

An $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus for power-bounded operators on certain UMD spaces

by

EARL BERKSON (Urbana, IL) and T. A. GILLESPIE (Edinburgh)

Abstract. For $1 \leq q < \infty$, let $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ denote the Banach algebra consisting of the bounded complex-valued functions on the unit circle having uniformly bounded q -variation on the dyadic arcs. We describe a broad class \mathcal{I} of UMD spaces such that whenever $X \in \mathcal{I}$, the sequence space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ admits the classes $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ as Fourier multipliers, for an appropriate range of values of $q > 1$ (the range of q depending on X). This multiplier result expands the vector-valued Marcinkiewicz Multiplier Theorem in the direction $q > 1$. Moreover, when taken in conjunction with vector-valued transference, this $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -multiplier result shows that if $X \in \mathcal{I}$, and U is an invertible power-bounded operator on X , then U has an $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus for an appropriate range of values of $q > 1$. The class \mathcal{I} includes, in particular, all closed subspaces of the von Neumann–Schatten p -classes \mathcal{C}_p ($1 < p < \infty$), as well as all closed subspaces of any UMD lattice of functions on a σ -finite measure space. The $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus result for \mathcal{I} , when specialized to the setting of closed subspaces of $L^p(\mu)$ (μ an arbitrary measure, $1 < p < \infty$), recovers a previous result of the authors.

1. Introduction and notation. Throughout what follows, the symbols \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{T} , \mathbb{N} , and \mathbb{Z} , respectively, will stand for the real line, the complex plane, the unit circle in \mathbb{C} , the set of positive integers, and the additive group of all integers. The following notion will be central to our considerations.

DEFINITION 1.1. If $[a, b]$ is a compact interval of \mathbb{R} , and $1 \leq q < \infty$, the q -variation of a function ψ mapping $[a, b]$ into \mathbb{C} is defined by putting

$$\text{var}_q(\psi, [a, b]) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N |\psi(x_k) - \psi(x_{k-1})|^q \right\}^{1/q},$$

where the supremum is extended over all partitions $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = b$ of $[a, b]$.

We denote by $V_q([a, b])$ the class of all functions $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{var}_q(\psi, [a, b]) < \infty$. It is straightforward to see that $V_q([a, b])$ is a Banach

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 42A45, 46B70, 46E40, 47B40.

Key words and phrases: UMD space, multiplier, complex interpolation, q -variation, spectral decomposition, spectral integral.

algebra under pointwise operations and the norm

$$\|\psi\|_{V_q([a,b])} \equiv \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |\psi(x)| + \text{var}_q(\psi, [a, b]).$$

If $\psi \in V_q([a, b])$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow y^+} \psi(x)$ exists for each $y \in [a, b]$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow y^-} \psi(x)$ exists for each $y \in (a, b]$, and the set of discontinuities of ψ in $[a, b]$ is countable. Note that $\text{var}_1(\psi, [a, b])$ is identical to the usual total variation $\text{var}(\psi, [a, b])$, and so the Banach algebras $V_1([a, b])$ and $\text{BV}([a, b])$ coincide (with identical norms).

Recall that the dyadic points relevant to the study of 2π -periodic functions are the terms of the sequence $\{s_k\}_{k=-\infty}^\infty \subseteq (0, 2\pi)$ given by

$$(1.1) \quad s_k = \begin{cases} 2^{k-1}\pi & \text{if } k \leq 0, \\ 2\pi - 2^{-k}\pi & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding dyadic arcs of \mathbb{T} , $\{\Delta_k\}_{k=-\infty}^\infty$, are specified by

$$\Delta_k = \{e^{ix} : x \in [s_k, s_{k+1}]\}.$$

Our main theme will be the multiplier actions on vector-valued functions of the *Marcinkiewicz q -classes* $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, which are described as follows. Given a function $\phi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $\text{var}_q(\phi, \Delta_k)$ to stand for

$$\text{var}_q(\phi(e^{i(\cdot)}), [s_k, s_{k+1}]).$$

For $1 \leq q < \infty$, $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ is defined as the class of all functions $\phi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})} \equiv \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |\phi(z)| + \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{var}_q(\phi, \Delta_k) < \infty.$$

