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#### Abstract

A regular dessin d'enfant, in this paper, will be a pair $(S, \beta)$, where $S$ is a closed Riemann surface and $\beta: S \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a regular branched cover whose branch values are contained in the set $\{\infty, 0,1\}$. Let $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ be the group of automorphisms of $(S, \beta)$, that is, the deck group of $\beta$. If $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ is Abelian, then it is known that $(S, \beta)$ can be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. We prove that, if $A$ is an Abelian group and $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta) \cong A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, then $(S, \beta)$ is also definable over $\mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, if $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, then we provide explicitly these dessins over $\mathbb{Q}$.


1. Introduction and statement of results. A dessin d'enfant (or just a dessin), as defined by Grothendieck [G], corresponds to a pair ( $X, D$ ), where $X$ is a closed orientable surface and $D \subset X$ is a bipartite graph (vertices are colored black or white and adjacent vertices have different colors) such that $X-D$ consists of a finite collection of topological discs (called the faces of the dessin). The genus of $(X, D)$ is by definition the genus of $X$. Two dessins d'enfants, say $\left(X_{1}, D_{1}\right)$ and ( $X_{2}, D_{2}$ ), are said to be equivalent if there exists an orientation preserving homeomorphism $H$ : $X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ inducing an isomorphism between $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ as bipartite graphs (i.e., an isomorphism of the graphs sending black (resp. white) vertices to black (resp. white) vertices).

A Bely̆̆ pair is a pair $(S, \beta)$, where $S$ is a closed Riemann surface, called a Belyı̆ curve, and $\beta: S \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a non-constant meromorphic map whose branch values are contained in the set $\{\infty, 0,1\}$, called a Bely̌̆ map. The genus of ( $S, \beta$ ) is the genus of $S$. Two Belyĭ pairs, say $\left(S_{1}, \beta_{1}\right)$ and ( $S_{2}, \beta_{2}$ ), are said to be equivalent if there is a biholomorphism $F: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}$ such that $\beta_{2} \circ F=\beta_{1}$. If $S_{1}=S_{2}=S$ and $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=\beta$, then the above provides the definition of an automorphism of $(S, \beta)$. We denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ the full group of conformal automorphisms of $S$ and by $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ its subgroup of
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automorphisms of $(S, \beta)$. We say that the Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ is regular if $\beta$ is a regular branch cover; in that case its deck group is $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$. The group of Möbius transformations keeping invariant the set $\{\infty, 0,1\}$ is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ on three letters generated by $A(z)=1 / z$ and $B(z)=1 /(1-z)$. If we have a (regular) Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ and $M \in \mathfrak{S}_{3}$, then $(S, M \circ \beta)$ is again a (regular) Belyı̆ pair and, moreover, $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)=\operatorname{Aut}(S, M \circ \beta)$. In this paper we are interested in regular dessins d'enfants.

As defined above, dessins d'enfants are combinatorial (2-dimensional) objects and Belyı̆ pairs are analytic objects. By the uniformization theorem, a dessin d'enfant $(X, D)$ determines a Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ (unique up to equivalence) so that $D=\beta^{-1}([0,1])$ (the black vertices being the preimages of 0 and the white vertices being the preimages of 1 ). Conversely, a Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ defines a dessin d'enfant as just described above. This provides a bijection between equivalence classes of dessins d'enfants and equivalence classes of Belyı̆ pairs; so we may work indistinctly with dessins d'enfants and Belyı̆ pairs. In this paper we consider Belyı̆ pairs as the objects under study.

A field of definition of a Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ is a subfield $\mathbb{K}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ for which there is an equivalent Belyı̆ pair $(C, \eta)$, where $C$ is a smooth complex algebraic curve and $\eta$ is a rational map, both defined over $\mathbb{K}$; we also say that $(S, \beta)$ is definable over $\mathbb{K}$. Belyı̆ 's theorem [B] asserts that every Belyı̆ pair is definable over the field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of algebraic numbers. The field of moduli of $(S, \beta)$ is the intersection of all its fields of definition K .

