

Normality criteria for families of zero-free meromorphic functions

by JUN-FAN CHEN (Fuzhou)

Abstract. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of zero-free meromorphic functions in a domain D , let n, k and m be positive integers with $n \geq m + 1$, and let $a \neq 0$ and b be finite complex numbers. If for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n - b$ has at most nk zeros in D , ignoring multiplicities, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D . The examples show that the result is sharp.

1. Introduction. Let D be a domain in \mathbb{C} and \mathcal{F} be a family of functions meromorphic in D . Then \mathcal{F} is said to be *normal* in D , in the sense of Montel, if every sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ contains a subsequence $\{f_{n_j}\}$ which converges spherically locally uniformly in D to a meromorphic function or the constant ∞ (see [4, 9, 12]).

In 1959, Hayman [3] proved that if f is a transcendental meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} , then $f' + af^n$ assumes every finite value infinitely often for a positive integer $n \geq 5$ and a nonzero finite complex number a . Mues [7] showed that this is false for $n = 3, 4$ by some counter-examples. Corresponding to the above result, Ye [13] for $n \geq 3$ and Fang and Zalcman [2] for $n \geq 2$ studied a similar problem where $f' + af^n$ is replaced by $f + a(f')^n$. Moreover, Fang and Zalcman [2] gave a related normal family analogue. Later on, Xu, Wu and Liao [10] considered the case of higher derivatives and proposed a conjecture. Recently, Li [5] studied this conjecture and proved the following result.

THEOREM A. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of zero-free meromorphic functions in a domain D , let $n \geq 2$ and k be positive integers, and let $a \neq 0$ and b be finite complex numbers. If for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f + a(f^{(k)})^n - b$ has at most nk zeros in D , ignoring multiplicities, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

In this paper, we generalize Theorem A by replacing $f + a(f^{(k)})^n - b$ by $f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n - b$ and prove the following result.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 30D45; Secondary 30D35.
Key words and phrases: meromorphic function, normal family, zero-free.

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of zero-free meromorphic functions in a domain D , let n, k and m be positive integers with $n \geq m + 1$, and let $a \neq 0$ and b be finite complex numbers. If for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n - b$ has at most nk zeros in D , ignoring multiplicities, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

EXAMPLE 1. Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where $f_j(z) = e^{jz}$, and let n, k and m be positive integers. Then, for each $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $f_j \neq 0$ and $f_j^m + (f_j^{(k)})^m = e^{mjz}(1 + j^{mk}) \neq 0$ in D . But \mathcal{F} fails to be normal in D . This shows that the condition $n \geq m + 1$ in Theorem 1 is necessary.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where $f_j(z) = jz^k$, and let n, k and m be positive integers with $n \geq m + 1$. Then, for each $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $f_j^m + (f_j^{(k)})^n = j^m(z^{mk} + j^{n-m}k!^n) \neq 0$ in D . But \mathcal{F} fails to be normal in D . This shows that the condition of zero-freeness in Theorem 1 cannot be removed.

EXAMPLE 3. Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_j : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where $f_j(z) = 1/(jz)$, and let n, k and m be positive integers with $n \geq m + 1$. Then, for each $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$f_j^m + (f_j^{(k)})^n = \frac{j^{-m}z^{n(k+1)-m} + j^{-n}(-1)^{nk}k!^n}{z^{n(k+1)}}$$

has at least $nk+1$ zeros in D , ignoring multiplicities. But \mathcal{F} fails to be normal in D . This shows that the condition in Theorem 1 that $f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n - b$ has at most nk zeros in D , ignoring multiplicities, is the best possible.

2. Some lemmas. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . We shall use standard notation of Nevanlinna theory (see e.g. [4, 12]), and denote by $S(r, f)$ any real function of growth $o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure.

