

The ping-pong game, geometric entropy and expansiveness for group actions on Peano continua having free dendrites

by

Enhui Shi and Suhua Wang (Suzhou)

Abstract. It is shown that each expansive group action on a Peano continuum having a free dendrite must have a ping-pong game, and has positive geometric entropy when the acting group is finitely generated. As a corollary, it is shown that each Peano continuum having a free dendrite admits no expansive nilpotent group actions.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. Let X be a topological space and let G be a topological group. Recall that a continuous map $\phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is called an *action* of G on X if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\phi(e, x) = x$ for all $x \in X$, where e is the identity of G .
- (2) $\phi(g_1 g_2, x) = \phi(g_1, \phi(g_2, x))$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$, and all $x \in X$.

Such an action is denoted by the triple (X, G, ϕ) . For convenience, we always use gx or $g(x)$ to denote $\phi(g, x)$. Obviously, for each $g \in G$, the map $g : X \rightarrow X, x \mapsto gx$, is a homeomorphism on X .

Throughout the paper, we assume that G has the discrete topology.

The *orbit* of $x \in X$ is the set $Gx = \{gx : g \in G\}$. For a subset $A \subseteq X$, set $GA = \bigcup_{x \in A} Gx$. A nonempty subset $A \subseteq X$ is said to be *G -invariant* if $GA = A$. If A is G -invariant, then $G|_A$ denotes the action of G restricted to A .

Now, let G be a discrete group acting on a topological space X . We are interested in the following question: what are the relations between

- ◇ the topology of X ,
- ◇ the algebraic structure of G , and
- ◇ the dynamics of the action?

Before the statement of the main results, let us recall some definitions.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54H20; Secondary 37B05.

Key words and phrases: Peano continuum, expansiveness, group action, geometric entropy, ping-pong game, free dendrite.

Continuum. A *continuum* is a connected, compact metric space. A continuum X is said to be a *Peano continuum* if it is locally connected. A *dendrite* is a Peano continuum having no simple closed curve. A dendrite D in a metric space X is *free* if there exists a connected open subset U of X such that $\bar{U} = D$. One may consult [13] for the details of these notions.

Group. The following definitions are taken from [5]. Suppose that G is a group and $a, b \in G$. Recall that the *commutator* $[a, b]$ is defined by $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$. For any two subsets A and B of G , define $[A, B]$ to be the subgroup generated by the set $\{[a, b] : a \in A, b \in B\}$. Let $G_0 = G$ and $G_{i+1} = [G_i, G]$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. This yields a sequence of normal subgroups of G : $G_0 = G \triangleright G_1 \triangleright G_2 \triangleright \dots$. If $G_n = e$ for some n , then G is called *nilpotent*. It is well known that each subgroup of a nilpotent group is nilpotent and nilpotent groups have no free subsemigroups.

Dynamics. Let X be a compact metric space with metric d and let $\phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be a group action. If there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for any distinct points $x, y \in X$, there is some $g \in G$ such that $d(g(x), g(y)) > c$, then ϕ is said to be *expansive*, and c is said to be an *expansive constant* for ϕ . A homeomorphism f of X is called *expansive* if the cyclic group generated by f is expansive, that is, there exists $c > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > c$.

Consider a finitely generated group G . Choose a symmetric generating set $S = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k\}$ for G , where σ_0 is the identity. The symmetry means here that for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\sigma_i^{-1} = \sigma_l$ for some $1 \leq l \leq k$. An element $g \in G$ has *word length* $\|g\| \leq N$ if there exist indices i_1, \dots, i_N such that $g = \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_N}$. The definition of geometric entropy of a group action $\phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is given by Ghys, Langevin and Walczak [2]. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and an integer $N > 0$, we say that $x, y \in X$ are (N, ε) -separated if there exists $g \in G$ with $\|g\| \leq N$ and $d(gx, gy) > \varepsilon$. A finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_\nu\} \subset X$ is said to be (N, ε) -separated if for any $k \neq l$, the points x_k, x_l are (N, ε) -separated. Let $S(\phi, \varepsilon, N)$ denote the maximum cardinality of an (N, ε) -separated subset of X . Now define

$$(1.1) \quad h(\phi, \varepsilon) = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S(\phi, \varepsilon, N)}{N} \geq 0.$$

The *geometric entropy* of ϕ is the limit $h(\phi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} h(\phi, \varepsilon) \geq 0$.

We next introduce a dynamical concept which is called a ping-pong game. This concept goes back to the work of Blaschke, Klein, Schottky and Poincaré (see [3]). Let G be a group acting on the compact metric space X and let J, J_1, J_2 be closed subsets of X such that $J_1, J_2 \subset J$ and $J_1 \cap J_2 = \emptyset$. If $g_1, g_2 \in G$ satisfy $g_1J \subset J_1$, $g_2J \subset J_2$, then the pair of maps $\{g_1 : J \rightarrow J_1, g_2 : J \rightarrow J_2\}$ is called a *ping-pong game* for the action.

Expansiveness, ping-pong game and positive geometric entropy can each be viewed as a complicated dynamical property.

In this paper, we show the following:

THEOREM 1.1. *Each expansive group action on a Peano continuum containing a free dendrite must have a ping-pong game, and has positive geometric entropy when the acting group is finitely generated.*

COROLLARY 1.2. *No Peano continuum having a free dendrite admits an expansive nilpotent group action.*

REMARK 1.3. The above results have been proved by S. Hurder when the space is the circle \mathbb{S}^1 (see [4]), which answers the question whether \mathbb{S}^1 admits an expansive nilpotent group action proposed by T. Ward in 1999 (see [10]).

REMARK 1.4. Whether a continuum admits an expansive homeomorphism is an interesting problem in topological dynamics and continuum theory. One may consult [1, 6–9, 12, 14, 16] for developments in this area. Recently some authors are interested in the existence of expansive group actions on continua, and some results have been obtained (see [11, 15]).

2. Peano continua containing free dendrites. In this section, we will give some topological properties of Peano continua which will be used in the proof of the main theorem.

First let us recall some notions. Let Y be a subset of X . The symbols \overline{Y} , $\text{Int}(Y)$, and $\partial_X(Y)$ stand for the closure, interior, and boundary of Y in X respectively. A *cut point* of a connected space X is a point $p \in X$ such that $X - \{p\}$ is not connected. The set of cut points of X will be denoted by $\text{Cut}(X)$. An *endpoint* of a space X is a point of X that has arbitrarily small neighborhoods in X with one-point boundaries. The symbol $\text{End}(X)$ denotes the set of all endpoints of X .

Let D be a dendrite, and A be an arc in D . Write $\mathring{A} = A - \text{End}(A)$. Then $D(A) = A \cup \bigcup \{Y : Y \text{ is a component of } D - A, \text{ and } \overline{Y} \cap \mathring{A} \neq \emptyset\}$ is called the *subdendrite of } D strung by } A .*

Lemmas 2.1, 2.3 and 2.4 have been proved in [11]. For completeness and for the convenience of the reader, we include the proofs of the last two lemmas; the first one is clear.

LEMMA 2.1 ([11]). *Let } D be a dendrite, } A an arc in } D , and } $D_1 = D(A)$ the subdendrite of } D strung by } A .*

- (1) *If } A' is a subarc of } A , then } $D_1(A') = D(A')$.*
- (2) *If } A' and } A'' are subarcs of } A and } $A' \cap A'' = \emptyset$, then } $D(A') \cap D(A'') = \emptyset$.*

DEFINITION 2.2 ([11]). A free dendrite D in a metric space X is then said to be *strongly free* if there is an arc $A \subset D$ such that $\partial_X(D) = \text{End}(A) \subset \text{End}(D)$. The arc A is then said to be the *trunk* of D .

