

Some examples of cocycles with simple continuous singular spectrum

by

KRZYSZTOF FRĄCZEK (Toruń)

Abstract. We study spectral properties of Anzai skew products $T_\varphi : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ defined by

$$T_\varphi(z, \omega) = (e^{2\pi i \alpha} z, \varphi(z) \omega),$$

where α is irrational and $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a measurable cocycle. Precisely, we deal with the case where φ is piecewise absolutely continuous such that the sum of all jumps of φ equals zero. It is shown that the simple continuous singular spectrum of T_φ on the orthocomplement of the space of functions depending only on the first variable is a “typical” property in the above-mentioned class of cocycles, if α admits a sufficiently fast approximation.

1. Introduction. By \mathbb{T} we denote the circle group $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ which will most often be treated as the interval $[0, 1)$ with addition mod 1; λ will denote Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} . A function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *piecewise absolutely continuous* (PAC for short) if there exist $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_k \in \mathbb{T}$ ($0 \leq \beta_0 < \dots < \beta_k < 1$) such that $f|_{(\beta_j, \beta_{j+1})}$ is absolutely continuous ($\beta_{k+1} = \beta_0$). Then we set

$$f_+(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x^+} f(y) \quad \text{and} \quad f_-(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow x^-} f(y).$$

Let $d_j = f_+(\beta_j) - f_-(\beta_j)$ for $j = 0, \dots, k$ and

$$S(f) = \sum_{j=0}^k d_j = - \sum_{j=0}^k (f_-(\beta_j) - f_+(\beta_j)) = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} Df(x) d\lambda(x).$$

We call a function $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ piecewise absolutely continuous if there exists a PAC function $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(e^{2\pi i x}) = e^{2\pi i \tilde{\varphi}(x)}$. Set $S(\varphi) = S(\tilde{\varphi})$. Since the number $S(\tilde{\varphi})$ is independent of the choice of the function $\tilde{\varphi}$, the number $S(\varphi)$ is well defined and will be called the *sum of jumps* of φ .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 37A05.

Research partly supported by KBN grant 2 P03A 002 14(1998), by FWF grant P12250–MAT and by Foundation for Polish Science.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ be irrational. Denote by $Tz = e^{2\pi i\alpha z}$ ($Tx = x + \alpha \pmod{1}$) the corresponding ergodic rotation on \mathbb{T} . We will study spectral properties of measure preserving automorphisms of \mathbb{T}^2 (called *Anzai skew products*) defined by

$$T_\varphi(z, \omega) = (Tz, \varphi(z)\omega)$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a PAC function.

Consider the Koopman unitary operator $U_{T_\varphi} : L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \lambda \otimes \lambda) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \lambda \otimes \lambda)$ associated with the Anzai skew product T_φ and defined by $U_{T_\varphi} = f \circ T_\varphi$. Let us decompose

$$L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \lambda \otimes \lambda) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_m$$

where

$$H_m = \{g : g(z, \omega) = f(z)\omega^m, f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)\}.$$

Observe that H_m is a closed U_{T_φ} -invariant subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}, \lambda \otimes \lambda)$. Moreover the operator $U_{T_\varphi} : H_m \rightarrow H_m$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator $U_\varphi^{(m)} : L^2(\mathbb{T}, \lambda) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)$ given by

$$(U_\varphi^{(m)} f)(z) = \varphi(z)^m f(Tz).$$

This leads to the problem of spectral classification of unitary operators $V_g : L^2(\mathbb{T}, \lambda) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)$ given by $V_g f(z) = g(z)f(Tz)$, where $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a measurable function.

Let U be a unitary operator on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we define the *cyclic space* $\mathbb{Z}(f) = \text{span}\{U^n f : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. By the *spectral measure* σ_f of f we mean a Borel measure on \mathbb{T} determined by the equalities

$$\widehat{\sigma}_f(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\sigma_f(z) = (U^n f, f)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{H} such that

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}(f_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{f_1} \gg \sigma_{f_2} \gg \dots$$

The spectral type of σ_{f_1} (the equivalence class of measures) will be called the *maximal spectral type* of U . We say that U has *Lebesgue* (resp. *continuous singular*, *discrete*) *spectrum* if σ_{f_1} is equivalent to Lebesgue (resp. continuous singular, discrete) measure on the circle. A number $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called the *maximal spectral multiplicity* of U if $\sigma_{f_n} \not\equiv 0$ for $n \leq m$ and $\sigma_{f_n} \equiv 0$ for $n > m$. We say that U has *simple spectrum* if the maximal spectral multiplicity of U equals 1.

