

Generalized non-commutative tori

by

CHUN-GIL PARK (Taejon)

Abstract. The generalized non-commutative torus T_ϱ^k of rank n is defined by the crossed product $A_{m/k} \times_{\alpha_3} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha_4} \dots \times_{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}$, where the actions α_i of \mathbb{Z} on the fibre $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ of a rational rotation algebra $A_{m/k}$ are trivial, and $C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z}) \times_{\alpha_3} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha_4} \dots \times_{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}$ is a non-commutative torus A_ϱ . It is shown that T_ϱ^k is strongly Morita equivalent to A_ϱ , and that $T_\varrho^k \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ is isomorphic to $A_\varrho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ if and only if the set of prime factors of k is a subset of the set of prime factors of p .

Introduction. Let G be a locally compact abelian group. A *multiplier* on G is a measurable function $\omega : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^1$ which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(xy, z)\omega(x, y) &= \omega(x, yz)\omega(y, z), & x, y, z \in G, \\ \omega(x, e) &= \omega(e, x) = 1, & x \in G, \end{aligned}$$

where e is the identity in G . Given a locally compact abelian group G and a multiplier ω on G , one can associate to them the twisted group C^* -algebra $C^*(G, \omega)$. $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^n, \omega)$ is said to be a *non-commutative torus of rank n* and denoted by A_ω . The multiplier ω determines a subgroup S_ω of G , called its *symmetry group*, and ω is called *totally skew* if the symmetry group S_ω is trivial; the torus A_ω is then called *completely irrational* (see [1]). It was shown in [1] that if G is a locally compact abelian group and ω is a totally skew multiplier on G , then $C^*(G, \omega)$ is a simple C^* -algebra.

Boca [3] showed that almost all completely irrational non-commutative tori are isomorphic to inductive limits of circle algebras, where the “circle algebra” means a C^* -algebra which is a finite direct sum of C^* -algebras of the form $C(\mathbb{T}^1) \otimes M_q(\mathbb{C})$. We will assume that each completely irrational non-commutative torus appearing in this paper is an inductive limit of circle algebras.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46L05, 46L87.

Key words and phrases: non-commutative torus, equivalence bimodule, circle algebra, real rank 0, crossed product, UHF-algebra, Cuntz algebra.

Research supported by grant No. 1999-2-102-001-3 from the interdisciplinary Research program year of the KOSEF. The author would also like to thank the referee for a number of valuable suggestions to a previous version of this paper.

In [5], it was shown that two separable C^* -algebras A and B are stably isomorphic if and only if they are strongly Morita equivalent, i.e., there exists an A - B -equivalence bimodule defined in [14]. In [4], M. Brabanter constructed an $A_{m/k}$ - $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ -equivalence bimodule. Modifying his construction, we are going to construct a T_ϱ^k - A_ϱ -equivalence bimodule.

It was shown in [2, Theorem 1.5] that each completely irrational non-commutative torus has real rank 0, where the “real rank 0” means that the set of invertible self-adjoint elements is dense in the set of self-adjoint elements. Combining Theorem 1.2 given in the first section and [6, Corollary 3.3] yields that if A_ϱ is simple then T_ϱ^k has real rank 0, since the non-commutative torus A_ϱ has real rank 0. And Lin and Rørdam’s results [12, Propositions 2 and 3] say that if A_ϱ simple then T_ϱ^k is an inductive limit of circle algebras, since $T_\varrho^k \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \cong A_\varrho \otimes \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ is an inductive limit of circle algebras.

Combining Elliott’s classification theorem [10, Theorem 7.1] and Ji and Xia’s result [11, Theorem 1.3] yields that the completely irrational non-commutative tori A_ω of rank n and the simple generalized non-commutative tori T_ϱ^k of rank n are classified by the ranges of the traces, and so one can completely classify them up to isomorphism or up to strong Morita equivalence. Hence some completely irrational non-commutative tori A_ω of rank n are isomorphic to some simple generalized non-commutative tori T_ϱ^k of rank n , and this result can be applied to understand the (bundle) structure of C^* -algebras of sections of locally trivial continuous C^* -algebra bundles over CW-complexes with fibres completely irrational non-commutative tori.

