

## $L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$ -domains of holomorphy and the Bergman kernel

by

PETER PFLUG (Oldenburg) and WŁODZIMIERZ ZWONEK (Kraków)

**Abstract.** We give a characterization of  $L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$ -domains of holomorphy with the help of the boundary behavior of the Bergman kernel and geometric properties of the boundary, respectively.

For  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $r > 0$  we define  $\Delta(\lambda_0, r) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \lambda_0| < r\}$ . We also put  $E := \Delta(0, 1)$ . Moreover, the set of all plurisubharmonic (respectively, subharmonic) functions on an open set  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is denoted by  $\text{PSH}(D)$  (respectively,  $\text{SH}(D)$ ). We allow the (pluri)subharmonic functions to be identically  $-\infty$  on connected components of  $D$ .

Following [Kli] for a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  define

$$g_D(p, z) := \sup\{u(z)\}, \quad p, z \in D,$$

where the supremum is taken over all negative  $u \in \text{PSH}(D)$  such that  $u(\cdot) - \log \|\cdot - p\|$  is bounded from above near  $p$ . We call the function  $g_D(p, \cdot)$  the *pluricomplex Green function* (with the logarithmic pole at  $p$ ). We also define

$$A_D(p; X) := \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\exp(g_D(p, p + \lambda X))}{|\lambda|}, \quad p \in D, X \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Following [Jar-Pfl] the function  $A_D$  is called *the Azukawa pseudometric*.

For a boundary point  $w$  of a bounded domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  we introduce the notion of regularity. Namely, we say that  $D$  is *regular* at  $w$  if there exist a neighborhood  $U$  of  $w$  and a subharmonic function  $u$  on  $U \cap D$  with  $u < 0$  on  $U \cap D$  and  $\lim_{U \cap D \ni \lambda \rightarrow w} u(\lambda) = 0$ .

A set  $P \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is called *pluripolar* if for any point  $z \in P$  there exist a connected neighborhood  $U = U(z)$  and a function  $u \in \text{PSH}(U)$ ,  $u \not\equiv -\infty$ , such that  $P \cap U \subset \{z \in U : u(z) = -\infty\}$ . In case  $n = 1$  we call such a

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 32A25, 32D05.

*Key words and phrases*: Bergman kernel,  $L^2_{\mathfrak{h}}$ -domain of holomorphy, (pluri)polar sets.

The second author was supported by the KBN grant No. 2 P03A 017 14. While working on the paper the second author was staying at the Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg (Germany) supported by DAAD.

set  $P$  polar. It is well known (cf. [Kli], Josefson theorem) that a set  $P \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is pluripolar if and only if there is a function  $u \in \text{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ,  $u \not\equiv -\infty$ , such that  $P \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : u(z) = -\infty\}$ .

A bounded domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be *hyperconvex* if there exists a negative and continuous plurisubharmonic exhaustion function of  $D$ .

Denote the class of square integrable holomorphic functions on an open set  $D$  by  $L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$ . It is a Hilbert space with the standard scalar product induced from  $L^2(D)$ . Let us recall the definition of the *Bergman kernel*:

$$K_D(z) := \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(z)|^2}{\|f\|_{L^2_{\text{h}}(D)}^2} : f \not\equiv 0, f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D) \right\}.$$

If  $D$  is a bounded domain then  $\log K_D$  is smooth and strictly plurisubharmonic. Therefore, for a bounded domain  $D$  one may define the *Bergman metric*  $\beta_D$ :

$$\beta_D(z; X) := \sqrt{\sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \log K_D(z)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} X_j \bar{X}_k}, \quad z \in D, X \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and set

$$b_D(w, z) := \inf \{L_{\beta_D}(\alpha)\}, \quad w, z \in D,$$

where  $L_{\beta_D}(\alpha) = \int_0^1 \beta_D(\alpha(t); \alpha'(t)) dt$  and the infimum is taken over all piecewise  $C^1$ -curves  $\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow D$  such that  $\alpha(0) = w$ ,  $\alpha(1) = z$ . We call  $b_D$  the *Bergman distance*. If  $(D, b_D)$  is a complete metric space we say that  $D$  is *Bergman complete*.

A domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is called a *domain* (resp. an  $L^2_{\text{h}}$ -*domain*) of *holomorphy* if there are no domains  $D_0, D_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\emptyset \neq D_0 \subset D_1 \cap D$ ,  $D_1 \not\subset D$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$  (resp.  $f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$ ) there exists an  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(D_1)$  with  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $D_0$ .

Let us recall several results concerning the above-mentioned notions, which show a close relationship between the theory of square integrable holomorphic functions and pluripotential theory.

For a bounded pseudoconvex domain  $D$  consider the following properties:

- (1)  $D$  is hyperconvex,
- (2) for any  $w \in \partial D$ ,  $\lim_{D \ni z \rightarrow w} K_D(z) = \infty$ ,
- (3)  $D$  is Bergman complete,
- (4)  $D$  is an  $L^2_{\text{h}}$ -domain of holomorphy.

All the relations between the properties (1)–(4) are known. Namely, (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) (see [Ohs 1]), (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) (see [Bło-Pfl], [Her]), and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) does not hold in general (take the Hartogs triangle in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  or consider some one-dimensional Zalcman-type domains—see [Ohs 1]). The one-dimensional counterexample to the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is given in [Chen 1].

Recall that any bounded pseudoconvex fat domain is an  $L_h^2$ -domain of holomorphy (see [Pfl]). Thus the Hartogs triangle is an  $L_h^2$ -domain of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  which is not Bergman complete. Moreover, there also exists a fat domain in the complex plane that is not Bergman complete (see [Jar-Pfl-Zwo]). Thus, the implication (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) does not hold even for fat pseudoconvex domains. In dimension one the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) does hold (see [Chen 2]) but in higher dimensions this is no longer the case (take the Hartogs triangle once more). As far as (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is concerned one may find a counterexample already in dimension one (see [Zwo 2]).

Let us have a closer look at the last example. The counterexamples belong to the following class of domains:

$$D := E \setminus \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Delta}(z_j, r_j) \cup \{0\} \right),$$

where  $z_j \rightarrow 0$ ,  $r_j > 0$ ,  $\bar{\Delta}(z_j, r_j) \subset E \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\bar{\Delta}(z_j, r_j) \cap \bar{\Delta}(z_k, r_k) = \emptyset$ ,  $j \neq k$ . It is easy to see that for any  $w \in \partial D$ ,  $w \neq 0$ , we have  $\lim_{D \ni z \rightarrow w} K_D(z) = \infty$ . The point is that the sequences can be chosen so that  $\liminf_{D \ni z \rightarrow 0} K_D(z) < \infty$  and the domain is still Bergman complete. On the other hand one may easily see that  $\limsup_{z \rightarrow 0} K_D(z) = \infty$ . So the natural problem arises whether one may construct an example of a Bergman complete domain such that for some  $w \in \partial D$  we have  $\limsup_{z \rightarrow w} K_D(z) < \infty$ . Below we show that this is impossible. Let us write down explicitly the condition we are interested in (as some kind of complement to properties (1)–(4)):

(5) for any  $w \in \partial D$  we have  $\limsup_{D \ni z \rightarrow w} K_D(z) = \infty$ .

The main aim of this paper is to present the following characterizations of  $L_h^2$ -domains of holomorphy.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then (4) is equivalent to (5), i.e.  $D$  is an  $L_h^2$ -domain of holomorphy if and only if for any  $w \in \partial D$  we have  $\limsup_{D \ni z \rightarrow w} K_D(z) = \infty$ .*

Making use of Theorem 1 and a result of A. Sadullaev we also get the following characterization of bounded  $L_h^2$ -domains of holomorphy.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded pseudoconvex domain. Then  $D$  is an  $L_h^2$ -domain of holomorphy if and only if for any  $w \in \partial D$  and for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $w$  the set  $U \setminus D$  is not pluripolar.*

Before proving Theorem 1 let us recall some properties of the notions just defined that we need in what follows.