For any $y \in Y \subset X$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, write $B(y, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ and $B(Y, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X : d(x, Y) < \varepsilon\}$.

LEMMA 2.3 ([11]). *A metric space X contains a strongly free dendrite if and only if it contains a free dendrite.*

Proof. We need only prove the sufficiency. Let U be a connected open set in X such that \overline{U} is a dendrite. Take an arc D in X and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{B(D, \varepsilon)} \subset U$. Since $\overline{U} - D$ has only finitely many components of diameter $> \varepsilon$, there exists an arc $A \subset D - \text{End}(D)$ such that the closure of each component of $\overline{U} - D$ which has diameter $> \varepsilon$ is disjoint from $A - \text{End}(A)$. Let $D_1 = \overline{U}(A)$ be the subdendrite of \overline{U} strung by A . Then $D_1 \subset \overline{B(A, \varepsilon)} \subset \overline{B(D, \varepsilon)} \subset U$, and $\partial_{\overline{U}}(D_1) = \text{End}(A) \subset \text{End}(D_1)$. Hence $D_1 - \text{End}(A)$ is open in \overline{U} (in the relative topology of \overline{U}), and thus $D_1 - \text{End}(A)$ is open in U (in the relative topology of U). Since U is an open subset of X , $D_1 - \text{End}(A)$ is open in X . Thus $\partial_X(D_1) \subset \text{End}(A) = \partial_{\overline{U}}(D_1) \subset \partial_X(D_1)$, and so D_1 is a strongly free dendrite in X . ■

LEMMA 2.4 ([11]). *Suppose D is a strongly free dendrite in the metric space X with A being its trunk, and A' is a subarc of A . Then $D(A')$ is a strongly free dendrite in X with A' being its trunk.*

Proof. Obviously $D(A') - \text{End}(A')$ is an open subset of D . So it is an open subset of the space $D - \partial_X(D)$, and of the space X . This implies $\partial_X(D(A')) \subset \text{End}(A')$. On the other hand, whether $\text{End}(A') \cap \text{End}(A) = \emptyset$ or not, we always have $\text{End}(A') \subset \partial_X(D(A'))$, which implies $\partial_X(D(A')) = \text{End}(A') \subset \text{End}(D(A'))$. Thus $D(A')$ is a strongly free dendrite in X with trunk A' . ■

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose D_1 and D_2 are two strongly free dendrites in the metric space X with A_1 and A_2 being their respective trunks. If there is an arc $A \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$, then $D_1(A) = D_2(A)$.*

Proof. First we show that $D_1(A) \subseteq D_2(A)$. Let C be a component of $D_1(A) - A$ and $\overline{C} \cap A = \{x\}$. Since $D_2(A) - \text{End}(A)$ is a component of $X - \text{End}(A)$ and $\overline{C} \cap (D_2(A) - \text{End}(A)) \neq \emptyset$, we have $\overline{C} \subseteq D_2(A) - \text{End}(A)$. By the arbitrariness of C , $D_1(A) - A \subseteq D_2(A)$. Thus $D_1(A) \subseteq D_2(A)$.

Similarly, it can be shown that $D_2(A) \subseteq D_1(A)$. ■

The following lemma is obvious.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let D be a strongly free dendrite in X with trunk A , and let $h : X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism. Then $h(D)$ is a strongly free dendrite in X with the arc $h(A)$ being its trunk.*

Let X be a compact metric space and let D be a strongly free dendrite in X with trunk A . Define $\mathring{D} = D - \partial_X(D) = D - \text{End}(A)$. Obviously \mathring{D} is an open subset in X .

LEMMA 2.7. *Let X be a Peano continuum, and let D_1, \dots, D_n be n strongly free dendrites in X . If $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$ is a connected open subset of X , then the boundary of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$ in X has at most two points.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $(\bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathring{D}_i) \cap \mathring{D}_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Let A_i be the trunk of D_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Now we argue by induction. Clearly $\partial_X(\mathring{D}_1)$ has only two points. Assume that $\partial_X(\bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathring{D}_i)$ has at most two points for some $1 \leq k < n$. We will show that $\partial_X(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathring{D}_i)$ has at most two points. Set $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathring{D}_i$. Since both V and \mathring{D}_{k+1} are open subsets of X , we have $\partial_X(V \cup \mathring{D}_{k+1}) \subseteq \partial_X(V) \cup \partial_X(\mathring{D}_{k+1})$. Thus $\partial_X(V \cup \mathring{D}_{k+1})$ has at most four points. There are three cases:

CASE 1: $\partial_X(V) \cap \mathring{D}_{k+1} = \emptyset$. Then $\mathring{D}_{k+1} \subseteq X - \partial_X(V)$. Since V is a component of $X - \partial_X(V)$ and $\mathring{D}_{k+1} \cap V \neq \emptyset$, we have $\mathring{D}_{k+1} \subseteq V$. Thus $\partial_X(V \cup \mathring{D}_{k+1}) = \partial_X(V)$.

CASE 2: $\partial_X(\mathring{D}_{k+1}) \cap V = \emptyset$. Similarly to Case 1, we have $V \subseteq \mathring{D}_{k+1}$. So $\partial_X(V \cup \mathring{D}_{k+1}) = \partial_X(\mathring{D}_{k+1}) = \text{End}(A_{k+1})$.

CASE 3: $\partial_X(V) \cap \mathring{D}_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial_X(\mathring{D}_{k+1}) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Since no point of \mathring{D}_{k+1} or V can be in $\partial_X(V \cup \mathring{D}_{k+1})$, $\partial_X(V \cup \mathring{D}_{k+1})$ has at most two points.

In any case, $\partial_X(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathring{D}_i)$ has at most two points. Thus the boundary of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$ in X has at most two points by this inductive process. ■

The following lemma is well known (see [13, 8.30]).

LEMMA 2.8. *Let X be a Peano continuum. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, \varepsilon/2]$ such that, for any $x, y \in X$ with $0 < d(x, y) \leq \delta$, there always exists an arc A in X with endpoints x and y and $\text{diam}(A) < \varepsilon$.*

Let A be an arc, and let \prec be an ordering in A . If there is a homeomorphism $h : A \rightarrow I (= [0, 1])$ such that, for any $x, y \in A$, $x \prec y$ if and only if $h(x) < h(y)$, then \prec is called a *natural ordering*. Suppose that y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m are points in A , $m \geq 2$, and $\text{End}(A) = \{y_0, y_m\}$. If $y_0 \prec y_1 \prec \dots \prec y_m$ in a natural ordering \prec in A , and $d(y_k, y_0) = kd(y_m, y_0)/m$ for all $k = 1, \dots, m$, then the sequence (y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}) is said to be a set of *pseudo m -section points* of A from y_0 to y_m .

Let A be an arc. For any two different points u and v in A , $[u, v]_A$ denotes the subarc of A with endpoints u and v . Write $[u, v]_A = (v, u]_A = [u, v]_A - \{v\}$, $(u, v)_A = [u, v]_A - \{u\}$, and $[u, u]_A = \{u\}$.

