

## Kaczmarz algorithm with relaxation in Hilbert space

by

RYSZARD SZWARC and GRZEGORZ ŚWIDERSKI (Wrocław)

**Abstract.** We study the relaxed Kaczmarz algorithm in Hilbert space. The connection with the non-relaxed algorithm is examined. In particular we give sufficient conditions when relaxation leads to the convergence of the algorithm independently of the relaxation coefficients.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a linearly dense sequence of unit vectors in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned}x_0 &= \langle x, e_0 \rangle e_0, \\x_n &= x_{n-1} + \langle x - x_{n-1}, e_n \rangle e_n.\end{aligned}$$

The formula is called the *Kaczmarz algorithm* ([4]).

In this work we fix a sequence  $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of relaxation coefficients so that  $0 < \lambda_n < 2$  for any  $n$ . Then we define

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned}x_0 &= \lambda_0 \langle x, e_0 \rangle e_0, \\x_n &= x_{n-1} + \lambda_n \langle x - x_{n-1}, e_n \rangle e_n.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $Q_n$  denote the orthogonal projection onto the line  $\mathbb{C}e_n$  and let  $P_n = I - Q_n$ . Then (1.1) takes the form

$$(1.2) \quad x_n = x_{n-1} + \lambda_n Q_n(x - x_{n-1}).$$

The last formula can be transformed into

$$(1.3) \quad x - x_n = (I - \lambda_n Q_n)(x - x_{n-1}) = [(1 - \lambda_n)Q_n + P_n](x - x_{n-1}).$$

Define

$$(1.4) \quad R_n = (1 - \lambda_n)Q_n + P_n.$$

Clearly  $R_n$  is a contraction. Iterating (1.3) gives

$$x - x_n = R_n R_{n-1} \dots R_0 x.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 41A65.

*Key words and phrases*: Kaczmarz algorithm, Hilbert space, Gram matrix, relaxation, tight frame.

We are interested in determining when the algorithm converges, i.e.  $x_n \rightarrow x$  for any  $x$  in the space.

This is always satisfied in a finite-dimensional space for a periodic choice of vectors and relaxation coefficients. Indeed, let  $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$  and  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be  $N$ -periodic. For  $A = R_{N-1} \dots R_1 R_0$  it suffices to show that  $A^n$  tends to zero. We claim that  $\|A\| < 1$ . If not, there is a vector  $x$  such that  $\|Ax\| = \|x\| = 1$ . Then  $\|R_0 x\| \geq \|Ax\| = \|x\|$ , hence  $R_0 x = x$ , which implies  $P_0 x = x$ . In the same way  $P_1 x = x, \dots, P_{N-1} x = x$ , which implies that  $x \perp e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{N-1}$ . As the vectors  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$  are linearly dense we get  $x = 0$ . The speed of convergence in the finite-dimensional case has been studied in [2].

In the infinite-dimensional case this work is a natural continuation of [6] where the non-relaxed algorithm was studied in detail. In particular the convergence was characterized in terms of the Gram matrix of the vectors  $e_n$ .

**2. Main formulas.** Define vectors  $g_n$  recursively by

$$(2.1) \quad g_n = \lambda_n e_n - \lambda_n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle e_n, e_k \rangle g_k$$

(see [5]). Then by straightforward induction it can be verified that

$$(2.2) \quad x_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle x, g_k \rangle e_k.$$

As the images of the projections  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  are mutually orthogonal, in view of (1.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x_n\|^2 &= (1 - \lambda_n)^2 \|Q_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2 + \|P_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2, \\ \|x - x_{n-1}\|^2 &= \|Q_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2 + \|P_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting gives

$$\|x - x_{n-1}\|^2 - \|x - x_n\|^2 = \lambda_n(2 - \lambda_n) \|Q_n(x - x_{n-1})\|^2.$$

By (1.2) we thus get

$$(2.3) \quad \|x - x_{n-1}\|^2 - \|x - x_n\|^2 = \frac{2 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2.$$

