

Spectral synthesis and operator synthesis

by

K. PARTHASARATHY and R. PRAKASH (Chennai)

Abstract. Relations between spectral synthesis in the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ of a compact group G and the concept of operator synthesis due to Arveson have been studied in the literature. For an $A(G)$ -submodule X of $VN(G)$, X -synthesis in $A(G)$ has been introduced by E. Kaniuth and A. Lau and studied recently by the present authors. To any such X we associate a $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule \widehat{X} of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ (where $V^\infty(G)$ is the weak- $*$ Haagerup tensor product $L^\infty(G) \otimes_{w^*h} L^\infty(G)$), define the concept of \widehat{X} -operator synthesis and prove that a closed set E in G is of X -synthesis if and only if $E^* := \{(x, y) \in G \times G : xy^{-1} \in E\}$ is of \widehat{X} -operator synthesis.

Introduction. Arveson introduced and studied the concept of operator synthesis in [1]. He found that spectral synthesis on abelian groups is related to operator synthesis. Froelich [2] continued this study. Recently Spronk and Turowska [5] have investigated the relation between spectral synthesis in the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ of a compact group G and operator synthesis. Specifically, they considered the projective tensor product $T(G) = L^2(G) \widehat{\otimes} L^2(G)$ and the weak- $*$ Haagerup tensor product $V^\infty(G) = L^\infty(G) \otimes_{w^*h} L^\infty(G)$ and proved that a closed subset E of G is of spectral synthesis for $A(G)$ if and only if $E^* := \{(x, y) \in G \times G : xy^{-1} \in E\}$ is of operator synthesis.

In another direction, for an $A(G)$ -submodule X of $VN(G) = A(G)^*$, X -synthesis has recently been studied by Kaniuth and Lau [3] and Parthasarathy and Prakash [4].

In this paper we tie up these two threads. For a $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) = T(G)^*$, we define and characterise operator synthesis for \mathcal{M} (Section 3). When $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, this reduces to operator synthesis of the earlier authors. With any $A(G)$ -submodule X of $VN(G)$, we associate a $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule \widehat{X} of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ and conversely, to any $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ there corresponds an $A(G)$ -submodule $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 43A45, 47L25; Secondary 43A77.

Key words and phrases: spectral synthesis, Fourier algebra, Varopoulos algebra, Haagerup tensor product, operator synthesis.

$\text{VN}(G)$. Moreover, $\widehat{X} = X$ (Section 2). The main result (Theorem 4.6) states that a closed set E in G is of X -synthesis if and only if E^* is of \widehat{X} -operator synthesis. This is preceded, in Section 4, by a sequence of lemmas.

We begin with the required notations, definitions and results in the first section.

1. Preliminaries. For a compact group G , the *Fourier algebra* $A(G)$ is the space of continuous functions of the form $u(x) = \langle \lambda(x)f, g \rangle$, $x \in G$, where $f, g \in L^2(G)$ and λ is the left regular representation of G . $\text{VN}(G)$ is the von Neumann algebra in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ generated by $\lambda(x)$, $x \in G$. $A(G)$ is a commutative, semisimple, regular Banach algebra with pointwise operations and with norm defined, for $u(\cdot) = \langle \lambda(\cdot)f, g \rangle \in A(G)$, by

$$\|u\|_A = \sup\{|\langle Tf, g \rangle| : T \in \text{VN}(G), \|T\| \leq 1\}.$$

$\text{VN}(G)$ is the Banach space dual of $A(G)$ with the pairing given by $\langle T, u \rangle = \langle Tf, g \rangle$. Moreover, $\text{VN}(G)$ is an $A(G)$ -module where the action is given as follows: for $T \in \text{VN}(G)$ and $u \in A(G)$, $\langle u.T, v \rangle = \langle T, uv \rangle$, $v \in A(G)$. The *support* of $T \in \text{VN}(G)$ is the closed set $\text{supp } T = \{x \in G : u(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow u.T \neq 0\}$.