The notion of the skew product was introduced in 1951 by Anzai (see [1]) to give some examples of ergodic transformations with some special spectral types. Anzai skew products or more generally operators V_g have a

well known property called the purity law. Precisely, each operator V_g has either Lebesgue or continuous singular or discrete spectrum (see [6] and [10]).

In the case where $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a smooth cocycle, the spectral properties of T_φ depend on the value of the topological degree of φ , which equals $-S(\varphi)$. For example, if φ is of class C^2 and $S(\varphi) \neq 0$, then T_φ has countable Lebesgue spectrum on H_0^\perp (see [2] and [10]). On the other hand, $S(\varphi) = 0$ implies singular spectrum for absolutely continuous φ (see [3]). In this case, numerous dynamical properties of the skew product depend on properties of the continued fraction expansion of α . For example, each smooth cocycle with zero degree is cohomologous to a constant if α admits a sufficiently slow approximation. It follows that the skew product has pure discrete spectrum. On the other hand, if α admits a sufficiently fast approximation, then the skew product associated with a generic C^r -cocycle ($r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) with zero degree has simple continuous singular spectrum of T_φ on H_0^\perp (see [8]). Generally, we also have some information about multiplicity of $U_\varphi^{(m)}$. For every absolutely continuous $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, the multiplicity of V_g is at most $\max(1, |S(g)|)$ (see [5]).

In the case where $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is PAC, the spectral properties of T_φ also depend on the value $S(\varphi)$. For example, $S(\varphi) \neq 0$ implies continuous spectrum on H_0^\perp (see [9]). Moreover, if φ has a single discontinuity with $S(\varphi) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, then T_φ has continuous singular spectrum on H_0^\perp .

In the paper we deal with the case where $S(\varphi) = 0$. Generally, it is shown in [5] that the multiplicity of each operator $U_\varphi^{(m)}$ is at most the number of discontinuities of φ . However, every piecewise constant cocycle such that all the discontinuities of φ are multiples of α is cohomologous to a constant cocycle, because each cocycle of the form $\varphi(e^{2\pi i x}) = e^{2\pi i a \mathbf{1}_{[0, k\alpha)}(x) + b}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is cohomologous to a constant cocycle (see [7], p. 82). Then T_φ has discrete spectrum. If φ has only rational jumps (i.e. $d_0, \dots, d_k \in \mathbb{Q}$), then φ^m is constant for a nonzero m , hence $U_\varphi^{(m)}$ also has discrete spectrum. On the other hand, we will show that the simple continuous singular spectrum of T_φ on H_0^\perp is a ‘‘typical’’ property for PAC cocycles whose sum of jumps equals zero, if α admits a sufficiently fast approximation.

For every natural k define

$$\mathbb{T}_+^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{T}^k : 0 \leq x_1 < \dots < x_k < 1\}.$$

We will prove the following assertion.

THEOREM 1.1 [Main Theorem]. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ be an irrational number with unbounded partial quotients in its continued fraction expansion. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subset $B_{k+1} \subset \mathbb{T}_+^{k+1}$ of full Lebesgue measure such that if $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a PAC function with*

- $S(\varphi) = 0$;
- at least one of its jumps being irrational;
- $k + 1$ discontinuities β_0, \dots, β_k satisfying $(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_k) \in B_{k+1}$,

then T_φ has simple continuous singular spectrum on H_0^\perp .

To prove this theorem we will use the idea of δ -weak mixing. Let δ be a complex number such that $|\delta| \leq 1$. We say that a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is δ -weakly mixing along a sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (U^{q_n} f, f) = \delta(f, f)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

A simple spectral analysis gives the following well known fact.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $U_i : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be a unitary operator on a separable Hilbert space. Assume that the U_i are δ_i -weakly mixing along a common sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. If $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2$, then the maximal spectral types of U_i are mutually singular.

