

## Numerical radius inequalities for $2 \times 2$ operator matrices

by

OMAR HIRZALLAH (Zarqa), FUAD KITTANEH (Amman) and  
KHALID SHEBRAWI (Salt)

**Abstract.** We derive several numerical radius inequalities for  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices. Numerical radius inequalities for sums and products of operators are given. Applications of our inequalities are also provided.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the space of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . The *numerical range* of  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , denoted by  $W(A)$ , is the subset of the complex numbers given by

$$W(A) = \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

The *numerical radius* of  $A$ ,  $w(A)$ , is defined by

$$w(A) = \sup\{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in W(A) \}.$$

It is well-known that  $w(\cdot)$  defines a norm on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , which is equivalent to the usual operator norm  $\| \cdot \|$ . In fact, for  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , we have

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|A\| \leq w(A) \leq \|A\|.$$

Also, it is known that  $w(\cdot)$  is weakly unitarily invariant, that is,

$$(1.2) \quad w(U^*AU) = w(A)$$

for every unitary  $U \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . For other properties of the numerical radius, the reader is referred to [7] and [8]. Recent numerical radius inequalities for commutators of operators and operator matrices have been given in [9] and [10].

The following numerical radius inequalities for a product of operators have been given in [6]: If  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad \max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) - \| |A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2 \| \leq 2w(AB^*)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47A12, 47A30, 47A63; Secondary 15A60, 47B15.

*Key words and phrases*: numerical range, numerical radius, operator norm.

and

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \| |A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2 \| - \min(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \right| \leq 2w(AB^*),$$

where  $|X| = (X^*X)^{1/2}$ .

The aim of this paper is to present new numerical radius inequalities for  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices. In Section 2, we give an inequality stronger than (1.3), and a corresponding reverse type inequality. Moreover, we derive sharp estimates for the numerical radii of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices. One of the applications of our results is a generalization of (1.1). In Section 3, we give numerical radius inequalities for sums and products of operators from which (1.4) follows as a special case.

**2. Inequalities for the numerical radii of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices.**

In this section, we introduce new inequalities for the numerical radii of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ . A general  $2 \times 2$  operator matrix in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  is an operator of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $A, B, C, D \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . The operator  $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}$  is called the *diagonal part* of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is the *off-diagonal part*. It is well-known (see, e.g., [3, p. 107]) that

$$(2.1) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

$$(2.2) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right),$$

which are pinching type inequalities. Moreover, it is known (see, e.g., [4, p. 81]) that

$$(2.3) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}\right) = \max(w(A), w(D)),$$

while several estimates for  $w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)$  have been given in [10].

For  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $A^2 = 0$ , it is known (see, e.g., [12]) that

$$(2.4) \quad w(A) = \frac{1}{2}\|A\|.$$

Since  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , the subadditivity of the numerical radius  $w(\cdot)$  and the inequalities (2.1), (2.2), together with the identities (2.3) and (2.4), imply that

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \max(w(A), w(D)) + \frac{\|B\| + \|C\|}{2}$$

and

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \geq \max\left(\max(w(A), w(D)), w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right)\right).$$

In particular, if  $C = D = 0$ , then

$$(2.5) \quad \max\left(w(A), \frac{\|B\|}{2}\right) \leq w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq w(A) + \frac{\|B\|}{2}.$$

Now, we start by presenting numerical radius inequalities for  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices. In order to do this, we need the following two lemmas containing a series of new inequalities for the usual operator norm.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$(2.6) \quad \max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \\ \geq \max(\|A^2 + B^2\|, \||A|^2 + |B|^2\|, \||A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2\|) + \frac{|\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|}{2}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \min(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \\ \geq \max(\|A^2 + B^2\|, \||A|^2 + |B|^2\|, \||A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2\|) - \frac{|\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|}{2}.$$

