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Abstract. We investigate topological AE(0)-groups, a class which contains the class of Polish groups as well as the class of all locally compact groups. We establish the existence of a universal AE(0)-group of a given weight as well as the existence of a universal action of an AE(0)-group of a given weight on an AE(0)-space of the same weight. A complete characterization of closed subgroups of powers of the symmetric group $S_1$ is obtained. It is also shown that every AE(0)-group is Baire isomorphic to a product of Polish groups. These results are obtained by using the spectral descriptions of AE(0)-groups which are presented in Section 3.

1. Introduction. One of the main structure theorems for compact groups (see [10, Chapters 6 & 9]) can be formulated as follows.

Theorem A ([10], Theorem 9.24(ii)). Let $G$ be a connected compact group. Then there exists a continuous homomorphism

$$p : Z_0(G) \times \prod \{L_t : t \in T\} \to G,$$

where $Z_0(G)$ stands for the identity component of the center of $G$, and $L_t$ is a simple, connected and simply connected compact Lie group, $t \in T$, such that $\ker(p)$ is a zero-dimensional central subgroup of $Z_0(G) \times \prod \{L_t : t \in T\}$.

The above statement clearly shows that the classes of zero-dimensional groups, abelian groups and simple, simply connected Lie groups play a central role in the general theory of compact groups. Recently these classes of topological groups have been studied from the point of view of absolute extensors in dimension $n$ (see [4] for a comprehensive introduction into the theory of absolute extensors in dimension $n$). The following three statements show that such an approach is quite effective.
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Theorem B ([2]). The following conditions are equivalent for a zero-dimensional topological group $G$:

(a) $G$ is topologically equivalent to the product $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\tau \times \mathbb{Z}^\kappa$.
(b) $G$ is an AE(0)-space.

Theorem C ([6], Theorem E). The following conditions are equivalent for a compact abelian group $G$:

(a) $G$ is a torus group (both in topological and algebraic senses).
(b) $G$ is an AE(1)-compactum.

Theorem D ([5], Corollary 1). The following conditions are equivalent for a non-trivial compact group $G$:

(a) $G$ is a simple, connected and simply connected Lie group.
(b) $G$ is an AE(2)-group with $\pi_3(G) = \mathbb{Z}$.

The full classification problem for non-abelian (see Theorem C) and for non-simply connected compact AE(1)-groups remains open. On the other hand, the following two statements provide a complete classification of simply connected compact AE(1)-groups.

Theorem E ([5], Theorem C). The following conditions are equivalent for a compact group $G$:

(a) $G$ is a simply connected AE(1)-compactum.
(b) $G$ is an AE(2)-compactum.
(c) $G$ is an AE(3)-compactum.
(d) $G$ is a product of simple, connected and simply connected compact Lie groups.

Theorem F ([5], Corollary 2). There is no non-trivial compact AE(4)-group.

We complete this brief survey by pointing out that, as shown by the following statement, for locally compact groups the restriction of being an AE(0)-group is purely formal.

Theorem G (Pontryagin–Haydon, [14], [8]; [4]). Every locally compact group is an AE(0)-space.

Below we study AE(0)-groups. The class of AE(0)-groups contains the class of all (generally speaking, non-metrizable) locally compact groups (Theorem G) as well as the class of all Polish groups. Actually the class of Polish groups coincides with the class of metrizable AE(0)-groups and forms a foundation of the entire theory of AE(0)-groups. We hope that the results presented in Section 3 do indicate a potential for a non-trivial theory of AE(0)-groups which unifies and generalizes the theories of locally compact
and Polish groups (thus offering a possible approach to the corresponding question posed in [13]).

In Section 3 we present a spectral characterization of AE(0)-groups in terms of well ordered continuous inverse spectra (Theorem 3.4). This characterization states that a non-metrizable topological group $G$ of weight $\tau$ is an AE(0)-group if and only if it is the limit of a well ordered continuous inverse system $S_G = \{G_\alpha, p^{\alpha+1}_\alpha, \alpha < \tau \}$ of length $\tau$, consisting of AE(0)-groups $G_\alpha$ and 0-soft limit homomorphisms $p^{\alpha+1}_\alpha : G_{\alpha+1} \to G_\alpha, \alpha < \tau$, so that $G_0$ is a Polish group and each homomorphism $p^{\alpha+1}_\alpha, \alpha < \tau$, has a Polish kernel.

Obviously this result cannot be accepted as the one providing a satisfactory reduction of the non-metrizable case to the Polish case. Of course, everything is fine if the weight of $G$ is $\omega_1$—in such a case all $G_\alpha$’s, $\alpha < \omega_1$, (and not only the very first one, i.e. $G_0$) are indeed Polish. But if the weight of $G$ is greater than $\omega_1$, then all $G_\alpha$’s with $\alpha \geq \omega_1$ are non-metrizable.

In order to achieve our final goal and complete the reduction, we analyze 0-soft homomorphisms with Polish kernels between (generally speaking, non-metrizable) AE(0)-groups. A characterization of such homomorphisms, which is recorded in Proposition 3.6, states that a 0-soft homomorphism $f : G \to L$ of AE(0)-groups has a Polish kernel if and only if there exists a pullback diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\
\downarrow{p} & & \downarrow{q} \\
G_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & L_0
\end{array}
$$

where $G_0$ and $L_0$ are Polish groups and the homomorphisms $p : G \to G_0$ and $q : L \to L_0$ are 0-soft. Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.6 together complete the required reduction.

Polish groups and their actions have been extensively studied in a variety of directions (ergodic theory, group representations, operator algebras; see [1] for further discussion and references). Some of the central themes of the theory of Polish groups, counterparts of which (for arbitrary AE(0)-groups) are considered below, are the existence of universal groups, the existence of universal actions and characterization of closed subgroups of the symmetric group $S_\infty$.

In Section 4 we use the above mentioned spectral characterizations and present extensions of some of these results to AE(0)-groups. We prove the existence of universal AE(0)-groups of a given weight (Proposition 4.1) and the existence of universal actions of AE(0)-groups of a given weight on compact AE(0)-spaces of the same weight (Theorem 4.2).

