u_i,$$

so (6.6) implies that

$$(6.10) \quad \|\text{rot } u\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|S_{ij}\|_{L^2(\Omega_t)}^2 = cE_{\Omega_t}(u) = E_{\Omega_t}(v).$$

Employing the identity

$$(6.11) \quad \partial_{x_j} u_i = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_j} u_i + \partial_{x_i} u_j) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_j} u_i - \partial_{x_i} u_j)$$

and (6.10) we have

$$(6.12) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c(E_{\Omega_t}(u) + \|\operatorname{rot} u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2) \leq cE_{\Omega_t}(v).$$

Using (6.3) we obtain

$$(6.13) \quad \|\nabla v\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c(E_{\Omega_t}(v) + |b|^2).$$

Using (6.3) we get

$$(6.14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i \int_{\Omega_t} \varphi_i \cdot \varphi_j dx = \int_{\Omega_t} (u - v) \cdot \varphi_i dx.$$

Since $\det \Gamma \neq 0$, where $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_{ij}\}$, $\Gamma_{ij} = \int_{\Omega_t} \varphi_i \cdot \varphi_j dx$ we can calculate b from (6.14), so

$$(6.15) \quad |b|^2 \leq c(\|u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2).$$

Now by the Poincaré inequality and (6.7), (6.8), we obtain

$$(6.16) \quad \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq 2 \left\| u - \frac{1}{|\Omega_t|} \int_{\Omega_t} u dx \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + 2 \left\| \frac{1}{|\Omega_t|} \int_{\Omega_t} u dx \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ \leq c \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{|\Omega_t|} \int_{\Omega_t} v dx \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) \leq c(E_{\Omega_t}(v) + \|v\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2),$$

where (6.12) is used. From (6.13), (6.15) and (6.16) we get (6.2). ■

LEMMA 6.2. *Let $\Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be bounded, $v \in H^1(\Omega_t)$ satisfy (1.1) and*

$$(6.17) \quad E_{\Omega_t}(v) = \int_{\Omega_t} (v_{ix_j} + v_{jx_i})^2 dx < \infty.$$

Then there exists a constant c such that

$$(6.18) \quad \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c \left[E_{\Omega_t}(v) + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f(x, t') dx dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} v(0) dx \right|^2 \right].$$

Proof. From (1.1)₁ we have

$$(6.19) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} v dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{t'}} f dx dt' + \int_{\Omega} v_0 dx,$$

$$(6.20) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} v \cdot \varphi_i dx = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{t'}} f \varphi_i dx dt' + \int_{\Omega} v_0 \cdot \varphi_i dx, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

From (6.14) we have

$$(6.21) \quad \sum_{k=1}^3 b_k \int_{\Omega_t} \varphi_k \cdot \varphi_i dx = \int_{\Omega_t} u \cdot \varphi_i dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{t'}} f \varphi_i dx dt' + \int_{\Omega} v_0 \cdot \varphi_i dx.$$

Hence

$$(6.22) \quad |b| \leq c \left(\|u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)} + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{t'}} f dx dt' \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} v(0) dx \right| \right).$$

Hence, by (6.13) we have

$$(6.23) \quad \|\nabla v\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c \left(E_{\Omega_t}(v) + \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f \, dx \, dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} v(0) \, dx \right|^2 \right).$$

From (6.3) and (6.22) we have

$$(6.24) \quad \|v\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c \left(\|u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{t'}} f \, dx \, dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} v(0) \, dx \right|^2 \right).$$

Employing (6.7) in (6.19) yields

$$(6.25) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega_t} u \, dx \right|^2 \leq \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{t'}} f \, dx \, dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} v(0) \, dx \right|^2.$$

Hence

$$(6.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 &\leq c \left\| u - \int_{\Omega_t} u \, dx \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + c \left| \int_{\Omega_t} u \, dx \right|^2 \\ &\leq c \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{t'}} f \, dx \, dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} v(0) \, dx \right|^2 \right) \\ &\leq c \left(E_{\Omega_t}(v) + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega_{t'}} f \, dx \, dt' \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\Omega} v(0) \, dx \right|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (6.23), (6.24) and (6.26) we obtain (6.18). ■

From (1.1)₁ we have

$$(6.27) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} v_t \, dx = - \int_{\Omega_t} v \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega_t} f \, dx,$$

$$(6.28) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} v_t \cdot \varphi_i \, dx = \int_{\Omega_t} v \cdot \nabla v \cdot \varphi_i \, dx + \int_{\Omega_t} f \cdot \varphi_i \, dx.$$

LEMMA 6.3. *Let $\Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain. Let (6.27) and (6.28) hold. Let*

$$(6.29) \quad E_{\Omega_t}(v_t) = \int_{\Omega_t} (v_{jx_it} + v_{ix_jt})^2 \, dx < \infty.$$

Then there exists a constant c such that

$$(6.30) \quad \|v_t\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c(E_{\Omega_t}(v_t) + \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^4 + \|f\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2).$$

Proof. Set

$$(6.31) \quad u = \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i \varphi_i(x) + v_t,$$

where φ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, are introduced in Lemma 6.1.