We will apply the concept of the δ -weak mixing to the family of unitary operators $(U_\varphi^{(m)})$. We say that an increasing sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers is a *rigid time* for T if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|q_n \alpha\| = 0$$

where $\|t\|$ is the distance of t from the set of integers. For given $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\varphi^{(q)}(z) = \varphi(z)\varphi(Tz) \dots \varphi(T^{q-1}z).$$

PROPOSITION 2 (see [4]). Assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (\varphi^{(q_n)}(z))^m dz = \delta_m$$

where $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a rigid time for T . Then the operator $U_\varphi^{(m)}$ is δ_m -weakly mixing along $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

2. The definition of the set B_k . Assume that $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ is irrational with continued fraction expansion

$$\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots].$$

Let (p_n/q_n) be the convergents of α ; then

$$\|\alpha q_n\| = |q_n \alpha - p_n| < 1/q_{n+1}$$

and $(T^j[0, \|\alpha q_{n-1}\|])_{0 \leq j < q_n}$ is a tower (i.e. a family of pairwise disjoint sets). We also have

$$\|\alpha q_{n+1}\| = a_{n+1} \|\alpha q_n\| + \|\alpha q_{n-1}\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\alpha q_{n-1}\| q_n + \|\alpha q_n\| q_{n-1} = 1.$$

We shall consider α with unbounded partial quotients, i.e. we can choose a subsequence, still denoted by n , such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1} = \infty$. Then, with the previous relations, $q_n \|q_n \alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ and $q_n \|q_{n-1} \alpha\| \rightarrow 1$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $0 < \tau < 1$ and let $W = \prod_{i=1}^k [v_i, w_i]$ be a closed cube in \mathbb{T}^k with $\lambda^k(W) > 0$. For almost every $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \mathbb{T}^k$ there exists a subsequence $\{q_{n_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} q_{n_j} \|q_{n_j} \alpha\| = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\{q_{n_j} \beta_1\}, \dots, \{q_{n_j} \beta_k\}) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in W$$

and

$$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in \bigcup_{\tau q_{n_j} < t < q_{n_j}} T^t [0, \|q_{n_j-1} \alpha\|)$$

for every natural j .

Proof. Assume that $\{\Xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of towers for the rotation T for which $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\Xi_n) > 0$ and $\text{height}(\Xi_n) \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$(1) \quad \lambda(B \cap \Xi_n) - \lambda(B)\lambda(\Xi_n) \rightarrow 0$$

for any measurable $B \subset \mathbb{T}$ (see King [11], Lemma 3.4). It follows that for almost all $\beta \in \mathbb{T}$ there exist infinitely many n such that $\beta \in \Xi_n$.

Applying this fact for subsequences of the towers

$$\{(T^j [v_i \| \alpha q_{n-1} \|, w_i \| \alpha q_{n-1} \|])_{\tau q_n < j < q_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

successively for $i = 1, \dots, k$, we conclude that for λ^k -a.e. $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \mathbb{T}^k$ there exist sequences $\{n_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{t_i^{(j)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, of natural numbers such that $\tau q_{n_j} < t_i^{(j)} < q_{n_j}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i &\in T^{t_i^{(j)}} [v_i \| \alpha q_{n_j-1} \|, w_i \| \alpha q_{n_j-1} \|) \\ &= [v_i \| \alpha q_{n_j-1} \| + t_i^{(j)} \alpha, w_i \| \alpha q_{n_j-1} \| + t_i^{(j)} \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

We can assume that $(\{q_{n_j} \beta_1\}, \dots, \{q_{n_j} \beta_k\}) \rightarrow (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$\{q_{n_j} \beta_i\} \in [v_i q_{n_j} \|q_{n_j-1} \alpha\| + t_i^{(j)} \|q_{n_j} \alpha\|, w_i q_{n_j} \|q_{n_j-1} \alpha\| + t_i^{(j)} \|q_{n_j} \alpha\|).$$

Since

$$t_i^{(j)} \|q_{n_j} \alpha\| \leq q_{n_j} \|q_{n_j} \alpha\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{n_j} \|q_{n_j-1} \alpha\| \rightarrow 1,$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we have $v_i \leq \gamma_i \leq w_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and finally $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in W$. ■