Theorem 4.4 characterizes AE(0)-groups which are isomorphic to closed subgroups of powers $S_\infty^\infty$ of the symmetric group $S_\infty$, the group of all bijec-
tions of \( \mathbb{N} \) under the relative topology inherited from \( \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N} \). This result extends the corresponding observation [1, Theorem 1.5.1] for the group \( S_\infty \) itself. As a corollary (Corollary 4.5) we note that if a Polish group \( G \) can be embedded (as a closed subgroup) into \( S_\infty^\tau \) for some \( \tau \), then \( G \) can be embedded into \( S_\infty \) as well. In light of [7] this shows that there exist zero-dimensional Polish groups which cannot be embedded into \( S_\infty^\tau \) as closed subgroups for any cardinal number \( \tau \).

Finally we use Theorem 3.4 to prove (Theorem 4.8) that every AE(0)-group is Baire isomorphic to a product of Polish groups. In light of Theorem G this result appears to be new even for compact groups.

2. Preliminaries. All topological spaces below are assumed to be Tikhonov (i.e. completely regular and Hausdorff) and all maps (except in Subsection 4.3) are continuous. Modified Lebesgue dimension \( \dim \) is defined in terms of finite functionally open covers so that \( \dim X = \dim \beta X \) for any Tikhonov space \( X \) (\( \beta X \) denotes the Stone–Čech compactification of \( X \)). Definitions of concepts related to inverse spectra can be found in [4]. Finally, \( \mathbb{R} \) denotes the real line and \( \mathbb{Q} \) stands for the Hilbert cube.

2.1. Definitions of \( \text{AE}(n) \)-spaces and \( n \)-soft maps. A comprehensive introduction to the general theory of \( \text{AE}(n) \)-spaces and \( n \)-soft maps can be found in [4]. \( C(X) \) denotes the set of all continuous real-valued functions defined on \( X \).

**Definition 2.1.** A space \( X \) is called an absolute extensor in dimension \( n \) (briefly, \( \text{AE}(n) \)-space), \( n = 0, 1, \ldots \), if for each at most \( n \)-dimensional space \( Z \) and each subspace \( Z_0 \) of \( Z \) any map \( f : Z_0 \to X \) such that \( C(f)(C(X)) \subset \{ \varphi | z_0 : \varphi \in C(Z) \} \) can be extended to \( Z \).

For compact spaces this definition is equivalent to the standard one.

**Proposition 2.2.** A compact space \( X \) is an \( \text{AE}(n) \)-space if and only if for each at most \( n \)-dimensional compactum \( Z \) and for each closed subspace \( Z_0 \) of \( Z \) any map \( f : Z_0 \to X \) has an extension to \( Z \).

It is known [4, Chapter 6] that the class of metrizable AE(0)-spaces coincides with the class of Polish spaces. Every \( \text{AE}(0) \)-space is realcompact and has a countable Suslin number.

**Definition 2.3.** A map \( f : X \to Y \) between \( \text{AE}(n) \)-spaces is \( n \)-soft if for each at most \( n \)-dimensional realcompact space \( Z \), for its closed subspace \( Z_0 \), and for any two maps \( g : Z_0 \to X \) and \( h : Z \to Y \) such that \( f \circ g = h|Z_0 \) and \( C(g)(C(X)) \subset \{ \varphi | z_0 : \varphi \in C(Z) \} \), there exists a map \( k : Z \to X \) such that \( k|Z_0 = g \) and \( f \circ k = h \).

It is easy to check that \( X \) is an \( \text{AE}(n) \)-space if and only if the constant map \( X \to \{ \text{pt} \} \) is \( n \)-soft. It is important to note that every 0-soft map
between AE(0)-spaces is surjective and open ([4, Lemma 6.1.13 & Proposition 6.1.26]) and that for surjections between Polish spaces the converse of this fact is also true. Note also that every surjective homomorphism between Polish groups is open and 0-soft.

We say (see [4, Section 6.3]) that a map \( f : X \to Y \) has a Polish kernel if there exists a Polish space \( P \) such that \( X \) is \( C \)-embedded in the product \( Y \times P \) so that \( f \) coincides with the restriction \( \pi_Y | X \) of the projection \( \pi_Y : Y \times P \to Y \). Obviously any map between Polish spaces has a Polish kernel.

2.2. Set-theoretical preliminaries. Let \( A \) be a partially ordered directed set (i.e. for any two elements \( \alpha, \beta \in A \) there exists an element \( \gamma \in A \) such that \( \gamma \geq \alpha \) and \( \gamma \geq \beta \)). We say that a subset \( A_1 \subseteq A \) of \( A \) majorizes another subset \( A_2 \subseteq A \) of \( A \) if for each element \( \alpha_2 \in A_2 \) there exists an element \( \alpha_1 \in A_1 \) such that \( \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \). A subset which majorizes \( A \) is called cofinal in \( A \). A subset of \( A \) is said to be a chain if any two of its elements are comparable. The symbol \( \text{sup} B \), where \( B \subseteq A \), denotes the least upper bound of \( B \) (if such an element exists in \( A \)). Let now \( \tau \) be an infinite cardinal. A subset \( B \) of \( A \) is said to be \( \tau \)-closed in \( A \) if for each chain \( C \subseteq B \) with \( |C| \leq \tau \), we have \( \text{sup} C \in B \) whenever the element \( \text{sup} C \) exists in \( A \). Finally, a directed set \( A \) is said to be \( \tau \)-complete if for each chain \( B \) in \( A \) with \( |C| \leq \tau \), the element \( \text{sup} C \) exists in \( A \).

The standard example of a \( \tau \)-complete set can be obtained as follows. For an arbitrary set \( A \) let \( \exp A \) denote, as usual, the collection of all subsets of \( A \). There is a natural partial order on \( \exp A \): \( A_1 \geq A_2 \) if and only if \( A_1 \supseteq A_2 \). With this partial order \( \exp A \) becomes a directed set. If we consider only those subsets of \( A \) which have cardinality \( \leq \tau \), then the corresponding subcollection of \( \exp A \), denoted by \( \exp \tau A \), serves as a basic example of a \( \tau \)-complete set. The proofs of the following statements can be found in [4].

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( \{A_t : t \in T\} \) be a collection of \( \tau \)-closed cofinal subsets of a \( \tau \)-complete set \( A \). If \( |T| \leq \tau \), then \( \bigcap \{A_t : t \in T\} \) is also cofinal (in particular, non-empty) and \( \tau \)-closed in \( A \).