If a regular Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ has genus zero, then, up to conformal equivalence, $S=\widehat{\mathbb{C}}($ which is defined over $\mathbb{Q})$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ is any of the finite groups of Möbius transformations (finite cyclic groups, dihedral groups, the alternating groups $\mathcal{A}_{4}, \mathcal{A}_{5}$ and the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$ ). Explicit regular branched cover maps, for each of these cases, are provided in [HO. It can be checked that all of these are definable over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let us assume, from now on, that the regular Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ has genus $g \geq 1$. In this case, the branch values of $\beta$ are 0,1 and $\infty$, say with branch orders $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$; we say that $S / H$ has signature $\left(0 ; k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$ and that the associated dessin d'enfant has type $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}\right)$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the condition $g \geq 1$ is equivalent to $k_{1}^{-1}+k_{2}^{-1}+k_{3}^{-1} \leq 1$ (strict inequality if and only if $g \geq 2$ ). As a consequence of the uniformization theorem, there is a surjective homomorphism $\theta: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$, with a torsion free kernel $\operatorname{ker}(\theta)$, where $\Gamma=\left\langle x, y: x^{k_{1}}=y^{k_{2}}=(y x)^{k_{3}}=1\right\rangle$ is a triangular Kleinian group uniformizing the orbifold $S / \operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ and $\operatorname{ker}(\theta)$ uniformizing $S$ (the converse also holds). In particular, $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ is generated by two elements, say $a$ and $b$, with $a$ of order $k_{1}, b$ of order $k_{2}$ and $c=(a b)^{-1}$ of order $k_{3}$. This, and the fact that any two generators of a dihedral group are either both of order two or one of order two with
product of order two, ensures that $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ cannot be isomorphic to a dihedral group.

In [W] Wolfart proved that $(S, \beta)$ and $S$ can both be defined over their corresponding fields of moduli (this fact can also be obtained from DèbesEmsalem's results in [DE] ). In Hi] it was noticed that if $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ is Abelian, then these two fields are equal to the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers. We may wonder for other cases ensuring a regular Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ to be definable over $\mathbb{Q}$. A class of groups which are close to being Abelian groups (in some rough sense) are the semi-direct products $A \rtimes B$, where $A$ and $B$ are both Abelian groups. In [SW] Streit-Wolfart studied the family of those regular Belyı̆ pairs with $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)=\left\langle a, b: a^{p}=b^{q}=1, b a b^{-1}=a^{m}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ (i.e. $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ ), where $p>3$ and $q>3$ are primes and $m^{q} \equiv$ $1 \bmod p$, and they exhibit explicit curves and the corresponding fields of moduli (which result to be different from $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

In this paper we consider the case $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta) \cong A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where $A$ is an Abelian group. The case $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta) \cong A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ will be considered elsewhere (see also Remark 2.2). Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $(S, \beta)$ be a regular Bely̌ pair of genus $g \geq 1$ with $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta) \cong A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. If $A$ is an Abelian group, then $(S, \beta)$ is definable over $\mathbb{Q}$.

If in Theorem 1.1, $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, that is, $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)=\left\langle a, b: a^{n}=b^{2}=\right.$ $\left.b a b a^{-m}=1\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where $n \in\{2,3, \ldots\}, m \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$, $m^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)=1$, then the next result describes explicit models over $\mathbb{Q}$. As already noticed above, the case $m=n-1$ (the dihedral case) is not possible.