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $\{D_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of strongly free dendrites in a Peano continuum X . Suppose that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$ is connected and contains no simple closed curve. If $\{A_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a sequence of pairwise disjoint closed arcs in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$, then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(A_i) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$ is connected, by relabeling the D_n we may suppose that $\mathring{D}_{k+1} \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^k \mathring{D}_n \neq \emptyset$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume to the contrary that there exist a constant $c > 0$ and infinitely many A_i such that $\text{diam}(A_i) \geq c$. We can suppose that each A_i has $\text{diam}(A_i) = d(a_i, b_i) = c$, where a_i and b_i are the endpoints of A_i . For each i , let $y_{i0} = a_i$, $y_{i5} = b_i$ and let (y_{i1}, \dots, y_{i4}) be a set of pseudo 5-section points of A_i from y_{i0} to y_{i5} . Then $\text{diam}([y_{ik}, y_{ik+1}]_{A_i}) \geq c/5$ for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, 4$. Let $\varepsilon = c/10$, and let $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, \varepsilon/2]$ be as in Lemma 2.8.

As X is compact, there are integers $q > p > 0$ such that $\max\{d(y_{pk}, y_{qk}) : k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} < \delta$. By Lemma 2.8, there is an arc L_k from y_{pk} to y_{qk} such that $\text{diam}(L_k) < c/10$ for each $k \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$. It is easy to see that $\{L_k : k = 0, \dots, 5\}$ are pairwise disjoint. (If there is some $x \in L_k \cap L_j$, then $d(y_{pk}, y_{pj}) \leq d(y_{pk}, x) + d(x, y_{pj}) \leq \text{diam}(L_k) + \text{diam}(L_j) < c/5$, a contradiction.) Since the compact set $A_p \cup A_q$ is covered by the family of open sets $\{\mathring{D}_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, there exists an N such that $A_p \cup A_q \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathring{D}_n$. By Lemma 2.7, the boundary of $\bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathring{D}_n$ in X has at most two points. So, at most two arcs among $\{L_k : k = 0, \dots, 5\}$ contain points in $\partial_X(\bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathring{D}_n)$. Thus there are distinct arcs L_l and L_m such that $(L_l \cup L_m) \cap \partial_X(\bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathring{D}_n) = \emptyset$. Then $L_l, L_m \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathring{D}_n$. Let $v_l \in L_l \cap A_p$ be such that $[y_{ql}, v_l]_{L_l} \cap A_p = \{v_l\}$, and $v_m \in L_m \cap A_p$ be such that $[y_{qm}, v_m]_{L_m} \cap A_p = \{v_m\}$. Since both $[y_{ql}, v_l]_{L_l} \cup [v_l, v_m]_{A_p} \cup [v_m, y_{qm}]_{L_m}$ and $[y_{ql}, y_{qm}]_{A_q}$ are arcs from y_{ql} to y_{qm} , and $\bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathring{D}_n$ has no simple closed curve, we have $[y_{ql}, v_l]_{L_l} \cup [v_l, v_m]_{A_p} \cup [v_m, y_{qm}]_{L_m} = [y_{ql}, y_{qm}]_{A_q}$. Thus $[v_l, v_m]_{A_p} \subset [y_{ql}, y_{qm}]_{A_q}$, contrary to $A_p \cap A_q = \emptyset$. ■

LEMMA 2.10 ([13, 8.26]). *Any connected open subset of a Peano continuum is arcwise connected.*

If X is a topological space and $A, B, C \subset X$, then we say that C separates A and B in X provided that $X - C = P \cup Q$ with $A \subset P$ and $B \subset Q$, where P and Q are disjoint nonempty open subsets of $X - C$. If $x, y, z \in X$, we usually say that z separates x and y in X instead of saying that $\{z\}$ separates $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$ in X .

LEMMA 2.11. *Let X be a Peano continuum. Suppose U is a connected open subset of X and contains no simple closed curve. Then any two points of U are separated in U by a third point of U .*

Proof. Let $p, q \in U$ and $p \neq q$. By Lemma 2.10, U is arcwise connected. So there is an arc A in U from p to q . Let $r \in A - \{p, q\}$, and let V be the

component of p in $U - \{r\}$. Assume that p and q cannot be separated in U by r . Then $q \in V$. Since X is locally connected, the component V is open in $U - \{r\}$. Thus V is open in X . By Lemma 2.10 there is an arc B in V from p to q . Clearly, $A \cap B$ is not connected, so $A \cup B$ contains a simple closed curve. This contradicts the assumption that U contains no simple closed curve. Thus p and q are separated in U by r . ■

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let $\{D_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of strongly free dendrites in a Peano continuum X . Suppose that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$ is connected and has no simple closed curve. Then for any constant $c > 0$, there exists a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$ such that each component of $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n) - \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ has diameter $\leq c$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that for any finite set $S \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$, there exists a component of $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n) - S$ with diameter $> c$. Write $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$. By Lemma 2.11, we can choose $x_1 \in E$ such that $E - \{x_1\}$ has at least two components. Then there is a component U_1 of $E - \{x_1\}$ with $\text{diam}(U_1) > c$. Take an arc $L_1 \subset U_1$ such that $\text{diam}(L_1) = c$. Choose $x_2, x_3 \in \mathring{L}_1$ with $\text{diam}([x_2, x_3]_{L_1}) = c/4$. By the proof of Lemma 2.11, it is easy to see that $x_2, x_3 \in \text{Cut}(U_1)$. In $E - \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$, there is also a component U_2 with $\text{diam}(U_2) > c$. Take an arc L_2 in U_2 such that $\text{diam}(L_2) = c$. If $L_2 \cap [x_2, x_3]_{L_1} = \emptyset$, then we choose arbitrarily a subarc $[x_4, x_5]_{L_2} \subset \mathring{L}_2$ with $\text{diam}([x_4, x_5]_{L_2}) = c/4$. Otherwise, $L_2 \cap [x_2, x_3]_{L_1} \neq \emptyset$. Since there is no simple closed curve in E , the intersection $A = L_2 \cap [x_2, x_3]_{L_1}$ must be an arc with diameter $\leq c/4$. Thus $L_2 - A$ has a subarc with diameter $> c/4$. Select $x_4, x_5 \in \text{Int}(L_2 - A)$ such that $[x_4, x_5]_{L_2} \subset L_2 - A$ and $\text{diam}([x_4, x_5]_{L_2}) = c/4$.

Suppose that $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1}\}$ and $\{L_1, \dots, L_k\}$ have been chosen such that

- (1) for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, L_i is an arc in $E - \{x_1, \dots, x_{2i-1}\}$ and $x_{2i}, x_{2i+1} \in \mathring{L}_i$;
- (2) for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $\text{diam}([x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}]_{L_i}) = c/4$;
- (3) for each $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ with $i \neq j$, $[x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}]_{L_i} \cap [x_{2j}, x_{2j+1}]_{L_j} = \emptyset$;
- (4) for each $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ with $i \neq j$, $(x_{2i}, x_{2i+1})_{L_i}$ and $(x_{2j}, x_{2j+1})_{L_j}$ lie in different components of $E - \{x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1}\}$.

For $k+1$, there is also a component U_{k+1} in $E - \{x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1}\}$ with $\text{diam}(U_{k+1}) > c$ by assumption. Take an arc $L_{k+1} \subset U_{k+1}$ with $\text{diam}(L_{k+1}) = c$. If $L_{k+1} \cap [x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}]_{L_i} = \emptyset$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, then we choose any subarc $[x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}]_{L_{k+1}} \subset \mathring{L}_{k+1}$ with $\text{diam}([x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}]_{L_{k+1}}) = c/4$. Otherwise, according to (4), there is only one arc $[x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}]_{L_i}$ which has a nonempty intersection with L_{k+1} . Since there is no simple closed curve in E , the intersection $A = L_{k+1} \cap [x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}]_{L_i}$ must be an arc with diameter $\leq c/4$. Thus $L_{k+1} - A$ has a subarc with diameter $> c/4$. Select

$x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3} \in \text{Int}(L_{k+1} - A)$ such that $[x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}]_{L_{k+1}} \subset L_{k+1} - A$ and $\text{diam}([x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}]_{L_{k+1}}) = c/4$.