LEMMA 1 (see [8, 14]). *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, and let \mathcal{F} be a family of zero-free meromorphic functions in a domain D . Then, if \mathcal{F} is not normal at some point $z_0 \in D$, there exist*

- (i) *points $z_j \in D$, $z_j \rightarrow z_0$,*
- (ii) *functions $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$, and*
- (iii) *positive numbers $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$*

such that

$$\frac{f_j(z_j + \rho_j \zeta)}{\rho_j^\alpha} = g_j(\zeta) \rightarrow g(\zeta)$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where g is a nonconstant zero-free meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} of order at most 2. In particular, if g is an entire function, then g is of order at most 1.

LEMMA 2 (see [12]). *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} . Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log r} = \infty.$$

LEMMA 3 (see [11]). *Let k be a positive integer, and let f be a transcendental meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} . Then*

$$m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) = S(r, f^{(k)}).$$

LEMMA 4. *Let f be a zero-free transcendental meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} , let $n \geq 2$, k and m be positive integers, and let $a \neq 0$ be a finite complex number. Then $f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n$ has infinitely many zeros.*

Proof. Suppose that $f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n$ has finitely many zeros. Then by Lemma 2,

$$(2.1) \quad N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) = O(\log r) = S(r, f^{(k)}).$$

On the other hand, it follows from the first and second fundamental theorem and Lemma 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad m\left(r, \frac{1}{a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^m}{a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^m}\right) \\ &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^m}{a(f^{(k)})^n} + 1\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^{(k)})^m}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{(f^{(k)})^m}{f^m}\right) + O(1) \\ &\leq m\left(r, \frac{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}{a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^{(k)})^m}\right) + S(r, f^{(k)}) \\ &\leq T\left(r, \frac{a(f^{(k)})^n}{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}{a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) \\ &\quad + m\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^{(k)})^m}\right) + S(r, f^{(k)}) \\ &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{a(f^{(k)})^n}{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}{a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{a(f^{(k)})^n / (f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n) - 1}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^{(k)})^m}\right) \\ &\quad - N\left(r, \frac{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}{a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) + S(r, f^{(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{a(f^{(k)})^n}{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}\right),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}{a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) \leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{a(f^{(k)})^n / (f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n) - 1}\right) \leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}(r, f),$$

$$(2.6) \quad N\left(r, \frac{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}{a(f^{(k)})^n}\right) \geq N\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^{(k)})^n}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n}\right).$$

Now by (2.1)–(2.6), Lemma 2 and the first fundamental theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} nT(r, f^{(k)}) &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + \overline{N}(r, f) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{(f^{(k)})^m}\right) + S(r, f^{(k)}) \\ &\leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + \overline{N}(r, f^{(k)}) + mm\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f^{(k)}) \\ &\leq mN\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + \frac{1}{k+1}N(r, f^{(k)}) + mm\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f^{(k)}) \\ &\leq mT\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + \frac{1}{k+1}T(r, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f^{(k)}) \\ &\leq mT(r, f^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{k+1}T(r, f^{(k)}) + S(r, f^{(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

Noting $n \geq m + 1$, from this we get $T(r, f^{(k)}) = S(r, f^{(k)})$, a contradiction. Therefore $f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n$ has infinitely many zeros.

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Using the idea of [1], we obtain the following important lemma.

LEMMA 5. *Let n, k and m be positive integers with $n \geq m + 1$, let $a \neq 0$ and b be finite complex numbers, and let f be a nonconstant zero-free rational function. Then $f^m + a(f^{(k)})^n - b$ has at least $nk + 1$ distinct zeros in \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. Since f is a nonconstant zero-free rational function, f is not a polynomial, i.e., f has at least one finite pole. Thus we can write

$$(2.7) \quad f(z) = \frac{C_1}{\prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{p_i}},$$

$$(2.8) \quad f^m(z) = \frac{C_2}{\prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{mp_i}},$$

where C_1 and C_2 ($= C_1^m$) are nonzero constants, q and p_i are positive integers, the z_i (when $1 \leq i \leq q$) are distinct complex numbers, $p = \sum_{i=1}^q p_i$. By induction, we deduce from (2.7) that