It is moreover shown that $T_\varrho^k \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ is isomorphic to $A_\varrho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ if and only if the set of prime factors of k is a subset of the set of prime factors of p , that $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes T_\varrho^k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes A_\varrho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if k and $2u - 1$ are relatively prime, and that $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes T_\varrho^k$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes A_\varrho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ if $k > 1$, where \mathcal{O}_u and \mathcal{O}_∞ denote the Cuntz algebra and the generalized Cuntz algebra, respectively.

1. Generalized non-commutative tori. It was shown in [4, Proposition 1] that $A_{m/k}$ is the C^* -algebra of matrices $(f_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k$ of functions f_{ij} with

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij} &\in C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z}) && \text{if } i, j \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\} \text{ or } (i, j) = (k, k), \\ f_{ik} &\in \Omega \ \& \ f_{ki} \in \Omega^* && \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where Ω and Ω^* are the $C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z})$ -modules defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{f \in C(\widehat{k\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, 1]) \mid f(z, 1) = z^s f(z, 0), \ \forall z \in \widehat{k\mathbb{Z}}\}, \\ \Omega^* &= \{f \in C(\widehat{k\mathbb{Z}} \times [0, 1]) \mid f^* \in \Omega\} \end{aligned}$$

for an integer s such that $sm = 1 \pmod k$.

The non-commutative torus A_ω of rank n is obtained by an iteration of $n - 1$ crossed products by actions of \mathbb{Z} , the first action being on $C(\mathbb{T}^1)$. When A_ω has a primitive ideal space $\widehat{S}_\omega \cong \widehat{k\mathbb{Z}}$, A_ω is realized as the C^* -algebra of sections of a locally trivial continuous C^* -algebra bundle over $\widehat{k\mathbb{Z}}$ with fibres $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^n/S_\omega, \omega_1)$ for some totally skew multiplier ω_1 , where $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^n/S_\omega, \omega_1) \cong A_\varphi \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ for A_φ a completely irrational non-commutative torus of rank $n - 1$. By a change of basis, one can assume that $A_\omega \cong A_{m/k} \times_{\alpha_3} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha_4} \dots \times_{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}$, where the actions α_i of \mathbb{Z} on the fibre $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ of $A_{m/k}$ are trivial, since the fibre $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ of $A_{m/k}$ is factored out of the fibre $C^*(\mathbb{Z}^n/S_\omega, \omega_1)$ of A_ω (see [1, 9, 13]). This assures us of the existence of such actions α_i as in the definition of T_ϱ^k in the abstract.

1.1. DEFINITION. The *generalized non-commutative torus* T_ϱ^k of rank n is defined to be the crossed product $A_{m/k} \times_{\alpha_3} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha_4} \dots \times_{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}$, where the actions α_i of \mathbb{Z} on the fibre $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ of a rational rotation algebra $A_{m/k}$ are trivial, and $C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z}) \times_{\alpha_3} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha_4} \dots \times_{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}$ is a non-commutative torus A_ϱ of rank n .

So the generalized non-commutative torus T_ϱ^k has a matrix representation induced from the matrix representation of the rational rotation subalgebra $A_{m/k}$.

1.2. PROPOSITION. *The generalized non-commutative torus T_ϱ^k is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra of matrices $(g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &\in A_\varrho && \text{if } i, j \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\} \text{ or } (i, j) = (k, k), \\ g_{ik} &\in \widetilde{\Omega} \ \& \ g_{ki} \in \widetilde{\Omega}^* && \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\Omega}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}^*$ are the A_ϱ -modules defined as

$$\widetilde{\Omega} = A_\varrho \cdot \Omega, \quad \widetilde{\Omega}^* = A_\varrho \cdot \Omega^*.$$

Here Ω and Ω^* are the $C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z})$ -modules defined above.