For a closed set $E \subseteq G$, define

$$\begin{aligned} I_A(E) &:= \{u \in A(G) : u(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in E\}, \\ j_A(E) &:= \{u \in A(G) : u \text{ vanishes in a neighbourhood of } E\}, \\ J_A(E) &:= \overline{j_A(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

$I_A(E)$ is the largest ideal of $A(G)$ with zero set E and $j_A(E)$ is the smallest such ideal. The set E is called a set of *spectral synthesis* (or a *spectral set*) if there is a unique closed ideal of $A(G)$ with zero set E . Thus E is a set of synthesis if and only if $I_A(E) = J_A(E)$. For an $A(G)$ -submodule X of $\text{VN}(G)$, E is called a set of *X -synthesis* (or an *X -spectral set*) if $\langle T, u \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in I_A(E)$ and $T \in X$ with $\text{supp } T \subseteq E$ (see [3]). It is proved in [4] that E is a set of X -synthesis if and only if $I_A^X(E) = J_A^X(E)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} I_A^X(E) &= \{u \in A(G) : \langle T, u \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } T \in X \cap I_A(E)^\perp\}, \\ J_A^X(E) &= \{u \in A(G) : \langle T, u \rangle = 0 \text{ for every } T \in X \cap J_A(E)^\perp\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $I_A^X(E) = I_A(E)$ and $J_A^X(E) = J_A(E)$ when $X = \text{VN}(G)$.

The concept of operator synthesis was introduced by Arveson [1], who also initiated the study of its relations with spectral synthesis. This study has been continued by Froelich [2] and Spronk and Turowska [5]. To describe the setting, let $T(G)$ be the projective tensor product $L^2(G) \widehat{\otimes} L^2(G)$. The Banach space dual $T(G)^*$ of $T(G)$ is identified with $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ where the pairing satisfies the relation $\langle S, f \otimes g \rangle = \langle Sf, \bar{g} \rangle$ for $S \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ and $f, g \in L^2(G)$. Arveson [1] has shown that an element $\omega = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n \otimes g_n \in T(G)$

may be considered as a function $\omega(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(y)g_n(x)$ for marginally almost all $(x, y) \in G \times G$ (see [1], [5]). For such an ω , $\text{supp } \omega = \{(x, y) \in G \times G : \omega(x, y) \neq 0\}$ is defined up to marginally null sets. A *marginally null set* is a subset of a set of the form $E \times G \cup G \times F$ where E, F have measure zero. For a closed set $F \subseteq G \times G$, define

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(F) &= \{\omega \in T(G) : \text{supp } \omega \cap F = \emptyset\}, \\ \psi(F) &= \{\omega \in T(G) : \text{supp } \omega \cap U = \emptyset \text{ for some open } U \supseteq F\}, \\ \Psi(F) &= \overline{\psi(F)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\Phi(F) = \Psi(F)$, then F is called a set of *operator synthesis* (or is said to be *synthetic*). (Arveson [1] considered these concepts in a very general set up, but we consider only the case of the product normalised Haar measure $m \times m$ on $G \times G$ as in Spronk and Turowska [5].)

Spronk and Turowska proved that a closed subset E of G is a set of synthesis if and only if $E^* := \{(x, y) \in G \times G : xy^{-1} \in E\}$ is a set of operator synthesis. Note that $E^* = \theta^{-1}(E)$ where $\theta : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is the continuous surjection defined by $\theta(x, y) = xy^{-1}$. Crucial to the proof of this result is the embedding \tilde{N} of $A(G)$ in $T(G)$. This is analogous to the embedding N of $A(G)$ in the Varopoulos algebra $V(G)$ ([6], [5]) and is given by $\tilde{N}u(x, y) = u(xy^{-1})$ for marginally almost all $(x, y) \in G \times G$. It is proved in [5] that \tilde{N} is an isometry whose range is the set of functions in $T(G)$ which are G -invariant. More precisely, if for $\omega \in T(G)$ and $t \in G$, $t.\omega(x, y) = \omega(xt, yt)$ for marginally almost all (x, y) in $G \times G$, then

$$\tilde{N}(A(G)) = T_{\text{inv}}(G) := \{\omega \in T(G) : t.\omega = \omega \text{ for all } t \in G\}.$$

$T_{\text{inv}}(G)$ is complemented in $T(G)$ and a projection \tilde{P} of $T(G)$ onto $T_{\text{inv}}(G)$ is given by $\tilde{P}\omega = \int_G t.\omega dt$. Further, \tilde{Q} defined by $\tilde{Q}\omega(x) = \int_G \omega(xt, t) dt$ gives a contraction $T(G) \rightarrow A(G)$ such that $\tilde{Q}(\tilde{N}u) = u$ for all $u \in A(G)$.