ThEOREM 1.2. Let $(S, \beta)$ be a regular Bely乞̌ pair of genus $g \geq 1$ with $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)=\left\langle a, b: a^{n}=b^{2}=b a b a^{-m}=1\right\rangle$, where $n \in\{2,3, \ldots\}, m \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n-2\}, m^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod n$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)=1$. Then the following hold:
(1) There exist integers $\alpha, \rho, \gamma \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and non-negative integers $\vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{3}$ satisfying
(1.1) $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \alpha, \rho, \gamma)=1$;
(1.2) $1+\gamma-\rho=m$;
(1.3) $(\alpha+\rho+\gamma)(2+\gamma-\rho) \equiv 0 \bmod n$;
(1.4) $\alpha(\gamma-\rho)=n \vartheta_{1}$;
(1.5) $(\rho-1)(\gamma-\rho)=n \vartheta_{2}$;
(1.6) $(\gamma+1)(\gamma-\rho)=n \vartheta_{3}$; and
(1.7) $(-1)^{(\alpha+\rho+\gamma)(2+\gamma-\rho) / n}=(-1)^{\vartheta_{1}+\vartheta_{2}+\vartheta_{3}}$,
so that $(S, \beta)$ is equivalent to the regular Bely乞̆ pair $(C, \eta)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
C: y^{n}=x^{\alpha}(x-1)^{\rho}(x+1)^{\gamma} \\
\eta: C \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}:(x, y) \mapsto x^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\operatorname{Aut}(C, \eta)=\langle a, b\rangle$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(x, y)=(x, \omega y), \quad b(x, y)=\left(-x, \frac{\delta y^{1+\gamma-\rho}}{x^{\vartheta_{1}}(x-1)^{\vartheta_{2}}(x+1)^{\vartheta_{3}}}\right) \\
\delta=(-1)^{(\alpha+\rho+\gamma) / n}, \quad \omega=e^{2 \pi i / n}
\end{gathered}
$$

(2) If $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $(\xi-1) n<\alpha+\rho+\gamma \leq \xi n$ and we set $\eta=$ $\xi n-\alpha-\rho-\gamma$, then $($ setting $\operatorname{gcd}(n, 0):=n)$

$$
g=1+n-(1 / 2)(\operatorname{gcd}(n, \alpha)+\operatorname{gcd}(n, \rho)+\operatorname{gcd}(n, \gamma)+\operatorname{gcd}(n, \eta))
$$

(3) If $\alpha+\rho+\gamma \equiv 0 \bmod n$, then $S / \beta$ has signature $(0 ; 2, p, 2 q)$, where $p=n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, \rho)=n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, \gamma)$ and $q=n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, \alpha)$.
(4) If $\alpha+\rho+\gamma \not \equiv 0 \bmod n$, then $S / \beta$ has signature $(0 ; p, 2 q, 2 u)$, where $p$ and $q$ are as above and $u=n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, \eta)$.

In the particular case $m=1$ (the Abelian situation), Theorem 1.2 can be written as follows.

Corollary 1.3. Let $(S, \beta)$ be a regular Bely乞̆ pair of genus $g \geq 1$, with deck group $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Then there exist integers $\alpha, \rho \in\{1, \ldots$, $n-1\}$, with $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \alpha, \rho)=1$ and $\alpha+2 \rho \equiv 0 \bmod n$, such that $(S, \beta)$ is equivalent to $(C, \eta)$, where

$$
C: y^{n}=x^{\alpha}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{\rho}, \quad \eta: C \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}:(x, y) \mapsto x^{2}
$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{Aut}(C, \eta)=\langle a, b\rangle$ with
$a(x, y)=(x, \omega y), \quad b(x, y)=(-x, \delta y), \quad \delta=(-1)^{(\alpha+2 \rho) / n}, \omega=e^{2 \pi i / n}$.
Proof. This follows from Theorem 1.2 taking $m=1$; so $\gamma-\rho=0$, $\vartheta_{1}=\vartheta_{2}=\vartheta_{3}=0, \operatorname{gcd}(n, \alpha, \rho)=1$ and $\alpha+2 \rho \equiv 0 \bmod n$.
2. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and $\mathbf{1 . 2}$. Let us fix a regular Belyĭ pair $(S, \beta)$ of genus $g \geq 1$ with $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta) \cong A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where $A$ is an Abelian group. Let $b \in \operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ be the conformal automorphism that generates the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ component.

The quotient orbifold $S / A$ has a signature $\left(h ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$, that is, its underlying Riemann surface is a closed Riemann surface, say $R$, of genus $h$ and it has exactly $r$ cone points of orders $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}$, respectively. Let $P: S \rightarrow R$ be a regular branched cover with deck group $A$.

As $A$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$, there is a conformal automorphism $\bar{b}$ of $R$, of order two, such that $\bar{b} \circ P=P \circ b$. The involution $\bar{b}$ permutes the cone points of $S / A$ and it respects their orders. Let $Q: R \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a regular branched cover with deck group $\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ and such that $Q \circ P=\beta$.

