Prevalence of "nowhere analyticity"

by

FRANÇOISE BASTIN, CÉLINE ESSER and SAMUEL NICOLAY (Liège)

Abstract. This note brings a complement to the study of genericity of functions which are nowhere analytic mainly in a measure-theoretic sense. We extend this study to Gevrey classes of functions.

1. Introduction. In what follows, $C^{\infty}([0,1])$ denotes the linear space of functions of class C^{∞} on [0,1], endowed with the sequence $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of seminorms defined by

$$p_k(f) = \sup_{0 \le j \le k} \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f^{(j)}(x)|$$

or equivalently with the distance d defined by

$$d(f,g) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{p_k(f-g)}{1+p_k(f-g)}.$$

This space is a Fréchet space.

If f is a C^{∞} function on an open interval containing x_0 , its Taylor series at x_0 is denoted by

$$T(f, x_0)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!} (x - x_0)^n.$$

We say that f is analytic at x_0 if $T(f, x_0)$ converges to f on an open neighbourhood of x_0 ; if this is not the case, we say that f has a singularity at x_0 . A function with a singularity at each point of an interval is called *nowhere* analytic on the interval. In the case of a closed interval [a, b], the convergence of the Taylor series T(f, a) and T(f, b) is only considered on the restriction to [a, b].

If f has a singularity at x_0 , then either the radius of convergence of the series is 0 (i.e. the series only converges at x_0), or the series converges in some neighbourhood of x_0 but the limit does not represent f, no matter

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46E10, 26E05, 37C20.

Key words and phrases: analytic functions, generic property, prevalence.

how small a neighbourhood of x_0 one takes. Following [B4, R1], we say that x_0 is a *Pringsheim singularity* if the radius of convergence at x_0 is 0 and a *Cauchy singularity* in the other case.

In [R3], Rudin gives explicit examples of functions with a Pringsheim singularity at each point. In [SZ], the authors prove that the set of functions in $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ with a Pringsheim singularity at each point of [0, 1] is a *residual* or *comeager* subset of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ (i.e. contains a countable intersection of dense open sets of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$). This implies that this set is dense in $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ (by Baire's theorem) and also that it is "generic" in the topological sense. More general results were obtained in [B1, B2, R1], and the introduction of the paper [B1] gives a wide historical context of successive results in this direction. Let us also mention that results on "algebraic genericity" were also obtained in [B1], where it is proved that the set of functions in $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ with a Pringsheim singularity at each point of [0, 1] contains, except for zero, a dense linear submanifold. Concerning Cauchy singularities, Boas [B3] already showed in 1935 that there is no function with a Cauchy singularity at each point.

Another notion of "genericity" has also been introduced in order to generalize the concept of "almost everywhere" for Lebesgue measure to infinitedimensional spaces. Following Hunt, Sauer and Yorke [HSY], a Borel set Bin a complete metric linear space E is said to be shy if there exists a Borel probability measure μ on E with compact support such that $\mu(B + x) = 0$ for any $x \in E$ (it is also known that the property on the support is automatically satisfied if E is separable). More generally, any set is called shy if it is contained in a shy Borel set. A set is *prevalent* if it is the complement of a shy set, and a prevalent property is a property which holds on a prevalent set.

In this short note, we show (in Section 2) that the set of nowhere analytic functions is prevalent. This result is already mentioned in [S], but one of the arguments used there is that the set

(1)
$$A(I) := \{ f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : T(f,x_I) \text{ converges to } f \text{ on } I \}$$

(where I is a closed subinterval of [0,1] and x_I its centre) is closed in $C^{\infty}([0,1])$. This is certainly not possible since A(I) contains the set of polynomials, which is dense in $C^{\infty}([0,1])$. Concerning the prevalence of the set of functions in $C^{\infty}([0,1])$ with a Pringsheim singularity at each point of [0,1], as far as we know, the problem is still open.