Proof. One sees from the definition of T_ϱ^k that the isomorphism between $A_{m/k}$ and the C^* -algebra of matrices $(f_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k$ satisfying the condition given above gives an isomorphism between T_ϱ^k and the C^* -algebra of matrices $(g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k$ satisfying the condition given in the statement. Note that $\widetilde{\Omega}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}^*$ are the A_ϱ -modules defined by canonically replacing $C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z})$ in $\Omega = C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z}) \cdot \Omega$ with $A_\varrho \cong C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z}) \times_{\alpha_3} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha_4} \dots \times_{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}$, since the entries in the matrix representation of $A_{m/k}$ have a $C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z})$ -module structure, and T_ϱ^k may be obtained by canonically replacing $C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z})$ with $A_\varrho \cong C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z}) \times_{\alpha_3} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha_4} \dots \times_{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}$. ■

We are going to construct a T_ϱ^k - A_ϱ -equivalence bimodule.

1.3. THEOREM. T_ϱ^k is strongly Morita equivalent to A_ϱ .

Proof. Let X be the complex vector space $(\bigoplus_1^{k-1} \tilde{\Omega}) \oplus A_\varrho$. We will consider the elements of X as $(k, 1)$ matrices where the first $k - 1$ entries are in $\tilde{\Omega}$ and the last entry is in A_ϱ . If $x \in X$, denote by x^* the $(1, k)$ matrix resulting from x by transposition and involution so that $x^* \in (\bigoplus_1^{k-1} \tilde{\Omega}^*) \oplus A_\varrho$. The space X is a left T_ϱ^k -module if module multiplication is defined by matrix multiplication $F \cdot x$, where $F = (g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k \in T_\varrho^k$ and $x \in X$. If $g \in A_\varrho$ and $x \in X$, then $x \cdot [g]$ defines a right A_ϱ -module structure on X . Now we define a T_ϱ^k -valued and an A_ϱ -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{T_\varrho^k}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{A_\varrho}$ on X by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{T_\varrho^k} = x \cdot y^*, \quad \langle x, y \rangle_{A_\varrho} = x^* \cdot y$$

for $x, y \in X$, with matrix multiplication on the right.

It is obvious that for $x, y \in X$, $x \cdot y^* \in T_\varrho^k$ and $x^* \cdot y \in A_\varrho$. Let $A_{m/k} = T_\varrho^k$. By [4, Theorem 3], $\{x \cdot y^* \mid x, y \in X\}$ is dense in $A_{m/k}$. Let us replace $C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z})$ in the vector space X for $A_{m/k}$ with $A_\varrho \cong C^*(k\mathbb{Z} \times k\mathbb{Z}) \times_{\alpha_3} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha_4} \dots \times_{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}$. From the definitions of $\tilde{\Omega}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}^*$ and the structure of the generalized non-commutative torus T_ϱ^k of rank n , given in the proof of Proposition 1.2, one finds that $\{x \cdot y^* \mid x, y \in X\}$ is dense in T_ϱ^k . On the other hand, for any $a \in A_\varrho$, let $x = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$, $y = (0, 0, \dots, 0, a) \in X$. Then $x^* \cdot y = a$. Hence $\{x^* \cdot y \mid x, y \in X\}$ is dense in A_ϱ . So X becomes a T_ϱ^k - A_ϱ -equivalence bimodule, as desired. ■

The generalized non-commutative torus T_ϱ^k of rank n is strongly Morita equivalent to the non-commutative torus A_ϱ of rank n , so $K_i(T_\varrho^k) \cong K_i(A_\varrho) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2n-1}$ (see [9, Theorem 2.2]). The non-commutative torus A_ϱ of rank n is the universal object for unitary ϱ -representations of \mathbb{Z}^n , so A_ϱ is realized as $C^*(u_1, \dots, u_n \mid u_i u_j = e^{2\pi i \theta_{ji}} u_j u_i)$, where u_i are unitaries and θ_{ji} are real numbers for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

1.4. THEOREM. (1) $\text{tr}(K_0(T_\varrho^k)) = k^{-1} \cdot \text{tr}(K_0(A_\varrho))$ if A_ϱ is completely irrational.