Since $S / \operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ is the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with cone points at $\infty, 0$ and 1 , it follows that $R /\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and that its cone points (that is, the branch values of $Q$ ) are contained in the set $\{\infty, 0,1\}$. So, $(R, Q)$ is a regular Bely 1 pair with $\operatorname{Aut}(R, Q)=\langle\bar{b}\rangle$.

By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the number of fixed points of the involution $\bar{b}$ is even, say $2 s$.

Claim 2.1. $s=1, h=0$ and $r \in\{3,4\}$.
Proof. We first prove that $s=1$. In fact, if $s=0$, then necessarily $h \geq 1$ (since on the Riemann sphere every involution has two fixed points). Now, the Riemann-Hurwitz formula ensures that $R /\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ has positive genus, a contradiction. If $s \geq 2$, then $Q$ will have $2 s \geq 4$ branch values, again a contradiction.

Now, as $\bar{b}$ has exactly two fixed points and $R /\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ has genus zero, it follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula that $h=0$.

The above means that the signature of $S / A$ is of the form $\left(0 ; n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$. Since the genus of $S$ is at least one, it again follows from the RiemannHurwitz formula that $r \geq 3$. Now, as the cone points of $S / A$ are permuted by the involution $\bar{b}$ and $S / \operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ has exactly three cone points, it follows that $r \in\{3,4\}$.

The above claim ensures that $R=\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and that $\bar{b}$ is a Möbius transformation of order 2 . So, up to composition of $P$ on the left with a suitable Möbius transformation, we may assume that $\bar{b}(x)=-x$; so $Q(x)=x^{2}$.

If $r=3$, then one of the cone points is a fixed point of $\bar{b}$ and the other two are permuted by $\bar{b}$.

If $r=4$, then two of the cone points are fixed by $\bar{b}$ and the other two are permuted by it.

Up to composition of $P$ on the left with a Möbius transformation of the form $T(x)=d x$, for a suitable $d \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}$, we may also assume that the cone points of $S / A$ are $\pm 1$ (the ones which are permuted by $\bar{b}$ ), 0 (and $\infty$ for $r=4$ ).

### 2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1

2.1.1. If $r=3$, then the branch values of $P: S \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ are given by the points $\pm 1$ and 0 . If $M(x)=(1-x) /(1+x)$, then $P_{M}=M \circ P$ is a Bely map with deck group $A$. By the results in [Hi], we may assume both $S$ and $P_{M}$ to be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. The induced involution by $b$, under $P_{M}$, is $\widehat{b}(x)=$ $M \circ \bar{b} \circ M^{-1}(x)=1 / x$. The two-fold branch cover $\widehat{Q}(x)=(1-x)^{2} /(1+x)^{2}$ has deck group $\langle\widehat{b}\rangle$ and $Q=\widehat{Q} \circ M$. It follows that $\beta=\widehat{Q} \circ P_{M}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.
2.1.2. If $r=4$, then we may proceed as follows (see Hi]). Let $\mu \geq 2$ be the least common multiple of the orders of the four cone points $(\infty, 0$, 1 and -1 ) of $S / A$. Let us consider the generalized Fermat curve GHL (a closed Riemann surface of genus $\left.g_{C}=(\mu-1)\left(\mu^{2}+\mu-1\right) \geq 5\right)$

$$
C=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
x_{1}^{\mu}+x_{2}^{\mu}+x_{3}^{\mu}=0 \\
-x_{1}^{\mu}+x_{2}^{\mu}+x_{4}^{\mu}=0
\end{array}\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3} .
$$

The group $K=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\mu}^{3}$, where $a_{j}$ is multiplication by $e^{2 \pi i / \mu}$ on the $x_{j}$-coordinate, is a group of conformal automorphisms of $C$. If $L: C \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined by $L\left(\left[x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}: x_{4}\right]\right)=-\left(x_{2} / x_{1}\right)^{\mu}$, then $L$ is a regular branched cover with deck group $K$ and branch values $\pm 1,0$ and $\infty$, each of order $\mu$.