*Proof.* To prove (2.6), observe that

$$(2.8) \quad \max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \\ = \frac{1}{2}(\|A + B\|^2 + \|A - B\|^2 + |\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|) \\ \geq \frac{1}{2}(\|(A + B)^2\| + \|(A - B)^2\| + |\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|) \\ \geq \frac{1}{2}(\|(A + B)^2 + (A - B)^2\| + |\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|) \\ = \|A^2 + B^2\| + \frac{|\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|}{2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(2.9) \quad \max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \\ = \frac{1}{2}(\|A + B\|^2 + \|A - B\|^2 + |\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|) \\ = \frac{1}{2}(\||A + B|^2\| + \||A - B|^2\| + |\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|) \\ \geq \frac{1}{2}(\||A + B|^2 + |A - B|^2\| + |\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|) \\ = \||A|^2 + |B|^2\| + \frac{|\|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2|}{2}.$$

Replacing  $A$  and  $B$  by  $A^*$  and  $B^*$ , respectively, in (2.9) we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \geq \left\| |A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2 \right\| + \frac{\left| \|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2 \right|}{2}.$$

Now, (2.6) follows from (2.8)–(2.10).

The inequality (2.7) can be proved by a similar argument. ■

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$(2.11) \quad \max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \leq \min(\left\| |A|^2 + |B|^2 \right\| + \|A^*B + B^*A\|, \left\| |A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2 \right\| + \|AB^* + BA^*\|)$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \min(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \geq \max(\left\| |A|^2 + |B|^2 \right\| - \|A^*B + B^*A\|, \left\| |A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2 \right\| - \|AB^* + BA^*\|).$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $(A \pm B)^*(A \pm B) = |A|^2 + |B|^2 \pm (A^*B + B^*A)$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|A \pm B\|^2 &= \|(A \pm B)^*(A \pm B)\| \\ &= \left\| |A|^2 + |B|^2 \pm (A^*B + B^*A) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| |A|^2 + |B|^2 \right\| + \|A^*B + B^*A\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(2.13) \quad \max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \leq \left\| |A|^2 + |B|^2 \right\| + \|A^*B + B^*A\|.$$

Replacing  $A$  and  $B$  by  $A^*$  and  $B^*$ , respectively, in (2.13), we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \leq \left\| |A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2 \right\| + \|AB^* + BA^*\|.$$

Now, (2.11) follows from (2.13) and (2.14).

The inequality (2.12) follows by a similar argument. ■

REMARK. Since  $A^*B + B^*A$  is self-adjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^*B + B^*A\| &= w(A^*B + B^*A) \\ &\leq w(A^*B) + w(B^*A) \\ &= 2w(A^*B) \quad (\text{since } w(A^*B) = w(B^*A)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(2.15) \quad \|AB^* + BA^*\| \leq 2w(AB^*).$$

In view of (2.15), it is evident that the inequality (2.11) is stronger than (1.3).

As employed in the proof of Lemma 2.1, it is known that for real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , we have

$$(2.16) \quad \max(a, b) = \frac{a + b}{2} + \frac{|a - b|}{2}.$$

We now derive operator norm inequalities related to (2.16).

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \max(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2) \\ & \geq \frac{\max(\|X^2 + Y^2\|, \||X|^2 + |Y|^2\|, \||X^*|^2 + |Y^*|^2\|)}{2} + \frac{|\|X\|^2 - \|Y\|^2|}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \min(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2) \\ & \geq \frac{\max(\|X^2 + Y^2\|, \||X|^2 + |Y|^2\|, \||X^*|^2 + |Y^*|^2\|)}{2} - \frac{|\|X\|^2 - \|Y\|^2|}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \max(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \min(\||X|^2 + |Y|^2\| + \||X|^2 - |Y|^2\|, \||X^*|^2 + |Y^*|^2\| + \||X^*|^2 - |Y^*|^2\|), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \min(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \max(\||X|^2 + |Y|^2\| - \||X|^2 - |Y|^2\|, \||X^*|^2 + |Y^*|^2\| - \||X^*|^2 - |Y^*|^2\|). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The inequalities (2.17)–(2.20) follow, respectively, from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 by letting  $A = X + Y$  and  $B = X - Y$ . ■

Now, we need the following lemma [2].