Inductively, we obtain a sequence $\{[x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}]_{L_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of pairwise disjoint arcs in E with $\text{diam}([x_{2i}, x_{2i+1}]_{L_i}) = c/4$. This contradicts Lemma 2.9. ■

LEMMA 2.13. *Let $\{D_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of strongly free dendrites in a Peano continuum X . Suppose $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots$, where $\{U_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a sequence of pairwise disjoint connected open sets. Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(U_i) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that there exist a constant $c > 0$ and infinitely many U_i such that $\text{diam}(U_i) > c$. We may suppose that $\text{diam}(U_i) > c$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Choose an arc $A_i \subset U_i$ such that $\text{diam}(A_i) = d(a_i, b_i) = c$, where a_i and b_i are the endpoints of A_i . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots$, let $y_{i0} = a_i$, $y_{i5} = b_i$ and let (y_{i1}, \dots, y_{i4}) be a set of pseudo 5-section points of A_i from y_{i0} to y_{i5} . Then $\text{diam}([y_{ik}, y_{i(k+1)}]) \geq c/5$ for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, 4$. Let $\varepsilon = c/10$ and let $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, \varepsilon/2]$ be as in Lemma 2.8.

As X is compact, there are $n > m > 0$ such that $\max\{d(y_{mk}, y_{nk}) : k = 0, \dots, 5\} \leq \delta$. By Lemma 2.8, there exists an arc L_k from y_{mk} to y_{nk} with $\text{diam}(L_k) < \varepsilon = c/10$ for each $k = 0, \dots, 5$. Clearly $\{L_k : k = 0, \dots, 5\}$ are pairwise disjoint.

By the compactness of A_m , there exist integers m_1, \dots, m_N such that $A_m \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathring{D}_{m_j} \subseteq U_m$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathring{D}_{m_j}$ is connected. By Lemma 2.7, $\partial_X(\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathring{D}_{m_j})$ has at most two points. Hence, there is some L_k such that $L_k \cap \partial_X(\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathring{D}_{m_j}) = \emptyset$. Thus $L_k \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathring{D}_{m_j} \subseteq U_m$. So $y_{nk} \in U_m$. This is a contradiction. ■

PROPOSITION 2.14. *Let $\{D_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of strongly free dendrites in a Peano continuum X . If $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$ contains no simple closed curve, then for any constant $c > 0$, there exists a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$ such that each component of $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n) - \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ has diameter $\leq c$.*

Proof. Write $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots$, where $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are pairwise disjoint open connected subsets. (If $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n$ has only finitely many components, that is, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_M$, then let $U_i = \emptyset$ for $i > M$. Thus we can still write $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots$.) Because of Lemma 2.13, $\text{diam}(U_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any $c > 0$ there exists an N such that $\text{diam}(U_i) \leq c$ for $i > N$. For each $i \leq N$, since U_i is the union of some \mathring{D}_n s, there is a finite set $F_i \subset U_i$ such that each component of $U_i - F_i$ has diameter $\leq c$ by Proposition 2.12. Thus each component of $(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathring{D}_n) - (\bigcup_{i=1}^N F_i)$ has diameter $\leq c$. Taking $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N F_i$ completes the proof. ■

COROLLARY 2.15. *Let X be a Peano continuum, and D a strongly free dendrite in X . Suppose that G is a countable group acting on X . If $\bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}$ has no simple closed curve, then for any constant $c > 0$, there exists a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ such that each component of $(\bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}) - \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ has diameter $\leq c$.*

Proof. From the definition of strongly free dendrite and Lemma 2.6, it is easy to see that gD is also a strongly free dendrite and $(g\mathring{D}) = g\mathring{D}$ for each $g \in G$. Hence, the conclusion follows immediately from Proposition 2.14. ■

REMARK. In fact, Corollary 2.15 holds for any subset of $\bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}$. Let $W \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}$, and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}$. If each component of $(\bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}) - \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ has diameter $\leq c$, we can take the finite set $F = W \cap \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. Obviously, each component of $W - F$ has diameter $\leq c$.

The action of G on X is said to be *topologically transitive* if for any nonempty open subsets U and V of X , there is a $g \in G$ such that $gU \cap V \neq \emptyset$. For brevity, we will then simply say that G is topologically transitive.

We say $x \in X$ is a *transitive point* of G provided that $\overline{Gx} = X$. For a compact metric space X , it is well known that if G is countable and topologically transitive, then there exists a transitive point of G .

PROPOSITION 2.16. *Let X be a Peano continuum containing free dendrites, and D a strongly free dendrite in X . Suppose that G is a countable group acting on X expansively. If $\bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}$ contains no simple closed curve, then there is a G -invariant open set W on which $G|_W$ is topologically transitive.*

Proof. Let $c_0 > 0$ be an expansive constant for the G -action. Set $U = \bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}$. Then U is a G -invariant open set. By Corollary 2.15, there is a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset U$ such that each component of $U - \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ has diameter $\leq c_0$.

CLAIM. *There exists an $x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ with $\text{Int}(\overline{Gx_i}) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof of the claim. Assume that $\text{Int}(\overline{Gx_i}) = \emptyset$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since U is a nonempty open set, so is $V := U - \bigcup_{i=1}^m \overline{Gx_i}$ by the Baire Category Theorem. Thus we can take distinct points x and y in some component of V . Since V is G -invariant, for any $g \in G$, gx and gy are still in the same component of V . As $V \subset U - \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, each component of V has diameter $\leq c_0$. Hence $d(gx, gy) \leq c_0$ for any $g \in G$, which contradicts the fact that G acts on X expansively. Thus the claim holds.

Without loss of generality, we suppose $\text{Int}(\overline{Gx_1}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $W = U \cap \text{Int}(\overline{Gx_1})$. Obviously, W is a G -invariant open set. To prove that $G|_W$ is topologically transitive, let A and B be nonempty open subsets of W . Since

$A, B \subseteq \text{Int}(\overline{Gx_1})$, there are $g_1, g_2 \in G$ such that $g_1x_1 \in A$ and $g_2x_1 \in B$. So $g_2g_1^{-1}(g_1x_1) \in B$, that is, $g_2g_1^{-1}A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Hence $G|_W$ is topologically transitive. ■

PROPOSITION 2.17. *Under the assumption of Proposition 2.16, let W be a G -invariant open set in $\bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}$ such that $G|_W$ is topologically transitive. Then there is an arc A in W such that each point in A is a transitive point of $G|_W$.*

Proof. Assume that on any arc in W , there exists a nontransitive point of $G|_W$. Then we have

CLAIM. *For any constant $c > 0$, there is a finite subset $B \subseteq W$ consisting of nontransitive points of $G|_W$ such that each component of $W - B$ has diameter $\leq c$.*

Proof of the claim. As in Proposition 2.16, we set $U = \bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}$. By Corollary 2.15 and the remark following it, there is a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_M\} \subseteq W$ such that each component of $W - \{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$ has diameter $\leq c$. If x_i is a nontransitive point of $G|_W$, then take $B = \{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$. Otherwise, we will modify the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$. By renumbering the x_i , we may suppose that x_1, \dots, x_{m-1} are nontransitive points of $G|_W$ and x_m, \dots, x_M are transitive points of $G|_W$, for some $1 \leq m \leq M$. Then we first modify the point x_m .