In this paper, we define an analogue, for operator synthesis, of the concept of X -synthesis and obtain a relation between X -synthesis and this concept.

2. $V^\infty(G)$ -submodules of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. We need the Banach algebra $V^\infty(G)$ that is the weak- $*$ Haagerup tensor product $L^\infty(G) \otimes_{w^*h} L^\infty(G)$. This is defined as follows. Consider $\mathfrak{S}(L^2(G)) \otimes_h \mathfrak{S}(L^2(G))$, the Haagerup tensor product of trace class operators on $L^2(G)$. The dual of this space is, by definition, the weak- $*$ Haagerup tensor product $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) \otimes_{w^*h} \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. The weak- $*$ closure of $L^\infty(G) \otimes L^\infty(G)$ in this space is defined as $L^\infty(G) \otimes_{w^*h} L^\infty(G)$. (Note that $L^\infty(G)$ is here considered as an algebra of operators on $L^2(G)$.) Another description: $L^\infty(G) \otimes_{w^*h} L^\infty(G) = (L^1(G) \otimes_h L^1(G))^*$. But the description of $V^\infty(G)$ that is useful for our purposes is the fol-

lowing. Every element of $V^\infty(G)$ can be considered as a function (up to a marginally null set) on $G \times G$ of the form $w = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \varphi_n \otimes \psi_n$ where φ_n, ψ_n are in $L^\infty(G)$ and the series is weak-* convergent. Moreover,

$$\|w\|_{V^\infty} = \inf \left\{ \left\| \sum |\varphi_n|^2 \right\|_\infty^{1/2} \left\| \sum |\psi_n|^2 \right\|_\infty^{1/2} : w = \sum \varphi_n \otimes \psi_n \right\}$$

with the series $\sum |\varphi_n|^2$ and $\sum |\psi_n|^2$ converging in the weak-* topology. Spronk and Turowska [5] have also proved that $V^\infty(G)$ is the algebra of multipliers of $T(G)$. In other words,

$$V^\infty(G) = \{w : w \text{ is a complex function on } G \times G \text{ and } m_w : \omega \mapsto w \cdot \omega \text{ is a bounded linear map on } T(G)\}.$$

with $\|w\|_{V^\infty(G)} = \|m_w\|$. Two functions w and w' in $V^\infty(G)$ are identified if they differ on a marginally null set. It is shown that the map J which identifies a function in $V^\infty(G)$ as an element of $T(G)$ is a contractive injection. More precisely, the map $J : V^\infty(G) \rightarrow T(G)$ is defined by $Jw = m_w 1 \otimes 1$.

$V^\infty(G)$ acts on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) = T(G)^*$ via the dual action: for $w \in V^\infty(G)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$,

$$\langle w \cdot S, \omega \rangle = \langle S, m_w \omega \rangle, \quad \omega \in T(G).$$

Now, given an $A(G)$ -submodule X of $\text{VN}(G)$, we define

$$\widehat{X} = \{S \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G)) : w \cdot S \circ \widetilde{N} \in X \text{ for all } w \in V^\infty(G)\}.$$

It is clearly a $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. In the opposite direction, with any $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ we associate an $A(G)$ -submodule $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\text{VN}(G)$ by defining

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \{T \in \text{VN}(G) : u \cdot T \circ \widetilde{N}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{P} \in \mathcal{M} \text{ for all } u \in A(G)\}.$$

We have the following nice-looking result on these correspondences.