(2) $[1_{T_\varrho^k}] \in K_0(T_\varrho^k)$ is primitive.

Proof. (1) T_ϱ^k has a matrix representation induced from the matrix representation of the rational rotation subalgebra $A_{m/k}$. The diagonal entries of the matrix representation are in A_ϱ , and so the range of the trace of $K_0(T_\varrho^k)$ is

$$\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{k}(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\beta + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\gamma),$$

where $\text{tr}(K_0(A_\varrho)) = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}k + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\beta + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\gamma$. Hence $\text{tr}(K_0(T_\varrho^k)) = k^{-1} \cdot \text{tr}(K_0(A_\varrho))$.

(2) We argue by induction on n . For $n = 2$, it is the Elliott result [9, Theorem 2.2]. Assume that the result is true for all T_ϱ^k with $n = i - 1$. Since T_ϱ^k is realized as $A_{m/k} \times_{\alpha_3} \mathbb{Z} \times_{\alpha_4} \dots \times_{\alpha_n} \mathbb{Z}$, write $\mathbb{S}_i = C^*(\mathbb{S}_{i-1}, u_i)$, where $\mathbb{S}_i = C^*(A_{m/k}, u_3, \dots, u_i)$. Then the inductive hypothesis applies to \mathbb{S}_{i-1} . Also, we can think of \mathbb{S}_i as the crossed product of \mathbb{S}_{i-1} by an action α_i of \mathbb{Z} , where the generator of \mathbb{Z} corresponds to u_i , which acts on $C^*(u_1^k, u_2^k, u_3, \dots, u_{i-1})$ by conjugation (sending u_j to $u_i u_j u_i^{-1} = e^{2\pi i \theta_{ji}} u_j$, $j \neq 1, 2$, and sending u_j^k to $u_i u_j^k u_i^{-1} = e^{2\pi i k \theta_{ji}} u_j^k$, $j = 1, 2$), and which acts trivially on $M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Note that this action is homotopic to the trivial action, since we can homotope θ_{ji} to 0. Hence \mathbb{Z} acts trivially on the K -theory of \mathbb{S}_{i-1} . The Pimsner–Voiculescu exact sequence for a crossed product gives an exact sequence

$$K_0(\mathbb{S}_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{1 - (\alpha_i)_*} K_0(\mathbb{S}_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\Phi} K_0(\mathbb{S}_i) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{S}_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{1 - (\alpha_i)_*} K_1(\mathbb{S}_{i-1})$$

and similarly for K_1 , where the map Φ is induced by inclusion. Since $(\alpha_i)_* = 1$ and since the K -groups of \mathbb{S}_{i-1} are free abelian, this reduces to a split short exact sequence

$$\{0\} \rightarrow K_0(\mathbb{S}_{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\Phi} K_0(\mathbb{S}_i) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{S}_{i-1}) \rightarrow \{0\}$$

and similarly for K_1 . So $K_0(\mathbb{S}_i)$ and $K_1(\mathbb{S}_i)$ are free abelian of rank $2 \cdot 2^{i-2} = 2^{i-1}$. Furthermore, since the inclusion $\mathbb{S}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_i$ sends $1_{\mathbb{S}_{i-1}}$ to $1_{\mathbb{S}_i}$, $[1_{\mathbb{S}_i}]$ is the image of $[1_{\mathbb{S}_{i-1}}]$, which is primitive in $K_0(\mathbb{S}_{i-1})$ by inductive hypothesis. Hence the image is primitive, since the Pimsner–Voiculescu exact sequence is a split short exact sequence of torsion-free groups. ■