If $\Gamma=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}: y_{1}^{\mu}=y_{2}^{\mu}=y_{3}^{\mu}=y_{4}^{\mu}=y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4}=1\right\rangle$ is a Fuchsian group acting on the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ so that $\mathbb{H}^{2} / \Gamma=C / K$, then $\mathbb{H}^{2} / \Gamma^{\prime}=C$, where $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is the derived subgroup of $\Gamma$.

It follows that there is a normal subgroup $\Gamma_{S}$ of $\Gamma$ (containing $\Gamma^{\prime}$ ) whose uniformized orbifold $\mathbb{H}^{2} / \Gamma_{S}$ has underlying Riemann surface structure isomorphic to $S$ (and $A=\Gamma / \Gamma_{S}$ ). In particular, there is a subgroup $K_{0}=\Gamma_{S} / \Gamma^{\prime}$ of $K$ so that the underlying Riemann surface structure of $C / K_{0}$ is isomorphic to $S$.

In [Hi, Section 6] we described (using geometric invariant theory) how to compute a curve model $E$ for $C / K_{0}$ (we do not need the explicit form). By [Hi, Lemma 5.1] such a curve $E$, and the regular branched cover $U: C \rightarrow E$ (with deck group $K_{0}$ ), are both defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. As $L=P \circ U$ we may see that $P$ is also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and, in particular, that $\beta=Q \circ P$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 2.2. If $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta) \cong A \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}$, then one may try to follow the same ideas as in the above proof. We will have the conformal automorphism $\bar{b}$, of order 3 , of the quotient orbifold $S / A$ induced by the $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ component of $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$. As $\bar{b}$ permutes the cone points of $S / A$ and $(S / A) /\langle\bar{b}\rangle=$ $S / \operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$, we necessarily have that $S / A$ is either of genus zero or of genus one. If $S / A$ has genus zero, then we may assume that $\bar{b}(z)=e^{2 \pi i / 3} z$ and that the cone points are inside the set $\left\{\infty, 0,1, e^{2 \pi i / 3}, e^{4 \pi i / 3}\right\}$. In this case, it is not clear how to ensure that $S$ can be defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. If the quotient $S / A$ has genus one, then $\bar{b}$ must have exactly three fixed points; in other words, the Riemann surface structure of $S / A$ is given by the curve $C: y^{3}=x(x-1)$ and cone points being $(0,0),(1,0)$ and $\infty$. Let us consider $Q: C \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $Q(x, y)=x$ (a regular branched cover with deck group $\langle\bar{b}\rangle$ ) and a regular branched cover map $P: S \rightarrow C$, with deck group $A$; then $\beta=Q \circ P: S \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, up to post-composition with a Möbius transformation in $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$. In order to see if the result in Theorem 1.1 holds or not for this case, we need to check if it is possible to find an al-
gebraic curve for $S$ and a regular branched cover $P: S \rightarrow C$, both defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.
2.2. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 2}$, Let $a \in \operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ be a conformal automorphism that generates the cyclic group $A=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, that is, Aut $(S, \beta)=\langle a, b\rangle$.

If $r=3$, then (as the involution $\bar{b}$ permutes two of the cone points and preserves the orders) the signature of $S /\langle a\rangle$ must of the form $(0 ; p, p, q)$ (where $p$ and $q$ are divisors of $n$ ) and the signature of $S / \operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ is $(0 ; 2, p, 2 q)$. The two cone points $\pm 1$ of $S / A$ have order $p$ and the other cone point 0 has order $q$.

If $r=4$, then the signature of $S /\langle a\rangle$ must be of the form $(0 ; p, p, q, u)$ (where $p, q$ and $u$ are divisors of $n$ ) and the signature of $S / \operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ is $(0 ; p, 2 q, 2 u)$. The cone points $\pm 1$ have order $p$, the cone point 0 has order $q$ and the cone point $\infty$ has order $u$.