We shall start by considering  $L_h^2$ -domains of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}$  ( $n = 1$ ). First we list a number of properties of polar sets in  $\mathbb{C}$  that we shall use (see [Ran], [Con]).

Let  $D$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $K \subset D$  be a polar set relatively closed in  $D$ . Then:

- if  $D$  is additionally connected then so is  $D \setminus K$ ,
- for any  $\lambda \in D$  and for any  $0 < s$  with  $\Delta(\lambda, s) \subset\subset D$  there is an  $s < r$  with  $\Delta(\lambda, r) \subset\subset D$  and  $\partial\Delta(\lambda, r) \cap K = \emptyset$ ,
- for any  $f \in L_h^2(D \setminus K)$  there is an  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(D)$  such that  $\tilde{f}|_{D \setminus K} = f$ .

There is also a precise description of  $L_h^2$ -domains of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**THEOREM 3** (see [Con], Theorem 9.9, p. 351). *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $z \in \partial D$ . Then there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $z$  such that any  $f \in L_h^2(D)$  extends holomorphically to  $D \cup U$  if and only if there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $z$  such that the set  $V \setminus D$  is polar.*

One may easily get from Theorem 3 the following description of  $L_h^2$ -domains of holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $D$  is an  $L_h^2$ -domain of holomorphy iff for any  $w \in \partial D$  and for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $w$  the set  $U \setminus D$  is not polar.*

Note that Theorem 2 is the exact higher-dimensional counterpart of Theorem 4.

Let us now recall some basic properties of regular points and the Green function. For a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  we have  $g_D(p, \cdot) \in \text{PSH}(D)$ ,  $g_D(p, \cdot) < 0$ . A bounded domain  $D$  is hyperconvex iff  $g_D(p, \cdot)$  is a continuous exhaustive function of  $D$ .

In the case of bounded planar domains it is well known that the Green function is symmetric (as a function of two variables) and  $g_D(p, \cdot)$  is harmonic on  $D \setminus \{p\}$ . Moreover, a point  $w \in \partial D$  is regular iff for some (any)  $p \in D$ ,  $g_D(p, \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  as  $D \ni \lambda \rightarrow w$ . Consequently, a bounded domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is hyperconvex iff any point of its boundary is regular. The set of irregular points of any bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  is polar.

Below we shall need some estimate for the Bergman kernel in the one-dimensional case that will enable us to prove Theorem 1 in dimension one.

**THEOREM 5** (see [Ohs 2]). *Let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then there is a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\sqrt{K_D(z)} \geq CA_D(z; 1), \quad z \in D.$$

Our first aim is to obtain the following exhaustion property of the Bergman kernel at regular points.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Assume that  $w \in \partial D$  is a regular point. Then  $K_D(z) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $D \ni z \rightarrow w$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 5 it is sufficient to show that

$$(6) \quad r(p) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow w,$$

where  $r := r(p) := \text{diam } D(p)$ ,  $D(p) := \{z \in D : g_D(p, z) < -1\}$ . In fact, assuming the last property we get (see [Zwo 1])

$$A_D(p; 1) = eA_{D(p)}(p; 1) \geq eA_{\Delta(p,r)}(p; 1) = \frac{e}{r} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow w.$$