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be nonzero operators. Then the relation  $\|X + Y\| = \|X\| + \|Y\|$  holds if and only if  $\|X\| \|Y\| \in \overline{W(X^*Y)}$ , where the bar denotes closure.*

A particular case of the inequality (2.17) says that if  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then

$$\frac{\|X^2 + Y^2\|}{2} + \frac{|\|X\|^2 - \|Y\|^2|}{2} \leq \max(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2),$$

and so

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{\|X^2 + Y^2\|}{2} \leq \max(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2).$$

Based on Lemma 2.4, a condition for equality in (2.21) can be stated:

THEOREM 2.5. *Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be nonzero operators. Then*

$$\frac{\|X^2 + Y^2\|}{2} = \max(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2) \quad \text{if and only if}$$

$$w(X^{*2}Y^2) = \max(\|X\|^4, \|Y\|^4).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\|X^2 + Y^2\|/2 = \max(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2)$ . Since

$$\frac{\|X^2 + Y^2\|}{2} \leq \frac{\|X^2\| + \|Y^2\|}{2} \leq \frac{\|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2}{2} \leq \max(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2),$$

we have

$$(2.22) \quad \frac{\|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2}{2} = \max(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2),$$

$$(2.23) \quad \|X^2\| + \|Y^2\| = \|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2,$$

$$(2.24) \quad \|X^2 + Y^2\| = \|X^2\| + \|Y^2\|.$$

It follows from (2.22) and (2.23) that

$$(2.25) \quad \|X^2\| = \|X\|^2 = \|Y\|^2 = \|Y^2\|.$$

The equality (2.24), together with Lemma 2.4 and (2.25), implies that

$$(2.26) \quad \max(\|X\|^4, \|Y\|^4) = \|X^2\| \|Y^2\| \in \overline{W(X^{*2}Y^2)},$$

and so

$$(2.27) \quad \max(\|X\|^4, \|Y\|^4) \leq w(X^{*2}Y^2).$$

This inequality, together with

$$w(X^{*2}Y^2) \leq \|X^{*2}Y^2\| \leq \|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2 \leq \max(\|X\|^4, \|Y\|^4),$$

implies that  $w(X^{*2}Y^2) = \max(\|X\|^4, \|Y\|^4)$ . This proves the “only if” part.

For the “if” part, suppose that

$$(2.28) \quad w(X^{*2}Y^2) = \max(\|X\|^4, \|Y\|^4).$$

Then, by the second inequality of (1.1), we have

$$(2.29) \quad w(X^{*2}Y^2) \leq \|X^{*2}Y^2\| \leq \|X^{*2}\| \|Y^2\| = \|X\|^2 \|Y^2\|$$

$$\leq \|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2 \leq \frac{\|X\|^4 + \|Y\|^4}{2} \leq \max(\|X\|^4, \|Y\|^4).$$

The inequality (2.29), together with (2.28), implies that

$$(2.30) \quad \|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2 = \frac{\|X\|^4 + \|Y\|^4}{2},$$

$$(2.31) \quad \|X^2\| \|Y^2\| = \|X\|^2 \|Y\|^2,$$

$$(2.32) \quad w(X^{*2}Y^2) = \|X^2\| \|Y^2\|.$$

The equalities (2.30) and (2.31) imply that

$$(2.33) \quad \|X^2\| = \|X\|^2 = \|Y\|^2 = \|Y^2\|.$$

Also, (2.32) implies that  $\|X^2\| \|Y^2\| \in \overline{W(X^*2Y^2)}$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|X^2 + Y^2\|}{2} &= \frac{\|X^2\| + \|Y^2\|}{2} && \text{(by Lemma 2.4)} \\ &= \max(\|X\|^2, \|Y\|^2) && \text{(by (2.33)),} \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

Based on (1.1) and (2.6), an upper bound for the numerical radius of the general  $2 \times 2$  operator matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  can be derived:

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $A, B, C, D \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \min(\alpha, \beta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \sqrt{\frac{\|A + B\|^2 + \|A - B\|^2}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{\|C + D\|^2 + \|C - D\|^2}{2}}, \\ \beta &= \sqrt{\frac{\|A + C\|^2 + \|A - C\|^2}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{\|B + D\|^2 + \|B - D\|^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.34) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| && \text{(by the second inequality of (1.1))} \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^* & 0 \\ B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^{1/2} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} |A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^{1/2} \\ &= \left\| |A^*|^2 + |B^*|^2 \right\|^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (2.35) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &\leq \sqrt{\max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) - \frac{\| \|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2 \|}{2}} \\ &&& \text{(by (2.6))} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\|A + B\|^2 + \|A - B\|^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the general case, let  $U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $U$  is unitary, and it follows from the subadditivity of the numerical radius  $w(\cdot)$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.36) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) &\leq w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + w\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + w\left(U^* \begin{bmatrix} D & C \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U\right) \quad (\text{by (1.2)}) \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{\|A+B\|^2 + \|A-B\|^2}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{\|C+D\|^2 + \|C-D\|^2}{2}} \quad (\text{by (2.35)}) \\
&= \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, by applying (2.36) to  $\begin{bmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{bmatrix}$ , and observing that  $w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) = w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{bmatrix}\right)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.37) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{\|A^*+C^*\|^2 + \|A^*-C^*\|^2}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{\|B^*+D^*\|^2 + \|B^*-D^*\|^2}{2}} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\|A+C\|^2 + \|A-C\|^2}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{\|B+D\|^2 + \|B-D\|^2}{2}} = \beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the result follows from (2.36) and (2.37). ■

Another upper bound for the numerical radius of the operator  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  is as follows.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $A, B, C, D \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \min(\alpha, \beta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \sqrt{\min(\|A+B\|^2, \|A-B\|^2) + 2w(AB^*)} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\min(\|D+C\|^2, \|D-C\|^2) + 2w(DC^*)}, \\
\beta &= \sqrt{\min(\|A+C\|^2, \|A-C\|^2) + 2w(A^*C)} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\min(\|B+D\|^2, \|B-D\|^2) + 2w(D^*B)}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Since the operators  $AA^*+BB^*$  and  $(A\pm B)(A\pm B)^*$  are positive, and since  $AA^*+BB^* = (A\pm B)(A\pm B)^* \mp (AB^*+BA^*)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|AA^*+BB^*\| &= w(AA^*+BB^*) \\
&= w((A\pm B)(A\pm B)^* \mp (AB^*+BA^*)) \\
&\leq w((A\pm B)(A\pm B)^*) + w(AB^*+BA^*) \\
&= \|(A\pm B)(A\pm B)^*\| + w(AB^*+BA^*)
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &= \|A \pm B\|^2 + w(AB^* + BA^*) \\ &\leq \|A \pm B\|^2 + w(AB^*) + w(BA^*) \\ &= \|A \pm B\|^2 + 2w(AB^*) \quad (\text{since } w(AB^*) = w(BA^*)), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(2.38) \quad \|AA^* + BB^*\| \leq \min(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) + 2w(AB^*).$$

The inequality (2.34), together with (2.38), implies that

$$(2.39) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \sqrt{\min(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) + 2w(AB^*)}.$$

Now, the proof of the general case can be obtained by an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 2.6. ■

An application of Theorem 2.7 yields

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let  $A, B, C, D \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .*

(a) *If  $AB^* = 0$  and  $DC^* = 0$ , then*

$$(2.40) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \min(\|A + B\|, \|A - B\|) + \min(\|D + C\|, \|D - C\|).$$

(b) *If  $A^*C = 0$  and  $D^*B = 0$ , then*

$$(2.41) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \min(\|A + C\|, \|A - C\|) + \min(\|B + D\|, \|B - D\|).$$

We need the following lemma concerning the numerical radii of certain  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices. This lemma has been used in [9] and [10].

LEMMA 2.9 (see, e.g., [1]). *Let  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix}\right) = \max(w(A + B), w(A - B)).$$

Using Lemma 2.9, a particular case of Corollary 2.8 can be stated:

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $AB^* = 0$  or  $A^*B = 0$ , then*

$$(2.42) \quad \max(w(A + B), w(A - B)) \leq 2 \min(\|A + B\|, \|A - B\|).$$

*Proof.* The result follows from (2.40) and (2.41), by letting  $C = B$ ,  $D = A$ , and using Lemma 2.9. ■

REMARK. It should be mentioned here that (2.42) is not true for arbitrary operators  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . To see this, consider a nonzero  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , and let  $B = A$ .

An upper bound for the numerical radius of the operator  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  has been given in (2.39). Now we present a lower bound for this numerical radius.