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{T}^k$ denote the set of all $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \mathbb{T}^k$ such that

$$\forall_{m_1, \dots, m_k \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}} \quad m_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + m_k \gamma_k \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow m_1, \dots, m_k = 0.$$

Since the set Γ is open and dense, we can choose a cube $W = \prod_{i=1}^k [v_i, w_i]$

(with $0 < w_i < v_{i+1} < 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$) such that $W \subset \Gamma$ and $\lambda^k(W) > 0$. Fix $1/2 < \tau < 1$. Let B' denote the set of all $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \mathbb{T}^k$ such that there exists a subsequence $\{q_{n_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} q_{n_j} \|q_{n_j} \alpha\| = 0, \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\{q_{n_j} \beta_1\}, \dots, \{q_{n_j} \beta_k\}) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in W$$

and

$$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in \bigcup_{\tau q_{n_j} < t < q_{n_j}} T^t[0, \|q_{n_j-1} \alpha\|)$$

for any natural j . Then $0 = \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_k < \gamma_{k+1} = 1$. By Lemma 2.1, $\lambda^k(B') = 1$. Define $B_k = B' \cap \mathbb{T}_+^k$.

3. Proof of the Main Theorem. For given $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$f^{(q)}(x) = f(x) + f(x + \alpha) + \dots + f(x + (q-1)\alpha).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a PAC cocycle and let $0 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_k < \beta_{k+1} = 1$ be all of the points of discontinuity of φ . Assume that $S(\varphi) = 0$, φ has at least one irrational jump and $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in B_k$. Choose a PAC function $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(x) = e^{2\pi i \tilde{\varphi}(x)}$ and $0 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_k < \beta_{k+1} = 1$ are all of the points of discontinuity of $\tilde{\varphi}$. Let $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a subsequence of denominators of α with the properties of Lemma 2.1.

As will be shown in Lemma 3.2 (see §3.2), for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\delta_r^{(m)} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2\pi i m \tilde{\varphi}(r q_n)(x)} dx = \delta_r^{(m)}.$$

This leads to the following statement: each unitary operator $U_\varphi^{(m)}$ is $\delta_r^{(m)}$ -weakly mixing along $\{r q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, by Proposition 2. Moreover, it will be proved in Lemma 3.3 (see §3.2) that for every $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < |\delta_r^{(m)}| < 1$ and for all distinct $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta_r^{(m_1)} \neq \delta_r^{(m_2)}$. It follows that the maximal spectral types of the operators $U_\varphi^{(m)}$ (for $m \neq 0$) are continuous singular and they are mutually singular, by Proposition 1. The simplicity of the spectrum of $U_\varphi^{(m)}$ will be proved in Lemma 3.1 (see §3.1).

Hence each of the operators $U_{T_\varphi} : H_m \rightarrow H_m$ for $m \neq 0$ has simple singular continuous spectrum and their maximal spectral types are pairwise orthogonal. It follows that T_φ has simple singular continuous spectrum on H_0^\perp . ■

3.1. Simplicity of spectrum. Let $V_g : L^2(\mathbb{T}, \lambda) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)$ be the unitary operator given by

$$V_g f(e^{2\pi i x}) = e^{2\pi i g(x)} f(Te^{2\pi i x}),$$

where $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function. We need the following:

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a PAC function with $S(g) = 0$. Let $0 = \beta_0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_k < \beta_{k+1} = 1$ be all of the points of discontinuity of g . If $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in B_k$, then V_g has simple spectrum.*

To prove this lemma we apply the following proposition proved in [5].

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $\{\Xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of towers for the rotation T . Let C_n denote the base of Ξ_n . Suppose that $h_n = \text{height}(\Xi_n) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lambda(\bigcup_{j=0}^{h_n-1} T^j C_n) \rightarrow \nu$. If there exists $c < \nu$ such that for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, \lambda)$ with $\|f\|_{L^2} = 1$ we have*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2\pi \sum_{j=0}^{h_n-1} \int_{T^j C_n} |f|^2 d\lambda \iint_{C_n^2} |g^{(j)}(x) - g^{(j)}(y)| \frac{dx dy}{\lambda(C_n)^2} \leq c,$$

then the maximal spectral multiplicity of V_g is at most $1/(\nu - c)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Since $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in B_k$, we can choose a subsequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of denominators of α with the properties of Lemma 2.1, i.e.