Suppose that (6) does not hold. Then one easily finds an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , sequences  $D \ni p_\nu \rightarrow w$  and  $D \ni z_\nu \rightarrow z \in \bar{D}$  such that  $|p_\nu - z_\nu| \geq \varepsilon$  and  $g_D(p_\nu, z_\nu) < -1$ . Taking  $\tilde{D} := D \cup V$ , where  $V$  is some small disc around  $z$  such that  $w \notin \bar{V}$ , we get  $g_D(p_\nu, z_\nu) \geq g_{\tilde{D}}(p_\nu, z_\nu)$  and  $z \in \tilde{D}$ . In other words, it is sufficient to show that  $g_{\tilde{D}}(p_\nu, z_\nu) \rightarrow 0$ . But because of the pointwise convergence of  $g_{\tilde{D}}(p_\nu, \cdot) = g_{\tilde{D}}(\cdot, p_\nu)$  to 0 (as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ), the harmonicity of  $g_{\tilde{D}}(p_\nu, \cdot)$  near  $z$  and the Vitali theorem, we conclude that  $g_{\tilde{D}}(p_\nu, \cdot)$  tends uniformly to 0 on some neighborhood of  $z$ . ■

REMARK 7. In view of property (6) it follows from the estimates in [Die-Her] that for any bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  the convergence  $\beta_D(z; 1) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $z \rightarrow w \in \partial D$  holds for any regular point  $w \in \partial D$ .

LEMMA 8. *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $w \in \partial D$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (7)  $\limsup_{D \ni z \rightarrow w} K_D(z) < \infty$ ,
- (8) *there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $w$  such that the set  $U \setminus D$  is polar.*

*Proof.* Let us first make a general remark:  $U \setminus D$  being polar is equivalent to  $U \cap \partial D$  being polar.

(8) $\Rightarrow$ (7). If  $U$  satisfies (8) then without loss of generality one may assume that  $K := U \cap \partial D \subset\subset U$ . So there is a domain  $\tilde{D}$  with  $D = \tilde{D} \setminus K$ ,  $w \in \tilde{D}$ , where  $K$  is a compact polar set. Then

$$L_h^2(D) = L_h^2(\tilde{D})|_D$$

and, consequently,  $K_D = K_{\tilde{D}}|_D$ , which implies (7).

(7) $\Rightarrow$ (8). Suppose that for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $w$  the set  $U \cap \partial D$  is not polar. Then there is a sequence  $w_\nu \rightarrow w$ ,  $w_\nu \in \partial D$ , such that  $D$  is regular at  $w_\nu$ . In view of Proposition 6 we have  $K_D(z) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $D \ni z \rightarrow w_\nu$ , which easily finishes the proof. ■

We are now able to study the situation in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  ( $n > 1$ ).

LEMMA 9. *Let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Fix  $0 < r < t$ . For any  $z' \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  define  $A(z') := \{z_n \in tE : (z', z_n) \in D\} = tE \setminus K(z')$ . Assume that  $K(0')$  is polar and there is a neighborhood  $0' \in V$  such that for almost any  $z' \in V$  (with respect to the  $(2n - 2)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure) the*

set  $K(z')$  is polar. Then there is a neighborhood  $0' \in V' \subset V$  such that for any  $f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$  there exists a function  $F \in \mathcal{O}(V' \times rE)$  with  $F = f$  on  $(V' \times rE) \cap D$ .

*Proof.* Because  $K(0')$  is polar there is an  $s$  with  $0 < r < s < t$  such that  $K(0') \cap \partial(sE) = \emptyset$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $0' \in V' \subset V$  such that for any  $\zeta' \in V'$  we have  $K(\zeta') \cap \partial(sE) = \emptyset$ .

Define

$$F(\zeta', z_n) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial(sE)} \frac{f(\zeta', \lambda)}{\lambda - z_n} d\lambda, \quad (\zeta', z_n) \in V' \times sE.$$

Then  $F$  is a holomorphic function on  $V' \times sE$ .