$$(2.9) \quad f^{(k)}(z) = \frac{P(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{p_i + k}},$$

where $P(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $(q-1)k$. Further, by (2.7)–(2.9), we get

$$f^m(z) + a(f^{(k)}(z))^n = \frac{C_2 \prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{(n-m)p_i + nk} + aP^n(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{n(p_i+k)}},$$

and so, by simple calculation, $f^m(z) + a(f^{(k)}(z))^n - b$ has at least one zero in \mathbb{C} . Thus we can write

$$(2.10) \quad f^m(z) + a(f^{(k)}(z))^n - b = \frac{C_3 \prod_{i=1}^s (z + \omega_i)^{l_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{n(p_i+k)}},$$

where C_3 is a nonzero constant, s and l_i are positive integers, the z_i (when $1 \leq i \leq q$) and ω_i (when $1 \leq i \leq s$) are distinct complex numbers. From (2.8)–(2.10), we have

$$(2.11) \quad C_2 \prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{(n-m)p_i + nk} + aP^n(z) = b \prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{n(p_i+k)} + C_3 \prod_{i=1}^s (z + \omega_i)^{l_i}.$$

We now consider two cases.

CASE 1: $b = 0$. Then by (2.11) it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^q [(n-m)p_i + nk] = \sum_{i=1}^s l_i$, $C_2 = C_3$,

$$(2.12) \quad \prod_{i=1}^q (1 + z_i t)^{(n-m)p_i + nk} - \prod_{i=1}^s (1 + \omega_i t)^{l_i} = t^{(n-m)p + nk} Q(t),$$

where $Q(t) = -(a/C_2)t^{n(q-1)k}P^n(1/t)$ is a polynomial of degree less than $n(q-1)k$. From (2.12), we get

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q (1 + z_i t)^{(n-m)p_i + nk}}{\prod_{i=1}^s (1 + \omega_i t)^{l_i}} = 1 + \frac{t^{(n-m)p + nk} Q(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^s (1 + \omega_i t)^{l_i}} = 1 + O(t^{(n-m)p + nk})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus by taking logarithmic derivatives of both sides of (2.13), it follows that

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{[(n-m)p_i + nk]z_i}{1 + z_i t} - \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{l_i \omega_i}{1 + \omega_i t} = O(t^{(n-m)p + nk - 1})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. If we compare the coefficients of t^j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, (n-m)p + nk - 2$, in (2.14), we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^q [(n-m)p_i + nk] z_i^j - \sum_{i=1}^s l_i \omega_i^j = 0,$$

$j = 1, \dots, (n-m)p + nk - 1$. Let $z_{q+i} = \omega_i$ when $1 \leq i \leq s$. Noting that $\sum_{i=1}^q [(n-m)p_i + nk] = \sum_{i=1}^s l_i$ and using (2.15), we deduce that the system

of linear equations

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{q+s} z_i^j x_i = 0,$$

where $0 \leq j \leq (n-m)p + nk - 1$, has a nonzero solution

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{q+1}, \dots, x_{q+s}) = ((n-m)p_1 + nk, \dots, -l_1, \dots, -l_s).$$

If $(n-m)p + nk \geq q + s$, then the determinant $\det(z_i^j)_{(q+s) \times (q+s)}$ of the coefficients of the system of equations (2.15), where $0 \leq j \leq q + s - 1$, is equal to zero, by Cramer's rule (see e.g. [6]). However, the z_i are distinct complex numbers when $1 \leq i \leq q + s$, and the determinant is a Vandermonde determinant, so it cannot be zero (again see [6]), which is a contradiction.

Hence we conclude that $(n-m)p + nk < q + s$. It follows from this and the two inequalities $n \geq m + 1$ and $p = \sum_{i=1}^q p_i \geq q$ that $s \geq nk + 1$.