2.1. PROPOSITION. *If X is an $A(G)$ -submodule of $\text{VN}(G)$, then $\widetilde{\widehat{X}} = X$.*

Proof. Let $T \in X$. Suppose $u \in A(G)$ and let $S = u \cdot T \circ \widetilde{N}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{P}$. We claim that $S \in \widehat{X}$. Indeed, for $w \in V^\infty(G)$ and $v \in A(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w \cdot S \circ \widetilde{N}, v \rangle &= \langle w \cdot S, \widetilde{N}v \rangle = \langle S, m_w \widetilde{N}v \rangle = \langle u \cdot T \circ \widetilde{N}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{P}, m_w \widetilde{N}v \rangle \\ &= \langle u \cdot T \circ \widetilde{N}^{-1}, \widetilde{P} m_w \widetilde{N}v \rangle = \langle u \cdot T \circ \widetilde{N}^{-1}, \widetilde{N}(u_1v) \rangle \\ &= \langle u_1 u \cdot T, v \rangle, \quad u_1 = \widetilde{N}^{-1}(\widetilde{P}(Jw)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $w \cdot S \circ \widetilde{N} = u_1 u \cdot T \in X$. So $S = u \cdot T \circ \widetilde{N}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{P} \in \widehat{X}$ for all $u \in A(G)$. This means that $T \in \widetilde{\widehat{X}}$, by definition.

Conversely, suppose $T \in \widetilde{\widehat{X}}$. Then $u \cdot T \circ \widetilde{N}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{P} \in \widehat{X}$ for all $u \in A(G)$ and so $w \cdot (u \cdot T \circ \widetilde{N}^{-1} \circ \widetilde{P}) \circ \widetilde{N} \in X$ for all $w \in V^\infty(G)$. For $u, v \in A(G)$ and

$w \in V^\infty(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w.(u.T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1} \circ \tilde{P}) \circ \tilde{N}, v \rangle &= \langle w.(u.T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1} \circ \tilde{P}), \tilde{N}v \rangle \\ &= \langle u.T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1} \circ \tilde{P}, m_w \tilde{N}v \rangle = \langle u_1 u.T, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

as before. Thus $u_1 u.T = w.(u.T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1} \circ \tilde{P}) \circ \tilde{N} \in X$. Taking $u = 1$ and $w = 1 \otimes 1$ we get $u_1 = 1$ and $T \in X$. ■

3. Operator synthesis for $V^\infty(G)$ -modules. For an operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, the *operator support* of S is defined by $\text{supp}_{\text{op}} S = \{(x, y) \in G \times G : \text{for neighbourhoods } U \text{ of } x \text{ and } V \text{ of } y \text{ there are } f, g \in L^2(G) \text{ with } \text{supp } f \subset V, \text{supp } g \subset U \text{ and } \langle Sf, g \rangle \neq 0\}$. Here $\text{supp } f = \{x \in G : f(x) \neq 0\}$. It is known that $\text{supp}_{\text{op}} S$ is a closed set in $G \times G$.

3.1. DEFINITION. Let \mathcal{M} be a $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. A closed set $F \subseteq G \times G$ is said to be a *set of operator synthesis* for \mathcal{M} (or an *\mathcal{M} -synthetic set* for short) if $\langle S, \omega \rangle = 0$ for $S \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\text{supp}_{\text{op}} S \subseteq F$ and $\omega \in \Phi(F)$. Observe that F is of operator synthesis if and only if it is $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ -synthetic.

To get a reformulation of this concept we define the following subsets of $T(G)$: If \mathcal{M} is a $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{\mathcal{M}}(F) &= \{\omega \in T(G) : \langle S, \omega \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } S \in \mathcal{M} \cap \Phi(F)^\perp\}, \\ \Psi^{\mathcal{M}}(F) &= \{\omega \in T(G) : \langle S, \omega \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } S \in \mathcal{M} \cap \Psi(F)^\perp\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that these are closed $V^\infty(G)$ -submodules of $T(G)$.