Now taking (2.2) into account results in

$$\|x - x_{n-1}\|^2 - \|x - x_n\|^2 = \frac{2 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n} |\langle x, g_n \rangle|^2.$$

By summing up the last formula we obtain

$$\|x\|^2 - \lim_n \|x - x_n\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{2 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n} |\langle x, g_n \rangle|^2.$$

Therefore the algorithm converges if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n} |\langle x, g_n \rangle|^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Define

$$h_n = \sqrt{\frac{2 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n}} g_n, \quad f_n = \sqrt{\frac{2 - \lambda_n}{\lambda_n}} e_n.$$

Then (2.1) takes the form

$$(2.5) \quad h_n = f_n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2 - \lambda_k} \langle f_n, f_k \rangle h_k.$$

In view of (2.4) the algorithm converges if and only if

$$(2.6) \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle x, h_n \rangle|^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The last condition states that  $\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a so-called *tight frame* (see [1]; cf. [6]). Equivalently the sequence  $h_n$  is linearly dense and the Gram matrix of the vectors  $h_n$  is a projection.

We are now going to describe the Gram matrix of the vectors  $h_n$  in more detail.

Define the lower triangular matrix  $M_\lambda$  by the formula

$$(2.7) \quad (M_\lambda)_{nk} = \frac{1}{2 - \lambda_k} \langle f_n, f_k \rangle, \quad n > k.$$

Thus (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$(2.8) \quad f_n = h_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (M_\lambda)_{nk} h_k.$$

Let  $U_\lambda$  be the lower triangular matrix defined by

$$(2.9) \quad (I + U_\lambda)(I + M_\lambda) = I.$$

Denote

$$(U_\lambda)_{nk} = c_{nk}, \quad n > k.$$

Then (2.7)–(2.9) imply

$$h_n = f_n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{nk} f_k.$$

Moreover setting  $c_{nn} = 1$  gives

$$(2.10) \quad \langle h_i, h_j \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^i c_{ik} \sum_{l=0}^j \overline{c_{jl}} \langle f_k, f_l \rangle = \langle (I + U_\lambda)F_\lambda(I + U_\lambda^*)\delta_j, \delta_i \rangle,$$

where  $F_\lambda$  denotes the Gram matrix of the vectors  $f_n$ , i.e.

$$(2.11) \quad (F_\lambda)_{nk} = \langle f_n, f_k \rangle,$$

and  $\delta_i$  is the standard basis in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . We will denote by  $D_{a_n}$  the diagonal matrix with the numbers  $a_n$  on the main diagonal. By definition of the vectors  $f_n$  and by (2.7) we have

$$(2.12) \quad F_\lambda = D_{(2-\lambda_n)\lambda_n} + M_\lambda D_{2-\lambda_n} + D_{2-\lambda_n} M_\lambda^*.$$

We have

LEMMA 2.1.

$$(2.13) \quad (I + U_\lambda)F_\lambda(I + U_\lambda^*) = I - (D_{1-\lambda_n} + U_\lambda D_{2-\lambda_n})(D_{1-\lambda_n} + D_{2-\lambda_n} U_\lambda^*).$$

*Proof.* The formula follows readily by using the relation

$$M_\lambda U_\lambda = U_\lambda M_\lambda = -M_\lambda - U_\lambda,$$

which comes from (2.9). ■

Now we are ready to state one of the main results.

THEOREM 2.2. *The relaxed Kaczmarz algorithm defined by (1.1) is convergent if and only if the matrix  $V_\lambda := D_{1-\lambda_n} + U_\lambda D_{2-\lambda_n}$  is a partial isometry.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 the operator  $V_\lambda$  is a contraction. Again by Lemma 2.1 and (2.10) we get

$$\langle h_i, h_j \rangle = \langle (I - V_\lambda V_\lambda^*)\delta_j, \delta_i \rangle.$$

From the discussion after formula (2.6) we know that the algorithm converges if and only if the Gram matrix of the vectors  $h_i$  is a projection. But the latter is equivalent to  $V_\lambda$  being a partial isometry. ■