We also examine (in Section 3) the set of functions which are "nowhere Gevrey differentiable", using the classical definition of Gevrey classes. In this case, we also obtain genericity results, both in the topological and in the prevalence sense. Since analytic functions are a particular class of Gevrey type functions, these results generalize those obtained in the analytic case. However, we present these results in separate sections since analytic functions are somehow more classical than Gevrey-type ones and since the result of Section 2 directly complements an already mentioned one in the literature.

2. Genericity in the prevalence sense. Let us first introduce a sufficient condition for a subset to be prevalent. Let P be a finite-dimensional subspace of the topological vector space E and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to P$ be a topological isomorphism. The measure \mathcal{L}_P defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_P(B) = \mathcal{L}(f^{-1}(B \cap P))$$

for any Borel set B of E, where \mathcal{L} denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n , is called a *Lebesgue measure on* E supported by P. With this definition, a finite-dimensional subspace $P \subset E$ is a probe for a subset T of E if there exists a Borel set B which contains the complement of T in E and satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_P(B+e) = 0$$

for any $e \in E$. A sufficient condition for T to be prevalent is to have a probe for it.

Using this condition, it is straightforward to prove the following (which simply means that a proper linear subspace which is a Borel set is always shy).

REMARK 2.1. If A is a non-empty Borel subset of E such that the complement of A is a linear subspace of E, then A is prevalent.

Proof. A probe for A is given by the linear span of any element a of A. Indeed, since $B = E \setminus A$ is linear, for every $e \in E$, the set

$$\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha a + e \in B\}$$

contains only one element, so has Lebesgue measure 0. \blacksquare

PROPOSITION 2.2. The set of nowhere analytic functions on [0,1] is a prevalent subset of $C^{\infty}([0,1])$.

Proof. For any closed subinterval I of [0, 1] with centre x_I , let A(I) be the set given by (1). Since a function which is analytic at a point is analytic in a neighbourhood of this point, the set of nowhere analytic functions is the complement of the union of all A(I) over rational subintervals $I \subset [0, 1]$. Any countable union of shy sets is shy ([HSY]), and therefore it is enough to prove that every A(I) is shy. Since A(I) is a proper linear subspace of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$, this will be done using Remark 2.1, if we show that A(I) is a Borel set.

For any $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$F_{n,j} = \bigcap_{x \in I} \{ f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : |T_j(f,x_I)(x) - f(x)| \le 1/n \},\$$

where

$$T_j(f, x_I)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{f^{(k)}(x_I)}{k!} (x - x_I)^k.$$

The definition of the topology of $C^{\infty}([0,1])$ and the fact that only a finite number of derivatives are involved directly imply that $F_{n,j}$ is closed in $C^{\infty}([0,1])$.

Using well-known properties of power series, the convergence of $T(f, x_I)$ on I is equivalent to uniform convergence on I. Hence

$$A(I) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{j \ge k} F_{n,j},$$

which shows that A(I) is a countable intersection of countable unions of closed sets, so a Borel set.

3. On Gevrey classes. Following [CC, R2], for a real number s > 0 and an open subset Ω of \mathbb{R} , an infinitely differentiable function f in Ω is said to be *Gevrey differentiable of order s at* $x_0 \in \Omega$ if there exist a compact neighbourhood I of x_0 and constants C, h > 0 such that

$$\sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)| \le Ch^n (n!)^s, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

It is clear that if a function is Gevrey differentiable of order s at x_0 , it is also Gevrey differentiable of any order s' > s at x_0 . Note also that the case s = 1 corresponds to analyticity.

Let us give an example of an element f of $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and any s > 0, f is not Gevrey differentiable of order s at x_0 .

LEMMA 3.1. Let λ_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of strictly positive numbers such that

$$\lambda_k \ge (k+1)^{(k+1)^2} \quad \& \quad \lambda_{k+1} \ge 2\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j^{2+k-j}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and let f be the function defined on \mathbb{R} by

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k e^{i\lambda_k x}$$
 with $c_k = \lambda_k^{1-k}, \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$

This function belongs to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and it is not Gevrey of order s at x_0 , for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and s > 0.

Proof. Let us first remark that such a sequence can be easily constructed (using a recurrence procedure).

