

## On the number of minimal pairs of compact convex sets that are not translates of one another

by

J. GRZYBOWSKI and R. URBAŃSKI (Poznań)

**Abstract.** Let  $[A, B]$  be the family of pairs of compact convex sets equivalent to  $(A, B)$ . We prove that the cardinality of the set of minimal pairs in  $[A, B]$  that are not translates of one another is either 1 or greater than  $\aleph_0$ .

Let  $X = (X, \tau)$  be a topological vector space over the field  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  be the family of all nonempty compact convex subsets of  $X$ . For any  $A, B \subset X$  the Minkowski sum is defined by  $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ . For  $(A, B), (C, D) \in \mathcal{K}^2(X)$ , let  $(A, B) \sim (C, D)$  if and only if  $A + D = B + C$ . Let  $[A, B]$  be the equivalence class of  $(A, B)$  in  $\mathcal{K}^2(X)/\sim$ . For  $(A, B), (C, D) \in \mathcal{K}^2(X)$  let  $(A, B) \leq (C, D)$  if and only if  $(A, B) \sim (C, D)$ ,  $A \subset C$  and  $B \subset D$ . Let  $m[A, B]$  be the family of all elements of  $[A, B]$  that are minimal with respect to the ordering  $\leq$ . Let  $A \vee B$  be the convex hull of  $A \cup B$ . For  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{K}^2(X)$ , we have the Pinsker formula  $A \vee B + C = (A + C) \vee (B + C)$ .

Minimal pairs of compact convex sets play an important role in quasi-differential calculus [5]–[7]. Minimal pairs were studied in numerous papers ([1]–[4], [8]–[14], [17], and others).

Let  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{K}^2(X)$  and  $n_{A,B}$  be the number of minimal pairs in  $m[A, B]$  that are not translates of one another. If  $X = \mathbb{R}^1$  or  $\mathbb{R}^2$  then  $n_{A,B}$  is always 1 ([8], [15]). In [13], there is an example of  $A, B \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $n_{A,B}$  is the continuum.

In December 2000, Professor S. Rolewicz posed the problem whether  $n_{A,B}$  can be finite and greater than 1. The following theorem implies a negative answer to this problem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2)$  be two equivalent minimal pairs of compact convex sets such that  $(A_2, B_2)$  is not a translate of  $(A_1, B_1)$ . Then there exists an uncountable family  $(A_\lambda, B_\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , of minimal pairs that are equivalent to  $(A_1, B_1)$  and no  $(A_\lambda, B_\lambda)$  is a translate of  $(A_\mu, B_\mu)$ ,  $\lambda \neq \mu$ .*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 52A07, 26A27.

*Key words and phrases*: convex analysis, minimal pairs of compact convex sets.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{(A_n + x, B_n + x) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X\}$  is the family of all minimal pairs equivalent to  $(A_1, B_1)$  and  $(A_2, B_2)$ . Let

$k_3 = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists \alpha \in (0, 1/2], \exists x \in X \text{ such that}$

$$(A_k + x, B_k + x) \leq (\alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_2, \alpha B_1 + (1 - \alpha)B_2)\}.$$

If  $k_3 = 1$  then

$$\alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_1 + x = A_1 + x \subset \alpha A_1 + (1 - \alpha)A_2.$$

By the order law of cancellation [16]

$$(1 - \alpha)A_1 + x \subset (1 - \alpha)A_2 \quad \text{and so} \quad A_1 + \frac{x}{1 - \alpha} \subset A_2.$$

In a similar way we prove that

$$B_1 + \frac{x}{1 - \alpha} \subset B_2.$$

Since  $(A_2, B_2)$  is minimal,

$$A_1 + \frac{x}{1 - \alpha} = A_2, \quad B_1 + \frac{x}{1 - \alpha} = B_2.$$

This contradicts the assumption of our theorem. Therefore,  $k_3 \neq 1$ . In a similar way we prove that  $k_3 \neq 2$ . Thus  $k_3 > 2$ . We can assume that  $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1/2]$  and

$$(A_{k_3}, B_{k_3}) \leq (\alpha_1 A_1 + (1 - \alpha_1)A_2, \alpha_1 B_1 + (1 - \alpha_1)B_2).$$

Set  $k_1 = 1$ ,  $k_2 = 2$ . Assume that  $(A_{k_1}, B_{k_1}), \dots, (A_{k_n}, B_{k_n})$  are minimal pairs such that  $k_1 < \dots < k_n$ ,