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n \|q_n \alpha\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k \in \bigcup_{\tau q_n < t < q_n} T^t[0, \|q_{n-1} \alpha\|).$$

We apply Proposition 3 for the tower $\Xi_n = (T^j[0, \|q_{n-1} \alpha\|])_{0 \leq j < \tau q_n}$. Then $\lambda(\bigcup_{j=0}^{h_n-1} T^j C_n) \rightarrow \tau$. Represent g as the sum of an absolutely continuous function $g_1 : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a piecewise constant $g_2 : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. From (2), the function $g_2^{(j)}$ is constant on C_n for $0 \leq j < \tau q_n$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq j < \tau q_n} \int_{T^j C_n} |f|^2 d\lambda \iint_{C_n^2} |g^{(j)}(x) - g^{(j)}(y)| \frac{dx dy}{\lambda(C_n)^2} \\ = \sum_{0 \leq j < \tau q_n} \int_{T^j C_n} |f|^2 d\lambda \iint_{C_n^2} |g_1^{(j)}(x) - g_1^{(j)}(y)| \frac{dx dy}{\lambda(C_n)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 of [5], we can assert that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subsequence $\{\Xi_{n_l}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2\pi \sum_{0 \leq j < \tau q_{n_l}} \int_{T^j C_{n_l}} |f|^2 d\lambda \iint_{C_{n_l}^2} |g_1^{(j)}(x) - g_1^{(j)}(y)| \frac{dx dy}{\lambda(C_{n_l})^2} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $\tau > 1/2$, we can take $\varepsilon < \tau - 1/2$. Applying Proposition 3 for the

sequence $\{\Xi_{n_l}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$, we conclude that the maximal spectral multiplicity of V_g is at most $1/(\tau - \varepsilon) < 2$. ■

3.2. $\delta_r^{(m)}$ -weak mixing

LEMMA 3.2. *There exists a real number a such that for all natural m and r we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2\pi i m \tilde{\varphi}^{(r q_n)}(x)} dx = \delta_r^{(m)} = e^{2\pi i m r a} \sum_{u=0}^k (\gamma_{u+1} - \gamma_u) e^{2\pi i m r \sum_{i=1}^u d_i}.$$

Proof. Set

$$\phi(x) = \int_0^x \tilde{\varphi}(y) dy - \int_0^1 \int_0^z \tilde{\varphi}(y) dy dz$$

and $\psi = \tilde{\varphi} - \phi$. Then $\phi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous with zero integral. Moreover $\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constant on each interval (β_i, β_{i+1}) and $\psi_-(\beta_i) - \psi_+(\beta_i) = \tilde{\varphi}_-(\beta_i) - \tilde{\varphi}_+(\beta_i) = d_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, k$. Of course, we can assume that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is right continuous. Then

$$\psi = \psi(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i \mathbf{1}_{[\beta_i, 1)},$$

where $d_{k+1} = d_0$. Since $\phi^{(r q_n)}$ converges uniformly to 0 (see for instance [7], p. 189), and $\tilde{\varphi}^{(r q_n)} = \phi^{(r q_n)} + \psi^{(r q_n)}$, we see that it suffices to find the limit of the sequence

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2\pi i m \psi^{(r q_n)}(x)} dx.$$

Since for any $a, b, x \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\mathbf{1}_{[b, 1)}(x+a) - \mathbf{1}_{[b, 1)}(a) = \mathbf{1}_{[b-a, 1)}(x) - \mathbf{1}_{[1-a, 1)}(x)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x+a) - \psi(a) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i (\mathbf{1}_{[\beta_i, 1)}(x+a) - \mathbf{1}_{[\beta_i, 1)}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i (\mathbf{1}_{[\beta_i-a, 1)}(x) - \mathbf{1}_{[1-a, 1)}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i \mathbf{1}_{[\beta_i-a, 1)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for any $r, q \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(3) \quad \psi^{(r q)} = \psi^{(r q)}(0) + \sum_{h=0}^{q-1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i \mathbf{1}_{[\beta_i - (s q + h) \alpha, 1)}.$$

