

Constructing non-compact operators into c_0

by

IRYNA BANAKH (Lviv) and TARAS BANAKH (Lviv and Kielce)

Abstract. We prove that for each dense non-compact linear operator $S : X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces there is a linear operator $T : Y \rightarrow c_0$ such that the operator $TS : X \rightarrow c_0$ is not compact. This generalizes the Josefson–Nissenzweig Theorem.

By the Josefson–Nissenzweig Theorem [8], [9] (see also [6], [3], [4, XII], [2], and [7, 3.27] for alternative proofs and generalizations), for each infinite-dimensional Banach space Y the weak* sequential convergence and norm convergence in the dual Banach space Y^* are distinct. This allows us to find a sequence $(y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ of norm-one functionals in Y^* that converges to zero in the weak* topology. Such functionals determine a non-compact operator $T : Y \rightarrow c_0$ that assigns to each $y \in Y$ the vanishing sequence $(y_n^*(y))_{n \in \omega} \in c_0$. Thus each infinite-dimensional Banach space Y admits a non-compact operator $T : Y \rightarrow c_0$ into the Banach space c_0 .

The following theorem (which is a crucial ingredient in the topological classification [1] of closed convex sets in Fréchet spaces) says a bit more:

THEOREM 1. *For any dense non-compact operator $S : X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces there is an operator $T : Y \rightarrow c_0$ such that the composition $TS : X \rightarrow c_0$ is non-compact.*

By an *operator* we understand a continuous linear operator. An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is *dense* if $T(X)$ is dense in Y .

The proof of Theorem 1 uses the famous Rosenthal ℓ_1 Theorem [10] (see also [4, XI] and [2]) saying that any bounded sequence in a Banach space X contains a subsequence which is either weakly Cauchy or ℓ_1 -basic.

A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called ℓ_1 -*basic* if there are constants $0 < c \leq C < \infty$ such that for each real sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \omega} \in \ell_1$,

$$c \sum_{n \in \omega} |\alpha_n| \leq \left\| \sum_{n \in \omega} \alpha_n x_n \right\| \leq C \sum_{n \in \omega} |\alpha_n|.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47B07, 46B15.

Key words and phrases: compact operator, Banach space, Josefson–Nissenzweig Theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume that $S : X \rightarrow Y$ is a dense non-compact operator. Let $(e_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be the standard Schauder basis of the Banach space c_0 and $(e_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ be the dual basis in the dual space $c_0^* = \ell_1$. To construct the operator $T : Y \rightarrow c_0$ with TS non-compact, we shall consider three cases.

1. First we assume that the following condition holds:

- (i) there is an ℓ_1 -basic sequence $(y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ in Y^* such that the sequence $(S^*y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ is ℓ_1 -basic and weak* null in X^* .

In this case we define the operator $T : Y \rightarrow c_0$ by $T : y \mapsto (y_n^*(y))_{n \in \omega}$. Observe that the dual operator $T^* : c_0^* \rightarrow Y^*$ maps the n th coordinate functional $e_n^* \in c_0^*$ to y_n^* . Consequently, the sequence

$$(S^*y_n^*)_{n \in \omega} = ((TS)^*e_n^*)_{n \in \omega},$$

being ℓ_1 -basic, is not totally bounded in Y^* , which implies that the dual operator $(TS)^* : c_0^* \rightarrow X^*$ is not compact. By the Schauder Theorem [5, 7.7], the operator $TS : X \rightarrow c_0$ is not compact either.

2. Assume that the condition (i) does not hold but

- (ii) there is an ℓ_1 -basic sequence $(y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ in Y^* whose image $(S^*y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ is ℓ_1 -basic in X^* .

In this case, by [4, XII Exercise 3(i)], the condition (ii) combined with the negation of (i) implies the existence of an ℓ_1 -basic sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in X whose image $(Sx_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is an ℓ_1 -basic sequence in Y . Arguing as in the proof of the Josefson–Nissenzweig Theorem [4, p. 223], we can construct a bounded linear operator $T : Y \rightarrow c_0$ such that $T(Sx_n) = e_n \in c_0$ for all $n \in \omega$. Since the operator TS is not compact, we are done.