1.5. COROLLARY. T_ϱ^k is not isomorphic to $A \otimes M_d(\mathbb{C})$ for a C^* -algebra A if $d > 1$.

Proof. Assume T_ϱ^k is isomorphic to $A \otimes M_d(\mathbb{C})$. Then the unit $1_{T_\varrho^k}$ maps to $1_A \otimes I_d$. This implies that there is a projection e in T_ϱ^k such that $[1_{T_\varrho^k}] = d[e]$ in $K_0(T_\varrho^k)$, which contradicts Theorem 1.4 if $d > 1$. Thus no non-trivial matrix algebra can be factored out of T_ϱ^k . ■

2. Tensor products of generalized non-commutative tori with UHF-algebras and Cuntz algebras. Using the fact that $[1_{T_\varrho^k}] \in K_0(T_\varrho^k)$ is primitive, we investigate the structure of $T_\varrho^k \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ for M_{p^∞} a UHF-algebra of type p^∞ .

2.1. THEOREM. $T_\varrho^k \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ is isomorphic to $A_\varrho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ if and only if the set of prime factors of k is a subset of the set of prime factors of p .

Proof. Assume that the set of prime factors of k is a subset of the set of prime factors of p . To show that $T_\varrho^k \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ is isomorphic to $A_\varrho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes$

M_{p^∞} , it is enough to show that $T_\rho^k \otimes M_{k^\infty} \cong A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{k^\infty}$. But there exist the C^* -algebra homomorphisms which are the canonical inclusions $T_\rho^k \otimes M_{k^g}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{k^g}(\mathbb{C})$ and the A_ρ -module maps $A_\rho \otimes M_{k^g}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow T_\rho^k \otimes M_{k^g}(\mathbb{C})$:

$$T_\rho^k \hookrightarrow A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow T_\rho^k \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow A_\rho \otimes M_{k^2}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \dots$$

The inductive limit of the odd terms

$$\dots \rightarrow T_\rho^k \otimes M_{k^g}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow T_\rho^k \otimes M_{k^{g+1}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is $T_\rho^k \otimes M_{k^\infty}$, and the inductive limit of the even terms

$$\dots \rightarrow A_\rho \otimes M_{k^g}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A_\rho \otimes M_{k^{g+1}}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is $A_\rho \otimes M_{k^\infty}$. Thus by the Elliott theorem [10, Theorem 2.1], $T_\rho^k \otimes M_{k^\infty}$ is isomorphic to $A_\rho \otimes M_{k^\infty}$.

Conversely, assume that $T_\rho^k \otimes M_{p^\infty} \cong A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{p^\infty}$. Then the unit $1_{T_\rho^k} \otimes 1_{M_{p^\infty}}$ maps to the unit $1_{A_\rho} \otimes 1_{M_{p^\infty}} \otimes I_k$. So

$$\begin{aligned} [1_{T_\rho^k} \otimes 1_{M_{p^\infty}}] &= [1_{A_\rho} \otimes 1_{M_{p^\infty}} \otimes I_k], \\ [1_{T_\rho^k} \otimes 1_{M_{p^\infty}}] &= [1_{T_\rho^k}] \otimes [1_{M_{p^\infty}}], \\ [1_{A_\rho} \otimes 1_{M_{p^\infty}} \otimes I_k] &= k([1_{A_\rho}] \otimes [1_{M_{p^\infty}}]). \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption that the unit $1_{T_\rho^k} \otimes 1_{M_{p^\infty}}$ maps to the unit $1_{A_\rho} \otimes 1_{M_{p^\infty}} \otimes I_k$, if there is a prime factor q of k such that $q \nmid p$, then $[1_{M_{p^\infty}}] \neq q[e_\infty]$ for e_∞ a projection in M_{p^∞} . So there is a projection $e \in T_\rho^k$ such that $[1_{T_\rho^k}] = q[e]$. This contradicts Theorem 1.4. Thus the set of prime factors of k is a subset of the set of prime factors of p .