On the other hand by the square integrability of  $f$ , the Fubini theorem and the assumptions of the lemma, for almost all  $\zeta' \in V'$  (with respect to the  $(2n - 2)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure) the function  $f(\zeta', \cdot)$  is in  $L^2_{\text{h}}(tE \setminus K(\zeta'))$  and  $K(\zeta')$  is polar. Since closed polar sets are removable for  $L^2_{\text{h}}$ -functions, for almost all  $\zeta' \in V'$  the function  $f(\zeta', \cdot)$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $tE$ . So the Cauchy formula applies and we obtain the equality  $f(\zeta', z_n) = F(\zeta', z_n)$ ,  $(\zeta', z_n) \in (V' \times sE) \cap D$ , for almost all  $\zeta' \in V'$ . Since the equality holds on a dense subset of  $(V' \times sE) \cap D$ , it holds on the whole set. ■

Before we start the proof of Theorem 1 let us formulate, in the form that we need, the most powerful tool we shall use, namely the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem.

**THEOREM 10** (see [Ohs-Tak]). *Let  $D$  be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $L$  be a complex line. Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D \cap L)$  there is an  $F \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$  with  $\|F\|_{L^2_{\text{h}}(D)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2_{\text{h}}(D \cap L)}$  and  $F|_{D \cap L} = f$ .*

Note that Theorem 10 directly leads to the following inequality for the Bergman kernel:

$$K_{D \cap L}(z) \leq C^2 K_D(z), \quad z \in D \cap L.$$

This inequality will often be used below. Note only that the set  $D \cap L$  on the left-hand side is open (as a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ ) but not necessarily connected.

We now prove our main result.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* First note that the result for  $n = 1$  follows from Theorem 4 and Lemma 8, so assume that  $n \geq 2$ .

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Suppose that  $D$  is not an  $L^2_{\text{h}}$ -domain of holomorphy. Then there are a polydisc  $P \subset D$  with  $\partial P \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$  and a polydisc  $\tilde{P} \supset \supset P$ ,

$\tilde{P} \not\subset D$ , such that for every function  $f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$  there is a function  $\hat{f} \in H^\infty(\tilde{P})$  with  $f = \hat{f}$  on  $P$ .

We claim that for any  $z \in P$  and for any complex line  $L$  passing through  $z$  we have

$$L \cap D \cap \tilde{P} = (L \cap \tilde{P}) \setminus K(z), \quad \text{where } K(z) \text{ is a polar set.}$$

Suppose that  $L \cap D \cap \tilde{P} = (L \cap \tilde{P}) \setminus K(z)$ , where  $K(z)$  is not a polar set. Choose a compact non-polar set  $K' \subset K(z) \subset (L \cap \tilde{P}) \setminus D$  such that  $V_0 = L \setminus \hat{K}'$  (where  $\hat{K}'$  denotes the polynomial hull of  $K'$ ) contains  $L \cap P$ . Then there is a function  $f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(V_0)$  which does not extend holomorphically through  $\hat{K}'$  (cf. Theorem 3). Let  $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^N$ , where  $0 \leq N \leq \infty$ , be the family of bounded components of  $L \setminus K'$ . Additionally, we let  $f$  be identically 0 on  $\bigcup_{j=1}^N V_j$ .

In view of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem there exists an  $F \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$  such that  $F|_{L \cap D} = f|_{L \cap D}$ . But then there is an  $\hat{F} \in H^\infty(\tilde{P})$  such that  $\hat{F}|_P = F|_P$ . Consequently,  $\hat{F}|_{L \cap \tilde{P}}$  is a holomorphic extension of  $f|_{L \setminus \hat{K}'}$  through  $\hat{K}'$ , a contradiction.

It follows from the above claim that  $\tilde{P} \cap D$  is connected. Consequently, for any function  $f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$  its (unique) extension  $\hat{f} \in H^\infty(\tilde{P})$  satisfies the equality  $f = \hat{f}$  on  $D \cap \tilde{P}$ .

