

q -Stern Polynomials as Numerators of Continued Fractions

by

Toufik MANSOUR

Presented by Andrzej SCHINZEL

Summary. We present a q -analogue for the fact that the n th Stern polynomial $B_n(t)$ in the sense of Klavžar, Milutinović and Petr [Adv. Appl. Math. 39 (2007)] is the numerator of a continued fraction of n terms. Moreover, we give a combinatorial interpretation for our q -analogue.

1. Introduction. The *diatomic sequence* b_n defined by the recurrence relation

$$b_1 = 1, \quad b_{2n} = b_n, \quad b_{2n+1} = b_n + b_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

has received a lot of attention in recent years (for example, see [2, 8–10] and references therein). In particular, Graham, Knuth and Patashnik [5, Exer. 6.50] have proved that if n has binary representation

$$(1) \quad n = 1^{a_1} 0^{a_2} \dots 1^{a_k} \quad (a_1, \dots, a_k > 0),$$

then b_n is the numerator of the continued fraction

$$a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{a_k}}}$$

The sequence b_n has been generalized to polynomials in a few different ways (see [4, 6]). For example, Klavžar, Milutinović and Petr [6] defined

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11B37, 11B75, 11B83.

Key words and phrases: Stern polynomial, q -analogue, hyperbinary expansion, continued fraction.

the polynomials

$$B_{2n}(t) = tB_n(t), \quad B_{2n+1}(t) = B_n(t) + B_{n+1}(t)$$

with $B_0(t) = 0$ and $B_1(t) = 1$. Recently, Schinzel [8] showed that if (1) holds then the polynomial $B_n(t)$ is the numerator of the continued fraction

$$[a_1] + \frac{t^{a_1}}{[a_2] + \frac{t^{a_2}}{\ddots + \frac{t^{a_{k-1}}}{[a_k]}}}, \quad \text{where} \quad [a] = \frac{1 - t^a}{1 - t}.$$

Dilcher and Stolarsky [4] (also, see [2]) defined the polynomials

$$F_{2n}(q) = F_n(q), \quad F_{2n+1}(q) = qF_n(q) + F_{n+1}(q)$$

with $F_0(q) = F_1(q) = 1$. Bates and Mansour [2] used these polynomials to define the q -analogue of the Calkin–Wilf [3] tree and the q -analogue of the hyperbinary expansion.

In this paper, we define a q -analogue of the polynomials $B_n(t)$ by

$$(2) \quad B_{2n}(q, t) = tB_n(q, t), \quad B_{2n+1}(q, t) = qB_n(q, t) + B_{n+1}(q, t)$$

with $B_0(q, t) = 0$ and $B_1(q, t) = 1$. For example, $B_3 = q + t$, $B_4 = t^2$, $B_5 = q + (q+1)t$, $B_6 = qt + t^2$, $B_7 = q^2 + qt + t^2$, $B_8 = t^3$, $B_9 = q + (q+1)t + qt^2$, $B_{10} = qt + (q+1)t^2$, $B_{11} = q^2 + q(q+2)t + t^2$, $B_{12} = qt^2 + t^3$, $B_{13} = q^2 + q(1+q)t + (q+1)t^2$, $B_{14} = q^2t + qt^2 + t^3$, $B_{15} = q^3 + q^2t + qt^2 + t^3$ and $B_{16} = t^4$.

We shall prove the following generalization of the result of Schinzel [8].

THEOREM 1.1. *If (1) holds then the polynomial $B_n(q, t)$ is the numerator of the continued fraction*

$$[a_1]_{q,t} + \frac{t^{a_1}}{q[a_2]_{1,t} + \frac{t^{a_2}}{[a_3]_{q,t} + \frac{q^{a_3}t^{a_2}}{q[a_4]_{1,t} + \frac{t^{a_3}}{[a_5]_{q,t} + \frac{q^{a_5}t^{a_4}}{\ddots}}}}}, \quad \text{where} \quad [a]_{q,t} = \frac{q^a - t^a}{q - t}.$$

Note that by (2) and [8, Theorem 2] we can compute the degree of $B_n(q, t)$ as a polynomial in t , and by the main theorem of [2] we can also compute the degree of $B_n(q, t)$ as a polynomial in q (see also [9, Corollary 3.8]).