3.2. LEMMA. *Let \mathcal{M} be a $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. A closed subset F of $G \times G$ is \mathcal{M} -synthetic if and only if $\Phi^{\mathcal{M}}(F) = \Psi^{\mathcal{M}}(F)$.*

Proof. Suppose F is \mathcal{M} -synthetic. Let $S \in \mathcal{M} \cap \Psi(F)^\perp$. Then $\text{supp}_{\text{op}} S \subseteq F$ and $\langle S, \omega' \rangle = 0$ for $\omega' \in \Phi(F)$, since F is \mathcal{M} -synthetic. Thus, if $\omega \in \Phi^{\mathcal{M}}(F)$, then $\langle S, \omega \rangle = 0$, so $\omega \in \Psi^{\mathcal{M}}(F)$. The inclusion $\Psi^{\mathcal{M}}(F) \subseteq \Phi^{\mathcal{M}}(F)$ being trivial, one part of the lemma is proved.

For the converse, suppose $\Phi^{\mathcal{M}}(F) = \Psi^{\mathcal{M}}(F)$. If $S \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\text{supp}_{\text{op}} S \subseteq F$, then $S \in \mathcal{M} \cap \Psi(F)^\perp$. Thus $\langle S, \omega \rangle = 0$ for $\omega \in \Psi^{\mathcal{M}}(F) = \Phi^{\mathcal{M}}(F)$. In particular, $\langle S, \omega \rangle = 0$ for $\omega \in \Phi(F)$. Hence F is \mathcal{M} -synthetic. ■

4. Spectral synthesis in $A(G)$ and operator synthesis in $T(G)$. Let X be an $A(G)$ -submodule of $\text{VN}(G)$ and let \hat{X} be the associated $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ as in Section 2. In this section we prove the main result that if E is an X -spectral set, then $E^* = \{(x, y) : xy^{-1} \in E\}$ is \hat{X} -synthetic.

We begin with a couple of lemmas. The first of these identifies the images of the ideals $I_A(E)$ and $J_A(E)$ under the map \tilde{N} . The analogous result for

the isometric imbedding N of $A(G)$ in the Varopoulos algebra $V(G)$ is due to Spronk and Turowska [5].

4.1. LEMMA. *Let E be a closed subset of G .*

- (i) $u \in I_A(E) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{N}u \in \Phi(E^*)$.
- (ii) $u \in J_A(E) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{N}u \in \Psi(E^*)$.

Proof. (i) is obvious. The proof that $u \in J_A(E)$ implies $\tilde{N}u \in \Psi(E^*)$ is essentially contained in the proof of [5, Theorem 4.6]. Conversely, suppose that $u \in A(G)$ and $\tilde{N}u \in \Psi(E^*)$. Then there is a sequence $\{\omega_n\}$ in $\psi(E^*)$ such that $\omega_n \rightarrow \tilde{N}u$, hence $\tilde{Q}\omega_n \rightarrow \tilde{Q}\tilde{N}u = u$. We complete the proof by showing that $\tilde{Q}\omega_n \in j_A(E)$ for all n . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} x \notin \theta(\text{supp } \omega_n) &\Rightarrow (xt, t) \notin \text{supp } \omega_n \quad \forall t \in G \\ &\Rightarrow \omega_n(xt, t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in G \\ &\Rightarrow \tilde{Q}\omega_n(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $W_n = \{x \in G : \tilde{Q}\omega_n(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq \theta(\text{supp } \omega_n)$. But $\omega_n \in \psi(E^*)$ implies that there is a neighbourhood U_n of E^* such that $\text{supp } \omega_n \subseteq U_n^c$. This means that $W_n \subseteq \theta(U_n^c)$. So $\text{supp } \tilde{Q}(\omega_n) = \overline{W_n} \subseteq \overline{\theta(U_n^c)} = \theta(U_n^c)$ and $\tilde{Q}\omega_n \in j_A(E)$. ■

The following result is [5, Theorem 4.6].

4.2. COROLLARY. *A closed subset E of G is of spectral synthesis for $A(G)$ if and only if E^* is of operator synthesis.*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 4.1. The only new feature here is the different proof of the part that if E^* is operator synthetic then E is of synthesis. (This is a consequence of Lemma 4.1(i) and the implication $\tilde{N}u \in \Psi(E^*) \Rightarrow u \in J_A(E)$ in 4.1(ii).) The rest is as in [5].

We use Lemma 4.1 to prove the following more general version, which is a crucial ingredient in the proof of the main result (Theorem 4.6 below), of which 4.2 is a special case.