Let $\varrho_{r,q} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\varrho_{r,q} = \psi^{(rq)}(0) + r \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i \mathbf{1}_{[(j+\gamma_i)/q, 1]}.$$

For given $1 \leq i \leq k+1$ and $0 \leq j < q_n$ let $h_i^{(j)}$ be the unique integer with $0 \leq h_i^{(j)} < q_n$ such that

$$h_i^{(j)} p_n + j = [q_n \beta_i] \bmod q_n.$$

Then

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_i - h_i^{(j)} \alpha &= \frac{[q_n \beta_i]}{q_n} + \frac{\{q_n \beta_i\}}{q_n} - h_i^{(j)} \frac{p_n}{q_n} - h_i^{(j)} \frac{\|q_n \alpha\|}{q_n} \\ &= \frac{j}{q_n} + \frac{1}{q_n} (\{q_n \beta_i\} - h_i^{(j)} \|q_n \alpha\|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\psi^{(rq_n)} - \varrho_{r,q_n} = \sum_{j=0}^{q_n-1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i (\mathbf{1}_{[\beta_i - (sq_n + h_i^{(j)})\alpha, 1]} - \mathbf{1}_{[(j+\gamma_i)/q_n, 1]}),$$

and

$$\|\psi^{(rq_n)} - \varrho_{r,q_n}\|_{L^1} \leq D \sum_{j=0}^{q_n-1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} |\beta_i - (sq_n + h_i^{(j)})\alpha - (j + \gamma_i)/q_n|,$$

where $D = \max_{i=1, \dots, k+1} |d_i|$. We conclude from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi^{(rq_n)} - \varrho_{r,q_n}\|_{L^1} &\leq D \sum_{j=0}^{q_n-1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \left| \frac{\{q_n \beta_i\} - \gamma_i}{q_n} - \left(s + \frac{h_i^{(j)}}{q_n} \right) \|q_n \alpha\| \right| \\ &\leq Dr \sum_{i=1}^k |\{q_n \beta_i\} - \gamma_i| + Dkr^2 q_n \|q_n \alpha\|, \end{aligned}$$

and hence that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi^{(rq_n)} - \varrho_{r,q_n}\|_{L^1} = 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{r,q} &= \psi^{(rq)}(0) + r \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i \left(\sum_{u=i}^k \mathbf{1}_{[(j+\gamma_u)/q, (j+\gamma_{u+1})/q]} + \mathbf{1}_{[(j+1)/q, 1]} \right) \\ &= \psi^{(rq)}(0) + r \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{u=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^u d_i \mathbf{1}_{[(j+\gamma_u)/q, (j+\gamma_{u+1})/q]} \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$(6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2\pi i m \varrho_{r,q}(x)} dx = e^{2\pi i m \psi^{(rq)}(0)} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{u=1}^k \frac{1}{q} (\gamma_{u+1} - \gamma_u) e^{2\pi i m r \sum_{i=1}^u d_i} \\ = e^{2\pi i m \psi^{(rq)}(0)} \sum_{u=0}^k (\gamma_{u+1} - \gamma_u) e^{2\pi i m r \sum_{i=1}^u d_i}.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\pi i \psi^{(q_n)}(0)} = e^{2\pi i a}.$$

Then

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\pi i \psi^{(rq_n)}(0)} = e^{2\pi i r a}.$$

Indeed, since $\{q_n \beta_i\} \rightarrow \gamma_i > \gamma_1 > 0$ and $q_n \|q_n \alpha\| \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$q_n \|q_n \alpha\| < \min_{i=1, \dots, k} \{q_n \beta_i\} / r$$

for sufficiently large n . Then for any $i = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 0, \dots, q_n$, we have

$$(r-1) \|q_n \alpha\| < \frac{\{q_n \beta_i\}}{q_n} - \|q_n \alpha\| \leq \frac{\{q_n \beta_i\}}{q_n} + \frac{j}{q_n} - \frac{h_i^{(j)} \|q_n \alpha\|}{q_n} = \beta_i - h_i^{(j)} \alpha.$$