CASE 2: $b \neq 0$. Let

$$(2.17) \quad b \prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{n(p_i+k)} - C_2 \prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{(n-m)p_i+nk} \\ = b \prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{(n-m)p_i+nk} \prod_{i=1}^l (z + \alpha_i)^{m_i},$$

where the z_i (when $1 \leq i \leq q$) and α_i (when $1 \leq i \leq l$) are distinct complex numbers, and $\sum_{i=1}^l m_i = mp$. Then from (2.11) and (2.17) we get

$$(2.18) \quad b \prod_{i=1}^q (z + z_i)^{(n-m)p_i+nk} \prod_{i=1}^l (z + \alpha_i)^{m_i} + C_3 \prod_{i=1}^s (z + \omega_i)^{l_i} = aP^n(z).$$

We see by (2.17)–(2.18) that $\sum_{i=1}^q [(n-m)p_i + nk] + \sum_{i=1}^l m_i = np + nkq = \sum_{i=1}^s l_i$ and $b = -C_3$. Thus by (2.18), we get

$$(2.19) \quad \prod_{i=1}^q (1 + z_i t)^{(n-m)p_i+nk} \prod_{i=1}^l (1 + \alpha_i t)^{m_i} - \prod_{i=1}^s (1 + \omega_i t)^{l_i} = t^{np+nk} Q_1(t),$$

where $Q_1(t) = \frac{a}{b} t^{n(q-1)k} P^n(1/t)$ is a polynomial of degree less than $n(q-1)k$. From (2.19), we get

$$(2.20) \quad \frac{\prod_{i=1}^q (1 + z_i t)^{(n-m)p_i+nk} \prod_{i=1}^l (1 + \alpha_i t)^{m_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^s (1 + \omega_i t)^{l_i}} = 1 + O(t^{n(p+k)})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus taking logarithmic derivatives of both sides of (2.20) shows that

$$(2.21) \quad \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{[(n-m)p_i + nk]z_i}{1 + z_i t} + \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{m_i \alpha_i}{1 + \alpha_i t} - \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{l_i \omega_i}{1 + \omega_i t} = O(t^{n(p+k)-1})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Set $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\} \cap \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_s\}$. We consider two subcases.

CASE 2.1: $S = \emptyset$. Let $z_{q+i} = \alpha_i$ when $1 \leq i \leq l$, and

$$N_i = \begin{cases} (n-m)p_i + nk, & 1 \leq i \leq q, \\ m_{i-q}, & q+1 \leq i \leq q+l. \end{cases}$$

Then (2.21) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q+l} \frac{N_i z_i}{1+z_i t} - \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{l_i \omega_i}{1+\omega_i t} = O(t^{n(p+k)-1})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Using the same argument as in Case 1, we get $s \geq nk + 1$.

CASE 2.2: $S \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M\}$. Then $\alpha_i = \omega_i$ when $1 \leq i \leq M$. Let $M_1 = l - M$. Again we discuss two subcases.

CASE 2.2.1: $M_1 \geq 1$. Let $\omega_{s+i} = \alpha_{M+i}$ when $1 \leq i \leq M_1$. If $M < s$, then we set

$$L_i = \begin{cases} l_i - m_i, & 1 \leq i \leq M, \\ l_i, & M+1 \leq i \leq s, \\ -m_{M-s+i}, & s+1 \leq i \leq s+M_1. \end{cases}$$

If $M = s$, then we set

$$L_i = \begin{cases} l_i - m_i, & 1 \leq i \leq M = s, \\ -m_{M-s+i}, & s+1 \leq i \leq s+M_1. \end{cases}$$

CASE 2.2.2: $M_1 = 0$. If $M < s$, then we set

$$L_i = \begin{cases} l_i - m_i, & 1 \leq i \leq M, \\ l_i, & M+1 \leq i \leq s. \end{cases}$$

If $M = s$, then we set $L_i = l_i - m_i$ when $1 \leq i \leq M = s = l$.