4.3. LEMMA. *Let E be a closed set in G and let $u \in A(G)$. Let X be an $A(G)$ -submodule of $\text{VN}(G)$ and let \hat{X} be the associated $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. Then*

- (i) $u \in I_A^X(E) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{N}u \in \Phi^{\hat{X}}(E^*)$.
- (ii) $u \in J_A^X(E) \Leftrightarrow \tilde{N}u \in \Psi^{\hat{X}}(E^*)$.

Proof. (i) First, let $u \in I_A^X(E)$ and $S \in \hat{X} \cap \Phi(E^*)^\perp$. To show that $\tilde{N}u \in \Phi^{\hat{X}}(E^*)$, we need to prove that $\langle S, \tilde{N}u \rangle = 0$. We first claim that $S\circ\tilde{N} \in X \cap I_A(E)^\perp$. To see this, observe that if $u' \in I_A(E)$ then $\tilde{N}u' \in \Phi(E^*)$

by Lemma 4.1, and so $\langle S \circ \tilde{N}, u' \rangle = \langle S, \tilde{N}u' \rangle = 0$ as $S \in \Phi(E^*)^\perp$. Thus, $S \circ \tilde{N} \in I_A(E)^\perp$, and, of course, $S \circ \tilde{N} \in X$ by definition of \widehat{X} . This proves the claim and consequently $0 = \langle S \circ \tilde{N}, u \rangle = \langle S, \tilde{N}u \rangle$.

Conversely, let $u \in A(G)$, $\tilde{N}u \in \Phi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*)$ and $T \in X \cap I_A(E)^\perp$. Then $S := T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1} \circ \tilde{P} \in T(G)^* = \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. We prove that $S \in \widehat{X} \cap \Phi(E^*)^\perp$. For $w \in V^\infty(G)$ and $u \in A(G)$, $wS \circ \tilde{N} = u_1T$, where $u_1 = \tilde{N}^{-1}(\tilde{P}(Jw))$, as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, and so $S \in \widehat{X}$. Moreover, if $\omega \in \Phi(E^*)$, then clearly $\tilde{P}\omega \in \Phi(E^*)$ and $\langle S, \omega \rangle = \langle T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1} \circ \tilde{P}, \omega \rangle = \langle T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1}, \tilde{P}\omega \rangle = \langle T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1}, \tilde{N}u_2 \rangle$ where $\tilde{N}u_2 = \tilde{P}\omega \in \Phi(E^*)$, so $u_2 \in I_A(E)$ by Lemma 4.1(i). Thus $\langle S, \omega \rangle = \langle T, u_2 \rangle = 0$. Hence we have shown that $S \in \widehat{X} \cap \Phi(E^*)^\perp$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle S, \tilde{N}u \rangle = \langle T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1} \circ \tilde{P}, \tilde{N}u \rangle = \langle T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1}, \tilde{P}(\tilde{N}u) \rangle \\ &= \langle T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1}, \tilde{N}u \rangle = \langle T, u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T \in X \cap I_A(E)^\perp$ is arbitrary, this shows that $u \in I_A^X(E)$ and (i) is proved.

(ii) Suppose $u \in J_A^X(E)$. To show $\tilde{N}u \in \Psi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*)$, let $S \in \widehat{X} \cap \Psi(E^*)^\perp$. Then $S \circ \tilde{N} \in X$. We show $S \circ \tilde{N} \in J_A(E)^\perp$ as well. For, if $u' \in J_A(E)$, then $\tilde{N}u' \in \Psi(E^*)$ by Lemma 4.1(ii) and $\langle S \circ \tilde{N}, u' \rangle = \langle S, \tilde{N}u' \rangle = 0$ as $S \in \Psi(E^*)^\perp$. Thus $S \circ \tilde{N} \in X \cap J_A(E)^\perp$ and so $\langle S \circ \tilde{N}, u \rangle = \langle S, \tilde{N}u \rangle = 0$. Hence $\tilde{N}u \in \Psi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*)$.