Define $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ by

$$(6.32) \quad b = \frac{1}{2|\Omega_t|} \int_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{rot} v_t \, dx.$$

Since $\operatorname{rot} \varphi_i = -2e_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, (6.31) implies

$$(6.33) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} \operatorname{rot} u \, dx = 0.$$

From the form of φ_i we have $\int_{\Omega_t} \varphi_i \, dx = 0$. Hence

$$(6.34) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} u \, dx = \int_{\Omega_t} v_t \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\Omega_t}(\varphi_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then

$$(6.35) \quad E_{\Omega_t}(u) = E_{\Omega_t}(v_t).$$

As in Lemma 6.1, we have

$$(6.36) \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq cE_{\Omega_t}(v_t).$$

From (6.31) we have

$$(6.37) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i \int_{\Omega_t} \varphi_i \cdot \varphi_j \, dx = \int_{\Omega_t} u \cdot \varphi_j \, dx - \int_{\Omega_t} v_t \cdot \varphi_j \, dx.$$

Using (6.28) yields

$$(6.38) \quad |b|^2 \leq c(\|u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^4 + \|f\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2).$$

By the Poincaré inequality we have

$$(6.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 &\leq 2 \left\| u - \int_{\Omega_t} u \, dx \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + 2 \left\| \int_{\Omega_t} u \, dx \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ &\leq c \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + \left\| \int_{\Omega_t} v_t \, dx \right\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \right) \\ &\leq c(E_{\Omega_t}(v_t) + \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^4 + \|f\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$(6.40) \quad \|v_t\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c(\|u\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2 + |b|^2),$$

we obtain from (6.36), (6.38) and (6.39) inequality (6.30). ■

From (1.1)₁ we have

$$(6.41) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_t} v_{tt} \, dx &= - \int_{\Omega_t} (v_t \cdot \nabla v + v \cdot \nabla v_t) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_t} (H_t \cdot \nabla H + H \cdot \nabla H_t) \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega_t} (H_{it} \nabla H_i + H_i \nabla H_{it}) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_t} f_t \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.42) \quad \int_{\Omega_t} v_{tt} \cdot \varphi_i dx = - \int_{\Omega_t} (v_t \cdot \nabla v + v \cdot \nabla v_t) \cdot \varphi_i dx \\ + \int_{\Omega_t} (H_t \cdot \nabla H + H \cdot \nabla H_t) \varphi_i dx \\ - \int_{\Omega_t} (H_{jt} \cdot \nabla H_j + H_j \cdot \nabla H_{jt}) \cdot \varphi_i dx + \int_{\Omega_t} f_t \cdot \varphi_i dx.$$

LEMMA 6.4. *Let $\Omega_t \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be bounded. Let (6.41) and (6.42) hold. Let*

$$(6.43) \quad E_{\Omega_t}(v_{tt}) = \int_{\Omega_t} (v_{ittx_j} + v_{jttx_i})^2 dx < \infty.$$

Then

$$(6.44) \quad \|v_{tt}\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^2 \leq c(E_{\Omega_t}(v_{tt}) + \|v_t\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^2 \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^2 \\ + \|H_t\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^2 \|H\|_{H^1(\Omega_t)}^2 + \|f_t\|_{L_2(\Omega_t)}^2).$$

Proof. In this case we set

$$(6.45) \quad u = \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i \varphi_i(x) + v_{tt}.$$

Repeating the considerations from Lemma 6.3 we conclude the proof. ■

References

- [1] G. H. A. Cole, *Fluid Dynamics*, Methuen, London & Colchester, 1962.
- [2] P. A. Davidson, *An Introduction to Magnetohydrodynamics*, Cambridge Texts Appl. Math., Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001.
- [3] R. S. Ingarden and A. Jamiołkowski, *Classical Electrodynamics*, PWN, Warszawa, 1980 (in Polish).
- [4] P. Kacprzyk, *Local free boundary problem for incompressible magnetohydrodynamics*, Dissertationes Math. 509 (2015).
- [5] L. Kapitański and K. Pileckas, *On some problems of vector analysis*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI 138 (1984), 65–85 (in Russian).
- [6] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya and V. A. Solonnikov, *Solvability of some nonstationary problems of magnetohydrodynamics for viscous incompressible fluids*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklova 59 (1960), 115–173 (in Russian).
- [7] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, 2nd ed., Landau and Lifshitz Course of Theoretical Physics Vol. 8.
- [8] M. Padula and V. A. Solonnikov, *On the free boundary problem of magnetohydrodynamics*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI 385 (2010), 135–186 (in Russian); English transl.: J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 178 (2011), 313–344.
- [9] M. Sahaev and V. A. Solonnikov, *On some stationary problems of magnetohydrodynamics in multiply connected domains*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI 397 (2011), 126–149 (in Russian).
- [10] V. A. Solonnikov, *Estimates of solutions of an initial-boundary value problem for the linear nonstationary Navier–Stokes system*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. LOMI 59 (1967), 178–254 (in Russian).
- [11] V. A. Solonnikov, *Estimates of solutions to a nonstationary linearized Navier–Stokes system*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklova 70 (1964), 213–317 (in Russian).
- [12] V. A. Solonnikov, *On an unsteady motion of an isolated volume of a viscous incompressible fluid*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 51 (1987), 1065–1087 (in Russian).
- [13] W. M. Zajączkowski, *On nonstationary motion of a compressible barotropic viscous fluid bounded by a free surface*, Dissertationes Math. 324 (1993), 101 pp.