THEOREM 2.11. *Let  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$(2.43) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\max(\|A+B\|^2, \|A-B\|^2) - 2w(AB^*)}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$(2.44) \quad w^2\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right\|^2 \quad (\text{by the first inequality of (1.1)}) \\ = \frac{1}{4}\|AA^* + BB^*\| \\ \geq \frac{1}{4}(\max(\|A+B\|^2, \|A-B\|^2) - 2w(AB^*)) \quad (\text{by (1.3)}).$$

It remains to show that the right-hand side of (2.44) is nonnegative. In fact, it is shown in [5] that

$$(2.45) \quad 2\|AB^*\| \leq \|A^*A + B^*B\|.$$

This inequality, together with the second inequality of (1.1), implies that

$$(2.46) \quad 2w(AB^*) \leq \|A^*A + B^*B\|.$$

It follows from (2.6) applied to  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  that

$$(2.47) \quad \max(\|A+B\|^2, \|A-B\|^2) = \max(\|A^* + B^*\|^2, \|A^* - B^*\|^2) \\ \geq \|A^*A + B^*B\| + \frac{1}{2}|\|A^* + B^*\|^2 - \|A^* - B^*\|^2| \\ = \|A^*A + B^*B\| + \frac{1}{2}|\|A+B\|^2 - \|A-B\|^2| \\ \geq 2w(AB^*) + \frac{1}{2}|\|A+B\|^2 - \|A-B\|^2| \quad (\text{by (2.46)}),$$

and so

$$(2.48) \quad \max(\|A+B\|^2, \|A-B\|^2) - 2w(AB^*) \geq \frac{1}{2}|\|A+B\|^2 - \|A-B\|^2|.$$

Now, it follows from (2.48) that the right-hand side of (2.44) is nonnegative, and so

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\max(\|A+B\|^2, \|A-B\|^2) - 2w(AB^*)},$$

as required. ■

REMARK. If  $AB^* = 0$ , then (2.39) and (2.43) imply that

$$\frac{1}{2}\max(\|A+B\|, \|A-B\|) \leq w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \min(\|A+B\|, \|A-B\|).$$

In particular, letting  $B = 0$ , we obtain (1.1).

Now, we need the following lemma, which has also been used in [9] and [10].

LEMMA 2.12 (see, e.g., [1]). *Let  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix}\right) = \max(w(A + iB), w(A - iB)).$$

In the following result, we derive another lower bound for the numerical radius of the operator  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . In fact, a special case of this result has been given in [9], using a different analysis.

THEOREM 2.13. *Let  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$(2.49) \quad w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \max(w(A \pm B), w(A \pm iB)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $U$  is unitary, and

$$(2.50) \quad \begin{aligned} \max(w(A + B), w(A - B)) &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.9}) \\ &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + U^* \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U\right) \\ &\leq w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) + w\left(U^* \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U\right) \\ &= 2w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by (1.2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let  $V = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $V$  is unitary, and

$$(2.51) \quad \begin{aligned} \max(w(A + iB), w(A - iB)) &= w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.12}) \\ &= w\left(V^* \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V + U^* \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U\right) \\ &\leq w\left(V^* \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V\right) + w\left(U^* \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U\right) \\ &= 2w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \quad (\text{by (1.2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the result follows from (2.50) and (2.51). ■

To give further upper bounds for the numerical radius of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ , we need the following compression inequality.

LEMMA 2.14 (see, e.g., [11]). *Let  $A, B, C, D \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \right\| \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \|A\| & \|B\| \\ \|C\| & \|D\| \end{bmatrix} \right\|.$$

THEOREM 2.15. *Let  $A, B, C, D \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \min(\alpha, \beta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2 + \sqrt{(\|A\|^2 - \|B\|^2)^2 + 4\|AB^*\|^2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\|C\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \sqrt{(\|C\|^2 - \|D\|^2)^2 + 4\|DC^*\|^2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\|A\|^2 + \|C\|^2 + \sqrt{(\|A\|^2 - \|C\|^2)^2 + 4\|A^*C\|^2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\|B\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \sqrt{(\|B\|^2 - \|D\|^2)^2 + 4\|D^*B\|^2}} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* First, we prove that

(2.52)

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2 + \sqrt{(\|A\|^2 - \|B\|^2)^2 + 4\|AB^*\|^2}}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.53) \quad w^2\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2 \quad (\text{by the second inequality of (1.1)}) \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A^* & 0 \\ B^* & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A^*A & A^*B \\ B^*A & B^*B \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \|A^*A\| & \|A^*B\| \\ \|B^*A\| & \|B^*B\| \end{bmatrix} \right\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.14}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \|A\|^2 & \|A^*B\| \\ \|A^*B\| & \|B\|^2 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (\|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2 + \sqrt{(\|A\|^2 - \|B\|^2)^2 + 4\|A^*B\|^2}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that the usual operator norm of a positive (semidefinite) matrix is its largest eigenvalue. Now, (2.52) follows from (2.15).