**Corollary 2.5.** For each subset \( B \) with \( |B| \leq \tau \) of a \( \tau \)-complete set \( A \) there exists an element \( \gamma \in A \) such that \( \gamma \geq \beta \) for each \( \beta \in B \).

**Proposition 2.6.** Let \( A \) be a \( \tau \)-complete set, \( L \subseteq A^2 \), and suppose the following three conditions are satisfied:

- **Existence:** For each \( \alpha \in A \) there exists \( \beta \in A \) such that \( (\alpha, \beta) \in L \).
- **Majorization:** If \( (\alpha, \beta) \in L \) and \( \gamma \geq \beta \), then \( (\alpha, \gamma) \in L \).
- **\( \tau \)-closedness:** Let \( \{\alpha_t : t \in T\} \) be a chain in \( A \) with \( |T| \leq \tau \). If \( (\alpha_t, \beta) \in L \) for some \( \beta \in A \) and each \( t \in T \), then \( (\alpha, \beta) \in L \) where \( \alpha = \text{sup}\{\alpha_t : t \in T\} \).

Then the set of all \( L \)-reflexive elements of \( A \) (an element \( \alpha \in A \) is \( L \)-reflexive if \( (\alpha, \alpha) \in L \)) is cofinal and \( \tau \)-closed in \( A \).

### 2.3. Baire sets and Baire isomorphisms

Recall that elements of the \( \sigma \)-algebra generated by functionally open subsets of a space \( X \) are called \textit{Baire sets} of \( X \). A map \( f : X \to Y \) is a \textit{Baire map} if the inverse images of Baire sets are Baire sets. A bijection \( f : X \to Y \) is a \textit{Baire isomorphism} if both \( f \) and \( f^{-1} \) are Baire maps.

The following statement ([3, Proposition 2.5]) is used in the proof of Theorem 4.8.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \( f : X \to Y \) be a 0-soft map of \( \text{AE}(0) \)-spaces. Then there exists a Baire map \( g : Y \to X \) such that \( f \circ g = \text{id}_Y \).

If \( f \) is a continuous homomorphism of Polish groups, then the existence of such a \( g \) has been observed by Dixmier [1, Theorem 1.2.4], [11, Theorem 12.17]).

### 3. \( \text{AE}(0) \)-groups and actions of \( \text{AE}(0) \)-groups—spectral representations

In this section we present spectral characterizations of \( \text{AE}(0) \)-groups. We also present a spectral description of actions of \( \text{AE}(0) \)-groups on \( \text{AE}(0) \)-spaces. An inverse spectrum \( S_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\} \) consisting of Polish groups \( G_\alpha \), \( \alpha \in A \), and 0-soft limit homomorphisms \( p_\alpha : G \to G_\alpha \), \( \alpha \in A \).

**Proposition 3.1.** Each topological \( \text{AE}(0) \)-group \( G \) is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of a factorizing \( \omega \)-spectrum \( S_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\} \) consisting of Polish groups \( G_\alpha \), \( \alpha \in A \), and 0-soft limit homomorphisms \( p_\alpha : G \to G_\alpha \), \( \alpha \in A \).

**Proof.** By [4, Theorem 6.3.2 or Proposition 6.3.5], the space \( G \) can be represented as the limit space of a factorizing \( \omega \)-spectrum \( S = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, \hat{A}\} \) consisting of Polish spaces (i.e. \( \text{AE}(0) \)-spaces of countable weight) and 0-soft limit projections. Let us show that this spectrum contains an \( \omega \)-closed cofinal subspectrum consisting of topological groups and limit projections that are (continuous) homomorphisms.

Let \( \mu : G \times G \to G \) and \( \nu : G \to G \) be the continuous operations of multiplication and inversion coming from the topological group structure of \( G \). We apply [4, Theorem 1.3.6] to both \( \mu \) and \( \nu \). First consider the multiplication. Clearly, \( G \times G \) is the limit space of the spectrum

\[
S \times S = \{G_\alpha \times G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta \times p_\alpha^\beta, \hat{A}\}.
\]

All projections of the spectrum \( S \times S \) are 0-soft, and hence, by [4, Proposition 6.1.26], open. The Suslin number of the product \( G \times G \) is obviously countable (see [4, Proposition 6.1.8]). Consequently, by [4, Proposition 1.3.3],

...
the spectrum $S \times S$ is factorizing. Next we apply [4, Theorem 1.3.6] to the spectra $S \times S$, $S$ and to the map $\mu$ between their limit spaces. Then we get an $\omega$-closed cofinal subset $A_\mu$ of $\tilde{A}$ such that for each $\alpha \in A_\mu$ there exists a continuous map $\mu_\alpha : G_\alpha \times G_\alpha \to G_\alpha$ such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times G & \xrightarrow{\mu} & G \\
p_\alpha \times p_\alpha & & \downarrow p_\alpha \\
G_\alpha \times G_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\mu_\alpha} & G_\alpha
\end{array}
$$

commutes. In other words, $p_\alpha \circ \mu = \mu_\alpha \circ (p_\alpha \times p_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in A_\mu$.

Next consider the continuous inversion $\nu : G \to G$. By [4, Theorem 1.3.6], applied to $\nu$ and the spectrum $S$, there exists an $\omega$-closed cofinal subset $A_\nu$ of $\tilde{A}$ such that for each $\alpha \in A_\nu$ there exists a continuous map $\nu_\alpha : G_\alpha \to G_\alpha$ such that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{\nu} & G \\
p_\alpha & & \downarrow p_\alpha \\
G_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\nu_\alpha} & G_\alpha
\end{array}
$$

commutes. In other words, $p_\alpha \circ \nu = \nu_\alpha \circ p_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in A_\nu$.