Let \mathcal{P} be family of components P of $W - \{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$ such that $x_m \in \partial_X(P)$. Write $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots\}$ (if \mathcal{P} has only finitely many elements, then set $P_n = \emptyset$ for $n > N$, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $\text{diam}(P_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (Otherwise, for a constant $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a subsequence $\{P_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that each $\text{diam}(P_{n_k}) > \varepsilon$. Since P_{n_k} is arcwise connected, there is an arc L_{n_k} with $\text{diam}(L_{n_k}) = \varepsilon$. This contradicts Lemma 2.9.) Thus there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that $\text{diam}(P_n) < c/4$ for each $n > N$. Let $P'_n = P_n \cup \{x_m\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any distinct $x, y \in \bigcup_{n=N+1}^\infty P'_n$, we have $d(x, y) \leq d(x, x_m) + d(x_m, y) < c/2$. Thus $\text{diam}(\bigcup_{n=N+1}^\infty P'_n) \leq c/2$.

For each $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let L_n be an arc in P'_n with x_m being one of its endpoints and $\text{diam}(L_n) < c/4$. By assumption there exists a nontransitive point $y_n \in \mathring{L}_n$ of $G|_W$ such that the component of $P'_n - \{y_n\}$ which contains x_m has diameter $\leq c/2$. In fact, for each $x \in P_n$ there is a unique arc $A_x = [x, a_x]$ contained in P_n such that $A_x \cap L_n = \{a_x\}$. Choose a nontransitive point $w_1 \in \mathring{L}_n$ such that $\text{diam}([x_m, w_1]_{L_n}) \leq c/6$. If the component of $P'_n - \{w_1\}$ which contains x_m has diameter $\leq c/2$, then let $y_n = w_1$. Otherwise, there must be a point $z_1 \in P_n$ and an arc $A_{z_1} = [z_1, a_{z_1}]$ such that $\text{diam}(A_{z_1}) > c/6$ and $A_{z_1} \cap (x_m, w_1)_{L_n} = \{a_{z_1}\}$. Then choose a nontransitive point $w_2 \in (x_m, a_{z_1})_{L_n}$. If the component of $P'_n - \{w_2\}$ which contains x_m has diameter $\leq c/2$, then let $y_n = w_2$. Otherwise, there is a

z_2 with $\text{diam}(A_{z_2}) > c/6$. Continuing this process, we get at last a w_k such that the component of $P'_n - \{w_k\}$ which contains x_m has diameter $\leq c/2$, and then we let $y_n = w_k$. (If such a w_k does not exist, then we will obtain a sequence $\{A_{z_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of pairwise disjoint arcs with $\text{diam}(A_{z_i}) > c/6$, contradicting Lemma 2.9.)

Thus for each P'_n , $n = 1, \dots, N$, we get a nontransitive point y_n . Since each component of $P'_n - \{y_n\}$ which does not contain x_m is contained in P_n , its diameter is at most c . Let C_n denote the component of $P'_n - \{y_n\}$ which contains x_m . Since $x_m \in C_n$ and $x_m \in \bigcup_{n=N+1}^\infty P'_n$, the set $(\bigcup_{n=1}^N C_n) \cup (\bigcup_{n=N+1}^\infty P'_n)$ is connected and it is easy to see that its diameter is at most c . Thus using $\{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ instead of x_m , we obtain a new finite set $B' = \{x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, y_1, \dots, y_N, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_M\}$ such that each component of $W - B'$ has diameter $\leq c$.

Similarly, we can modify x_{m+1}, \dots, x_M by using nontransitive points. Finally, we obtain a finite set B we need. Thus the claim is proved.

Let c_0 be an expansive constant for the G -action. From the claim, we get a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of nontransitive points such that each component of $W - \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ has diameter $\leq c_0$. For each x_i , $\text{Int}(\overline{Gx_i}) = \emptyset$ since x_i is a nontransitive point of $G|_W$. Thus $V = W - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{Gx_i}$ is a nonempty G -invariant open set. Obviously, each component of V has diameter $\leq c_0$. This contradicts the fact that G acts on X expansively with expansive constant c_0 . ■

PROPOSITION 2.18. *Let X be a Peano continuum, and D_1, \dots, D_n a finite sequence of strongly free dendrites in X . If $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$ contains a simple closed curve, then $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$. Moreover, there is only one simple closed curve contained in X .*

Proof. Let S be a simple closed curve contained in $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$. We may suppose that the union of any $n-1$ of $\mathring{D}_1, \dots, \mathring{D}_n$ contains no simple closed curve (otherwise we need only work with a subfamily of $\{\mathring{D}_1, \dots, \mathring{D}_n\}$). Thus $S \cap \mathring{D}_i \neq \emptyset$. By relabeling, we may suppose that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathring{D}_i$ is connected and set $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathring{D}_i$. Let $\partial_X(\mathring{D}_n) = \{p, q\}$. By Lemma 2.7 the boundary of U has at most two points.

First, it is easy to see that $\partial_X(U) \neq \emptyset$: otherwise, U is a clopen set in X , so $X = U$ by the connectedness of X . Then $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i \subseteq U$, which contradicts the fact that U contains no simple closed curve.

Now we discuss two cases.

CASE 1: $\partial_X(U) = \{a\}$, that is, $\partial_X(U)$ has only one point. We claim that $\partial_X(U) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial_X(\mathring{D}_n) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, if $\partial_X(U) \cap S = \emptyset$, then $S \subset X - \partial_X(U)$. Since U is a component of $X - \partial_X(U)$ and $S \cap U \neq \emptyset$, we find that $S \subset U$, which contradicts the fact that U contains no simple closed

curve. Hence $\partial_X(U) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, it can be shown that $\partial_X(\mathring{D}_n) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Thus $a \in S$. We can suppose $p \in S$. If $q \notin S$, then $S - \{p\} = S - \{p, q\} \subset X - \{p, q\}$. Since \mathring{D}_n is a component of $X - \{p, q\}$ and $(S - \{p\}) \cap \mathring{D}_n \neq \emptyset$, we have $S - \{p\} \subset \mathring{D}_n$. Thus $S \subset D_n$, a contradiction. Hence $q \in S$. It follows that $\partial_X(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i) \subseteq \partial_X(U) \cup \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n) \subseteq S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$. This means $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$ is a clopen set in X . Hence $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$.

CASE 2: $\partial_X(U) = \{a, b\}$, that is, $\partial_X(U)$ has two points. As in Case 1, we have $\partial_X(U) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial_X(\mathring{D}_n) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. We can suppose that $a, p \in S$. Now we show that $b, q \in S$. First, we have $q \in S$ as in Case 1. Assume to the contrary that $b \notin S$. As $\partial_X(U) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_X(\mathring{D}_i)$, there is some \mathring{D}_j such that $b \in \partial_X(\mathring{D}_j)$. Let $\partial_X(\mathring{D}_j) = \{b, c\}$. Since $b \notin S$, $S - \partial_X(\mathring{D}_j) = S - \{c\}$ is connected. As $(S - \partial_X(\mathring{D}_j)) \cap \mathring{D}_j \neq \emptyset$ and \mathring{D}_j is a component of $X - \partial_X(\mathring{D}_j)$, we have $S - \partial_X(\mathring{D}_j) \subseteq \mathring{D}_j$. So $S \subseteq D_j$, which is a contradiction. Hence $b \in S$. Thus $\partial_X(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i) \subseteq \partial_X(U) \cup \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n) \subseteq S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$. So $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$ is a clopen set. Then $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$.