It follows that $\psi^{(q_n)}(0) = \psi^{(q_n)}(q_n \alpha) = \dots = \psi^{(q_n)}((r-1)q_n \alpha)$, by (3). Since

$$\psi^{(rq_n)}(0) = \psi^{(q_n)}(0) + \psi^{(q_n)}(q_n \alpha) + \dots + \psi^{(q_n)}((r-1)q_n \alpha),$$

we have $\psi^{(rq_n)}(0) = r\psi^{(q_n)}(0)$. From (5)–(7), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2\pi i m \psi^{(rq_n)}(x)} dx = e^{2\pi i m r a} \sum_{u=0}^k (\gamma_{u+1} - \gamma_u) e^{2\pi i m r \sum_{i=1}^u d_i}. \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 3.3. *For every $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < |\delta_r^{(m)}| < 1$ and for all distinct $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta_r^{(m_1)} \neq \delta_r^{(m_2)}$.*

Proof. Let $G \subset \mathbb{T}$ be the subgroup generated by $1, e^{2\pi i d_1}, e^{2\pi i(d_1+d_2)}, \dots, e^{2\pi i(d_1+\dots+d_k)}$. Let us decompose

$$G = e^{2\pi i \alpha_1 \mathbb{Z}} \oplus \dots \oplus e^{2\pi i \alpha_g \mathbb{Z}} \oplus G_1,$$

where G_1 is a finite group ($c = \text{card } G_1$) and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g, 1$ are independent over \mathbb{Q} . As some of d_j is irrational, we have $g = \text{rank}(G) > 0$. Let $[a_{ij}]_{i=1, \dots, g; j=1, \dots, k}$ be an integer matrix such that

$$e^{2\pi i c(d_1+\dots+d_j)} = e^{2\pi i(a_{j1}\alpha_1+\dots+a_{jg}\alpha_g)}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Define $\omega_j = e^{2\pi i \alpha_j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, g$ and $\omega_0 = e^{2\pi i c a}$. Set $\lambda_j = \gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j$ for $j = 0, \dots, k$. Then $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_k > 0$ and $\lambda_0 + \dots + \lambda_k = 1$. Let Q denote the trigonometric polynomial on \mathbb{T}^g given by

$$Q(z_1, \dots, z_g) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 z_1^{a_{11}} \dots z_g^{a_{1g}} + \dots + \lambda_k z_1^{a_{k1}} \dots z_g^{a_{kg}}.$$

Then

$$\delta_{cr}^{(m)} = \omega_0^{mr} Q(\omega_1^{mr}, \omega_2^{mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{mr}).$$

Since some of $d_1 + \dots + d_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ are irrational, it is easy to see that $|\delta_{cr}^{(m)}| < 1$ for all $m, r \neq 0$.

We now show that for any $m \neq 0$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$0 < |Q(\omega_1^{mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{mr})| < 1.$$

Suppose that for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $Q(\omega_1^{mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{mr}) = 0$. Since $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g, 1$ are independent over \mathbb{Q} , $Q(z_1, \dots, z_g) = 0$ for any $(z_1, \dots, z_g) \in \mathbb{T}^g$. Hence $0 = Q(1, \dots, 1) = 1$, a contradiction.

Let us show that if $|m| \neq |m'|$, $m, m' \neq 0$, then there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(8) \quad |Q(\omega_1^{mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{mr})| \neq |Q(\omega_1^{m'r}, \dots, \omega_g^{m'r})|.$$

Suppose, contrary to our claim, that equality occurs in (8) for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$|Q(z_1^m, \dots, z_g^m)| = |Q(z_1^{m'}, \dots, z_g^{m'})| \quad \text{for any } (z_1, \dots, z_g) \in \mathbb{T}^g.$$

Let P denote the trigonometric polynomial on \mathbb{T} given by

$$P(z) = |Q(z^m, 1, \dots, 1)|^2 = |Q(z^{m'}, 1, \dots, 1)|^2.$$

Since

$$\max_{i,j=0,\dots,k} |m(a_{i1} - a_{j1})| = \max_{i,j=0,\dots,k} |m'(a_{i1} - a_{j1})| = \deg P > 0,$$

where $a_{01} = 0$, we obtain $|m| = |m'|$, a contradiction.