By Proposition 2.4, $A = A_\mu \cap A_\nu$ is still $\omega$-closed and cofinal in $\tilde{A}$. This guarantees that $G$ is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of the factorizing $\omega$-spectrum $S_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\beta, A\}$. Also for each $\alpha \in A$ we have two maps

$$
\mu_\alpha : G_\alpha \times G_\alpha \to G_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_\alpha : G_\alpha \to G_\alpha
$$

which allow us to define:

(a) a continuous multiplication operation on $G_\alpha$ by letting

$$
x_\alpha \cdot y_\alpha = \mu_\alpha(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \quad \text{for each } (x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in G_\alpha \times G_\alpha;
$$

(b) a continuous inversion on $G_\alpha$ by letting

$$
x_\alpha^{-1} = \nu_\alpha(x_\alpha) \quad \text{for each } x_\alpha \in G_\alpha.
$$

It is easy to see that $G_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, becomes a topological group with respect to these operations. Moreover, for each $\alpha \in A$ the limit projection $p_\alpha : G \to G_\alpha$ becomes a homomorphism with respect to the above defined operations. \hfill \blacksquare

**Corollary 3.2.** Let $G$ be an AE(0)-group such that $\dim G \leq n$. Then $G$ is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of a factorizing $\omega$-spectrum $S_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\beta, A\}$ consisting of at most $n$-dimensional Polish groups $G_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$, and $0$-soft limit homomorphisms $p_\alpha : G \to G_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$. 
Proof. By Proposition 3.1, \( G = \lim S_1 \), where \( S_1 = \{ G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A_1 \} \) is a factorizing \( \omega \)-spectrum consisting of Polish groups and 0-soft limit homomorphisms. By [4, Theorem 1.3.10], \( G = \lim S_2 \), where \( S_2 = \{ G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A_2 \} \) is a factorizing \( \omega \)-spectrum consisting of at most \( n \)-dimensional Polish spaces. It follows directly from the proofs of Proposition 3.1 and [4, Theorem 1.3.10] that both indexing sets \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) can be assumed to be closed \( \omega \)-complete subsets of an \( \omega \)-complete set \( B \). By Proposition 2.4, \( A = A_1 \cap A_2 \) is still a cofinal \( \omega \)-closed subset of \( B \). Consequently, the factorizing \( \omega \)-spectrum \( S_G = \{ G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A \} \) consists of at most \( n \)-dimensional Polish groups and 0-soft limit homomorphisms. It only remains to note that \( G = \lim S_G \).

Corollary 3.3. Let \( \tau \geq \omega \). Every AE(0)-group of weight \( \tau \geq \omega \) is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to a closed \( C \)-embedded subgroup of the product \( \prod \{ G_t : t \in T \} \), where \( G_t, t \in T, \) is a Polish group and \( |T| = \tau \).

Proof. Let \( G \) be an AE(0)-group of weight \( \tau \). If \( \tau = \omega \), then \( G \) is Polish (see [4, Chapter 6]) and consequently there is nothing to prove.

If \( \tau > \omega \), then by Proposition 3.1, \( G \) is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of a factorizing \( \omega \)-spectrum \( S_G = \{ G_t, p_t^\beta, T \} \) with \( |T| = \tau \). Clearly \( \lim S_G \) is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of the product \( \prod \{ G_t : t \in A \} \). Since the spectrum \( S_G \) is factorizing, it follows that \( \lim S_G \) is \( C \)-embedded in \( \prod \{ G_t : t \in T \} \).

In light of Corollary 3.3 it is interesting to know whether every closed \( C \)-embedded subgroup of the product of Polish groups is an AE(0)-group. Another question related to Corollary 3.3 is whether AE(0)-groups can be characterized as closed \( C \)-embedded subgroups \( G \) of products of Polish groups such that the projection of \( G \) onto every countable face of the product is closed.

Theorem 3.4. Let \( G \) be a topological group of weight \( \tau > \omega \). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) \( G \) is an AE(0)-group.
(b) There exists a well ordered inverse spectrum \( S_G = \{ G_\alpha, p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \tau \} \) with the following properties:

1. \( G_\alpha \) is an AE(0)-group and \( p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} : G_{\alpha+1} \to G_\alpha \) is a 0-soft homomorphism with Polish kernel, \( \alpha < \tau \).
2. If \( \beta < \tau \) is a limit ordinal, then the diagonal product

\[ \triangle \{ p_\alpha^\beta : \alpha < \beta \} : G_\beta \to \lim \{ G_\alpha, p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \alpha < \beta \} \]

is a topological and algebraic isomorphism.
3. \( G \) is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to \( \lim S_G \).
4. \( G_0 \) is a Polish group.
Proof. (a)⇒(b). By Corollary 3.3, we may assume that \( G \) is a closed \( C \)-embedded subgroup of a product \( \prod \{ X_a : a \in A \} \), \(|A| = \tau \), of Polish groups \( X_a, a \in A \). There exists a proper, functionally closed 0-invertible map \( f : Y \to \prod \{ X_a : a \in A \} \), where \( Y \) is a spectrally complete (see [4, p. 247]) realcompact space of weight \( \tau \) and dimension \( \dim Y = 0 \) (see [4, Proposition 6.2.13] for details). Consider the inverse image \( f^{-1}(G) \subseteq Y \) of \( G \) and the map \( f|f^{-1}(G) \to G \). Since \( G \) is \( C \)-embedded in the product \( \prod \{ X_a : a \in A \} \), since \( \dim Y = 0 \) and since \( G \), according to (a), is an \( \text{AE}(0) \)-space, there exists a map \( g : Y \to G \) such that \( g|f^{-1}(G) = f|f^{-1}(G) \).

Denote by

\[
\pi_B : \prod \{ X_a : a \in A \} \to \prod \{ X_a : a \in B \}
\]

and

\[
\pi^B_C : \prod \{ X_a : a \in B \} \to \prod \{ X_a : a \in C \}
\]

the natural projections onto the corresponding subproducts \((C \subseteq B \subseteq A)\). We call a subset \( B \subseteq A \) admissible (cf. the proof of [4, Theorem 6.3.1]) if

\[
\pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x))) = \pi_B(x)
\]

for each \( x \in \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G)) \). We need the following properties of admissible sets.