**3. Relaxed versus non-relaxed algorithm.** For a constant sequence  $\lambda \equiv 1$  let  $M = M_1$  and  $U = U_1$ . From the definition of  $M_\lambda$  we get

$$(3.1) \quad M_\lambda = D_{\sqrt{\lambda_n(2-\lambda_n)}} M D_{\sqrt{\lambda_n/(2-\lambda_n)}}.$$

We would like to have a similar relation for  $V_\lambda$  (see Thm. 2.2). Clearly for  $\lambda \equiv 1$  we have  $V_1 = U$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be diagonal matrices with non-zero elements on the main diagonal. Let  $M, \widetilde{M}, U$  and  $\widetilde{U}$  be lower triangular matrices so that  $\widetilde{M} = D_1 M D_2$  and*

$$(I + M)(I + U) = I, \quad (I + \widetilde{M})(I + \widetilde{U}) = I.$$

Then

$$\widetilde{U} = D_1 U [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_2.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$M = -U(I + U)^{-1}, \quad \tilde{U} = -\widetilde{M}(I + \widetilde{M})^{-1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U} &= -D_1 M D_2 (I + D_1 M D_2)^{-1} = -D_1 M (I + D_1 D_2 M)^{-1} D_2 \\ &= D_1 U (I + U)^{-1} [I - D_1 D_2 U (I + U)^{-1}]^{-1} D_2 \\ &= D_1 U [(I + U) - D_1 D_2 U]^{-1} D_2 = D_1 U [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_2. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. *We have*

$$(3.2) \quad V_\lambda := D_{1-\lambda_n} + U_\lambda D_{2-\lambda_n} = (A_\lambda + B_\lambda U)(B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1},$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad A_\lambda = D_{(1-\lambda_n)/\sqrt{\lambda_n(2-\lambda_n)}}, \quad B_\lambda = D_{1/\sqrt{\lambda_n(2-\lambda_n)}}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$D_1 = D_{\sqrt{\lambda_n(2-\lambda_n)}}, \quad D_2 = D_{\sqrt{\lambda_n/(2-\lambda_n)}}.$$

By (3.1) we have  $M_\lambda = D_1 M D_2$ . We can apply Lemma 3.1 to get

$$U_\lambda = D_1 U [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_2.$$

Observe that  $D_1 D_2 = D_{\lambda_n}$  and  $D_2 D_{2-\lambda_n} = D_1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} V_\lambda &= I - D_1 D_2 + D_1 U [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_1 \\ &= \{D_1^{-1} (I - D_1 D_2) [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U] + D_1 U\} [I + (I - D_1 D_2) U]^{-1} D_1 \\ &= \{(D_1^{-1} - D_2) + [D_1^{-1} (I - D_1 D_2)^2 + D_1] U\} [D_1^{-1} + (D_1^{-1} - D_2) U]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof will be finished once we notice that

$$D_1^{-1} - D_2 = A_\lambda, \quad D_1^{-1} = B_\lambda, \quad (I - D_1 D_2)^2 + D_1^2 = I. \quad \blacksquare$$

Basing on Proposition 3.2 we can derive a simple formula for  $V_\lambda^* V_\lambda$  in terms of  $U$  and  $U^*$ .

MAIN THEOREM 3.3. *Assume the sequence  $\lambda_n$  satisfies  $\varepsilon \leq \lambda_n \leq 2 - \varepsilon$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Then*

$$I - V_\lambda^* V_\lambda = (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} (I - U^* U) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1},$$

where  $A_\lambda$  and  $B_\lambda$  are defined in (3.3). In particular the relaxed algorithm is convergent for any sequence  $\lambda_n$  with  $\varepsilon \leq \lambda_n \leq 2 - \varepsilon$  if  $U^* U = I$ .