Next we show that X contains only one simple closed curve. Assume to the contrary that S' is a simple closed curve in $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i$ and $S' \neq S$. As above, we have $\partial_X(U) \cup \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n) \subset S'$. So $S \cap S' \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exist arcs $L \subset S$ and $L' \subset S'$ such that $\mathring{L} \cap S' = \emptyset$, $\mathring{L}' \cap S = \emptyset$ and $\text{End}(L) = \text{End}(L')$. Since $\partial_X(U) \cup \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n) \subset S' \cap S$, we see that $\mathring{L} \cap (\partial_X(U) \cup \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n)) = \mathring{L}' \cap (\partial_X(U) \cup \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n)) = \emptyset$.

Let $E = \text{End}(L) = \text{End}(L')$. Since $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathring{D}_i = U \cup \mathring{D}_n$, there are two cases:

CASE a: $E \subseteq U$. Thus $L \cap \partial_X(U) = (\mathring{L} \cup E) \cap \partial_X(U) = \emptyset$ and $L \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Since U is a component of $X - \partial_X(U)$, we have $L \subset U$. Similarly $L' \subset U$. Then $L \cup L'$ is a simple closed curve in U , which is a contradiction.

CASE b: $E \not\subseteq U$. Then $E \cap \mathring{D}_n \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in E \cap \mathring{D}_n$. Since E is the endpoint set of L , $\mathring{L} \cup \{x\}$ is connected. Note that $\mathring{L} \cap \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n) = \emptyset$ and $x \notin \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n)$, so $\mathring{L} \cup \{x\} \subset X - \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n)$. Since $(\mathring{L} \cup \{x\}) \cap \mathring{D}_n \neq \emptyset$ and \mathring{D}_n is a component of $X - \partial_X(\mathring{D}_n)$, $\mathring{L} \cup \{x\} \subset \mathring{D}_n$. Similarly, it can also be shown that $\mathring{L}' \cup \{x\} \subset \mathring{D}_n$. Thus $L \subset D_n$ and $L' \subset D_n$. Hence $L \cup L'$ is a simple closed curve in D_n , which is a contradiction.

So the assumption is false, i.e., $S' = S$. ■

Let X be a compact metric space with metric d . By the *hyperspace* of X , we mean $2^X = \{A \mid A \text{ is a nonempty closed subset of } X\}$ with the *Hausdorff metric* d_H , i.e., $d_H(A, B) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid B(A, \varepsilon) \supseteq B \text{ and } B(B, \varepsilon) \supseteq A\}$. It is well known that $(2^X, d_H)$ is a compact metric space.

LEMMA 2.19. *Let X be a Peano continuum. Fix an $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ be as in Lemma 2.8. Suppose D is a strongly free dendrite in X with an arc A being its trunk, $\text{End}(A) = \{y_0, y_7\}$ and (y_1, \dots, y_6) is a set of pseudo 7-section points of A from y_0 to y_7 . Also, suppose that J is an arc in X , $\text{End}(J) = \{w_0, w_7\}$, (w_1, \dots, w_6) is a set of pseudo 7-section points of J from w_0 to w_7 , and $d(w_0, w_7) = d(y_0, y_7) = 7\varepsilon$. If $d(w_i, y_i) < \delta$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$, and $d_H([w_2, w_5]_J, [y_2, y_5]_A) < \delta$, then $[w_3, w_4]_J \subset (y_2, y_5)_A$.*

Proof. For $i = 2, 3, 4, 5$, by Lemma 2.8 there exists an arc L_i in X such that $\text{End}(L_i) = \{w_i, y_i\}$ and $\text{diam}(L_i) < \varepsilon$. As $d(y_i, \{y_1, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, y_6\}) \geq \varepsilon$, $L_i \cap \{y_1, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, y_6\} = \emptyset$. It follows that $L_i \subset D$, and there exists a point $v_i \in L_i \cap (y_{i-1}, y_{i+1})_A$ such that $[w_i, v_i]_{L_i} \cap A = \{v_i\}$.

Since $d_H([w_2, w_5]_J, [y_2, y_5]_A) < \delta$, for each $w \in [w_2, w_5]_J$ there exist a point $y \in [y_2, y_5]_A$ and an arc L such that $d(w, y) < \delta$, $\text{End}(L) = \{w, y\}$ and $\text{diam}(L) < \varepsilon$. Just as above, we see that $w \in L \subset D$. By the arbitrariness of w , we deduce that $[w_2, w_5]_J$ is an arc in D .

But $[w_2, v_2]_{L_2} \cup [v_2, v_5]_A \cup [v_5, w_5]_{L_5}$ is also an arc in D with endpoints w_2 and w_5 , hence

$$[w_2, w_5]_J = [w_2, v_2]_{L_2} \cup [v_2, v_5]_A \cup [v_5, w_5]_{L_5}.$$

As $d(w_3, w_2) \geq \varepsilon$ and $\text{diam}(L_2) < \varepsilon$, we have $w_3 \notin L_2$. Similarly, $w_4 \notin L_5$. Therefore $\{w_3, w_4\} \subset [v_2, v_5]_A \subset (y_1, y_6)_A$. Noting that $d(y_3, \{y_2, y_4\}) \geq \varepsilon$, $\text{End}(L_3) = \{w_3, y_3\}$ and $\text{diam}(L_3) < \varepsilon$, we have $w_3 \in (y_2, y_4)_A$. Similarly, $w_4 \in (y_3, y_5)_A$. Hence, $[w_3, w_4]_J \subset (y_2, y_5)_A$. This completes the proof. ■

LEMMA 2.20. *Suppose D is a strongly free dendrite in X with trunk A . If L is an arc in D , then there exists a subarc $L' \subset L$ such that $D(L')$ is a strongly free dendrite in X .*

Proof. Choose any subarc $[\alpha, \beta]_L \subset \overset{\circ}{L}$. Then $D([\alpha, \beta]_L)$ is the subdendrite of D strung by $[\alpha, \beta]_L$. Obviously, $D([\alpha, \beta]_L) \subset D$ is a free dendrite in X . Observe that $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq \partial_X(D([\alpha, \beta]_L)) \subseteq \partial_X(D) \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Let $\partial_X(D) = \text{End}(A) = \{a, b\}$. If $\partial_X(D([\alpha, \beta]_L)) \cap \partial_X(D) = \emptyset$, then $\partial_X(D([\alpha, \beta]_L)) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Thus if we let $L' = [\alpha, \beta]_L$, then $D(L')$ is strongly free in X . Otherwise, without loss of generality, suppose $a \in \partial_X(D([\alpha, \beta]_L))$. Since $[\alpha, \beta]_L \subset \overset{\circ}{L} \subset \overset{\circ}{D}$ and $a \in \partial_X(D)$, it follows that $a \in D([\alpha, \beta]_L) - [\alpha, \beta]_L$. Suppose C is the component of $D([\alpha, \beta]_L) - [\alpha, \beta]_L$ which contains a , and $\overline{C} \cap [\alpha, \beta]_L = \{x\}$. Then $a \notin D([x, \beta]_L)$. Hence $a \notin \partial_X(D([x, \beta]_L))$. If $b \notin \partial_X(D([x, \beta]_L))$, then let $L' = [x, \beta]_L$; clearly, $\partial_X(D(L')) = \{x, \beta\}$. So $D(L')$ is strongly free in X . If $b \in \partial_X(D([x, \beta]_L))$, then similarly we can take a subarc $L' = [y, \beta]_L \subset [x, \beta]_L \subset L$ such that $b \notin D(L')$. Then $\partial_X(D(L')) = \{y, \beta\}$. Therefore $D(L')$ is strongly free in X . ■

3. Main theorem. To prove the main theorem of this paper, we use the following two lemmas which have been proved in [4]. For convenience of the reader, we prove them again here.