Therefore, $T_\rho^k \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ is isomorphic to $A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{p^\infty}$ if and only if the set of prime factors of k is a subset of the set of prime factors of p . ■

Let us study the structure of the tensor products of generalized non-commutative tori with (even) Cuntz algebras.

The *Cuntz algebra* \mathcal{O}_u , $2 \leq u < \infty$, is the universal C^* -algebra generated by u isometries s_1, \dots, s_u , i.e., $s_j^* s_j = 1$ for all j , with the relation $s_1 s_1^* + \dots + s_u s_u^* = 1$. Cuntz [7, 8] proved that \mathcal{O}_u is simple and the K -theory of \mathcal{O}_u is $K_0(\mathcal{O}_u) = \mathbb{Z}/(u-1)\mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_u) = 0$. He proved that $K_0(\mathcal{O}_u)$ is generated by the class of the unit.

2.2. PROPOSITION. *Let u be a positive integer such that k and $u-1$ are not relatively prime. Then $\mathcal{O}_u \otimes T_\rho^k$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_u \otimes A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let p be a prime such that $p \mid k$ and $p \mid u-1$. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_u \otimes T_\rho^k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_u \otimes A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Then the unit $1_{\mathcal{O}_u \otimes T_\rho^k}$ maps to the unit $1_{\mathcal{O}_u \otimes A_\rho} \otimes I_k$. So $[1_{\mathcal{O}_u \otimes T_\rho^k}] = [1_{\mathcal{O}_u \otimes A_\rho} \otimes I_k] = k[1_{\mathcal{O}_u \otimes A_\rho}]$. Hence there is a projection e in $\mathcal{O}_u \otimes T_\rho^k$ such that $[1_{\mathcal{O}_u \otimes T_\rho^k}] = k[e]$. But $[1_{\mathcal{O}_u \otimes T_\rho^k}] =$

$[1_{\mathcal{O}_u}] \otimes [1_{T_\rho^k}]$ and $[1_{\mathcal{O}_u}]$ is a generator of $K_0(\mathcal{O}_u) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(u-1)\mathbb{Z}$ (see [8]). But $p \mid u-1$. We have $[1_{\mathcal{O}_u}] \neq p[e_*]$ for e_* a projection in \mathcal{O}_u . So $[1_{T_\rho^k}] = p[e']$ for e' a projection in T_ρ^k . This contradicts Theorem 1.4. Hence k and $u-1$ are relatively prime.

Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_u \otimes T_\rho^k$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_u \otimes A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ if k and $u-1$ are not relatively prime. ■

The following result is useful to understand the structure of $\mathcal{O}_u \otimes T_\rho^k$.

2.3. PROPOSITION [15, Theorem 7.2]. *Let A and B be unital simple inductive limits of even Cuntz algebras. If $\alpha : K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups satisfying $\alpha([1_A]) = [1_B]$, then there is an isomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ which induces α .*

2.4. COROLLARY. (1) *Let p be an odd integer such that p and $2u-1$ are relatively prime. Then \mathcal{O}_{2u} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{(2u-1)p+1} \otimes M_{p^\infty}$. That is, \mathcal{O}_{2u} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{p^\infty}$.*

(2) *\mathcal{O}_{2u} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{(2u)^\infty}$.*

2.5. THEOREM. *$\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes T_\rho^k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if k and $2u-1$ are relatively prime.*

Proof. Assume that k and $2u-1$ are relatively prime. Let $k = p^{2^v}$ for some odd integer p . Then p and $2u-1$ are relatively prime. Then by Corollary 2.4, \mathcal{O}_{2u} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{p^\infty}$, and \mathcal{O}_{2u} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{(2u)^\infty} \cong \mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{(2u)^\infty} \otimes M_{(2^v)^\infty} \cong \mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{(2^v)^\infty}$. So \mathcal{O}_{2u} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{p^\infty} \otimes M_{(2^v)^\infty} \cong \mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{k^\infty}$. Thus by Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes T_\rho^k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{k^\infty} \otimes T_\rho^k$, which in turn is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes M_{k^\infty} \otimes A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes T_\rho^k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$.