Conversely, suppose $u \in A(G)$ and $\tilde{N}u \in \Psi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*)$. To show $u \in J_A^X(E)$, let $T \in X \cap J_A(E)^\perp$. Then $\text{supp} T \subseteq E$ and $S = T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1} \circ \tilde{P} \in T(G)^* = \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. Now, for $f, g \in L^2(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Sf, g \rangle &= \langle S, f \otimes \bar{g} \rangle = \langle T \tilde{N}^{-1} \tilde{P}, f \otimes \bar{g} \rangle \\ &= \langle T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1}, \tilde{P}(f \otimes \bar{g}) \rangle = \langle T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1}, \tilde{N}u_1 \rangle \quad \text{where } u_1(x) = \langle \lambda(x)f, g \rangle \\ &= \langle T, u_1 \rangle = \langle Tf, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $S = T$ as operators on $L^2(G)$. Thus $\text{supp}_{\text{op}} S = \text{supp}_{\text{op}} T$. But by a result of Spronk and Turowska $\text{supp}_{\text{op}} T = (\text{supp}_{\text{VN}} T)^* \subseteq E^*$. This shows that $\text{supp}_{\text{op}} S \subseteq E^*$ and $S \in \Psi(E^*)^\perp$. Moreover, for $w \in V^\infty(G)$, $w.S \circ \tilde{N} = u_1T \in X$ as before and so $S \in \widehat{X}$. Thus $S \in \widehat{X} \cap \Psi(E^*)^\perp$ and so $0 = \langle S, \tilde{N}u \rangle = \langle T \circ \tilde{N}^{-1} \circ \tilde{P}, \tilde{N}u \rangle = \langle T, u \rangle$. The proof is complete. ■

Now, it has been observed in [5] that the group G acts on $T(G)$ by isometries as follows: for $t \in G$ and $\omega \in T(G)$, $t.\omega(x, y) = \omega(xt, yt)$ for marginally almost all $(x, y) \in G \times G$. This action, in turn, gives rise to an

action of $L^1(G)$ on $T(G)$: for $f \in L^1(G)$ and $\omega \in T(G)$,

$$f.\omega = \int_G f(t)t.\omega dt$$

This way $T(G)$ becomes an essential $L^1(G)$ -module. We also have $T(G)^* = \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ as an $L^1(G)$ -module with the dual action.

4.4. LEMMA. *Let $E \subseteq G$ be closed. Then $\Phi(E^*)$ is an $L^1(G)$ -submodule of $T(G)$.*

Proof. This is clear from the definitions of $\Phi(E^*)$ and the $L^1(G)$ -action on $T(G)$. ■

4.5. LEMMA. *Let $E \subseteq G$ be a closed set, let X be an $A(G)$ -submodule of $\text{VN}(G)$ and let \widehat{X} be the associated $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. Then the following are $L^1(G)$ -submodules of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.*

- (i) $\Phi(E^*)^\perp$,
- (ii) \widehat{X} ,
- (iii) $\Phi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*)$.

Proof. (i) is immediate from Lemma 4.4 since the $L^1(G)$ -action on $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is the dual action.

(ii) Let $f \in L^1(G)$ and $S \in \widehat{X}$. We have to show that $f.S \in \widehat{X}$, i.e. $w.(f.S) \circ \widetilde{N} \in X$ for any $w \in V^\infty(G)$. So let $w \in V^\infty(G)$. Then for $u \in A(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w.(f.S) \circ \widetilde{N}, u \rangle &= \langle w.(f.S), \widetilde{N}u \rangle = \langle f.S, m_w(\widetilde{N}u) \rangle = \langle S, f.m_w(\widetilde{N}u) \rangle \\ &= \langle S, m_{f.w}\widetilde{N}u \rangle = \langle (f.w).S, \widetilde{N}u \rangle = \langle (f.w).S \circ \widetilde{N}, u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $w.(f.S) \circ \widetilde{N} = (f.w).S \circ \widetilde{N} \in X$, and (ii) is proved.

(iii) This follows at once from (i) and (ii) because of the definition of $\Phi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*)$. ■

We are now ready for the main theorem.