Consider the space

$$A := \{(f, \hat{f}) : f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)\} \subset L^2_{\text{h}}(D) \times H^\infty(\tilde{P})$$

with the norm  $\|(f, \hat{f})\| := \|f\|_{L^2_{\text{h}}(D)} + \|\hat{f}\|_{H^\infty(\tilde{P})}$ . It is easily seen that  $A$  is a Banach space. Consider the mapping  $\pi : A \ni (f, \hat{f}) \mapsto f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$ . Then  $\pi$  is a one-to-one surjective continuous linear mapping. Hence, in view of the Banach open mapping theorem,  $\pi^{-1}$  is a continuous linear mapping. In other words, there is a constant  $C > 1$  such that

$$\|(f, \hat{f})\| \leq C \|f\|_{L^2_{\text{h}}(D)}, \quad f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D);$$

in particular,  $\|\hat{f}\|_{H^\infty(\tilde{P})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2_{\text{h}}(D)}$ . Consequently,

$$\sup_{z \in \tilde{P} \cap D} K_D(z) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(z)|^2}{\|f\|_{L^2_{\text{h}}(D)}^2} : z \in \tilde{P} \cap D, f \neq 0, f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D) \right\} \leq C^2,$$

which contradicts (5) for any  $w \in \partial P \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ .

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (5). Fix  $w \in \partial D$ . First consider the case  $w \notin \text{int}(\bar{D})$ . Then there is a sequence  $z_\nu \rightarrow w$  with  $z_\nu \notin \bar{D}$ . Let  $B_\nu$  be the largest open ball centered at  $z_\nu$  disjoint from  $\bar{D}$ . Choose  $w_\nu \in \partial B_\nu \cap \partial D$ . Obviously,  $w_\nu \rightarrow w$ .

Note that for any  $\nu$ ,  $D$  satisfies at  $w_\nu$  the “outer cone condition” (see [Pfl]). Therefore, for any  $\nu$  we have  $\lim_{D \ni z \rightarrow w_\nu} K_D(z) = \infty$  (see [Pfl]), which easily implies (5).

Assume now that  $w \in \text{int}(\bar{D})$ . Suppose that (5) does not hold at  $w$ . Then there is a polydisc  $P$  with center at  $w$  such that  $\sup\{K_D(z) : z \in D \cap P\} < \infty$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $P \subset\subset \text{int}(\bar{D})$ . Consider any complex line  $L$  intersecting  $P$ . We claim that  $L \cap P \cap D$  is equal to  $(L \cap P) \setminus K$ , where  $K$  is a polar set or  $K = L \cap P$ . In fact if this were not the case then  $\sup_{z \in L \cap P \cap D} K_{L \cap D}(z) = \infty$  (the Bergman kernel is here understood as that of a one-dimensional set) (use Lemma 8) and, consequently, in view of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem we would get  $\sup_{z \in L \cap P \cap D} K_D(z) = \infty$ , a contradiction.

Note that there is a complex line  $L$  passing through  $w$  such that  $L \cap P \cap D$  is not empty. Assume that  $w = 0$ . Making a linear change of coordinates and shrinking  $P$  if necessary we may assume that  $P = E^n$  and that  $\{\lambda \in E : (0, \dots, 0, \lambda) \in D\}$  is not empty.

Therefore, the assumptions of Lemma 9 are satisfied (with some neighborhood  $V \subset E^{n-1}$  of  $0' \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ ) and there is a neighborhood  $0' \in V' \subset E^{n-1}$  such that for any  $f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$  there is a function  $F \in \mathcal{O}(V' \times \frac{1}{2}E)$  with  $F = f$  on  $(V' \times \frac{1}{2}E) \cap D$ , a contradiction. ■