242

Since for every $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $c_k \lambda_k^n = \lambda_k^{1+n-k}$, the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \lambda_k^n e^{i\lambda_k x}$$

is uniformly and absolutely convergent on \mathbb{R} . Thus $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

On the other hand, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$|f^{(n)}(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} e^{i\lambda_k x} + \lambda_n e^{i\lambda_n x} + \sum_{k>n} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} e^{i\lambda_k x} \right|$$

$$\geq \lambda_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} - \sum_{k>n} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_k^{n+1-k} - \sum_{k>n} \lambda_k^{n+1-k}$$

$$\geq \lambda_{n-1}^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^j} \geq n^{2n^2} - e \geq \frac{1}{2} n^{2n^2}.$$

Then, given strictly positive s, C, h, we have

$$n^{2n^2} = n^{n^2} (n^n)^n \ge Ch^n (n^n)^s \ge Ch^n (n!)^s$$

for n large enough. So we are done.

Now, in order to generalize the results about nowhere analyticity, we say that a function $f \in C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ is nowhere Gevrey differentiable on [0, 1] if f is not Gevrey differentiable of order s at x_0 , for any $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ and $s \ge 1$, where the compact neighbourhoods I are considered in [0, 1].

We are going to use the same arguments as in the analytic case to prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.2. The set of nowhere Gevrey differentiable functions is a prevalent subset of $C^{\infty}([0,1])$.

Proof. Let us first note that the definition of nowhere Gevrey differentiability given above directly leads to the following description: the set of all nowhere Gevrey differentiable functions of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ is the complement of

$$\bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{I \subset [0,1]} B(s,I)$$

where I runs oven rational subintervals of [0, 1] and

$$B(s,I) = \left\{ f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : \exists C, h > 0 \text{ such that} \\ \sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)| \le Ch^n (n!)^s \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}.$$

Hence, since in a complete metric space a countable union of shy sets is shy ([HSY]), the result will be proved if we show that every B(s, I) is shy. To get

this, it suffices to prove that B(s, I) is a proper linear subspace of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ which is also a Borel set.

It is straightforward to see (using for example the previous constructive lemma) that B(s, I) is a linear subspace of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ and strictly included in $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$. We also have

$$B(s,I) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Big\{ f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : \sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)| \le m^{n+1} (n!)^s \Big\},$$

where each set

$$\left\{ f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : \sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)| \le m^{n+1} (n!)^s \right\}$$

is closed in $C^\infty([0,1]).$ Hence B(s,I) is a Borel subset of $C^\infty([0,1]).$ \blacksquare

Now, let us show that the genericity result also holds in the topological sense.

PROPOSITION 3.3. The set of nowhere Gevrey differentiable functions is a residual subset of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$.

Proof. We define B(s, I) as before. As we already remarked, the set of nowhere Gevrey differentiable functions of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ is the complement of

$$\bigcup_{s\in\mathbb{N}}\bigcup_{I\subset[0,1]}B(s,I),$$

where I runs over rational subintervals of [0, 1]. We also have

$$B(s,I) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A(s,I,m),$$

where

$$A(s, I, m) = \Big\{ f \in C^{\infty}([0, 1]) : \sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)| \le m^{n+1} (n!)^s, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \Big\}.$$

To conclude, it suffices to notice that the closed set A(s, I, m) has empty interior since it is included in B(s, I) which is a proper linear subspace of the locally convex space $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$.

This last proposition can also be obtained as a special case of the following result of [B1]: For each infinite set $M \subset \mathbb{N}_0$ and each sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of strictly positive numbers, the family

 $\{f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : \exists \text{ infinitely many } n \in M \text{ with } |f^{(n)}(x)| > c_n, \forall x \in [0,1]\}$ is a residual subset of $C^{\infty}([0,1])$. Indeed, for $c_n = (n!)^n$ and $M = \mathbb{N}_0$, this last family is contained in the set of nowhere Gevrey differentiable functions, since for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and h, C > 0, one has $(n!)^n > Ch^n (n!)^s$ for n sufficiently large. 4. Some additional results. Some generalizations can be obtained with techniques similar to the ones used in the previous sections.