4.6. THEOREM. *Let X be an $A(G)$ -submodule of $\text{VN}(G)$ and let \widehat{X} be the corresponding $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$. Then a closed set $E \subseteq G$ is an X -spectral set for $A(G)$ if and only if E^* is \widehat{X} -synthetic for $T(G)$.*

Proof. One part is immediate from Lemma 4.3. If E^* is \widehat{X} -synthetic, then $\Phi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*) = \Psi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*)$ and so, by Lemma 4.3, $I_A^X(E) = J_A^X(E)$ whence E is X -spectral.

For the converse, suppose E is X -spectral. To show that E^* is \widehat{X} -synthetic, we need only prove that $\Phi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*) \subseteq \Psi^{\widehat{X}}(E^*)$ by Lemma 3.2. In view of our lemmas, the proof is similar to that of the case $X = \text{VN}(G)$ given in [5]. For the sake of completeness, here is a brief sketch.

We have to show that $\omega \in \widehat{\Phi^X}(E^*)$ implies $\omega \in \widehat{\Psi^X}(E^*)$. Consider first the case $\omega \in \widehat{\Phi^X}(E^*) \cap T_{\text{inv}}(G)$. In this case $\omega = \widetilde{N}u$ with $u \in I_A^X(E)$ (see the proof of [5, Theorem 4.6]). Thus, by assumption $u \in I_A^X(E) = J_A^X(E)$, so $\omega = \widetilde{N}u \in \widehat{\Psi^X}(E^*)$ by Lemma 4.3 and the result is proved in this case.

Now consider an arbitrary $\omega \in \widehat{\Phi^X}(E^*)$. For $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ and the matrix coefficients u_{ij}^π corresponding to π , $\omega_{ij}^\pi = u_{ij}^\pi \cdot \omega \in \widehat{\Phi^X}(E^*)$ by Lemma 4.5. If $\widetilde{\omega}_{ij}^\pi = \sum_k m_{u_{ik}^\pi} \otimes 1 \omega_{kj}^\pi$, then $\widetilde{\omega}_{ij}^\pi \in \widehat{\Phi^X}(E^*) \cap T_{\text{inv}}(G)$ since $\widehat{\Phi^X}(E^*)$ is a $V^\infty(G)$ -submodule. Thus $\widetilde{\omega}_{ij}^\pi \in \widehat{\Psi^X}(E^*)$. But $\omega_{ij}^\pi = \sum_k m_{\widetilde{u}_{ik}^\pi} \otimes 1 \widetilde{\omega}_{kj}^\pi \in \widehat{\Psi^X}(E^*)$. $L^1(G)$ has an approximate identity $\{u_\alpha\}$ with $u_\alpha \in \text{span}\{u_{ij}^\pi : i, j = 1, \dots, d_\pi, \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ for all α and $u_\alpha \cdot \omega \in \text{span}\{\omega_{ij}^\pi : i, j = 1, \dots, d_\pi, \pi \in \widehat{G}\} \subseteq \widehat{\Psi^X}(E^*)$, so $\omega = \lim u_\alpha \cdot \omega \in \widehat{\Psi^X}(E^*)$. ■

Acknowledgements. The second author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the National Board for Higher Mathematics in the form of a research fellowship during the period of this work.

References

- [1] W. Arveson, *Operator algebras and invariant subspaces*, Ann. of Math. 100 (1974), 433–532.
- [2] J. Froelich, *Compact operators, invariant subspaces and spectral synthesis*, J. Funct. Anal. 81 (1988), 1–37.
- [3] E. Kaniuth and A. T.-M. Lau, *Spectral synthesis for $A(G)$ and subspaces of $VN(G)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 3253–3263.
- [4] K. Parthasarathy and R. Prakash, *Spectral synthesis in the Fourier algebra and the Varopoulos algebra*, submitted.
- [5] N. Spronk and L. Turowska, *Spectral synthesis and operator synthesis for compact groups*, J. London Math. Soc. 66 (2002), 361–376.
- [6] N. Th. Varopoulos, *Tensor algebras and harmonic analysis*, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 51–112.

Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics
 University of Madras
 Chennai 600 005, India
 E-mail: krishnanp.sarathy@gmail.com
 rprakasham@yahoo.co.in

Received November 28, 2005

Revised version September 20, 2006

(5816)