$k_i = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists \alpha \in (0, 1/2], \exists x \in X \text{ such that}$

$$(A_k + x, B_k + x) \leq (\alpha A_{k_{i-2}} + (1 - \alpha)A_{k_{i-1}}, \alpha B_{k_{i-2}} + (1 - \alpha)B_{k_{i-1}})\}$$

and

$$(A_{k_i}, B_{k_i}) \leq (\alpha_{i-2}A_{k_{i-2}} + (1 - \alpha_{i-2})A_{k_{i-1}}, \alpha_{i-2}B_{k_{i-2}} + (1 - \alpha_{i-2})B_{k_{i-1}})$$

for  $i = 3, \dots, n$ . Let

$k_{n+1} = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists \alpha \in (0, 1/2], \exists x \in X \text{ such that}$

$$(A_k + x, B_k + x) \leq (\alpha A_{k_{n-1}} + (1 - \alpha)A_{k_n}, \alpha B_{k_{n-1}} + (1 - \alpha)B_{k_n})\}.$$

Of course,  $k_{n+1} \neq k_{n-1}, k_n$ . Define

$$\gamma_i^{n+1} = \alpha_i(1 - \alpha_{i+1}(\dots(1 - \alpha_{n-2}(1 - \alpha))\dots)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 2.$$

Notice that  $\gamma_i^{n+1} \in (0, 1/2]$  and

$$(A_{k_{n+1}} + x, B_{k_{n+1}} + x) \leq (\gamma_i^{n+1}A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i^{n+1})A_{k_{i+1}}, \gamma_i^{n+1}B_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i^{n+1})B_{k_{i+1}}).$$

Therefore,

$$k_{n+1} > k_n.$$

We can assume that  $\alpha_{n-1} \in (0, 1/2]$  and

$$(A_{k_{n+1}}, B_{k_{n+1}}) \leq (\alpha_{n-1}A_{k_{n-1}} + (1 - \alpha_{n-1})A_{k_n}, \alpha_{n-1}B_{k_{n-1}} + (1 - \alpha_{n-1})B_{k_n}).$$

In this way we can choose infinite sequences  $(\alpha_n)_n \subset (0, 1/2]$  and  $(k_n)_n$  such that  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ ,

$k_n = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists \alpha \in (0, 1/2], \exists x \in X \text{ such that}$

$$(A_k + x, B_k + x) \leq (\alpha A_{k_{n-2}} + (1 - \alpha)A_{k_{n-1}}, \alpha B_{k_{n-2}} + (1 - \alpha)B_{k_{n-1}})\},$$

and

$$(A_{k_n}, B_{k_n}) \leq (\alpha_{n-2}A_{k_{n-2}} + (1 - \alpha_{n-2})A_{k_{n-1}}, \alpha_{n-2}B_{k_{n-2}} + (1 - \alpha_{n-2})B_{k_{n-1}})$$

for all  $n \geq 3$ . Notice that  $(A_{k_n} \vee A_{k_{n+1}}, B_{k_n} \vee B_{k_{n+1}})$  is equivalent to  $(A_1, B_1)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [12]). The sequences  $(A_{k_n} \vee A_{k_{n+1}})_n$  and  $(B_{k_n} \vee B_{k_{n+1}})_n$  are decreasing. Thus the pair  $(C, D)$  with

$$C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_{k_n} \vee A_{k_{n+1}}), \quad D = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_{k_n} \vee B_{k_{n+1}})$$

is equivalent to  $(A_1, B_1)$  (see [12]). There exists a minimal pair  $(A, B) \leq (C, D)$  (see [11]). Let

$$\gamma_i^{i+2} = \alpha_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \gamma_i^n = \alpha_i(1 - \gamma_{i+1}^n), \quad i, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq i + 3.$$

Then

$$(A_{k_n}, B_{k_n}) \leq (\gamma_i^n A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i^n)A_{k_{i+1}}, \gamma_i^n B_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i^n)B_{k_{i+1}}).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n-2}^{n+1} - \gamma_{n-2}^n &= -\alpha_{n-2} \cdot \alpha_{n-1}, & n \geq 3, \\ \gamma_i^{n+1} - \gamma_i^n &= -\alpha_i(\gamma_{i+1}^{n+1} - \gamma_{i+1}^n), & n \geq i + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\gamma_i^{n+1} - \gamma_i^n = (-1)^{n-1-i} \alpha_i \dots \alpha_{n-1}.$$