In both Case 2.2.1 and Case 2.2.2, (2.21) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \frac{[(n-m)p_i + nk]z_i}{1+z_i t} - \sum_{i=1}^{s+M_1} \frac{L_i \omega_i}{1+\omega_i t} = O(t^{n(p+k)-1})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, where $0 \leq M_1 \leq l - 1$. Using the same argument as in Case 1, we get $s \geq nk + 1$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

LEMMA 6 (see [1]). *Let k be a positive integer, let $b \neq 0$ be a finite complex number, and let f be a nonconstant zero-free rational function. Then $f^{(k)} - b$ has at least $k + 1$ distinct zeros in \mathbb{C} .*

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is not normal in D . Then there exists at least one $z_0 \in D$ such that \mathcal{F} is not normal at the point z_0 . We consider two cases.

CASE 1: $b = 0$. Then from Lemma 1 we can find

- (i) points $z_j \in D$, $z_j \rightarrow z_0$,
- (ii) functions $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$, and
- (iii) positive numbers $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$,

such that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{f_j(z_j + \rho_j \zeta)}{\rho_j^{nk/(n-m)}} = g_j(\zeta) \rightarrow g(\zeta)$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where g is a nonconstant zero-free meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} of order at most 2. In particular, if g is an entire function, then g is of order at most 1. From (3.1), we deduce that

$$(3.2) \quad g_j^m(\zeta) + a(g_j^{(k)}(\zeta))^n \\ = \rho_j^{-mnk/(n-m)} [f_j^m(z_j + \rho_j \zeta) + a(f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \zeta))^n] \rightarrow g^m(\zeta) + a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} disjoint from the poles of g .

We claim that $g^m(\zeta) + a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n$ has at most nk distinct zeros.

Suppose that $g^m(\zeta) + a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n$ has at least $nk + 1$ distinct zeros ζ_i , $1 \leq i \leq nk + 1$. First we show $g^m(\zeta) + a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n \not\equiv 0$. If $g^m(\zeta) + a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n \equiv 0$, then by $n \geq m + 1$ we know that g is an entire function. Since g is nonconstant zero-free and of order at most 1, it follows that $g(\zeta) = e^{c_1 \zeta + c_2}$, where $c_1 \neq 0$ and c_2 are constants. Thus

$$g^m(\zeta) + a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n = e^{m(c_1 \zeta + c_2)} + a c_1^{kn} e^{n(c_1 \zeta + c_2)} \equiv 0,$$

which is impossible because $n \geq m + 1$. Therefore, $g^m(\zeta) + a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n \not\equiv 0$. Now by (3.2) and the Hurwitz theorem, there exist $\zeta_{j,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, nk + 1$, $\zeta_{j,i} \rightarrow \zeta_i$, such that, for j sufficiently large,

$$f_j^m(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_{j,i}) + a(f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_{j,i}))^n = 0.$$

But $f_j^m(z) + a(f_j^{(k)}(z))^n$ has at most nk distinct zeros in D , and $z_j + \rho_j \zeta_{j,i} \rightarrow z_0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $g^m(\zeta) + a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n$ has at most nk distinct zeros.

However, from Lemmas 4 and 5, we see that there do not exist nonconstant meromorphic functions that have the above properties. This contradiction shows that \mathcal{F} is normal in D .

CASE 2: $b \neq 0$. Then from Lemma 1 we can once more find

- (i) points $z_j \in D$, $z_j \rightarrow z_0$,
- (ii) functions $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$, and
- (iii) positive numbers $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$,

such that

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{f_j(z_j + \rho_j \zeta)}{\rho_j^k} = g_j(\zeta) \rightarrow g(\zeta)$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where g is a nonconstant zero-free meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} of order at most 2. From (3.3), we deduce that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_j^{mk} g_j^m(\zeta) + a(g_j^{(k)}(\zeta))^n - b \\ = f_j^m(z_j + \rho_j \zeta) + a(f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \zeta))^n - b \rightarrow a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n - b \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} disjoint from the poles of g .