The converse was proved in Proposition 2.2.

Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes T_\rho^k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{2u} \otimes A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if k and $2u-1$ are relatively prime. ■

Cuntz [8] computed the K -theory of the generalized Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_∞ , generated by a sequence of isometries with mutually orthogonal ranges, $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_\infty) = 0$. He proved that $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ is generated by the class of the unit.

2.6. PROPOSITION. *$\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes T_\rho^k$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ if $k > 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes T_\rho^k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes A_\rho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$. The unit $1_{\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes T_\rho^k}$ maps to the unit $1_{\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes A_\rho} \otimes I_k$. By the same trick as in the proof of Proposition 2.2, one can show that $[1_{\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes T_\rho^k}] = k[e]$ for a projection $e \in \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes T_\rho^k$. We have $[1_{\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes T_\rho^k}] = [1_{\mathcal{O}_\infty}] \otimes [1_{T_\rho^k}]$ and $[1_{\mathcal{O}_\infty}]$ is a primitive

element of $K_0(\mathcal{O}_\infty) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (see [8]). So $[1_{T_\varrho^k}] = k[e']$ for a projection $e' \in T_\varrho^k$. This contradicts Theorem 1.4 if $k > 1$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes T_\varrho^k$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes A_\varrho \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$. ■

References

- [1] L. Baggett and A. Kleppner, *Multiplier representations of abelian groups*, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973), 299–324.
- [2] B. Blackadar, A. Kumjian and M. Rørdam, *Approximately central matrix units and the structure of non-commutative tori*, K-Theory 6 (1992), 267–284.
- [3] F. Boca, *The structure of higher-dimensional non-commutative tori and metric Diophantine approximation*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 492 (1997), 179–219.
- [4] M. Brabanter, *The classification of rational rotation C^* -algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 43 (1984), 79–83.
- [5] L. Brown, P. Green and M. Rieffel, *Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 71 (1977), 349–363.
- [6] L. Brown and G. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Funct. Anal. 99 (1991), 131–149.
- [7] J. Cuntz, *Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. 57 (1977), 173–185.
- [8] —, *K-theory for certain C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. 113 (1981), 181–197.
- [9] G. Elliott, *On the K-theory of the C^* -algebra generated by a projective representation of a torsion-free discrete abelian group*, in: Operator Algebras and Group Representations, Vol. 1, G. Aresene et al. (eds.), Pitman, London, 1984, 157–184.
- [10] —, *On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 443 (1993), 179–219.
- [11] R. Ji and J. Xia, *On the classification of commutator ideals*, J. Funct. Anal. 78 (1988), 208–232.
- [12] H. Lin and M. Rørdam, *Extensions of inductive limits of circle algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. 51 (1995), 603–613.
- [13] S. Oh and C. Park, *The bundle structure of non-commutative tori*, Vietnam J. Math. 27 (1999), 301–308.
- [14] M. Rieffel, *Morita equivalence for operator algebras*, in: Operator Algebras and Applications, R. V. Kadison (ed.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982, 285–298.
- [15] M. Rørdam, *Classification of inductive limits of Cuntz algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 440 (1993), 175–200.

Department of Mathematics
 Chungnam National University
 Taejon 305-764, South Korea
 E-mail: cgpark@math.chungnam.ac.kr

Received March 13, 2000
 Revised version August 20, 2001

(4493)