Since  $\alpha_n \in (0, 1/2]$  for all  $n$ , the sequence  $(\gamma_i^n)_n$  converges to some  $\gamma_i \in (0, 1/2]$ ,  $(\gamma_i^{i+2n})_n$  is decreasing and  $(\gamma_i^{i+2n+1})_n$  is increasing. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k_n} \vee A_{k_{n+1}} &\subset (\gamma_i^n A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i^n)A_{k_{i+1}}) \vee (\gamma_i^{n+1} A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i^{n+1})A_{k_{i+1}}) \\ &= (\gamma' A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma'')A_{k_{i+1}} + (\gamma'' - \gamma')A_{k_i}) \\ &\quad \vee (\gamma' A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma'')A_{k_{i+1}} + (\gamma'' - \gamma')A_{k_{i+1}}) \\ &= \gamma' A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma'')A_{k_{i+1}} + (\gamma'' - \gamma')(A_{k_i} \vee A_{k_{i+1}}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $n \geq i + 2$ ,  $\gamma' = \min(\gamma_i^n, \gamma_i^{n+1})$ ,  $\gamma'' = \max(\gamma_i^n, \gamma_i^{n+1})$ . In the last equality we have applied the Pinsker formula (see [14]). We can assume that  $0 \in A_{k_i} \cap A_{k_{i+1}}$ . Then

$$A_{k_n} \vee A_{k_{n+1}} \subset \gamma_i A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i)A_{k_{i+1}} + |\gamma_i^{n+1} - \gamma_i^n|(A_{k_i} \vee A_{k_{i+1}}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 C &\subset \bigcap_{n=i+2}^{\infty} (\gamma_i A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i) A_{k_{i+1}} + |\gamma_i^{n+1} - \gamma_i^n| (A_{k_i} \vee A_{k_{i+1}})) \\
 &= \gamma_i A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i) A_{k_{i+1}} + \bigcap_{n=i+2}^{\infty} |\gamma_i^{n+1} - \gamma_i^n| (A_{k_i} \vee A_{k_{i+1}}) \\
 &= \gamma_i A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i) A_{k_{i+1}} \quad (\text{see [12, Lemma 3.10]}).
 \end{aligned}$$

In this way we prove that

$$(A, B) \leq (\gamma_i A_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i) A_{k_{i+1}}, \gamma_i B_{k_i} + (1 - \gamma_i) B_{k_{i+1}}).$$

We know that  $A = A_m + x$ ,  $B = B_m + x$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in X$ . According to the definition of  $k_{i+2}$  we have  $m \geq k_{i+2}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , which leads to a contradiction with our assumption. ■

## References

- [1] C. Bauer, *Minimal and reduced pairs of convex bodies*, Geom. Dedicata 62 (1996), 179–192.
- [2] V. F. Demyanov, *The minimality of equivalent pairs of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , I*, Vestnik St. Petersburg Univ. Math. 30 (1999), 7–11.
- [3] —, *The minimality of equivalent pairs of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , II*, ibid. 32 (1999), 5–8.
- [4] V. F. Demyanov and E. Caprari, *Minimality of convex sets equivalent on a cone*, ibid. 31 (1998), 28–33.
- [5] V. F. Demyanov and A. M. Rubinov, *Quasidifferential Calculus*, Optimization Software Inc., Springer, New York, 1986.
- [6] —, —, *Constructive Nonsmooth Analysis*, Peter Lang, Frankfurt/M, 1995.
- [7] —, —, *Quasidifferentiability and Related Topics*, Nonconvex Optim. Appl. 43, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [8] J. Grzybowski, *Minimal pairs of compact sets*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 63 (1994), 173–181.
- [9] J. Grzybowski and R. Urbański, *Minimal pairs of bounded closed convex sets*, Studia Math. 126 (1997), 95–99.
- [10] J. Grzybowski, R. Urbański and M. Wiernowolski, *On common summands and anti-summands of compact convex sets*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 47 (1999), 69–76.
- [11] D. Pallaschke, S. Scholtes and R. Urbański, *On minimal pairs of convex compact sets*, ibid. 39 (1991), 1–5.
- [12] D. Pallaschke, W. Urbańska and R. Urbański, *C-minimal pairs of compact convex sets*, J. Convex Anal. 4 (1997), 1–25.
- [13] D. Pallaschke and R. Urbański, *A continuum of minimal pairs of compact convex sets which are not connected by translations*, ibid. 3 (1996), 83–95.
- [14] —, —, *Pairs of Compact Convex Sets, Fractional Arithmetic with Convex Sets*, Math. Appl., Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [15] S. Scholtes, *Minimal pairs of convex bodies in two dimensions*, Mathematika 39 (1992), 267–273.

- [16] R. Urbański, *A generalization of the Minkowski–Rådström–Hörmander Theorem*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 24 (1976), 709–715.
- [17] —, *On minimal convex pairs of convex compact sets*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 67 (1996), 226–238.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Adam Mickiewicz University  
Umultowska 87  
61-614 Poznań, Poland  
E-mail: jgrz@amu.edu.pl  
rich@amu.edu.pl

*Received September 27, 2002*

*Revised version May 8, 2003*

(5043)