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Because of Theorem 4 we may assume that  $n \geq 2$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that for some  $w \in \partial D$  there is a polydisc  $P$  such that  $P \setminus D$  is pluripolar. Let  $u \in \text{PSH}(P)$  be such that  $u \not\equiv -\infty$  and  $P \setminus D \subset \{u = -\infty\}$ . Take a non-empty open set  $U \subset D \cap P$  and consider all complex lines connecting  $w$  to some point from  $U$ . It is easy to see that there is a complex line  $L$  such that  $u \not\equiv -\infty$  on  $L \cap P$ . Assume that  $w = 0$ . Making a linear change of coordinates and shrinking  $P$  if necessary, we may assume that  $P = E^n$  and  $\{z_n \in E : (0', z_n) \notin D\}$  is polar. Because of the local integrability of  $u$ , for almost any  $z' \in E^{n-1}$  (with respect to the  $(2n-2)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure) the function  $u(z', \cdot)$  is not identically  $-\infty$  on  $E$ . Consequently, for almost every  $z' \in E^{n-1}$  the set  $\{z_n \in E : (z', z_n) \notin D\}$  is polar. Applying Lemma 9 we obtain the existence of an open set  $0 \in Q$  such that for any  $f \in L^2_{\text{h}}(D)$  there exists an  $F \in \mathcal{O}(Q)$  with  $f = F$  on  $D \cap Q$ , a contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that the implication does not hold, so in view of Theorem 1 there is a  $w \in \partial D$  such that  $\limsup_{D \ni z \rightarrow w} K_D(z) < \infty$ . In other words there is a polydisc  $P$  with center at  $w$  such that  $\sup_{z \in D \cap P} K_D(z) < \infty$ .

First note that for any complex line  $L$  with  $L \cap P \neq \emptyset$  we have  $L \cap P \cap D = \emptyset$  or  $L \cap P \cap D = (L \cap P) \setminus K$ , where  $K$  is a polar set. Actually, if there were  $L$  such that  $L \cap P \cap D = (L \cap P) \setminus K$ , with  $K \neq L \cap P$  and  $K$  not polar, then for some  $U \subset\subset L \cap P$ ,  $\sup_{z \in U \cap D} K_{D \cap L}(z) = \infty$  (use Lemma 8). Therefore,

in view of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem,  $\sup_{z \in U \cap D} K_D(z) = \infty$ , a contradiction.

Consequently, one may apply a result of A. Sadullaev (see [Sad 2] and also [Sad 1]) to deduce that the set  $P \setminus D$  is pluripolar, a contradiction. ■

It follows from the reasoning in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 that the following higher-dimensional counterpart of Lemma 8 holds.

LEMMA 11. *Let  $D$  be a bounded pseudoconvex domain and let  $w \in \partial D$ . Then  $\limsup_{D \ni z \rightarrow w} K_D(z) < \infty$  if and only if for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $w$  the set  $U \setminus D$  is pluripolar.*

The known examples of  $L_h^2$ -domains of holomorphy include bounded pseudoconvex fat domains and bounded pseudoconvex balanced domains. The characterization of  $L_h^2$ -domains of holomorphy given by us yields many examples of such domains. Below we give an example of a new class of domains having this property.

For a bounded pseudoconvex domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  we define the following Hartogs domain with  $m$ -dimensional balanced fibers:

$$G_D := \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+m} : H(z, w) < 1\},$$

where  $\log H$  is plurisubharmonic on  $D \times \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $H(z, \lambda w) = |\lambda|H(z, w)$ ,  $(z, w) \in D \times \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $G_D$  is bounded (i.e.  $H(z, w) \geq C\|w\|$  for some  $C > 0$ ,  $(z, w) \in D \times \mathbb{C}^m$ ). Then  $G_D$  is a bounded pseudoconvex domain.

PROPOSITION 12. *Let  $D$  be a bounded  $L_h^2$ -domain of holomorphy. Then  $G_D$  is an  $L_h^2$ -domain of holomorphy.*

*Proof.* Take  $(z^0, w^0) \in \partial G_D$ . If  $z^0 \in D$  then

$$\lim_{G_D \ni (z, w) \rightarrow (z^0, w^0)} K_{G_D}(z, w) = \infty$$

(use Theorem 3.1(i) from [Jar-Pfl-Zwo]).