We claim that $a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n - b$ has at most nk distinct zeros.

Suppose that $a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n - b$ has at least $nk + 1$ distinct zeros ζ_i , $1 \leq i \leq nk + 1$. Clearly, $a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n \neq b$, for otherwise g would be a nonconstant polynomial of degree k , which contradicts the fact that $g \neq 0$. Then by (3.4) and Hurwitz's theorem, there exist $\zeta_{j,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, nk + 1$, $\zeta_{j,i} \rightarrow \zeta_i$, such that, for j sufficiently large, $f_j^m(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_{j,i}) + a(f_j^{(k)}(z_j + \rho_j \zeta_{j,i}))^n = b$. However $f_j^m(z) + a(f_j^{(k)}(z))^n - b$ has at most nk distinct zeros in D , and $z_j + \rho_j \zeta_{j,i} \rightarrow z_0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n - b$ has at most nk distinct zeros.

Let c_1, \dots, c_n be distinct roots of $\omega^n - b/a = 0$. Then

$$(3.5) \quad a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n - b = a \prod_{i=1}^n [g^{(k)}(\zeta) - c_i].$$

Now if g is a rational function, then it follows by (3.5) and Lemma 6 that $a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n - b$ has at least $n(k + 1)$ distinct zeros, which contradicts that $a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n - b$ has at most nk distinct zeros. And if g is a transcendental meromorphic function, then noting that $n \geq m + 1$, from Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g^{(k)}) &\leq \bar{N}(r, g^{(k)}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)} - c_i}\right) + S(r, g^{(k)}) \\ &= \bar{N}(r, g^{(k)}) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{a(g^{(k)}(\zeta))^n - b}\right) + S(r, g^{(k)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k + 1} N(r, g^{(k)}) + S(r, g^{(k)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k + 1} T(r, g^{(k)}) + S(r, g^{(k)}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $T(r, g^{(k)}) = S(r, g^{(k)})$, a contradiction. Hence \mathcal{F} is normal at z_0 .

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referees for their thorough comments and valuable suggestions.

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11301076), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province, China (Grant No. 2014J01004), the Education Department Foundation of Fujian Province, China (Grant No. JB13018), and the Innovation Team of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications of Fujian Normal University, China (Grant No. IRTL1206).

References

- [1] J. M. Chang, *Normality and quasnormality of zero-free meromorphic functions*, Acta Math. Sinica (English Ser.) 28 (2012), 707–716.
- [2] M. L. Fang and L. Zalcman, *On the value distribution of $f + a(f')^n$* , Sci. China Ser. A 51 (2008), 1196–1202.
- [3] W. K. Hayman, *Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives*, Ann. of Math. 70 (1959), 9–42.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [5] Y. T. Li, *Normal families of zero-free meromorphic functions*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Art. ID 908123, 12 pp.
- [6] L. Mirsky, *An Introduction to Linear Algebra*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1955.
- [7] E. Mues, *Über ein Problem von Hayman*, Math. Z. 164 (1979), 239–259.
- [8] X. C. Pang and L. Zalcman, *Normal families and shared values*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 32 (2000), 325–331.
- [9] J. Schiff, *Normal Families*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [10] Y. Xu, F. Q. Wu, and L. W. Liao, *Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 139 (2009), 1091–1099.
- [11] L. Yang, *Precise fundamental inequalities and sum of deficiencies*, Sci. China Ser. A 34 (1991), 157–165.
- [12] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [13] Y. S. Ye, *A Picard type theorem and Bloch law*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 15 (1994), 75–80.
- [14] L. Zalcman, *Normal families: New perspectives*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1998), 215–230.

Jun-Fan Chen
 Department of Mathematics
 Fujian Normal University
 Fuzhou 350117, Fujian Province, P.R. China
 E-mail: junfanchen@163.com

*Received 19.8.2014
 and in final form 21.4.2015*

(3475)