Let us show that for any $m \neq 0$ there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(9) \quad \omega_0^{mr} Q(\omega_1^{mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{mr}) \neq \omega_0^{-mr} Q(\omega_1^{-mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{-mr}).$$

Suppose that equality occurs in (9) for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\omega_0^{mr} Q(\omega_1^{mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{mr}) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Set $G_0 = \{(\omega_1^r, \dots, \omega_g^r) : r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $F : G_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the group homomorphism given by

$$F(\omega_1^r, \dots, \omega_g^r) = \omega_0^{2mr} = \frac{Q(\omega_1^{-mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{-mr})}{Q(\omega_1^{mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{mr})}.$$

Then $(\omega_1^{r_n}, \dots, \omega_g^{r_n}) \rightarrow (1, \dots, 1)$ implies

$$F(\omega_1^{r_n}, \dots, \omega_g^{r_n}) = \frac{Q(\omega_1^{-mr_n}, \dots, \omega_g^{-mr_n})}{Q(\omega_1^{mr_n}, \dots, \omega_g^{mr_n})} \rightarrow \frac{Q(1, \dots, 1)}{Q(1, \dots, 1)} = F(1, \dots, 1).$$

Since F is a continuous group homomorphism and $\bar{G}_0 = \mathbb{T}^g$, there exists a continuous group homomorphism $\bar{F}: \mathbb{T}^g \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $\bar{F}|_{G_0} = F$ and

$$\bar{F}(z_1, \dots, z_g) = z_1^{c_1} \dots z_g^{c_g},$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_g \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$\omega_0^{2m} = F(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g) = \omega_1^{c_1} \dots \omega_g^{c_g}$$

and consequently

$$\omega_1^{c_1 r} \dots \omega_g^{c_g r} Q(\omega_1^{2mr}, \dots, \omega_g^{2mr}) \in \mathbb{R}$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the trigonometric polynomial

$$z_1^{c_1} \dots z_g^{c_g} Q(z_1^{2m}, \dots, z_g^{2m})$$

has only real values. Hence there exist $m_0, \dots, m_k \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ such that $\sum_{j=0}^k m_j \lambda_j = 0$ and there exist j_1, j_2 such that $m_{j_1} = 1$ and $m_{j_2} = -1$, contrary to $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \Gamma$. ■

References

- [1] H. Anzai, *Ergodic skew product transformations on the torus*, Osaka Math. J. 3 (1951), 83–99.
- [2] G. H. Choe, *Spectral types of skewed irrational rotations*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 8 (1993), 655–668.
- [3] P. Gabriel, M. Lemańczyk et P. Liardet, *Ensemble d'invariants pour les produits croisés de Anzai*, Mém. Soc. Math. France 47 (1991).
- [4] G. R. Goodson, J. Kwiatkowski, M. Lemańczyk and P. Liardet, *On the multiplicity function of ergodic group extensions of rotations*, Studia Math. 102 (1992), 157–174.
- [5] M. Guenais, *Une majoration de la multiplicité spectrale d'opérateurs associés à des cocycles réguliers*, Israel J. Math. 105 (1998), 263–283.
- [6] H. Helson, *Cocycles on the circle*, J. Operator Theory 16 (1986), 189–199.
- [7] M. Herman, *Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations*, Publ. Mat. IHES 49 (1979), 5–234.
- [8] A. Iwanik, *Generic smooth cocycles of degree zero over irrational rotation*, Studia Math. 115 (1995), 241–250.
- [9] A. Iwanik, M. Lemańczyk and C. Mauduit, *Piecewise absolutely continuous cocycles over irrational rotations*, J. London Math. Soc. 59 (1999), 171–187.

- [10] A. Iwanik, M. Lemańczyk and D. Rudolph, *Absolutely continuous cocycles over irrational rotations*, Israel J. Math. 83 (1993), 73–95.
- [11] J. L. King, *Joining-rank and the structure of finite rank mixing transformations*, J. Anal. Math. 51 (1988), 182–227.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Nicholas Copernicus University
Chopina 12/18
87-100 Toruń, Poland
E-mail: fraczek@mat.uni.torun.pl

Received October 5, 1998
Revised version December 11, 2000

(4186)