It follows from BW that $S$ can be described by a cyclic $n$-gonal curve of the form

$$
C: y^{n}=x^{\alpha}(x-1)^{\rho}(x+1)^{\gamma}
$$

where $\alpha, \rho, \gamma \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(n, \alpha, \rho, \gamma)=1$.
We should note that $r=3$ if and only if $\alpha+\rho+\gamma \equiv 0 \bmod n$ and that $r=4$ otherwise. Moreover, also from [BW], $p=n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, \rho)=n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, \gamma)$ and $q=n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, \alpha)$ and, if $r=4$, then $u=n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, \eta)$, where $\eta=$ $\xi n-\alpha-\rho-\gamma$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}$ is so that $(\xi-1) n<\alpha+\rho+\gamma \leq \xi n$ (setting $\operatorname{gcd}(n, 0):=n)$.

In this model, we have $P(x, y)=x, a(x, y)=(x, \omega y)$, where $\omega=e^{2 \pi i / n}$, and $\left(\operatorname{as} Q(x)=x^{2}\right) \beta(x, y)=x^{2}$.

As $\bar{b}(x)=-x$ and $P \circ b=\bar{b} \circ P$, it follows that the involution $b$ must be of the form

$$
b(x, y)=\left(-x, \delta y\left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}\right)^{(\gamma-\rho) / n}\right), \quad \delta^{n}=(-1)^{\alpha+\rho+\gamma}
$$

We will distinguish the cases (i) $\rho=\gamma$ and (ii) $\rho \neq \gamma$.
2.3. If $\gamma=\rho$, then $\vartheta_{1}=\vartheta_{2}=\vartheta_{3}=0$,

$$
C: y^{n}=x^{\alpha}(x-1)^{\rho}(x+1)^{\rho}
$$

and $b(x, y)=(-x, \delta y)$. As $b^{2}$ is the identity, it follows that $\delta^{2}=1$, that is, $(-1)^{2(\alpha+2 \rho) / n}=1$, from which we see that $n$ necessarily divides $\alpha+2 \rho$. In this case $m=1$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
2.4. If $\gamma \neq \rho$, then we may assume without loss of generality that $\rho \leq \gamma$. As

$$
x-1=\frac{y^{n}}{x^{\alpha}(x-1)^{\rho-1}(x+1)^{\gamma}}
$$

we have

$$
b(x, y)=\left(-x, \frac{\delta y^{1+\gamma-\rho}}{x^{\alpha(\gamma-\rho) / n}(x-1)^{(\rho-1)(\gamma-\rho) / n}(x+1)^{(\gamma+1)(\gamma-\rho) / n}}\right)
$$

It follows from the above that there exist non-negative integers $\vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{3}$ such that

$$
\alpha(\gamma-\rho)=n \vartheta_{1}, \quad(\rho-1)(\gamma-\rho)=n \vartheta_{2}, \quad(\gamma+1)(\gamma-\rho)=n \vartheta_{3}
$$

In this way

$$
b(x, y)=\left(-x, \frac{\delta y^{1+\gamma-\rho}}{x^{\vartheta_{1}}(x-1)^{\vartheta_{2}}(x+1)^{\vartheta_{3}}}\right)
$$

As $b^{2}$ is the identity, the equality

$$
(x, y)=b^{2}(x, y)=\left(x, \frac{\delta^{2+\gamma-\rho}(-1)^{\vartheta_{1}+\vartheta_{2}+\vartheta_{3}} y y^{(1+\gamma-\rho)^{2}-1}}{x^{\vartheta_{1}(2+\gamma-\rho)}(x-1)^{\vartheta_{3}+\vartheta_{2}(2+\gamma-\rho)}(x+1)^{\vartheta_{3}+\vartheta_{2}(2+\gamma-\rho)}}\right)
$$

ensures that

$$
y^{(1+\gamma-\rho)^{2}-1}=x^{\vartheta_{1}(2+\gamma-\rho)}(x-1)^{\vartheta_{3}+\vartheta_{2}(2+\gamma-\rho)}(x+1)^{\vartheta_{3}+\vartheta_{2}(2+\gamma-\rho)}
$$

and

$$
\delta^{2+\gamma-\rho}=(-1)^{\vartheta_{1}+\vartheta_{2}+\vartheta_{3}} .
$$

In particular, $n$ necessarily divides $(\alpha+\rho+\gamma)(2+\gamma-\rho)$ and $b a b=a^{1+\gamma-\rho}$, that is, $m=1+\gamma-\rho$.