It is easily seen that $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ is a Banach algebra under pointwise operations and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})}$. For $1 \leq r \leq q < \infty$, $\mathfrak{M}_r(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$, since $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{M}_r(\mathbb{T})}$. Note that $\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})$ is the usual class of Marcinkiewicz multipliers. For key properties of the notion of q -variation and of the Marcinkiewicz q -classes, we refer the reader to [7], [9], [12], and [19]. In particular, when $1 < p < \infty$, both the unweighted and the A_p -weighted ℓ^p -spaces of bilateral complex-valued sequences admit the classes $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ as Fourier multipliers for corresponding appropriate ranges of q (Corollary 4.12 of [7], Theorem 9 of [12]). (In the framework of the unweighted as well as of the A_p -weighted Lebesgue spaces of complex-valued functions on \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{R} , corresponding multiplier results hold for the relevant notions of the Marcinkiewicz q -classes—see [7], [12], [13], [19].) We remark in passing that, in contrast to $\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})$, each function class $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < q < \infty$, contains continuous, nowhere differentiable functions of Weierstrass type (see 1.33 on p. 303 of [23], Theorem (17.7) of [24]).

The natural venue for seeking to extend classical multiplier theorems to Lebesgue spaces of vector-valued functions is the class of UMD spaces, which

are characterized as the Banach spaces X such that the Hilbert transform for \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{T} , or \mathbb{Z} acts as a bounded convolution operator on the corresponding spaces L^p_X when $1 < p < \infty$. (For the salient features of UMD spaces, see, e.g., [14], [16], [18], [29].) In particular, for an arbitrary UMD space X , the classical forms of the Marcinkiewicz Multiplier Theorem (wherein $q = 1$) have been extended to L^p_X , $1 < p < \infty$ (see Theorem 4 of [17] and Theorem (4.5) of [6]). Our first goal is to expand this vector-valued multiplier result beyond $q = 1$ by identifying a broad class \mathcal{I} of UMD spaces so that whenever $X \in \mathcal{I}$, the sequence space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ admits the classes $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ as Fourier multipliers, for an appropriate range of values $q > 1$ (depending on X). More specifically, this class \mathcal{I} is described as follows. If a Hilbert space \mathfrak{X}_0 and a UMD space \mathfrak{X}_1 constitute a compatible couple for Calderón’s complex method of interpolation, we shall denote the corresponding scale of interpolation spaces by $[\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1]_t$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. By definition, the class \mathcal{I} will consist of all Banach spaces X such that

$$(1.2) \quad X \text{ is isomorphic (in the Banach space sense) to a subspace of } [\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1]_t, \text{ for some Hilbert space } \mathfrak{X}_0, \text{ some UMD space } \mathfrak{X}_1, \text{ and some } t \text{ in the open interval } (0, 1).$$

Clearly $X \in \mathcal{I}$ implies that X is UMD, and that all closed subspaces of X belong to \mathcal{I} .

- EXAMPLES 1.2. (i) From the standard interpolation properties of L^p -spaces (Theorem 5.1.1 of [1]), it is obvious that for an arbitrary measure μ , and $1 < p < \infty$, any closed subspace of $L^p(\mu)$ belongs to \mathcal{I} .
- (ii) The Corollary of Theorem 4 in [29] establishes that every UMD lattice of measurable functions on a σ -finite measure space is an intermediate space $[\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1]_t$, as described in (1.2), and hence belongs to \mathcal{I} . Since a Banach space with an unconditional basis can be equivalently renormed so as to become a Banach lattice by identifying each vector with the coefficient sequence of its expansion, any UMD space with an unconditional basis belongs to \mathcal{I} .
- (iii) In a direction away from lattices, consider the von Neumann–Schatten classes \mathcal{C}_p for the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. The class \mathcal{C}_2 , consisting of the Hilbert–Schmidt operators, is itself a Hilbert space, and, as is well known, \mathcal{C}_p is UMD for $1 < p < \infty$ (for the UMD property of \mathcal{C}_p via the Cotlar “bootstrap” method, see, e.g., III.6 of [21], IV.4 of [22]). It is also well known (see, e.g., Proposition 8 on p. 44 of [28]) that any of the spaces \mathcal{C}_p , $1 < p < \infty$, is a strictly intermediate interpolation space between \mathcal{C}_2 and some \mathcal{C}_r , where $1 < r < \infty$. Consequently, for $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathcal{C}_p \in \mathcal{I}$. (However, in contrast to example (ii), for $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, \mathcal{C}_p is not isomorphic to a subspace of a UMD lattice, by virtue of Theorem 2.1 in [27].)

- (iv) Problem 4 in §III.d of [29] poses the question of whether every UMD space is an intermediate space $[\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1]_t$ as described in (1.2). An affirmative answer would, of course, imply that the class UMD coincides with \mathcal{I} . However, it appears that this question remains open.

