

## On the functional equation defined by Lie's product formula

by

GERD HERZOG and CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER (Karlsruhe)

**Abstract.** Let  $E$  be a real normed space and  $\mathcal{A}$  a complex Banach algebra with unit. We characterize the continuous solutions  $f : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  of the functional equation  $f(x + y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x/n)f(y/n))^n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a complex Banach algebra with unit  $\mathbf{1}$ . In this setting the famous Lie product formula reads

$$(1) \quad \exp(a + b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\exp(a/n) \exp(b/n))^n \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A});$$

see [4, Theorem VIII.29] for matrices and a proof which also holds for Banach algebras, and Trotter's version for semigroups [6].

Let  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  be a projection (that is,  $p^2 = p$ ), and consider the complex Banach algebra  $p\mathcal{A}p$  which has unit  $p$ . The exponential function in  $p\mathcal{A}p$  will be denoted by  $\exp_p$ . Now, let  $E$  be a real normed space, let  $A : E \rightarrow p\mathcal{A}p$  be a continuous and linear mapping (here  $p\mathcal{A}p$  is considered as a real vector space), and set

$$(2) \quad f(x) := \exp_p(A(x)) = p \exp(A(x)) p \quad (x \in E).$$

As an immediate consequence of (1) the function  $f$  is a continuous solution of the functional equation

$$(3) \quad f(x + y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x/n)f(y/n))^n \quad (x, y \in E).$$

In this paper we prove conversely that all continuous solutions of (3) are of type (2). More precisely we have

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a continuous function which satisfies (3). Then  $p = f(0)$  is a projection, and there exists a unique continuous linear mapping  $A : E \rightarrow p\mathcal{A}p$  such that*

$$f(x) = \exp_p(A(x)) \quad (x \in E).$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 39B52, 46H99.

*Key words and phrases*: Lie's product formula, functional equation, Banach algebras.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we use the following proposition. Note that  $\sigma(a)$  denotes the spectrum of  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

- (i) *If  $a^3 = a$  and  $\sigma(a) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ , then  $a^2 = a$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\exp(a) = \exp(b)$  and  $\|a\| < \pi$ , then  $ab = ba$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\exp(ta) = \mathbf{1}$  ( $t > 0$ ), then  $a = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) follow from [2, Propositions 8.11 and 18.12], respectively, and (iii) follows by differentiation. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.*

STEP 1. *We have*

$$(4) \quad p^2 = p.$$

*Proof.* From (3) we obtain

$$f(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(0)^{2n} \Rightarrow f(0)^3 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(0)^{2n+2} = f(0).$$

Hence  $\sigma(f(0)) \subseteq \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Now, assume  $-1 \in \sigma(f(0))$ . Choose open sets  $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ,  $-1 \in U$ , and  $0, 1 \in V$ . Then  $\sigma(f(0)) \subseteq U \cup V$  and  $\sigma(f(0)) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f(0)^{2n} \rightarrow f(0)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , Theorem 3.4.4 in [1] proves

$$\sigma(f(0)^{2n}) \cap U \neq \emptyset$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. But  $\sigma(f(0)^{2n}) \subseteq \{0, 1\} \subseteq V$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\sigma(f(0)) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$  and (4) follows from Proposition 1(i).

STEP 2. *We have*

$$(5) \quad f(x) = pf(x) = f(x)p = pf(x)p \quad (x \in E);$$

*in particular  $f(x) \in p\mathcal{A}p$  ( $x \in E$ ).*

*Proof.* According to (3),

$$\begin{aligned} pf(x) &= f(0)f(x+0) = f(0) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x/n)f(0))^n \\ &= \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(0)f(x/n))^n \right) f(0) = f(0+x)f(0) = f(x)p \end{aligned}$$

for each  $x \in E$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(x+0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x/n)^n f(0)^n \stackrel{(4)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x/n)^n f(0)^{n+1} \\ &= \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x/n)^n f(0)^n \right) f(0) = f(x+0)f(0) = f(x)p, \end{aligned}$$

and we have (5). In particular, if  $p = 0$  then  $f(x) = 0$  ( $x \in E$ ).

STEP 3. *For  $x \in E$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$(6) \quad f(mx) = f(x)^m.$$

*Proof.* Note that by (5),

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x/n)^n \quad (x \in E).$$

First consider  $m = 2$ . Again from (3) we obtain

$$f(2x) = f(x + x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x/n)^{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x/n)^n)^2 = f(x)^2.$$

Now, let  $m > 2$  and suppose  $f(mx) = f(x)^m$  ( $x \in E$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} f((m+1)x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(mx/n)f(x/n))^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x/n)^m f(x/n))^n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x/n)^n)^{m+1} = f(x)^{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6) holds by induction.