Assume now that  $z^0 \in \partial D$ . Let  $V$  be any neighborhood of  $(z^0, w^0)$ . In view of Lemma 11 and Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that  $V \setminus G_D$  is not pluripolar. We may assume that  $V = V_1 \times V_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m}$ . Because  $D$  is an  $L_h^2$ -domain of holomorphy Theorem 2 applies and  $V_1 \setminus D$  is not pluripolar. Since  $V \setminus G_D \supset (V_1 \setminus D) \times V_2$  and the latter set is not pluripolar, the proof is finished. ■

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank A. Edigarian for drawing their attention to the result of A. Sadullaev.

After the paper had been finished the authors learnt about the existence of a paper of J. Siciak (see [Sic]) in which a similar result to that of Lemma 9 was proven (but with other methods).

## References

- [Blo-Pfl] Z. Błocki and P. Pflug, *Hyperconvexity and Bergman completeness*, Nagoya Math. J. 151 (1998), 221–225.
- [Chen 1] B. Y. Chen, *Completeness of the Bergman kernel on non-smooth pseudoconvex domains*, Ann. Polon. Math. 71 (1999), 242–251.
- [Chen 2] —, *A remark on the Bergman completeness*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 42 (2000), 11–15.
- [Con] J. B. Conway, *Functions of One Complex Variable II*, Grad. Texts in Math. 159, Springer, 1995.
- [Die-Her] K. Diederich and G. Herbort, *Quantitative estimates for the Green function and an application to the Bergman metric*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 50 (2000), 1205–1228.
- [Her] G. Herbort, *The Bergman metric on hyperconvex domains*, Math. Z. 232 (1999), 183–196.
- [Jar-Pfl] M. Jarnicki and P. Pflug, *Invariant Distances and Metrics in Complex Analysis*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
- [Jar-Pfl-Zwo] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug and W. Zwonek, *On Bergman completeness of non-hyperconvex domains*, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. 38 (2000), 169–184.
- [Kli] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1991.
- [Ohs 1] T. Ohsawa, *On the Bergman kernel of hyperconvex domains*, Nagoya Math. J. 129 (1993), 43–52.
- [Ohs 2] —, *Addendum to “On the Bergman kernel of hyperconvex domains”*, Nagoya Math. J. 129 (1993), 43–52, *ibid.* 137 (1995), 145–148.
- [Ohs-Tak] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, *On the extension of  $L^2$  holomorphic functions*, Math. Z. 195 (1987), 197–204.
- [Pfl] P. Pflug, *Quadratintegrable holomorphe Funktionen und die Serre-Vermutung*, Math. Ann. 216 (1975), 285–288.
- [Ran] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [Sad 1] A. Sadullaev, *Rational approximations and pluripolar sets*, Math. USSR-Sb. 47 (1984), 91–113.
- [Sad 2] —, *Plurisubharmonic functions*, in: Several Complex Variables II, Encyclopedia Math. Sci. 8, Springer, 1994, 59–106.
- [Sic] J. Siciak, *On removable singularities of  $L^2$  holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, Prace Mat.-Fiz. WSI Radom 1982, 73–91.
- [Zwo 1] W. Zwonek, *Regularity properties of the Azukawa metric*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 52 (2000), 899–914.
- [Zwo 2] —, *An example concerning Bergman completeness*, Nagoya Math. J. 164 (2001), 89–101.

Fachbereich Mathematik  
 Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg  
 Postfach 2503  
 D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany  
 E-mail: pflug@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de

Institute of Mathematics  
 Jagiellonian University  
 Reymonta 4  
 30-059 Kraków, Poland  
 E-mail: zwonek@im.uj.edu.pl

Received January 12, 2001  
 Revised version January 2, 2002

(4667)