**Claim 1.** The union of every collection of admissible sets is admissible.

Indeed, let \( \{ B_t : t \in T \} \) be a collection of admissible sets and \( B = \bigcup \{ B_t : t \in T \} \). Let \( x \in \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G)) \). Clearly \( x \in \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G)) \) for each \( t \in T \) and consequently

\[
\pi_{B_t}(g(f^{-1}(x))) = \pi_{B_t}(x) \quad \text{for each } t \in T.
\]

Obviously, \( \pi_B(x) \in \pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x))) \) and it therefore suffices to show that the set \( \pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x))) \) contains only one point. Assuming that there is a point \( y \in \pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x))) \) such that \( y \neq \pi_B(x) \) we conclude (remembering that \( B = \bigcup \{ B_t : t \in T \} \)) that there must be an index \( t \in T \) such that \( \pi_{B_t}(y) \neq \pi_{B_t}(\pi_B(x)) \). But this is impossible:

\[
\pi_{B_t}(y) \in \pi_{B_t}(\pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x)))) = \pi_{B_t}(g(f^{-1}(x))) = \pi_{B_t}(x) = \pi_{B_t}(\pi_B(x)).
\]

**Claim 2.** If \( B \subseteq A \) is admissible, then the restriction \( \pi_B|G : G \to \pi_B(G) \) is 0-soft.

Let \( \varphi : Z \to \pi_B(G) \) and \( \varphi_0 : Z_0 \to G \) be defined on a realcompact space \( Z \) with \( \dim Z = 0 \) and its closed subset \( Z_0 \) respectively. Assume that \( \pi_B \varphi_0 = \varphi|Z_0 \) and \( C(\varphi_0)(C(G)) \subseteq C(Z)|Z_0 \). We wish to construct a map
Then there exists a map we have required. Since, according to our choice, all $X_a$’s are AE(0)-spaces (recall that each $X_a$ is a Polish space), so is the product $\prod \{X_a : a \in A - B\}$. This implies the 0-softness of the projection $\pi_B$ and hence of its restriction

$$\pi_B|_{\pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G))} : \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G)) \to \pi_B(G).$$

Then there exists a map $\phi'' : Z \to \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G))$ such that $\phi''|Z_0 = \varphi_0$ and $\pi_B\phi'' = \varphi$. Since $f$ is 0-invertible (and $\dim Z = 0$), there exists a map $\phi' : Z \to Y$ such that $f\phi' = \phi''$. Now let $\phi = g\phi'$. Since $g|f^{-1}(G) = f|f^{-1}(G)$, we have $\varphi_0 = \phi|Z_0$. Finally, the admissibility of $B$ implies $\varphi = \pi_B\phi$ as required.

**Claim 3.** For each countable subset $C \subseteq A$ there exists a countable admissible subset $B \subseteq A$ such that $C \subseteq B$.

Since $w(Y) = \tau$ and $\dim Y = 0$, it follows (consult [4, Theorem 1.3.10]) that $Y$ can be represented as the limit space of a factorizing $\omega$-spectrum $S_Y = \{Y_B, q_C^B, \exp_\omega A\}$ consisting of zero-dimensional Polish spaces $Y_B$, $B \in \exp_\omega A$, and continuous surjections $q_C^B : Y_B \to Y_C$, $C \subseteq B$, $C, B \in \exp_\omega A$. Consider also the standard factorizing $\omega$-spectrum $S_X = \{\prod\{X_a : a \in B\}, \pi_C^B, \exp_\omega A\}$ consisting of the countable subproducts of the product $\prod\{X_a : a \in A\}$ and the corresponding natural projections. Obviously the full product coincides with the limit of $S_X$. One more factorizing $\omega$-spectrum arises naturally. This is the spectrum $S_G = \{\pi_B(G), \pi_C^B|\pi_B(G), \exp_\omega A\}$ whose limit coincides with $G$.

Consider the map $f : \lim S_Y \to \lim S_X$. By [4, Theorem 1.3.4], there is a cofinal $\omega$-closed subset $T_f$ of $\exp_\omega A$ such that for each $B \in T_f$ there is a map $f_B : Y_B \to \prod\{X_a : a \in B\}$ such that $f_B \circ q_B = \pi_B \circ f$. Moreover, these maps form a morphism

$$\{f_B ; B \in T_f\} : S_Y \to S_X$$

whose limit coincides with $f$. Since $f$ is proper and functionally closed, we may assume (see [4, Proposition 6.2.9]), considering a smaller cofinal $\omega$-closed subset of $T_f$ if necessary, that the above morphism is bicommutative. This simply means that $q_B f^{-1}(K) = f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(K))$ for any $B \in T_f$ and any closed subset $K$ of the product $\prod\{X_a : a \in A\}$.
Similarly, applying [4, Theorem 1.3.4] to the map \( g : \lim S_Y \to \lim S_G \), we obtain a cofinal \( \omega \)-closed subset \( T_g \) of \( \exp \omega A \) and the associated morphism

\[
\{ g_B : Y_B \to \pi_B(G); B \in T_g \} : S_Y \to S_G
\]

whose limit coincides with \( g \).

By Proposition 2.4, the intersection \( T = T_f \cap T_g \) is still a cofinal \( \omega \)-closed subset of \( \exp \omega A \). Hence it suffices to show that each \( B \in T \) is an admissible subset of \( A \). Let \( x \in \pi_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G)) \). First observe that the bicommutativty of the morphism associated with \( T_f \) implies that \( q_B(f^{-1}(x)) = f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(x)) \).

Since \( f_B \) and \( g_B \) coincide on \( f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(G)) \) we have

\[
\pi_B(g(f^{-1}(x))) = g_B(q_B(f^{-1}(x))) = g_B(f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(x))) = f_B(f_B^{-1}(\pi_B(x))) = \pi_B(x)
\]

as required.

**Claim 4.** If \( C \) and \( B \) are admissible subsets of \( A \) and \( C \subseteq B \), then the map \( \pi_B^B|\pi_B(G) : \pi_B(B) \to \pi_C(G) \) is 0-soft.

This property follows from Claim 2 and [4, Lemma 6.1.15].

Having all the needed properties of admissible subsets established, we proceed as follows. Since \( |A| = \tau \) we can write \( A = \{ a_\alpha : \alpha < \tau \} \). By Claim 3, each \( a_\alpha \in A \) is contained in a countable admissible subset \( B_\alpha \subseteq A \). Let \( A_\alpha = \bigcup \{ B_\beta : \beta \leq \alpha \} \). We use these sets to define a transfinite inverse spectrum \( S = \{ G_\alpha, p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \tau \} \) as follows. Let \( G_\alpha = \pi_{A_\alpha}(G) \) and \( p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} = \pi_{A_{\alpha+1}}|G_{\alpha+1} \) for each \( \alpha < \tau \). All the required properties of the spectrum \( S_G \) are satisfied by construction.