The proof of the result for the general operator  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$  can be obtained by an argument similar to that given in the proof of Theorem 2.6. ■

An application of Theorem 2.15 yields

**COROLLARY 2.16.** *Let  $A, B, C, D \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .*

(a) *If  $AB^* = 0$  and  $DC^* = 0$ , then*

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \max(\|A\|, \|B\|) + \max(\|C\|, \|D\|).$$

(b) *If  $A^*C = 0$  and  $D^*B = 0$ , then*

$$w\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}\right) \leq \max(\|A\|, \|C\|) + \max(\|B\|, \|D\|).$$

**REMARKS.** (1) Comparing the upper bounds for the numerical radius of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  given in (2.5), (2.39), and (2.52), it can be seen that none of them is uniformly better than the others. This can be demonstrated as follows: Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= \sqrt{\min(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) + 2w(AB^*)}, \\
 \beta &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\|A\|^2 + \|B\|^2 + \sqrt{(\|A\|^2 - \|B\|^2)^2 + 4\|A^*B\|^2}}, \\
 \gamma &= w(A) + \frac{\|B\|}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we take, for example,  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$ . On the other hand, if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $\gamma > \beta > \alpha$ .

(2) Comparing the lower bounds for the numerical radius of  $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  given in Theorems 2.11, 2.13, and (2.5), it can be seen that none of them is uniformly better than the others. This can be demonstrated by constructing suitable  $2 \times 2$  matrices. We leave the details to the reader.

(3) Our numerical radius inequalities for  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices are sharp. For example, in Theorems 2.6, 2.7, and 2.15, equality holds if we choose  $A = I, B = C = D = 0$ . In Theorem 2.11, equality holds if we choose  $A = 0, B = I$ .

**3. Inequalities for the numerical radii of sums and products of operators.** In this section, we introduce numerical radius inequalities for sums and products of operators. First, we need the following simple lemma on complex numbers.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $z_0, \dots, z_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ , and let  $u_j = e^{2ij\pi/n}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ , be the  $n$ th roots of unity. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |z_k|^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j^k z_j \right|^2.$$

Our result for sums of operators can be stated as follows.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w^2(A_k) \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \max_{\Gamma \subseteq \{0, \dots, n-1\}} w^2 \left( \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j^k A_j \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\|x\| = 1$ , and let  $\Gamma \subseteq \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Then

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\langle A_k x, x \rangle|^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j^k \langle A_j x, x \rangle \right|^2 \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle u_j^k A_j x, x \rangle \right|^2 \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \left| \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle u_j^k A_j x, x \rangle \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w^2(A_k) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sup \{ |\langle A_j x, x \rangle|^2 : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \} \\ &\geq \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\langle A_k x, x \rangle|^2 : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n^2} \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \langle u_j^k A_j x, x \rangle \right|^2 : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \right\} \quad (\text{by (3.2)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sup \left\{ \left| \left\langle \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j^k A_j x, x \right\rangle \right|^2 : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} w^2 \left( \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j^k A_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\Gamma \subseteq \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . Now, the result follows from (3.3). ■

The following result, related to (2.11) and (2.12), gives lower bounds for the numerical radius of a product of operators. It can be easily seen that (1.4) follows from this result as a special case.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$w(AB^*) \geq \max(\alpha, \beta),$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left| \|AA^* + BB^*\| - \min(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \right|,$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{4} \left| \|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2 \right|.$$

*Proof.* By (2.38), we have

$$(3.4) \quad 2w(AB^*) \geq \|AA^* + BB^*\| - \min(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2).$$

Also, Lemma 2.2, together with (2.15), implies that

$$(3.5) \quad 2w(AB^*) \geq \min(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) - \|AA^* + BB^*\|.$$