3. Assume that (ii) does not hold. Since the operator S is not compact, its dual $S^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is not compact either (see [5, 7.7]). This means that the image $S^*(B^*)$ of the closed unit ball $B^* \subset Y^*$ is not totally bounded in X^* . Consequently, B^* contains a sequence $(y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ whose image $(S^*y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ is ε -separated for some $\varepsilon > 0$. The latter means that $\|S^*(y_n^* - y_m^*)\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all $n \neq m$.

By the Rosenthal ℓ_1 Theorem, $(S^*y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ contains a subsequence which is either weak Cauchy or ℓ_1 -basic. We lose no generality assuming that the entire sequence $(S^*y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ is either weak Cauchy or ℓ_1 -basic.

3a. First we assume that the sequence $(S^*y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ is weak Cauchy. Then it is weak* Cauchy and being a subset of the weakly* compact set $S^*(B^*)$ it weakly* converges to some point $x_\infty^* \in S^*(B^*)$. Fix any point $y_\infty^* \in B^*$ with $S^*(y_\infty^*) = x_\infty^*$. The density of the operator $S : X \rightarrow Y$ implies the injectivity of the dual operator $S^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$. The weak* compactness of the closed unit ball $B^* \subset Y^*$ guarantees that $S^*|_{B^*} : B^* \rightarrow X^*$ is a homeomorphic

embedding for the weak* topologies on B^* and X^* . Now we see that the weak* convergence of the sequence $(S^*y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ to $S^*y_\infty^*$ implies the weak* convergence of the sequence $(y_n^* - y_\infty^*)_{n \in \omega}$ to zero.

Then the bounded operator $T : Y \rightarrow c_0$, $T : y \mapsto ((y_n^* - y_\infty^*)(y))_{n \in \omega}$, is well-defined. Since the set $\{(TS)^*(e_n^*)\}_{n \in \omega} = \{S^*(y_n^* - y_\infty^*)\}_{n \in \omega}$ is ε -separated, the operator $(TS)^* : c_0^* \rightarrow X^*$ is not compact and hence $TS : X \rightarrow c_0$ is not compact either.

3b. Finally, assume that $(S^*y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ is an ℓ_1 -basic sequence in X^* . By [5, Proposition 5.10] (the lifting property of ℓ_1), the sequence $(y_n^*)_{n \in \omega}$ is ℓ_1 -basic in Y^* , which contradicts our assumption that the condition (ii) fails. ■

References

- [1] T. Banach and R. Cauty, *Topological classification of closed convex sets in Fréchet spaces*, arXiv:1006.3092.
- [2] E. Behrends, *New proofs of Rosenthal's ℓ^1 -theorem and the Josefson–Nissenzweig theorem*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 43 (1995), 283–295.
- [3] J. Bourgain and J. Diestel, *Limited operators and strict cosingularity*, Math. Nachr. 119 (1984), 55–58.
- [4] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Springer, New York, 1984.
- [5] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. S. Montesinos, J. Pelant and V. Zizler, *Functional Analysis and Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [6] J. Hagler and W. Johnson, *Banach spaces whose dual balls are not weak* sequentially compact*, Israel J. Math. 28 (1977), 325–330.
- [7] P. Hájek, V. S. Montesinos, J. Vanderwerff and V. Zizler, *Biorthogonal Systems in Banach Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [8] B. Josefson, *Weak sequential convergence in the dual of a Banach space does not imply norm convergence*, Ark. Mat. 13 (1975), 79–89.
- [9] A. Nissenzweig, *W^* sequential convergence*, Israel J. Math. 22 (1975), 266–272.
- [10] H. P. Rosenthal, *A characterization of Banach spaces containing ℓ_1* , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 71 (1974), 2411–2413.

Iryna Banakh
 Department of Functional Analysis
 Ya. Pidstryhach Institute for Applied
 Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics
 Naukova 3b
 Lviv, Ukraine
 E-mail: ibanakh@yahoo.com

Taras Banakh
 Instytut Matematyki
 Uniwersytet Humanistyczno-Przyrodniczy
 Jana Kochanowskiego
 Kielce, Poland
 and
 Department of Mathematics
 Ivan Franko National University of Lviv
 Uniwersytetska 1
 79000, Lviv, Ukraine
 E-mail: t.o.banakh@gmail.com