After having established (in Theorem 2.7) the $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -multiplier result for $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ ($X \in \mathcal{I}$, and $q > 1$ in a suitable range depending only on X), we apply it, via vector-valued transference ([15]), to an arbitrary invertible power-bounded operator U on X . This procedure yields, for the same values of q , an $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus for U (Theorem 3.8). The outcome extends to \mathcal{I} the $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus result in Theorem 4.10 of [7] for $L^p(\mu)$ -subspaces (μ an arbitrary measure, $1 < p < \infty$), and also expands in the direction $q > 1$ the $\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus result for arbitrary UMD spaces in Theorem (1.1)-(ii) of [6].

Throughout all that follows, the symbol K with a (possibly empty) set of subscripts will stand for a constant which depends only on those subscripts, and which may change in value from one occurrence to another. For a given Banach space Y , we shall denote by $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear mappings of Y into Y . The identity operator of Y will be symbolized by I . The Fourier transform (respectively, inverse Fourier transform) of a function f will be written as \widehat{f} (respectively, f^\vee).

2. The multiplier theorem for $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ when $X \in \mathcal{I}$. In treating Fourier multipliers for Lebesgue spaces of vector-valued functions, we shall follow the presentation of this topic in §3 of [6]. If G is a locally compact abelian group with dual group Γ , Y is a Banach space, and $1 \leq p < \infty$, then the algebra of Fourier multipliers for $L^p(G, Y)$ (denoted by $M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)$) consists of all bounded, measurable, complex-valued functions ϕ on Γ such that the mapping T_ϕ , initially defined on $\{L^1(G) \cap L^\infty(G)\} \otimes Y$ by putting

$$T_\phi \left(\sum_{j=1}^N f_j y_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^N (\phi \widehat{f}_j)^\vee y_j,$$

extends to an element of $\mathfrak{B}(L^p(G, Y))$ (also denoted by T_ϕ , and called the *multiplier transform on $L^p(G, Y)$ corresponding to ϕ*). In this case, we define the multiplier norm $\|\phi\|_{M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)}$ of ϕ to be $\|T_\phi\|_{\mathfrak{B}(L^p(G, Y))}$. Regularization of Fourier multipliers for $L^p(G, Y)$ is furnished by Proposition A in §3 of [6]: if $k \in L^1(\Gamma)$ and $\phi \in M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)$, then the convolution $k * \phi$ belongs to $M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)$, and

$$(2.1) \quad \|k * \phi\|_{M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)} \leq \|k\|_{L^1(\Gamma)} \|\phi\|_{M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)}.$$

Notice that if Z is a closed subspace of Y , then $M_{p,Y}(\Gamma) \subseteq M_{p,Z}(\Gamma)$, and

$$(2.2) \quad \|\phi\|_{M_{p,Z}(\Gamma)} \leq \|\phi\|_{M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)} \quad \text{for all } \phi \in M_{p,Y}(\Gamma).$$

It will be convenient to record here, for later use, the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose G is a locally compact abelian group with dual group Γ , Y is a Banach space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)$, and*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_n\|_{M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)} < \infty.$$

If ϕ is a bounded measurable function on Γ such that $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ a.e. on Γ , then $\phi \in M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)$, and

$$\|\phi\|_{M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_n\|_{M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)}.$$

Proof. Apart from the trivial case where $Y = \{0\}$, we have, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.3) \quad |\phi_k| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_n\|_{M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)} \quad \text{locally a.e. on } \Gamma.$$

It follows from our hypothesis of a.e. convergence and (2.3) that if

$$f \in \{L^1(G) \cap L^\infty(G)\} \otimes Y,$$

then $T_{\phi_n} f \rightarrow T_\phi f$ in $L^2(G, Y)$. Consequently, we can choose a subsequence $\{T_{\phi_{n_k}} f\}_{k=1}^\infty$ convergent to $T_\phi f$ a.e. An application of Fatou's Lemma completes the proof. ■

For a given Banach space Y , the multipliers for the sequence spaces $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, Y)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, are easily seen to have the following simple characterization in terms of convolution operators.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Suppose Y is a Banach space, and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then a bounded measurable function $\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow C$ belongs to $M_{p,Y}(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if its inverse Fourier transform ψ^\vee satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (i) *For each sequence $x = \{x_j\}_{j=-\infty}^\infty \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, Y)$, and each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the series*

$$(\psi^\vee * x)(k) \equiv \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \psi^\vee(k-j) x_j$$

converges unconditionally in Y .