Finally, (b) \( \Rightarrow \) (a) immediately follows from [4, Proposition 6.3.4].

**Remark 3.5.** Actually the 0-soft homomorphism \( p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} : G_{\alpha+1} \to G_\alpha \), \( \alpha < \tau \), in Theorem 3.4(b)(1) has Polish kernel in a somewhat stronger sense than in the original definition of Subsection 2.1. Namely the Polish space \( P \) (from the definition), such that \( G_{\alpha+1} \) admits a \( C \)-embedding into the product \( G_\alpha \times P \) in such a way that \( p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \) coincides with the restriction of the projection \( \pi_{G_\alpha} : G_\alpha \times P \to G_\alpha \), can be chosen to be a Polish group and the embedding of \( G_{\alpha+1} \to G_\alpha \times P \) can be assumed to be a homomorphism. Of course this implies that \( \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \), as a closed subgroup of \( P \), is itself a Polish group. It would be interesting to see whether the converse of this observation is also true, i.e. if it is true that if the kernel \( \ker p \) of a 0-soft homomorphism \( p : G \to L \) of AE(0)-groups is Polish, then \( p \) has a Polish kernel in the sense of Subsection 2.1.

Next we characterize 0-soft homomorphisms of AE(0)-groups with Polish kernels.
Proposition 3.6. A $0$-soft homomorphism $f : G \to L$ between AE(0)-groups has a Polish kernel if and only if there exist factorizing $\omega$-spectra $S_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$, $S_L = \{L_\alpha, q_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ consisting of Polish groups and $0$-soft limit homomorphisms, and a morphism $\{f_\alpha\} : S_G \to S_L$ consisting of $0$-soft homomorphisms, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $G = \lim S_G$, $L = \lim S_L$ and $f = \lim \{f_\alpha\}$.
2. All limit square diagrams generated by limit projections of the spectra $S_G$ and $S_L$, by elements of the morphism $\{f_\alpha\}$ and by the map $f$ are pullback squares.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, we may assume that both $G$ and $L$ are topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limits of factorizing $\omega$-spectra $S_G = \{G_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ and $S_L = \{L_\alpha, q_\alpha^\beta, A\}$, consisting of Polish groups and $0$-soft limit homomorphisms. By [4, Theorem 1.3.6], we may also assume that the map $f$ is the limit of a morphism $\{f_\alpha : G_\alpha \to L_\alpha; A\}$, consisting of continuous maps $f_\alpha : G_\alpha \to L_\alpha$. Since $f$ itself and all the limit projections $p_\alpha : G \to G_\alpha$ and $q_\alpha : L \to L_\alpha$ are group homomorphisms, it follows easily that so is $f_\alpha : G_\alpha \to L_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$. Finally since $f$ is $0$-soft and has a Polish kernel, it follows, by [4, Theorem 6.3.1(vi)], that all limit square diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G & \xrightarrow{f} & L \\
\downarrow{p_\alpha} & & \downarrow{q_\alpha} \\
G_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & L_\alpha
\end{array}
\]

generated by the limit projections of the spectra $S_G$ and $S_L$, by elements of the morphism $\{f_\alpha\}$ and by the map $f$, are pullback squares.

In Subsection 4.1, we consider actions of AE(0)-groups on AE(0)-spaces. The main tool is the following statement (see [4, Theorem 8.7.1]).

Proposition 3.7. Let $\lambda : G \times X \to X$ be a continuous action of an AE(0)-group $G$ on an AE(0)-space $X$. Suppose that $X$ is homeomorphic to the limit space of a factorizing $\omega$-spectrum $S_X = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ consisting of Polish spaces and $0$-soft limit projections. Suppose also that $G$ is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of a factorizing $\omega$-spectrum $S_G = \{G_\alpha, s_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ consisting of Polish groups and $0$-soft limit homomorphisms. Then $\lambda$ is the limit of “level actions”, i.e. $\lambda = \lim \lambda_\alpha$, where

$\\{\lambda_\alpha : G_\alpha \times X_\alpha \to X_\alpha, B\} : S_G|B \times S_X|B \to S_X|B$

is a morphism between the spectra $S_G|B \times S_X|B$ and $S_X|B$, and $B$ is a cofinal $\omega$-closed subset of the indexing set $A$. 
4. Applications

4.1. Universal AE(0)-groups and universal actions of AE(0)-groups. In this subsection we prove the existence of universal AE(0)-groups of a given weight as well as the existence of a universal action of an AE(0)-group of a given weight on a compact AE(0)-space of the same weight.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $\tau \geq \omega$. The class of AE(0)-groups of weight $\leq \tau$ contains a universal element. More formally, every AE(0)-group is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to a closed $C$-embedded subgroup of the power $(\text{Aut}(Q))^\tau$, where $\text{Aut}(Q)$ denotes the group of autohomeomorphisms of the Hilbert cube $Q$.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be an AE(0)-group of weight $\tau$. By Corollary 3.3, $G$ is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to a closed $C$-embedded subgroup of the product $\prod\{G_t : t \in T\}$, where $G_t$ is a Polish group for each $t \in T$ and $|T| = \tau$. By Uspenski’s theorem [15], $G_t$ can be identified with a closed subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q)$. Obviously $\prod\{G_t : t \in T\}$, and consequently $G$, is a closed $C$-embedded subgroup of $(\text{Aut}(Q))^\tau$. 