Consequently, (3.4) and (3.5) imply that

$$(3.6) \quad w(AB^*) \geq \alpha.$$

On the other hand, (2.6) and (2.11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|AA^* + BB^*\| + \|AB^* + BA^*\| & \\ & \geq \max(\|A + B\|^2, \|A - B\|^2) \\ & \geq \|AA^* + BB^*\| + \frac{\left| \|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2 \right|}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\|AB^* + BA^*\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \|A + B\|^2 - \|A - B\|^2 \right|.$$

This inequality, together with (2.15), implies that

$$(3.7) \quad w(AB^*) \geq \beta.$$

Now, the result follows from (3.6) and (3.7). ■

An application of Theorem 3.3 yields

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with the Cartesian decomposition  $T = A + iB$ . Then*

$$(3.8) \quad w(AB) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|T\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \|T^*T + TT^*\|.$$

*Proof.* This follows by applying Theorem 3.3 to the operators  $A$  and  $iB$ , and observing that  $\|A \pm iB\| = \|T\|$  and  $2(A^2 + B^2) = T^*T + TT^*$ . ■

A fundamental inequality for the numerical radius is the power inequality, which says that for  $T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,

$$(3.9) \quad w(T^n) \leq (w(T))^n$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  (see, e.g., [8, p. 118]).

Now, we need the following lemma, which includes refinements of (1.1).

LEMMA 3.5 ([13]). *Let  $T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{4} \|TT^* + T^*T\| \leq w^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|TT^* + T^*T\|.$$

We close this paper with the following result, a reverse type inequality of the power inequality (3.9), when  $n = 2$ .

THEOREM 3.6. *Let  $T \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with the Cartesian decomposition  $T = A + iB$ . Then*

$$w(T^2) + 2 \min(\|A\|^2, \|B\|^2) \geq w^2(T).$$

*Proof.* Replacing  $A$  and  $B$  by  $T$  and  $T^*$ , respectively, in Theorem 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w(T^2) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \|TT^* + T^*T\| - \min(\|T + T^*\|^2, \|T - T^*\|^2) \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (\|TT^* + T^*T\| - \min(\|T + T^*\|^2, \|T - T^*\|^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\|TT^* + T^*T\| - 4 \min(\|A\|^2, \|B\|^2)). \\ &\geq w^2(T) - 2 \min(\|A\|^2, \|B\|^2) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.5}). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are grateful to the referee for his suggestions.

## References

- [1] W. Bani-Domi and F. Kittaneh, *Norm equalities and inequalities for operator matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 429 (2008), 57–67.
- [2] M. Barraa and M. Boumazgour, *Inner derivations and norm equality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2001), 471–467.
- [3] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [4] R. Bhatia, *Positive Definite Matrices*, Princeton Univ. Press, 2007.
- [5] R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, *On the singular values of a product of operators*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 11 (1990), 272–277.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, *Inequalities for the norm and numerical radius of composite operators in Hilbert spaces*, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 8 (suppl.) (2005), art. 11.
- [7] K. E. Gustafson and D. K. M. Rao, *Numerical Range*, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [8] P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1982.
- [9] O. Hirzallah, F. Kittaneh, and K. Shebrawi, *Numerical radius inequalities for commutators of Hilbert space operators*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 32 (2011), 739–749.



- [10] O. Hirzallah, F. Kittaneh, and K. Shebrawi, *Numerical radius inequalities for certain  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 71 (2011), 129–147.
- [11] J. C. Hou and H. K. Du, *Norm inequalities of positive operator matrices*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 22 (1995), 281–294.
- [12] F. Kittaneh, *A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix*, Studia Math. 158 (2003), 11–17.
- [13] F. Kittaneh, *Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Studia Math. 168 (2005), 73–80.

Omar Hirzallah  
Department of Mathematics  
Hashemite University  
Zarqa, Jordan  
E-mail: o.hirzal@hu.edu.jo

Fuad Kittaneh  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Jordan  
Amman, Jordan  
E-mail: fkitt@ju.edu.jo

Khalid Shebrawi  
Department of Applied Sciences  
Al-Balqa' Applied University  
Salt, Jordan  
E-mail: khalid@bau.edu.jo

*Received November 2, 2011*  
*Revised version August 5, 2012*

(7355)