- (ii) *The mapping $S_\psi : x \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, Y) \mapsto \psi^\vee * x$ belongs to $\mathfrak{B}(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, Y))$.*

If this is the case, then S_ψ is the multiplier transform on $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, Y)$ corresponding to ψ .

In the case of a UMD space Y , the vector-valued Marcinkiewicz Theorem for $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, Y)$ takes the following form (see Theorem (4.5) of [6]).

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose Y is a UMD space, and $1 < p < \infty$. If $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})$, then $\phi \in M_{p,Y}(\mathbb{T})$, and*

$$(2.4) \quad \|\phi\|_{M_{p,Y}(\mathbb{T})} \leq K_{p,Y} \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})}.$$

The following interpolation result sets the stage for showing that if $X \in \mathcal{I}$, then for suitable values of $q > 1$ we have $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq M_{2,X}(\mathbb{T})$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $F = [\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1]_t$, where \mathfrak{X}_0 is a Hilbert space, \mathfrak{X}_1 is a UMD space, and $0 < t < 1$. Then for each $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})$,*

$$(2.5) \quad \|\phi\|_{M_{2,F}(\mathbb{T})} \leq K_{\mathfrak{X}_1}^t \left(\sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |\phi(z)|\right)^{1-t} \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})}^t.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.1.2 of [1], we have, with equal norms,

$$(2.6) \quad [\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathfrak{X}_0), \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathfrak{X}_1)]_t = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, F).$$

Since \mathfrak{X}_0 is a Hilbert space and ϕ is bounded, it follows from Proposition 2.2 and the Parseval formula for $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathfrak{X}_0)$ that

$$(2.7) \quad \|\phi\|_{M_{2,\mathfrak{X}_0}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |\phi(z)|.$$

By Theorem 2.3, $\phi \in M_{2,\mathfrak{X}_1}(\mathbb{T})$, and

$$(2.8) \quad \|\phi\|_{M_{2,\mathfrak{X}_1}(\mathbb{T})} \leq K_{\mathfrak{X}_1} \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Now a complex interpolation based on (2.6)–(2.8) concludes the proof. ■

In order to implement Theorem 2.4, we shall require the following version of Lemma 3 in [25]; a proof for the generality stated here can be obtained by suitable modifications to the argument on pp. 209–210 of [26].

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $[a, b]$ be a compact interval in \mathbb{R} , and suppose that $1 \leq q < \infty$. Then for each complex-valued function f on $[a, b]$ such that $\text{var}_q(f, [a, b]) \leq 1$, and for each real number ε such that $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there is a function $f_\varepsilon \in \text{BV}([a, b])$ such that:*

$$(2.9) \quad \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) - f_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \text{var}(f_\varepsilon, [a, b]) \leq 4\varepsilon^{1-q}.$$

The function f_ε can be chosen so that

$$(2.11) \quad f_\varepsilon(a) = f(a), \quad f_\varepsilon(b) = f(b).$$

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $F = [\mathfrak{X}_0, \mathfrak{X}_1]_t$, where \mathfrak{X}_0 is a Hilbert space, \mathfrak{X}_1 is a UMD space, and $0 < t < 1$. Suppose that $1 \leq q < 1/t$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq M_{2,F}(\mathbb{T}).$$

For each $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\|\phi\|_{M_{2,F}(\mathbb{T})} \leq K_{\mathfrak{X}_1,q,t} \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Proof. (Compare the method of proof used for the Theorem in [25].) Let $\{s_k\}_{k=-\infty}^\infty$ be the sequence of dyadic points of $(0, 2\pi)$ specified in (1.1), and suppose that $1 \leq q < 1/t$, $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$, and $\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})} \leq 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, apply Lemma 2.5 (including (2.11)) to the function $\phi(e^{i(\cdot)})$ restricted to the interval $[s_k, s_{k+1}]$, taking $\varepsilon = 2^{-n}$. This procedure allows us to construct a function $\phi_n : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi_n(1) = \phi(1)$, and

$$(2.12) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |\phi(z) - \phi_n(z)| \leq 2^{-n},$$

$$(2.13) \quad \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{var}_1(\phi_n, \Delta_k) \leq 4(2^{n(q-1)}).$$

Now we define the functions ψ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, on \mathbb{T} by putting:

$$\psi_1 = \phi_1, \quad \psi_n = \phi_n - \phi_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