Let G be a group and let $\phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be a group action.

LEMMA 3.1 ([4]). *If $\{g_1 : J \rightarrow J_1, g_2 : J \rightarrow J_2\}$ is a ping-pong game for ϕ , then $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates a free subsemigroup of G .*

Proof. A word g in the semigroup generated by $\{g_1, g_2\}$ has the form $g = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_l}$ with $i_j = 1, 2$. Since $gJ \subset g_{i_1}J \subset J_{i_1} \neq J$, g is not the identity. Hence, $\{g_1, g_2\}$ generates a free subsemigroup of G . ■

LEMMA 3.2 ([4]). *If $\{g_1 : J \rightarrow J_1, g_2 : J \rightarrow J_2\}$ is a ping-pong game for ϕ , then $h(\phi) > 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the symmetric generating set $S = \{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_k\}$ for G contains $g_1, g_1^{-1}, g_2, g_2^{-1}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be the distance between J_1 and J_2 . Choose any $x \in J$ and set $S_n = \{g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} x : i_j = 1, 2\}$. The set S_n has 2^n elements. Given any distinct points $y = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_n} x$ and $z = g_{j_1} \cdots g_{j_n} x$, there exists a least $1 \leq l \leq n$ such that $i_l \neq j_l$ and $g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_{l-1}} = g_{j_1} \cdots g_{j_{l-1}}$. Let $g = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_{l-1}}$. Then $g^{-1}y = g_{i_l} \cdots g_{i_n} x \in J_{i_l}$ and $g^{-1}z = g_{j_l} \cdots g_{j_n} x \in J_{j_l}$. Thus $d(g^{-1}y, g^{-1}z) > \varepsilon$. It follows that $S(\phi, \varepsilon, N) \geq 2^N$ and $h(\phi) \geq \log 2$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We can suppose G is countable (see the remark below). Let c_0 be an expansive constant for G -action and $c = c_0/7$. Since X is a Peano continuum containing a free dendrite, by Lemma 2.3 there exists a strongly free dendrite D in X . For each $g \in G$, gD is also a strongly free dendrite and $(g\mathring{D}) = g\mathring{D}$ by Lemma 2.6. Let $U = \bigcup_{g \in G} g\mathring{D}$. We argue in two cases.

CASE 1: U contains a simple closed curve S . Since S is compact, there is a finite subfamily $\{g_i\mathring{D} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of $\{g\mathring{D} : g \in G\}$ covering S . By Proposition 2.18, $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n g_i\mathring{D}$ and S is the only simple closed curve in X . Then S is G -invariant, that is, $GS = S$. Since an expansive group action on a simple closed curve must have a ping-pong game (see Propositions 2.10 and 3.1 in [4]), we see that S admits a ping-pong game for the G -action, and hence so does X .

CASE 2: U contains no simple closed curve. By Proposition 2.16, there is a G -invariant open set $W \subseteq U$ such that $G|_W$ is topologically transitive. By Proposition 2.17, we can find an arc $A' \subset W$ all of whose points are transitive points of $G|_W$. Choose a subarc $A'' \subset A'$ such that $A'' \subseteq g\mathring{D}$ for some $g \in G$. Since $g\mathring{D}$ is a strongly free dendrite, from Lemma 2.20, there is a subarc $A \subset A''$ such that A is the trunk of a strongly free dendrite. Write $D_0 = D(A)$.

Since U is arcwise connected and contains no simple closed curve, for any x and y in U , we denote the unique arc in U from x to y by $[x, y]$ and set $(x, y) = [x, y] - \{x, y\}$.

Write $A = [a, b]$. Since G acts on X expansively, there exists $g_1 \in G$ such that $d(g_1(a), g_1(b)) > c_0$. Clearly $g_1(D_0)$ is a strongly free dendrite with $[g_1(a), g_1(b)]$ being its trunk. Write $D_1 = g_1(D_0)$. Select two points $y_{10}, y_{17} \in [g_1(a), g_1(b)]$ with $d(y_{10}, y_{17}) = c_0 = 7c$. Let (y_{11}, \dots, y_{16}) be a set of pseudo 7-section points of $[y_{10}, y_{17}]$ from y_{10} to y_{17} . Then $d(y_{13}, y_{14}) \geq c$. Corollary 2.15 yields a subarc $L_1 = [p_1, q_1] \subset (y_{13}, y_{14})$ such that $\text{diam}(D_1(L_1)) < 1$.

Suppose that for each $i \leq n-1$, $g_i, D_i, y_{i0}, \dots, y_{i7}, p_i, q_i$ and L_i have been chosen. For $i = n$, there exists $g_n \in G$ such that $d(g_n(p_{n-1}), g_n(q_{n-1})) > 7c$. Define $D_n = g_n(D_{n-1})$. Obviously, $[g_n(p_{n-1}), g_n(q_{n-1})]$ is a subarc of the trunk of D_n . Choose $y_{n0}, y_{n7} \in [g_n(p_{n-1}), g_n(q_{n-1})]$ with $d(y_{n0}, y_{n7}) = 7c$. Let (y_{n1}, \dots, y_{n6}) be a set of pseudo 7-section points of $[y_{n0}, y_{n7}]$ from y_{n0} to y_{n7} . Then $d(y_{n3}, y_{n4}) \geq c$. Take a subarc $L_n = [p_n, q_n] \subset (y_{n3}, y_{n4})$ such that $\text{diam}(D_n(L_n)) < 1/n$. By this inductive process, we get a sequence $\{g_n, D_n, y_{n0}, \dots, y_{n7}, p_n, q_n, L_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that, for each n :

- (1) $d(g_n(p_{n-1}), g_n(q_{n-1})) > 7c$ and $D_n = g_n(D_{n-1})$;
- (2) $[y_{n0}, y_{n7}] \subset [g_n(p_{n-1}), g_n(q_{n-1})]$ and $d(y_{n0}, y_{n7}) = 7c$;
- (3) (y_{n1}, \dots, y_{n6}) is a set of pseudo 7-section points of $[y_{n0}, y_{n7}]$ from y_{n0} to y_{n7} and $d(y_{n3}, y_{n4}) \geq c$;
- (4) $L_n = [p_n, q_n] \subset (y_{n3}, y_{n4})$ with $\text{diam}(D_n(L_n)) < 1/n$.

Let $\delta = \delta(c) \in (0, c/2]$ be as in Lemma 2.8. Since both 2^X and X are compact, there are subsequences $\{D_{n_i}([p_{n_i}, q_{n_i}])\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{[y_{n_i2}, y_{n_i5}]\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $D_{n_i}([p_{n_i}, q_{n_i}]) \rightarrow x_*$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.1) \quad d_H([y_{n_i2}, y_{n_i5}], [y_{n_j2}, y_{n_j5}]) < \delta,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \max_{k \in \{2, \dots, 5\}} \{d(y_{n_ik}, y_{n_jk})\} < \delta.$$

By Lemma 2.19, for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $[y_{n_i3}, y_{n_i4}] \subset [y_{n_j2}, y_{n_j5}]$. Now fix a $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, since $[p_{n_i}, q_{n_i}] \subset (y_{n_i3}, y_{n_i4}) \subset [y_{n_j2}, y_{n_j5}]$ and $[p_{n_i}, q_{n_i}] \rightarrow x_*$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we have $x_* \in [y_{n_j2}, y_{n_j5}]$. Note that each point in A is a transitive point of $G|_W$ and $x_* \in [y_{n_j2}, y_{n_j5}] \subset g_{n_j} \cdots g_1(A)$, so x_* is a transitive point of $G|_W$.