2. Proofs. We start with the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *For all $m \geq 0$, $B_{2^m}(q, t) = t^m$ and $B_{2^m-1}(q, t) = [m]_{q,t}$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $m \geq 0$. Clearly, $B_1(q, t) = t$ and $B_0(q, t) = 0 = [0]_{q,t}$. Assume that $B_{2^m}(q, t) = t^m$ and $B_{2^m-1}(q, t) = [m]_{q,t}$. Then by (2) we have $B_{2^{m+1}}(q, t) = tB_{2^m}(q, t) = t^{m+1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2^{m+1}-1}(q, t) &= B_{2(2^m-1)+1}(q, t) = qB_{2^m-1}(q, t) + B_{2^m} = q[m]_{q,t} + t^m \\ &= [m+1]_{q,t}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the induction. ■

The next result generalizes Lemma 2.1 and [9, Theorem 2.5].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *For all $d \geq 0$ and $2^m \geq r \geq 0$,*

$$B_{2^m d+r}(q, t) = q^m B_{2^m-r}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_r(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $m \geq 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} B_d(q, t) &= q^0 B_{2^0-0}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_0(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t), \\ B_{d+1}(q, t) &= q^0 B_{2^0-1}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_1(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t), \end{aligned}$$

we find that the claim holds for $m = 0$. Assume that it holds for m and let us prove it for $m = m' + 1$ by induction on r . By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2^{m'+1}d+0}(q, t) &= t^{m'+1} B_d(q, t) \\ &= q^{m'+1} B_{2^{m'+1}-0}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_0(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim for $m = m' + 1$ and $r = 0$. Assume that the claim holds for $m = m' + 1$ and $r \geq 1$, and let us prove it for $m = m' + 1$ and either $r = 2r'$ or $r = 2r' + 1$. By (2) and the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2^m d+r}(q, t) &= B_{2^{m'+1}d+2r'}(q, t) = tB_{2^{m'+1}d+r'}(q, t) \\ &= q^{m'} t B_{2^{m'}-r'}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + tB_{r'}(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t) \\ &= q^{m'+1} B_{2^{m'+1}-2r'}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_{2r'}(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t) \\ &= q^m B_{2^m-r}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_r(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2^m d+r}(q, t) &= B_{2^{m'+1}d+2r'+1}(q, t) = qB_{2^{m'+1}d+r'}(q, t) + B_{2^{m'+1}d+r'+1}(q, t) \\ &= q(q^{m'} B_{2^{m'}-r'}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_{r'}(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t)) \\ &\quad + q^{m'} B_{2^{m'}-r'-1}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_{r'+1}(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t) \\ &= q^{m'} (qB_{2^{m'}-r'}(1/q, t/q) + B_{2^{m'}-r'-1}(1/q, t/q)) B_d(q, t) \\ &\quad + (qB_{r'}(q, t) + B_{r'+1}(q, t)) B_{d+1}(q, t) \\ &= q^{m'+1} \left(B_{2^{m'}-r'}(1/q, t/q) + \frac{1}{q} B_{2^{m'}-r'-1}(1/q, t/q) \right) B_d(q, t) \\ &\quad + B_{2r'+1}(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q^{m'+1} B_{2(2m'-r'-1)+1}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_{2r'+1}(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t) \\
&= q^m B_{2m-r}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) + B_r(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t),
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the induction on r and m . ■

COROLLARY 2.3. For all $m \geq m' \geq 0$,

$$B_{2m-2m'+1}(q, t) = q[m']_{1,t}[m-m']_{q,t} + t^{m-m'}.$$

Proof. Proposition 2.2 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{2m-2m'+1}(q, t) \\
&= q^{m'} B_{2m'-1}(1/q, t/q) B_{2m-m'-1}(q, t) + B_1(q, t) B_{2m-m'-1+1}(q, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we complete the proof. ■

Let x_1, \dots, x_k be positive integers. We define $K_k(x_1, \dots, x_k; q, t)$ recursively by

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad K_{2k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k+1}; q, t) &= [x_{2k+1}]_{q,t} K_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k}; q, t) \\
&\quad + q^{x_{2k+1}} t^{x_{2k}} K_{2k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}; q, t), \\
K_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k}; q, t) &= q[x_{2k}]_{1,t} K_{2k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}; q, t) \\
&\quad + t^{x_{2k}-1} K_{2k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}; q, t)
\end{aligned}$$

with $K_0 = 1$ and $K_1(x_1; q, t) = [x_1]_{q,t}$.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $k \geq 2$ and x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} be positive integers. Then

$$K_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_{k-1} - 1, 1; q, t) = K_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}; q, t).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . For $k = 1$, we have $K_1(1; q, t) = 1 = K_0$. For $k = 2$,

$$K_2(x_1 - 1, 1; q, t) = q[x_1 - 1]_{q,t} + t^{x_1-1} = [x_1]_{q,t} = K_1(x_1; q, t).$$