It is readily seen from (2.12) and (2.13) that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.14) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |\psi_n(z)| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{-n},$$

$$(2.15) \quad \|\psi_n\|_{\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})} \leq K_q 2^{n(q-1)}.$$

Moreover, it follows from (2.12) that

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi_n \text{ converges to } \phi \text{ uniformly on } \mathbb{T}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.4 to (2.14) and (2.15), we see that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.17) \quad \|\psi_n\|_{M_{2,F}(\mathbb{T})} \leq K_{\mathfrak{X}_{1,q,t}} 2^{-n(1-qt)}.$$

Since $qt < 1$, (2.17) implies that

$$(2.18) \quad \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \psi_n \right\|_{M_{2,F}(\mathbb{T})} \leq K_{\mathfrak{X}_{1,q,t}}.$$

In view of (2.16) and (2.18), the desired conclusions (for $\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})} \leq 1$) now follow from Lemma 2.1. ■

Our main multiplier theorem is now readily deduced.

THEOREM 2.7. *Suppose that X belongs to the class of Banach spaces \mathcal{I} defined by (1.2). Then there is a real number q_0 , depending only on X , such that $1 < q_0 < \infty$, and for $1 \leq q < q_0$,*

$$\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq M_{2,X}(\mathbb{T}).$$

Moreover, if $1 \leq q < q_0$, and $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$, then

$$\|\phi\|_{M_{2,X}(\mathbb{T})} \leq K_{X,q} \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Proof. Let $t \in (0, 1)$ be as in (1.2), set $q_0 = 1/t$, and use Theorem 2.6 together with (2.2). ■

3. The $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus. Let $X \in \mathcal{I}$, and suppose that $U \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ is an invertible operator which is power-bounded, i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad c \equiv \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \|U^k\| < \infty.$$

We shall show that for a suitable range of $q > 1$, U has a norm-continuous $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus. In order to accomplish this it will be necessary to review beforehand relevant background items concerned with spectral decomposability.

DEFINITION 3.1. A *spectral family* in a Banach space Y is an idempotent-valued function $E(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(Y)$ with the following properties:

- (i) $E(\lambda)E(\tau) = E(\tau)E(\lambda) = E(\lambda)$ if $\lambda \leq \tau$;
- (ii) $\sup\{\|E(\lambda)\| : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} < \infty$;
- (iii) with respect to the strong operator topology of $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$, $E(\cdot)$ is right continuous and has a left-hand limit $E(\lambda^-)$ at each point $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iv) $E(\lambda) \rightarrow I$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and $E(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$, each limit being with respect to the strong operator topology of $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$.

If, in addition, we have $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b$, $E(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda < a$, and $E(\lambda) = I$ for $\lambda \geq b$, then $E(\cdot)$ is said to be *concentrated on* $[a, b]$.

Given a spectral family $E(\cdot)$ in Y concentrated on a compact interval $J = [a, b]$, an associated theory of spectral integration can be developed as follows. For each bounded function $\varphi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and each partition $\mathcal{P} = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of J , where $a = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n = b$, set

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}; \varphi, E) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(\lambda_k) \{E(\lambda_k) - E(\lambda_{k-1})\}.$$

If the net $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}; \varphi, E)\}$ converges in the strong operator topology of $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$ as \mathcal{P} increases with respect to refinement through the set of partitions of J , then the strong limit is called the *spectral integral of φ* with respect to $E(\cdot)$ (over J) and is denoted by $\int_J \varphi(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$. In this case, we define $\int_J^{\oplus} \varphi(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$ by writing

$$\int_J^{\oplus} \varphi(\lambda) dE(\lambda) \equiv \varphi(a)E(a) + \int_J \varphi(\lambda) dE(\lambda).$$

The Banach algebra $BV(J)$ consists of the functions $\varphi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ having bounded variation on J , with norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{BV(J)} = \sup_{x \in J} |\varphi(x)| + \text{var}(\varphi, J).$$

It can be shown that the spectral integral $\int_J \varphi(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$ exists for each

$\varphi \in \text{BV}(J)$, and that the mapping

$$\varphi \in \text{BV}(J) \mapsto \int_J^\oplus \varphi(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$$

is an identity-preserving algebra homomorphism of $\text{BV}(J)$ into $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$ satisfying

$$(3.3) \quad \left\| \int_J^\oplus \varphi(\lambda) dE(\lambda) \right\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{\text{BV}(J)} \sup\{\|E(\lambda)\| : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