Let $\tau > \omega$. Clearly the AE(0)-group $(\text{Aut}(Q))^\tau$ (i.e. the $\tau$th power of the group $\text{Aut}(Q)$) acts continuously on the Tikhonov cube $Q^\tau$ via the natural action (“coordinatewise evaluation”)

$$\text{ev}_\tau : (\text{Aut}(Q))^\tau \times Q^\tau \to Q^\tau,$$

defined by letting

$$\text{ev}_\tau(\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}, \{q_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}) = \{g_\alpha(q_\alpha) : \alpha < \tau\}$$

for each $(\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}, \{q_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}) \in (\text{Aut}(Q))^\tau \times Q^\tau$.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\tau > \omega$. The action $\text{ev}_\tau : (\text{Aut}(Q))^\tau \times Q^\tau \to Q^\tau$ is universal in the category of actions of AE(0)-groups of weight $\tau$ on compact AE(0)-spaces of weight $\tau$. More formally, let $\lambda : G \times X \to X$ be a continuous action of an AE(0)-group $G$ of weight $\tau$ on a compact AE(0)-space $X$ of weight $\tau$. Then there exists a topological and algebraic embedding $i_G : G \to (\text{Aut}(Q))^\tau$ with closed image and an embedding $i_X : X \to Q^\tau$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(Aut(Q))^\tau \times Q^\tau & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_\tau} & Q^\tau \\
\uparrow i_G \times i_X & & \uparrow i_X \\
G \times X & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & X
\end{array}$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1, $G$ can be represented as the limit of a factorizing $\omega$-spectrum $S_G = \{G_\alpha, s_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ consisting of Polish groups and 0-soft limit homomorphisms. Similarly, by [4, Proposition 6.3.5], $X$ can be represented as the limit space of a factorizing $\omega$-spectrum $S_X = \{X_\alpha, p_\alpha^\beta, A\}$ consisting
of metrizable compacta and 0-soft limit projections. Without loss of gener-
ality we may assume that these spectra $S_G$ and $S_X$ have the same indexing
set $A$ and $|A| = \tau$. By Proposition 3.7, the given action $\lambda : G \times X \to X$ is
the limit of level actions, i.e. $\lambda = \lim \lambda_\alpha$, where
$$\{\lambda_\alpha : G_\alpha \times X_\alpha \to X_\alpha, B\} : S_G|B \times S_X|B \to S_X|B$$
is a morphism between the spectra $S_G|B \times S_X|B$ and $S_X|B$, and $B$ is a
cofinal $\omega$-closed subset of the indexing set $A$. We may also assume that
$|B| = \tau$.

Since $G = \lim S_G|B$ it follows that the diagonal product
$$s = \triangle \{s_\alpha : G \to G_\alpha, \alpha \in B\} : G \to \prod \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}$$
is a topological and algebraic isomorphism with a closed image. Similarly
the diagonal product
$$p = \triangle \{p_\alpha : X \to X_\alpha, \alpha \in B\} : X \to \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}$$
is an embedding. Consider also the product action
$$\tilde{\lambda} : \prod \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in B\} \times \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in B\} \to \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}$$
defined by letting
$$\tilde{\lambda}(\{g_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}, \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}) = \{\lambda_\alpha(g_\alpha, x_\alpha) : \alpha \in B\}$$
for each $(\{g_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}, \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}) \in \prod \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in B\} \times \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}$.
Note that $\tilde{\lambda} \circ (s \times p) = p \circ \lambda$, i.e. the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\prod \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in B\} \times \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in B\} \\
\uparrow s \times p \\
G \times X \\
\downarrow \lambda \\
\uparrow p \\
\prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}
\end{array}$$

Since for each $\alpha \in B$ the group $G_\alpha$ is Polish, it follows by [12] (see also
[1, Theorem 2.6.7]) that there exist a topological and algebraic embedding
$j_\alpha : G_\alpha \to \operatorname{Aut}(Q_\alpha)$ with closed image and an embedding $i_\alpha : X_\alpha \to Q_\alpha$
(here $Q_\alpha$ denotes a copy of the Hilbert cube $Q$) such that $\operatorname{ev}_\alpha \circ (j_\alpha \times i_\alpha) = i_\alpha \circ \lambda_\alpha$, i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c}
\operatorname{Aut}(Q_\alpha) \times Q_\alpha \\
\uparrow j_\alpha \times i_\alpha \\
G_\alpha \times X_\alpha \\
\downarrow \lambda_\alpha \\
\uparrow i_\alpha \\
X_\alpha
\end{array}$$

commutes for each $\alpha \in B$. Here $\operatorname{ev}_\alpha : \operatorname{Aut}(Q_\alpha) \times Q_\alpha \to Q_\alpha$ is the evaluation
action, i.e. $\operatorname{ev}_\alpha(g_\alpha, x_\alpha) = g_\alpha(x_\alpha)$ for each $(g_\alpha, x_\alpha) \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q_\alpha) \times Q_\alpha$. Let
$$j = \bigtimes \{j_\alpha : \alpha \in B\} : \prod \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in B\} \to \prod \{\operatorname{Aut}(Q_\alpha) : \alpha \in B\}$$
and
\[ i = \bigtimes \{ i_\alpha : \alpha \in B \} : \prod \{ X_\alpha : \alpha \in B \} \to \prod \{ Q_\alpha : \alpha \in B \}. \]

Finally consider the commutative diagram
\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\prod \{ \text{Aut}(Q_\alpha) : \alpha \in B \} \times \prod \{ Q_\alpha : \alpha \in B \} & \xrightarrow{\times \{ \text{ev}_\alpha : \alpha \in B \}} & \prod \{ Q_\alpha : \alpha \in B \} \\
\downarrow j \times i & & \downarrow i \\
\prod \{ G_\alpha : \alpha \in B \} \times \prod \{ X_\alpha : \alpha \in B \} & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \prod \{ X_\alpha : \alpha \in B \} \\
\downarrow s \times p & & \downarrow p \\
G \times X & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & X
\end{array} \]

and note that since \(|B| = \tau\) the upper horizontal arrow is actually the action \(\text{ev}_\tau : (\text{Aut}(Q))^\tau \times Q^\tau \to Q^\tau\). Clearly it suffices to let \(i_G = (j \times i) \circ (s \times p)\) and \(i_X = i \circ p\). This completes the proof. \(\blacksquare\)

It would be very interesting to prove that for an AE(0)-group \(G\) of weight \(\tau > \omega\) the category of AE(0)-spaces (of weight \(\tau\)) admitting actions of the group \(G\) and their \(G\)-maps contains a universal object. For \(\tau = \omega\) this fact has recently been proved in [9].

4.2. Closed subgroups of powers of the symmetric group \(S_\infty\). The following result gives an embeddability criterion into the symmetric group \(S_\infty\), the group of all bijections of \(\mathbb{N}\) under the relative topology inherited from \(\mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}\). It is important to note [7] that there exist zero-dimensional Polish groups which cannot be embedded into \(S_\infty\) as closed subgroups.