Assume that the claim holds for $2k - 2, 2k - 1$, and let us prove it for $2k$ and $2k + 1$. By induction hypothesis and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}, x_{2k-1} - 1, 1; q, t) \\
&= qK_{2k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1} - 1; q, t) + t^{x_{2k-1}-1} K_{2k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}; q, t) \\
&= (q[x_{2k-1} - 1]_{q,t} + t^{x_{2k-1}-1}) K_{2k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}; q, t) \\
&\quad + q^{x_{2k-1}-1+1} t^{x_{2k-2}} K_{2k-3}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-3}; q, t) \\
&= [x_{2k-1}]_{q,t} K_{2k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}; q, t) + q^{x_{2k-1}} t^{x_{2k-2}} K_{2k-3}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-3}; q, t) \\
&= K_{2k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}; q, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & K_{2k+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}, x_{2k} - 1, 1; q, t) \\
 &= K_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k} - 1; q, t) + qt^{x_{2k}-1} K_{2k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}; q, t) \\
 &= q([x_{2k} - 1]_{1,t} + t^{x_{2k}-1}) K_{2k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}; q, t) \\
 &\quad + t^{x_{2k}-1} K_{2k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}; q, t) \\
 &= q[x_{2k}]_{1,t} K_{2k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-1}; q, t) + t^{x_{2k}-1} K_{2k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k-2}; q, t) \\
 &= K_{2k}(x_1, \dots, x_{2k}; q, t),
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the induction. ■

Proof Theorem 1.1. We prove the following general result:

$$(4) \quad B_n(q, t) = K_k(a_1, \dots, a_k; q, t),$$

where n satisfies (1) with k odd, $a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}, a_k > 0$ and $a_{k-1} \geq 0$. We proceed by induction on k (odd). For $k = 1$, we have

$$B_n(q, t) = K_1(a_1) = [a_1]_{q,t},$$

so (4) holds. Assume $k \geq 3$ is odd, (1) holds and (4) is true for $k - 2$. Then

$$n = 2^{a_{k-1}+a_k} d + 2^{a_k} - 1 \quad \text{with} \quad d = 1^{a_1} 0^{a_2} \dots 1^{a_{k-2}}$$

(binary representation). By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_n(q, t) &= q^{a_{k-1}+a_k} B_{2^{a_{k-1}+a_k}}(1/q, t/q) B_d(q, t) \\
 &\quad + B_{2^{a_k}-1}(q, t) B_{d+1}(q, t),
 \end{aligned}$$

which, by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.3, is equivalent to

$$B_n(q, t) = (q[a_k]_{q,t}[a_{k-1}]_{1,t} + q^{a_k} t^{a_{k-1}}) B_d(q, t) + [a_k]_{q,t} t^{a_{k-2}} B_{(d+1)/2^{a_{k-2}}}(q, t).$$

Now, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$B_d(q, t) = K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}; q, t),$$

and by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{(d+1)/2^{a_{k-2}}}(q, t) &= K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-4}, a_{k-3} - 1, 1) \\
 &= K_{k-3}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-3}; q, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_n(q, t) &= (q[a_k]_{q,t}[a_{k-1}]_{1,t} + q^{a_k} t^{a_{k-1}}) K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}; q, t) \\
 &\quad + [a_k]_{q,t} t^{a_{k-2}} K_{k-3}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-3}; q, t),
 \end{aligned}$$

while by the definition (with k odd)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & K_k(a_1, \dots, a_k; q, t) \\
 &= q^{a_k-1} [a_k]_{1,t/q} K_{k-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}; q, t) + q^{a_k} t^{a_{k-1}} K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}; q, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q^{a_k-1} [a_k]_{1,t/q} (q [a_{k-1}]_{1,t} K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}; q, t) \\
&\quad + t^{a_k-2} K_{k-3}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-3}; q, t)) \\
&\quad + q^{a_k} t^{a_k-1} K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}; q, t) \\
&= (q [a_k]_{q,t} [a_{k-1}]_{1,t} + q^{a_k} t^{a_k-1}) K_{k-2}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-2}; q, t) \\
&\quad + [a_k]_{q,t} t^{a_k-2} K_{k-3}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-3}; q, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (4) holds for k , which completes the induction.