(See [20, Chapter 17], or the simplified account in [5, §2].) We shall also consider the Banach algebra $\text{BV}(\mathbb{T})$, which consists of all $\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the function $\tilde{\psi}(t) \equiv \psi(e^{it})$ belongs to $\text{BV}([0, 2\pi])$, and which is furnished with the norm $\|\psi\|_{\text{BV}(\mathbb{T})} = \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{\text{BV}([0, 2\pi])}$.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let Y be a Banach space. An operator $V \in \mathfrak{B}(Y)$ is said to be *trigonometrically well-bounded* if there is a spectral family $E(\cdot)$ in Y concentrated on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that $V = \int_{[0, 2\pi]}^\oplus e^{i\lambda} dE(\lambda)$. In this case, it is possible to arrange that $E((2\pi)^-) = I$, and with this additional property the spectral family $E(\cdot)$ is uniquely determined by V , and is called the *spectral decomposition* of V .

The class of trigonometrically well-bounded operators was introduced in [3], and its theory further developed in [4]. Trigonometrically well-bounded operators occur naturally in fundamental structural roles, since every invertible power-bounded operator on a UMD space is trigonometrically well-bounded (Theorem (4.5) of [14]). (In particular, the operator $U \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ in (3.1) is trigonometrically well-bounded, since X is UMD.) For a variety of natural examples of trigonometrically well-bounded operators which are not power-bounded, see, e.g., §4 of [8]. For some further applications of trigonometrically well-bounded operators to ergodic theory, see [2] and [10]–[12].

The next proposition (Theorem (3.10)-(i) of [5]) provides a vector-valued variant of Fejér’s theorem valid for trigonometrically well-bounded operators.

DEFINITION 3.3. Given a function $\psi \in \text{BV}(\mathbb{T})$, we define $\psi^\# \in \text{BV}([0, 2\pi])$ by writing

$$\psi^\#(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \psi(e^{is}) + \lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} \psi(e^{is}) \right\} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Suppose that Y is a Banach space, and V is a trigonometrically well-bounded operator on Y with spectral decomposition $E(\cdot)$. Then for each $\psi \in \text{BV}(\mathbb{T})$, and each $y \in Y$, we have, in the notation of Definition 3.3,*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \widehat{\kappa}_n(j) \widehat{\psi}(j) V^j y - \int_{[0,2\pi]}^{\oplus} \psi^{\#}(t) dE(t) y \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the Fejér kernel for \mathbb{T} :

$$\kappa_n(z) = \sum_{j=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{n+1} \right) z^j \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0 \text{ and all } z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

The discussion leading up to (3.3) shows that a trigonometrically well-bounded operator V has a norm-continuous $BV(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus $\Psi_V : \psi \in BV(\mathbb{T}) \mapsto \int_{[0,2\pi]}^{\oplus} \psi(e^{it}) dE(t)$, where $E(\cdot)$ is the spectral decomposition of V . The following theorem (contained in Theorem 14 of [12]) provides a simplifying condition for the existence of values $q > 1$ such that Ψ_V can be extended to a norm-continuous $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus for V .

THEOREM 3.5. *Suppose that Y is a Banach space, $V \in \mathfrak{B}(Y)$ is trigonometrically well-bounded, $E(\cdot)$ is the spectral decomposition of V , and $1 < \beta < \infty$. If there is a constant η such that (with notation as in Definition 3.3)*

$$\left\| \int_{[0,2\pi]}^{\oplus} \psi^{\#}(t) dE(t) \right\| \leq \eta \|\psi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_{\beta}(\mathbb{T})} \quad \text{for all } \psi \in BV(\mathbb{T}),$$

then whenever $1 \leq q < \beta$, the integral $\int_{[0,2\pi]} \phi(e^{it}) dE(t)$ exists for each $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$, and the mapping $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T}) \mapsto \int_{[0,2\pi]}^{\oplus} \phi(e^{it}) dE(t)$ is a homomorphism of the Banach algebra $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ into $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$ such that

$$\left\| \int_{[0,2\pi]}^{\oplus} \phi(e^{it}) dE(t) \right\| \leq K\eta \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})} \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T}).$$

In order to relate the multiplier result in Theorem 2.7 to the trigonometrically well-bounded operator $U \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ in (3.1), we shall also require the following vector-valued version of transference (Theorem (2.8) of [15]).