Next, for each  $x \in E$  let  $f_x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be defined by

$$f_x(\alpha) := f(\alpha x).$$

STEP 4. *We have*

$$(7) \quad f_x(\alpha)f_x(\beta) = f_x(\beta)f_x(\alpha) \quad (\alpha, \beta \geq 0, x \in E).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in E$  and  $m, n, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(1/r)f_x(1/s) &= f(x/r)f(x/s) = f\left(s \frac{x}{rs}\right)f\left(r \frac{x}{rs}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{=} f\left(\frac{x}{rs}\right)^{s+r} = f_x(1/s)f_x(1/r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(m/r)f_x(n/s) &= f\left(m \frac{x}{r}\right)f\left(n \frac{x}{s}\right) \stackrel{(6)}{=} f(x/r)^m f(x/s)^n = f(x/s)^n f(x/r)^m \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{=} f\left(n \frac{x}{s}\right)f\left(m \frac{x}{r}\right) = f_x(n/s)f_x(m/r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (7) is valid for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, \infty)$ , hence for  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \infty)$ , since  $f$  is continuous.

STEP 5. *We have*

$$(8) \quad f_x(\alpha + \beta) = f_x(\alpha)f_x(\beta) \quad (\alpha, \beta \geq 0, x \in E).$$

*Proof.* For  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(\alpha + \beta) &= f(\alpha x + \beta x) \stackrel{(3)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( f\left(\alpha \frac{x}{n}\right)f\left(\beta \frac{x}{n}\right) \right)^n \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\alpha \frac{x}{n}\right)^n f\left(\beta \frac{x}{n}\right)^n \stackrel{(6)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\alpha x)f(\beta x) = f_x(\alpha)f_x(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

STEP 6. *The limit*

$$(9) \quad A(x) := \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\alpha} (f_x(\alpha) - p)$$

exists for each  $x \in E$ . Moreover

$$(10) \quad A(x) \in p\mathcal{A}p \quad (x \in E),$$

and

$$(11) \quad f(\alpha x) = p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} A(x)^n \quad (\alpha \geq 0).$$

Note that in particular for  $\alpha = 1$  we have

$$(12) \quad f(x) = p + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A(x)^n}{n!} = \exp_p(A(x)) = p \exp(A(x))p \quad (x \in E).$$

*Proof.* Since  $f_x : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a continuous solution of the functional equation in (8), the existence of the limit in (9) and the equation (10) follow from [3, Theorem 9.4.2]. Now, (10) follows from (5) and (9).

STEP 7. *We have*

$$(13) \quad A(\beta x) = \beta A(x) \quad (\beta \geq 0, x \in E).$$

*Proof.* Obviously (13) holds for  $\beta = 0$ . For  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$A(\beta x) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\alpha} (f(\alpha \beta x) - p) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\beta}{\alpha \beta} (f_x(\alpha \beta) - p) = \beta A(x).$$

STEP 8. *For  $x, y \in E$ ,*

$$(14) \quad \exp_p(A(x + y)) = \exp_p(A(x) + A(y)),$$

and

$$(15) \quad A(x + y)(A(x) + A(y)) = (A(x) + A(y))A(x + y).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $x, y \in E$  and let  $\alpha > 0$ . Set

$$a := A(\alpha(x + y)), \quad b := A(\alpha x) + A(\alpha y).$$

Then, by Lie's product formula, and by (12) and (13),

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_p(b) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\exp_p(A(\alpha x/n)) \exp_p(A(\alpha y/n)))^n \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(\alpha x/n) f(\alpha y/n))^n = f(\alpha x + \alpha y) \\ &= \exp_p(A(\alpha(x + y))) = \exp_p(a). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha = 1$  we obtain (14), and by choosing  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\|a\| = \alpha \|A(x + y)\| < \pi,$$

Proposition 1(ii) proves  $ab = ba$ , hence (15).

STEP 9. *We have*

$$(16) \quad A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y) \quad (x, y \in E).$$

*Proof.* According to (14) and (15) we have

$$\exp_p(A(x + y) - (A(x) + A(y))) = p \quad (x, y \in E).$$

Fix  $x, y \in E$ . By (13),

$$\exp_p(t(A(x + y) - (A(x) + A(y)))) = p \quad (t > 0),$$

and Proposition 1(iii) proves (16).