**Theorem 4.3 ([1]).** Let \(G\) be a Polish group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) \(G\) is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of \(S_\infty\);

(ii) \(G\) admits a (countable) neighborhood basis at the identity consisting of open subgroups;

(iii) \(G\) admits a (countable) basis closed under left multiplication (or a countable basis closed under right multiplication);

(iv) \(G\) admits a compatible left-invariant ultrametric.

If a topological group \(G\) has countable Suslin number and admits a neighborhood basis at the identity consisting of open subgroups, then \(G\) is isomorphic to a topological subgroup of \(S_\infty^\tau\), where \(\tau = w(G)\). To see this consider left actions of \(G\) on countable discrete spaces of the form \(G/H\), where \(H\) is an open subgroup of \(G\). If \(G\) is Raïkov-complete, then \(G\) is
closed in $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\tau$. Since AE(0)-groups are Raïkov-complete and have countable Suslin number, we obtain (1) the following result.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let $\tau \geq 1$ be a cardinal number. The following conditions are equivalent for any topological AE(0)-group $G$ of weight $\tau \geq \omega$:

(i) $G$ is isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\tau$;
(ii) $G$ admits a neighborhood basis at the identity consisting of open subgroups.

**Corollary 4.5.** Let $\tau \geq 2$. The following conditions are equivalent for any Polish group $G$:

(i) $G$ is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\tau$;
(ii) $G$ is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\tau$.

**Corollary 4.6.** There exists a zero-dimensional Polish group which is not topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\tau$ for any cardinal number $\tau$.

**Proof.** It is known [7] that there exists a zero-dimensional Polish group $G$ which is not topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\infty$. By Corollary 4.5, $G$ cannot be topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_\infty^\tau$ for any cardinal $\tau \geq 2$. ■

4.3. **Baire isomorphisms.** The main result of this subsection (Theorem 4.8) allows us to reduce in many instances (descriptive) set-theoretical considerations of general AE(0)-groups to those for Polish groups.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a 0-soft homomorphism between AE(0)-groups. Then there exists a Baire isomorphism $h : Y \times \ker f \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ h = \pi_Y$, where $\pi_Y : X \times \ker f \rightarrow Y$ stands for the projection onto the first coordinate.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.7, there exists a Baire map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$. The required Baire isomorphism $h : Y \times \ker f \rightarrow X$ (not a homomorphism unless $g$ is a homomorphism itself) can now be defined by letting

$h(y, a) = g(y) \cdot a$ for each $(y, a) \in Y \times \ker f$,

where $\cdot$ denotes the multiplication operation in $X$. ■

**Theorem 4.8.** Every AE(0)-group is Baire isomorphic to a product of Polish groups.

**Proof.** Let $X$ be an AE(0)-group. If $w(X) = \omega$, then $X$ itself is Polish and there is nothing to prove.

(1) The proof presented here has been suggested by the referee and is significantly shorter than the original one.
Let now \( w(X) = \tau > \omega \). According to Theorem 3.4, \( X \) is topologically and algebraically isomorphic to the limit of a well ordered continuous spectrum \( S_X = \{ X_\alpha, p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}, \tau \} \) such that \( X_0 \) is a Polish group and the 0-soft homomorphism \( p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} : X_{\alpha+1} \to X_\alpha \) has a Polish kernel for each \( \alpha < \tau \).

Our goal is to prove that \( X \) is Baire isomorphic to the product \( X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} : \alpha < \tau \} \). We proceed by induction. By Lemma 4.7, there exists a Baire isomorphism \( h_1 : X_0 \times \ker p_0^{1} \to X_1 \) such that \( p_0^1 \circ h_1 = \pi_{X_0} \). Suppose that for each \( \alpha \), where \( 1 \leq \alpha < \beta < \tau \), we have already constructed a Baire isomorphism \( h_\alpha : X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \} \to X_\alpha \) in such a way that

1. If \( \alpha + 1 < \beta \), then the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha + 1 \} & \xrightarrow{id \times \pi^{\alpha+1}} & \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha} & X_\alpha \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \} & \xrightarrow{id} & \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha} & X_\alpha
\end{array}
\]

commutes, where

\[
\pi^{\alpha+1}_\alpha : \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha + 1 \} = \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \} \times X_\alpha \to \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \}
\]

is the natural projection.

2. \( h_\alpha = \lim \{ h_\gamma : \gamma < \alpha \} \) whenever \( \alpha < \beta \) is a limit ordinal.

We now construct a Baire isomorphism \( h_\beta : X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \beta \} \to X_\beta \). If \( \beta \) is a limit ordinal, then we let \( h_\beta = \lim \{ h_\alpha : \alpha < \beta \} \). If \( \beta = \alpha + 1 \), then consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \}) \times \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha \times \text{id}} & X_\alpha \times \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{X_\alpha} \times \pi^{\alpha+1}} & X_\alpha \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha} & X_\alpha \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \} & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha} & X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{id_{X_\alpha}} & X_\alpha
\end{array}
\]

where

(a) \( \text{id} : \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \to \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \) stands for the identity map;

(b) \( \pi_1 : (X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \}) \times \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \to X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha \} \)

denotes the projection onto the first coordinate and

(c) \( h : X_\alpha \times \ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} \to X_{\alpha+1} \) is a Baire isomorphism whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 4.7.

The required Baire isomorphism \( h_{\alpha+1} : X_0 \times \prod \{ \ker p_\delta^{\delta+1} : \delta < \alpha + 1 \} \to X_{\alpha+1} \) can now be defined as the composition \( h_{\alpha+1} = h \circ (h_\alpha \times \text{id}) \). This
completes the induction and finishes the construction of Baire isomorphisms $h_\alpha$, $\alpha < \tau$. It is now easy to see that

$$h = \lim\{h_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\} : X_0 \times \prod\{\ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1} : \alpha < \tau\} \to X$$

is the required Baire isomorphism. Note here that $X_0$ as well as $\ker p_\alpha^{\alpha+1}$, $\alpha < \tau$, are Polish groups. The proof is complete. ■

The author is grateful to the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.
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