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $u \mapsto R_u$ be a strongly continuous representation of a locally compact abelian group G in a Banach space Y such that*

$$\tau \equiv \sup\{\|R_u\| : u \in G\} < \infty.$$

Let $k \in L^1(G)$, and let H_k denote the bounded linear mapping of Y into Y defined (via Bochner integration with respect to Haar measure du on G) by

$$H_k y = \int_G k(u) R_{-u} y \, du \quad \text{for all } y \in Y.$$

Then for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$(3.4) \quad \|H_k\| \leq \tau^2 N_{p,Y}(k),$$

where $N_{p,Y}(k)$ denotes the norm of convolution by k on $L^p(G, Y)$.

REMARK 3.7. If G is a locally compact abelian group with dual group Γ , Y is a Banach space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $k \in L^1(G)$, then it is clear that $\widehat{k} \in M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)$, the multiplier transform of \widehat{k} coinciding on $L^p(G, Y)$ with convolution by k . Hence we can replace $N_{p,Y}(k)$ in (3.4) by $\|\widehat{k}\|_{M_{p,Y}(\Gamma)}$.

The stage is now set for establishing the following theorem, which constitutes the $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ -functional calculus result described at the outset of this section.

THEOREM 3.8. *Suppose that X belongs to the class \mathcal{I} of Banach spaces defined by (1.2), and $U \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ is an invertible operator such that (3.1) holds. (It follows, in particular, that U is trigonometrically well-bounded.) Let $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ denote the spectral decomposition of U . Then there is a real number q_0 , depending only on X , satisfying $1 < q_0 < \infty$, and such that whenever $1 \leq q < q_0$, the following assertions are valid:*

- (i) *For each $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$, the spectral integral $\int_{[0,2\pi]} \phi(e^{it}) d\mathcal{E}(t)$ exists.*
- (ii) *The mapping $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T}) \mapsto \int_{[0,2\pi]} \phi(e^{it}) d\mathcal{E}(t)$ is a homomorphism of the Banach algebra $\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})$ into $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ such that*

$$\left\| \int_{[0,2\pi]} \phi(e^{it}) d\mathcal{E}(t) \right\| \leq c^2 K_{X,q} \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})} \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T}).$$

Proof. Fix the number q_0 furnished by Theorem 2.7. Let $Q : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a trigonometric polynomial:

$$Q(z) \equiv \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{Q}(j) z^j,$$

where $\widehat{Q}(j) = 0$ for all but finitely many j . In view of (3.1), we can now specialize Theorem 3.6 to the representation R of \mathbb{Z} in X given by

$$R_j = U^j \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

taking $k \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ to be the sequence $\{Q^\vee(j)\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$. Under these circumstances, the operator H_k appearing in (3.4) is $\widehat{Q}(U)$, and so with the aid of Remark 3.7, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \|Q(U)\| \leq c^2 \|Q\|_{M_{2,X}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

If $\psi \in \text{BV}(\mathbb{T})$, and $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the Fejér kernel for \mathbb{T} , we infer from (3.5) that for each $n \geq 0$, the trigonometric polynomial $\kappa_n * \psi$ satisfies

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \widehat{\kappa}_n(j) \widehat{\psi}(j) U^j \right\| = \|(\kappa_n * \psi)(U)\| \leq c^2 \|\kappa_n * \psi\|_{M_{2,X}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

We now apply (2.1) and Theorem 2.7 to the right-hand member of (3.6) to

get, for $1 \leq q < q_0$,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=-n}^n \widehat{\kappa}_n(j) \widehat{\psi}(j) U^j \right\| \leq c^2 K_{X,q} \|\psi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Using this in Proposition 3.4, we see that for $1 \leq q < q_0$ and $\psi \in \text{BV}(\mathbb{T})$,

$$(3.7) \quad \left\| \int_{[0,2\pi]}^{\oplus} \psi^{\#}(t) d\mathcal{E}(t) \right\| \leq c^2 K_{X,q} \|\psi\|_{\mathfrak{M}_q(\mathbb{T})}.$$

The proof is completed by observing that if $1 \leq q < q_0$, we can set $\beta = (q + q_0)/2$, apply (3.7) to β in place of q , and then appeal to Theorem 3.5. ■

REMARK 3.9. If $X \in \mathcal{I}$, and $U_0 \in \mathfrak{B}(X)$ is a trigonometrically well-bounded operator which does not satisfy the power-boundedness assumption described by (3.1), then the conclusions of Theorem 3.8 can fail to hold. Specifically, (5.36) in [6] furnishes the spectral decomposition $E_0(\cdot)$ of a trigonometrically well-bounded operator U_0 on Hilbert space such that for some $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{T})$, the spectral integral $\int_{[0,2\pi]} \phi(e^{it}) dE_0(t)$ does not exist.