Since $d(y_{n_j3}, y_{n_j4}) \geq c$, no matter where x_* is in $[y_{n_j2}, y_{n_j5}]$, we can always choose a subarc $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (y_{n_j3}, y_{n_j4})$ such that $\text{diam}([\alpha, \beta]) < c/3$ and $x_* \notin [\alpha, \beta]$. By Lemma 2.4, $D_{n_j}([\alpha, \beta])$ is a strongly free dendrite. Since x_* is a transitive point of $G|_W$, there exists $g_* \in G$ such that $g_*x_* \in D_{n_j}([\alpha, \beta])$. By the continuity of g_* , we can choose a neighborhood U_* of x_* such that $g_*(U_*) \subset D_{n_j}([\alpha, \beta])$ and $U_* \cap D_{n_j}([\alpha, \beta]) = \emptyset$. Since $D_{n_i}([p_{n_i}, q_{n_i}]) \rightarrow x_*$ as $n_i \rightarrow \infty$, there exists some $D_{n_m}([p_{n_m}, q_{n_m}]) \subset U_*$. Therefore $g_*(D_{n_m}([p_{n_m}, q_{n_m}])) \subset D_{n_j}([\alpha, \beta])$. On the other hand, let $h =$

$(g_{n_{m+1}} \cdots g_{n_m+1})^{-1}$. Then we have

$$(3.3) \quad h(D_{n_{m+1}}([y_{n_{m+1}0}, y_{n_{m+1}7}])) \subset D_{n_m}([p_{n_m}, q_{n_m}])$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad g_*h(D_{n_{m+1}}([y_{n_{m+1}0}, y_{n_{m+1}7}])) \subset D_{n_j}([\alpha, \beta]).$$

Next we show that both $D_{n_m}([p_{n_m}, q_{n_m}])$ and $D_{n_j}([\alpha, \beta])$ are contained in the closed set $D_{n_{m+1}}([y_{n_{m+1}0}, y_{n_{m+1}7}])$. Since $[p_{n_m}, q_{n_m}] \subset (y_{n_m3}, y_{n_m4}) \subset [y_{n_{m+1}2}, y_{n_{m+1}5}]$, by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$D_{n_m}([p_{n_m}, q_{n_m}]) = D_{n_{m+1}}([p_{n_m}, q_{n_m}]) \subset D_{n_{m+1}}([y_{n_{m+1}0}, y_{n_{m+1}7}]).$$

Similarly, since $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (y_{n_j3}, y_{n_j4}) \subset [y_{n_{m+1}2}, y_{n_{m+1}5}]$, we have

$$D_{n_j}([\alpha, \beta]) = D_{n_{m+1}}([\alpha, \beta]) \subset D_{n_{m+1}}([y_{n_{m+1}0}, y_{n_{m+1}7}]).$$

Let

$$J = D_{n_{m+1}}([y_{n_{m+1}0}, y_{n_{m+1}7}]), \quad J_1 = D_{n_m}([p_{n_m}, q_{n_m}]), \quad J_2 = D_{n_j}([\alpha, \beta]).$$

Then $\{h : J \rightarrow J_1, g_*h : J \rightarrow J_2\}$ is a ping-pong game for the G -action. Moreover, by Lemma 3.2 the G -action has positive geometric entropy. ■

Since a nilpotent group cannot contain a free subsemigroup, Corollary 1.2 is obvious from Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.1.

REMARK. If G acts on a compact metric space X expansively, then there exists a countable subgroup H of G such that the induced action of H on X is expansive. In fact, by the expansiveness of the G -action, for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $x \neq y$, there is a $g \in G$ such that $d(gx, gy) > c$, where c is the expansive constant for the G -action. Thus by the continuity of g , there is an open neighborhood $U_{(x,y)}$ of (x, y) in $X \times X$ such that $d(gU_{(x,y)}, \Delta_X) > c$, where Δ_X is the diagonal of $X \times X$. Thus by the Lindelöf property of $X \times X - \Delta_X$, there are countable families $\{g_i \in G : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\{U_{(x_i, y_i)} : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ which covers $X \times X - \Delta_X$ such that $d(g_i U_{(x_i, y_i)}, \Delta_X) > c$. Thus the countable group H generated by $\{g_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is expansive.

Acknowledgments. We are deeply grateful to the referee for his helpful suggestions and detailed revisions of this paper.

Research of E. H. Shi was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10801103) and by the Natural Sciences Fund for Colleges and Universities of the Jiangsu Province (No. 08KJB110010).

References

- [1] B. F. Bryant, *Unstable self-homeomorphisms of a compact space*, Vanderbilt Univ. Thesis, 1954.
- [2] É. Ghys, R. Langevin and P. Walczak, *Entropie géométrique des feuilletages*, Acta Math. 160 (1988), 105–142.

- [3] P. de la Harpe, *Free groups in linear groups*, Enseign. Math. (2) 29 (1983), 129–144.
- [4] S. Hurder, *Dynamics of expansive group actions on the circle*, <http://www.math.uic.edu/hurder/>.
- [5] I. M. Isaacs, *Algebra: A Graduate Course*, Wadsworth, 1994.
- [6] J. F. Jakobsen and W. R. Utz, *The nonexistence of expansive homeomorphisms of a closed 2-cell*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 1319–1321.
- [7] H. Kato, *The nonexistence of expansive homeomorphisms of 1-dimensional compact ANRs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1990), 267–269.
- [8] —, *The nonexistence of expansive homeomorphisms of Peano continua in the plane*, Topology Appl. 34 (1990), 161–165.
- [9] —, *The nonexistence of expansive homeomorphisms of chainable continua*, Fund. Math. 149 (1996), 119–126.
- [10] A. Katok, R. de la Llave, Y. Pesin and H. Weiss (eds.), *Smooth Ergodic Theory and its Applications*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 69, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [11] J. H. Mai and E. H. Shi, *The nonexistence of expansive commutative group actions on Peano continua having free dendrites*, Topology Appl. 155 (2007), 33–38.
- [12] C. Mouron, *Tree-like continua do not admit expansive homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 3409–3413.
- [13] S. B. Nadler Jr., *Continuum Theory*, Dekker, New York, 1992.
- [14] T. O’Brien and W. Reddy, *Each compact orientable surface of positive genus admits an expansive homeomorphism*, Pacific J. Math. 35 (1970), 737–741.
- [15] E. H. Shi, L. Z. Zhou and Y. C. Zhou, *The nonexistence of expansive \mathbb{Z}^n actions on Peano continua that contain no θ -curves*, Topology Appl. 154 (2007), 462–468.
- [16] R. F. Williams, *A note on unstable homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 308–309.

Department of Mathematics
Suzhou University
Suzhou 215006, P.R. China
E-mail: ehshi6688@yahoo.com.cn, ehshi@suda.edu.cn
wangsuhuasz@yahoo.com.cn

*Received 5 January 2008;
in revised form 12 January 2009*