PROPOSITION 4.1. For any sequence $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with $c_n > 0$ for all n, the set

$$\left\{ f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : \ \forall I \subset [0,1], \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{\sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)|}{c_n} = +\infty \right\}$$

(where I denotes rational subintervals) is a prevalent subset of $C^{\infty}([0,1])$.

Proof. The complement of this set can be written as

$$\bigcup_{I \subset [0,1]} D_I \quad \text{with} \quad D_I := \bigg\{ f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{\sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)|}{c_n} < \infty \bigg\}.$$

Since in a complete metric space, a countable union of shy sets is shy, it suffices to show that D_I is shy for each I. This is as before: D_I is a linear space, strictly included in $C^{\infty}([0,1])$ (as shown by an explicit example of [B1, Remark 2.2]), and is a Borel set since it can be written as a countable union of countable intersections of closed sets:

$$D_I = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left\{ f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : \sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)| \le kc_n \right\}. \blacksquare$$

This last proposition is a generalization of Proposition 3.2. Indeed, taking again $c_n = (n!)^n$, we see that the set mentioned in the proposition above is contained in the set of nowhere Gevrey differentiable functions.

One can also make some remarks about classes of type $C^{\{M_n\}}$ (in relation with quasi-analyticity, [R3, Chapter 19]): if $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a sequence of strictly positive numbers and I a subinterval of [0, 1], let us denote by $C^{\{M_n\}}(I)$ the linear space

$$\Big\{f \in C^{\infty}([0,1]) : \exists C, h > 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{x \in I} |f^{(n)}(x)| \le Ch^n M_n \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0\Big\}.$$

In fact, with $M_n = (n!)^s$, we have $B(s, I) = C^{\{M_n\}}(I)$. So, with the same computations as those used when dealing with B(s, I), one finds that $C^{\{M_n\}}(I)$ is shy in $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$. As a consequence, the set of functions of $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$ which are "nowhere in $C^{\{M_n\}}$ " (that is, which do not belong to $C^{\{M_n\}}(I)$, for any interval I) is prevalent in $C^{\infty}([0, 1])$.

Acknowledgements. The authors want to thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions, which led to improvement of presentation and generalization of some results.

References

- [B1] L. Bernal-González, Lineability of sets of nowhere analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 340 (2008), 1284–1295.
- [B2] L. Bernal-González, Funciones con derivadas sucesivas grandes y pequeñas por doquier, Collect. Math. 38 (1987), 117–122.
- [B3] R. P. Boas, A theorem on analytic functions of a real variable, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1935), 233–236.
- [B4] R. P. Boas, When is a C^{∞} function analytic?, Math. Intelligencer 11 (1989), 40.
- [C] F.S. Cater, Differentiable, nowhere analytic functions, Amer. Math. Monthly 91 (1984), 618–624.
- [CC] S.Y. Chung and J. Chung, There exist no gaps between Gevrey differentiable and nowhere Gevrey differentiable, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), 859–863.
- [HSY] B. R. Hunt, T. Sauer and J. A. Yorke, Prevalence: a translation-invariant "almost every" on infinite-dimensional spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1992), 217–238.
- [R1] T. I. Ramsamujh, Nowhere analytic C^{∞} functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 160 (1991), 263–266.
- [R2] L. Rodino, Linear Partial Differential Operators in Gevrey Spaces, Word Sci., London, 1993.
- [R3] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [SZ] H. Salzmann und K. Zeller, Singularitäten unendlich oft differenzierbarer Funktionen, Math. Z. 62 (1955), 354–367.
- H. Shi, Prevalence of some known typical properties, Acta Math. Univ. Comeniane 70 (2001), 2, 185–192.

Françoise Bastin, Céline Esser, Samuel Nicolay Institute of Mathematics B37 University of Liège B-4000 Liège, Belgium E-mail: F.Bastin@ulg.ac.be Celine.Esser@ulg.ac.be S.Nicolay@ulg.ac.be

> Received March 13, 2012 Revised version July 27, 2012

(7453)