STEP 10. *We have*

$$(17) \quad A(\alpha x) = \alpha A(x) \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, x \in E).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in E$ . Then

$\exp_p(t(A(x) + A(-x))) \stackrel{(13)}{=} \exp_p(A(tx) + A(-tx)) \stackrel{(16)}{=} \exp_p(A(tx - tx)) = p$  for each  $t > 0$ . Again,  $A(-x) = -A(x)$  follows from Proposition 1(iii). In combination with (13) this gives (17).

STEP 11. *The linear mapping  $A : E \rightarrow p\mathcal{A}p$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that  $A$  is continuous at 0. Assume the contrary. Then there is a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $E$  with  $\|x_n\| = 1$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $\|A(x_n)\| \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Set

$$y_n = \frac{x_n}{3\|A(x_n)\|}, \quad z_n = \frac{A(x_n)}{3\|A(x_n)\|}.$$

We have

$$f(y_n) = \exp_p(A(y_n)) = \exp_p(z_n) \rightarrow p \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

because  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Since  $\|\exp_p(z_n) - p\| \leq 1/2 < 1$ , we conclude

$$z_n = \log_p(\exp_p(z_n)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

a contradiction. Here  $\log_p$  denotes the power series

$$\log_p(p + a) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} a^k \quad (a \in p\mathcal{A}p, \|a\| < 1).$$

Finally, concerning the uniqueness of  $A$ , let  $B : E \rightarrow p\mathcal{A}p$  be a continuous linear operator such that

$$f(x) = \exp_p(B(x)) \quad (x \in E).$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} (f(\alpha x) - p) = \frac{1}{\alpha} (\exp_p(\alpha(B(x))) - p) \rightarrow B(x) \quad (\alpha \rightarrow 0+).$$

According to (9),  $A(x) = B(x)$  ( $x \in E$ ). ■

As an application of Theorem 1 we may characterize in terms of  $A$  those continuous solutions of (3) which satisfy the exponential equation of Cauchy

$$(18) \quad f(x + y) = f(x)f(y) \quad (x, y \in E).$$

COROLLARY 1. Let  $f : E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a continuous solution of (3), and let  $p$  and  $A : E \rightarrow pAp$  be as in Theorem 1. Then (18) holds if and only if

$$(19) \quad A(x)A(y) = A(y)A(x) \quad (x, y \in E).$$

*Proof.* If (19) holds then clearly

$$f(x + y) = \exp_p(A(x) + A(y)) = \exp_p(A(x)) \exp_p(A(y)) = f(x)f(y)$$

for  $x, y \in E$ .

Now, let (18) be valid. Then  $f(x)f(y) = f(y)f(x)$ , hence

$$\exp_p(A(x)) \exp_p(A(y)) = \exp_p(A(y)) \exp_p(A(x)) \quad (x, y \in E).$$

Fix  $x, y \in E$  and let  $\alpha > 0$  be such that

$$\max\{\|A(\alpha x)\|, \|A(\alpha y)\|\} < \pi.$$

According to the result in [5],

$$A(\alpha x)A(\alpha y) = A(\alpha y)A(\alpha x),$$

from which (19) follows. ■

REMARK. Theorem 1 is also valid if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a real Banach algebra with unit  $\mathbf{1}$ . In this case apply the complex version to the complexification  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and note that  $p = f(0) \in \mathcal{A}$  and that  $A$  maps  $E$  to  $pAp$  according to (9).

As an example consider  $E = \mathbb{R}$  and assume that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a continuous solution of (3) with  $f(0)$  invertible. Then by Theorem 1,  $f(0) = \mathbf{1}$  and there is a unique  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$f(x) = \exp(xa) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Here  $f$  is a solution of (18).

On the other hand consider  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$  and again assume that  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a continuous solution of (3) with  $f(0)$  invertible. Then there exist unique  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$f((x_1, x_2)) = \exp(x_1a + x_2b) \quad ((x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2).$$

Here,  $f$  is a solution of (18) if and only if  $ab = ba$ .

### References

- [1] B. Aupetit, *A Primer on Spectral Theory*, Universitext, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 80, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [3] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1957.
- [4] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. I. Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Academic Press, New York, 1980.

- [5] C. Schmoeger, *Remarks on commuting exponentials in Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 1337–1338.
- [6] H. F. Trotter, *On the product of semi-groups of operators*, *ibid.* 10 (1959), 545–551.

Mathematisches Institut I  
Universität Karlsruhe  
D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany  
E-mail: Gerd.Herzog@math.uni-karlsruhe.de  
Christoph.Schmoeger@math.uni-karlsruhe.de

*Received August 28, 2005*  
*Revised version June 8, 2006*

(5734)