References

- [1] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces—An Introduction*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 223, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [2] E. Berkson, J. Bourgain, and T. A. Gillespie, *On the almost everywhere convergence of ergodic averages for power-bounded operators on L^p -subspaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 14 (1991), 678–715.
- [3] E. Berkson and T. A. Gillespie, *AC functions on the circle and spectral families*, J. Operator Theory 13 (1985), 33–47.
- [4] —, —, *Fourier series criteria for operator decomposability*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 9 (1986), 767–789.
- [5] —, —, *Stečkin’s theorem, transference, and spectral decompositions*, J. Funct. Anal. 70 (1987), 140–170.
- [6] —, —, *Spectral decompositions and harmonic analysis on UMD spaces*, Studia Math. 112 (1994), 13–49.
- [7] —, —, *The q -variation of functions and spectral integration of Fourier multipliers*, Duke Math. J. 88 (1997), 103–132.
- [8] —, —, *Mean-boundedness and Littlewood–Paley for separation-preserving operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 1169–1189.
- [9] —, —, *Multipliers for weighted L^p -spaces, transference, and the q -variation of functions*, Bull. Sci. Math. 122 (1998), 427–454.
- [10] —, —, *Spectral integration from dominated ergodic estimates*, Illinois J. Math. 43 (1999), 500–519.
- [11] —, —, *A Tauberian theorem for ergodic averages, spectral decomposability, and the dominated ergodic estimate for positive invertible operators*, Positivity 7 (2003), 161–175.
- [12] —, —, *The q -variation of functions and spectral integration from dominated ergodic estimates*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 10 (2004), 149–177.

- [13] E. Berkson and T. A. Gillespie, *On restrictions of multipliers in weighted settings*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 52 (2003), 927–961.
- [14] E. Berkson, T. A. Gillespie, and P. S. Muhly, *Abstract spectral decompositions guaranteed by the Hilbert transform*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 53 (1986), 489–517.
- [15] —, —, —, *Generalized analyticity in UMD spaces*, Ark. Mat. 27 (1989), 1–14.
- [16] J. Bourgain, *Some remarks on Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional*, *ibid.* 21 (1983), 163–168.
- [17] —, *Vector-valued singular integrals and the H^1 -BMO duality*, in: Probability Theory and Harmonic Analysis (Cleveland, OH, 1983), Monogr. and Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. 98, Dekker, New York, 1986, 1–19.
- [18] D. L. Burkholder, *A geometric condition that implies the existence of certain singular integrals of Banach-space-valued functions*, in: Conf. on Harmonic Analysis in Honor of Antoni Zygmund (Chicago, IL, 1981), Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1983, 270–286.
- [19] R. Coifman, J. L. Rubio de Francia, and S. Semmes, *Multiplicateurs de Fourier de $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ et estimations quadratiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 306 (1988), 351–354.
- [20] H. R. Dowson, *Spectral Theory of Linear Operators*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. 12, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [21] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Theory and Applications of Volterra Operators in Hilbert Space*, Transl. Math. Monogr. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970.
- [22] J. A. Gutiérrez, *On the boundedness of the Banach space-valued Hilbert transform*, thesis, Univ. of Texas (Austin), 1982.
- [23] G. H. Hardy, *Weierstrass's non-differentiable function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1916), 301–325.
- [24] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1969.
- [25] I. I. Hirschman, Jr., *Multiplier transformations. III*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 851–857.
- [26] A. A. Kruglov and M. Z. Solomjak, *Interpolation of operators in the spaces V_p* , Vestnik Leningrad Univ. 1971, no. 13, 54–60 (in Russian); English transl.: Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math. 4 (1977), 209–216.
- [27] G. Pisier, *Some results on Banach spaces without local unconditional structure*, Compositio Math. 37 (1978), 3–19.
- [28] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [29] J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Martingale and integral transforms of Banach space valued functions*, in: Probability and Banach Spaces (Zaragoza, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math. 1221, Springer, Berlin, 1986, 195–222.

Department of Mathematics
 University of Illinois
 1409 W. Green St.
 Urbana, IL 61801, U.S.A.
 E-mail: berkson@math.uiuc.edu

School of Mathematics
 University of Edinburgh
 James Clerk Maxwell Building
 Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, Scotland, U.K.
 E-mail: alastair@maths.ed.ac.uk