*Proof.* Both operators  $A_\lambda$  and  $B_\lambda$  are bounded as soon as the coefficients  $\lambda_n$  stay away from 0 and 2. Moreover the operator  $B_\lambda + A_\lambda U$  is invertible as

$$B_\lambda + A_\lambda U = B_\lambda (I + D_{1-\lambda_n} U), \quad \|D_{1-\lambda_n}\| \leq 1 - \varepsilon < 1.$$

Notice that

$$B_\lambda^2 - A_\lambda^2 = I.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} V_\lambda^* V_\lambda &= (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} (A_\lambda + U^* B_\lambda) (A_\lambda + B_\lambda U) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1} \\ &= (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} [B_\lambda^2 + U^* A_\lambda^2 U + U^* A_\lambda B_\lambda + A_\lambda B_\lambda U + U^* U - I] (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1} \\ &= (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} [(B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U) + U^* U - I] (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1} \\ &= I + (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} (U^* U - I) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$I - V_\lambda^* V_\lambda = (B_\lambda + U^* A_\lambda)^{-1} (I - U^* U) (B_\lambda + A_\lambda U)^{-1}. \blacksquare$$

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Assume  $0 < |\lambda_n - 1| < 1 - \varepsilon$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . The relaxed algorithm is convergent if and only if  $U^* U = I$ .*

*Proof.* By (3.2) the operator  $V_\lambda$  is one-to-one as  $\lambda_n \neq 1$ . Assume the relaxed algorithm is convergent. Then  $V_\lambda$  is a partial isometry. Hence  $V_\lambda^* V_\lambda = I$  as  $V_\lambda$  is one-to-one. By Theorem 3.3 we get  $U^* U = I$ . The converse implication is already included in Theorem 3.3.  $\blacksquare$

**REMARK.** The assumption  $U^* U = I$  is stronger than  $U$  being a partial isometry. According to [3] it ensures that the Kaczmarz algorithm is convergent even if we drop finitely many vectors from the sequence  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ .

**REMARK.** The assumption  $\varepsilon < \lambda_n < 2 - \varepsilon$  is necessary in general for convergence of the relaxed Kaczmarz algorithm. Indeed, assume the opposite, i.e.  $|\lambda_{n_k} - 1| \rightarrow 1^-$  for an increasing subsequence  $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of natural numbers. By extracting a subsequence we may assume

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1 - |\lambda_{n_k} - 1|) < 1.$$

In particular we have  $\lambda_{n_k} \neq 1$ . In the two-dimensional space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  let

$$e_n = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{for } n = n_k, \\ (0, 1) & \text{for } n \neq n_k. \end{cases}$$

Then for  $x = (1, 0)$  we have

$$x_{n_l} = \left[ 1 - \prod_{k=1}^l (1 - \lambda_{n_k}) \right] x.$$

But the product  $\prod_{k=1}^\infty (1 - \lambda_{n_k})$  does not tend to zero under assumptions (3.4).

### References

[1] O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

- [2] F. Deutsch and H. Hundal, *The rate of convergence for the method of alternating projections, II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 205 (1997), 381–405.
- [3] R. Haller and R. Szwarc, *Kaczmarz algorithm in Hilbert space*, Studia Math. 169 (2005), 123–132.
- [4] S. Kaczmarz, *Angenäherte Auflösung von Systemen linearer Gleichungen*, Bull. Int. Acad. Polon. Sci. Lett. Cl. Sci. Math. Natur. Sér. A Sci. Math. 1937, 355–357; English transl.: Internat. J. Control 57 (1993), 1269–1271.
- [5] S. Kwapien and J. Mycielski, *On the Kaczmarz algorithm of approximation in infinite-dimensional spaces*, Studia Math. 148 (2001), 75–86.
- [6] R. Szwarc, *Kaczmarz algorithm in Hilbert space and tight frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmonic Anal. 22 (2007), 382–385.

Ryszard Szwarc, Grzegorz Świdorski  
Institute of Mathematics  
University of Wrocław  
50-384 Wrocław, Poland  
E-mail: szwarc2@gmail.com  
gswider@math.uni.wroc.pl

*Received December 7, 2012*

(7709)