The formula for $g$ is just a consequence of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula (see also $\overline{\mathrm{BW}}]$ ).

Remark 2.3. As already noted in the Introduction, there is no regular Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ of genus at least one with $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ isomorphic to a dihedral group. This also follows directly from the first part of the proof of Theorem 1.2. In fact, assume there is a regular Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ with $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)=$ $\left\langle a, b: a^{n}=b^{2}=1, b a b=a^{-1}\right\rangle$. The involution $\bar{b}$ has as one of its fixed points a cone point of $S /\langle a\rangle$. This means that there is some $a^{k}$ (where $k \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ ) and some $a^{l} b$ (where $l \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ ) which have a common fixed point. This implies that $\left\langle a^{k}, a^{l} b\right\rangle$ should be a cyclic group (the stabilizer of any point of $S$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$ is known to be a cyclic group), a contradiction.
3. An example in genus two. Let us describe those regular Belyı̆ pairs $(S, \beta)$ with

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)=\left\langle a, b: a^{8}=b^{2}=1, b a b=a^{3}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

By Theorem 1.2, taking $n=8$ and $m=3$, we know that there are $\alpha, \rho, \gamma \in\{1, \ldots, 7\}$ and non-negative integers $\vartheta_{1}, \vartheta_{2}, \vartheta_{3}$ such that
$\operatorname{gcd}(8, \alpha, \rho, \gamma)=1, \rho \leq \gamma, \gamma-\rho=m-1=2, \alpha+\rho+\gamma$ is even, $\alpha=4 \vartheta_{1}$, $\rho-1=4 \vartheta_{2}$ and $\gamma+1=4 \vartheta_{3}$, and $(S, \beta)$ is equivalent to $(C, \eta)$, where $\eta(x, y)=x^{2}$ and

$$
C: y^{8}=x^{\alpha}(x-1)^{\rho}(x+1)^{\gamma}
$$

By checking all possibilities, we only obtain the following two cases:

$$
(\alpha, \rho, \gamma) \in\{(4,1,3),(4,5,7)\}
$$

that is, $C$ must be one of the following two curves of genus 2 :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1}: y^{8}=x^{4}(x-1)(x+1)^{3}, & (\alpha, \rho, \gamma)=(4,1,3) \\
C_{2}: y^{8}=x^{4}(x-1)^{5}(x+1)^{7}, & (\alpha, \rho, \gamma)=(4,5,7)
\end{array}
$$

The group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{j}, \eta\right)$ is generated by

$$
a(x, y)=(x, \omega y) \quad\left(\omega=e^{\pi i / 4}\right)
$$

and

$$
b(x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(-x, \frac{-y^{3}}{x(x+1)}\right) & \text { for } C_{1} \\ \left(-x, \frac{y^{3}}{x(x-1)(x+1)^{2}}\right) & \text { for } C_{2}\end{cases}
$$

In both cases, $r=3, p=8, q=2, C_{j} /\langle a\rangle$ has signature $(0 ; 2,8,8)$ and the regular Belyı̆ pair $(S, \beta)$ has type $(0 ; 2,4,8)$. There is only one, up to isomorphism, Riemann surface of genus 2 whose reduced group of automorphisms contains a group of order 8 (the quotient of $\operatorname{Aut}(S, \beta)$ by the cyclic group generated by the hyperelliptic involution, Ig; in particular, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are isomorphic). That surface has as full reduced group the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{4}$ and it is described by the hyperelliptic curve

$$
E: w^{2}=u\left(u^{4}-1\right)
$$

The Belyĭ pair $(S, \beta)$ is equivalent to $(E, \theta)$, where

$$
\theta(u, w)=\left(u^{8}-2 u^{4}+1\right) /\left(-4 u^{4}\right)
$$

and $\operatorname{Aut}(E, \theta)$ is generated by the element

$$
A(u, w)=(i u, \sqrt{i} w)
$$

of order 8 and the involution

$$
B(u, w)=\left(i / u, i \sqrt{i} w / u^{3}\right)
$$
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