Hence, Theorem 1.1 follows in view of [7, Section 5]. ■

3. Combinatorial issues. The *hyperbinary expansion* of a number n is an expansion of n as a sum of powers of 2, each power being used at most twice. We denote the set of all hyperbinary expansions of n by \mathbb{H}_n , and the total number of powers that are used exactly twice (resp. once) in the hyperbinary expansion $x \in \mathbb{H}_n$ by $\mathfrak{h}_n(x)$ (resp. $\ell_n(x)$). The (q, t) -hyperbinary expansion of x is defined as $q^{\mathfrak{h}_n(x)} t^{\ell_n(x)}$. See [2] in the case $t = 1$. Let $f_n(q, t)$ be the polynomial of the sum of (q, t) -hyperbinary expansions of n with $f_0(q, t) = 1$ and $f_{-1}(q, t) = 0$. For example, the hyperbinary expansions of 6 are $4 + 2$, $4 + 1 + 1$ and $2 + 2 + 1 + 1$. Thus (q, t) -hyperbinary expansions of 6 are t^2 , qt and q^2 . Accordingly, $f_6(q, t) = t^2 + qt + q^2 = [3]_{q,t}$.

THEOREM 3.1. *For all $n \geq 0$, $B_n(q, t) = f_{n-1}(q, t)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . The conclusion is true for $n = 0, 1$. Assume that it holds for $0, 1, \dots, 2n$ and let us prove it for $2n+1$ and $2n+2$.

The case $2n+1$: By using the proof of the case $f_{2n+1}(1, 1) = f_n(1, 1)$ with $q = t = 1$ in [1, Theorem 2], there exists a bijection $\alpha : \mathbb{H}_{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}(x) = \mathfrak{h}_n(\alpha(x))$ and $\ell_{2n+1}(x) = \ell_n(\alpha(x)) + 1$. This leads to

$$f_{2n+1}(q, t) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{H}_{2n+1}} q^{\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}(x)} t^{\ell_{2n+1}(x)} = t \sum_{y \in \mathbb{H}_n} q^{\mathfrak{h}_n(y)} t^{\ell_n(y)} = t f_n(q, t).$$

By our induction hypothesis and (2), $f_{2n+1}(q, t) = t B_{n+1}(q, t) = B_{2n+2}(q, t)$.

The case $2n+2$: From the proof of [1, Theorem 2], it follows that each hyperbinary expansion x in \mathbb{H}_{2n+2} can be mapped to either the hyperbinary expansion x' of n or the hyperbinary expansion x'' of $n+1$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_n(x) = \mathfrak{h}_{n+1}(x') + 1$, $\ell_n(x) = \ell_{n+1}(x')$, $\mathfrak{h}_n(x) = \mathfrak{h}_{n+1}(x'')$ and $\ell_n(x) = \ell_{n+1}(x'')$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{2n+2}(q, t) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{H}_{2n+2}} q^{\mathfrak{h}_{2n+2}(x)} t^{\ell_{2n+2}(x)} \\
&= q \sum_{y \in \mathbb{H}_n} q^{\mathfrak{h}_n(y)} t^{\ell_n(y)} + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{H}_{n+1}} q^{\mathfrak{h}_{n+1}(y)} t^{\ell_{n+1}(y)} \\
&= q f_n(q, t) + f_{n+1}(q, t).
\end{aligned}$$

By our induction hypothesis and (2),

$$f_{2n+2}(q, t) = qf_n(q, t) + f_{n+1}(q, t) = qB_{n+1}(q, t) + B_{n+2}(q, t) = B_{2n+3}(q, t).$$

The result follows. ■

We denote the generating function for the (q, t) -hyperbinary sequence

$$\{B_n(q, t)\}_{n \geq 0}$$

by $B(z; q, t)$, that is, $B(z; q, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(q, t)z^{n+1}$.

THEOREM 3.2. *The generating function $B(z; q, t)$ is given by*

$$\prod_{j \geq 0} (1 + tz^{2^j} + qz^{2^{j+1}}).$$

Proof. Let $B(z; q, t) = B_{\text{odd}}(z; q, t) + B_{\text{even}}(z; q, t)$, where

$$B_{\text{odd}}(z; q, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{2n+1}(q, t)z^{2n+2}, \quad B_{\text{even}}(z; q, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{2n}(q, t)z^{2n+1}.$$

By (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\text{odd}}(z; q, t) &= qz^2B(z^2; q, t) + B(z^2; q, t), \\ B_{\text{even}}(z; q, t) &= tzB(z^2; q, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} B(z; q, t) &= (1 + tz + qz^2)B(z^2; q, t) \\ &= (1 + tz + qz^2)(1 + tz^2 + qz^4)B(z^4; q, t) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 + tz^{2^j} + qz^{2^{j+1}}), \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

Note that the above result generalizes Theorem 3.1 of [9].

Acknowledgments. The author thanks the anonymous referee for pointing out the reference [9].

References

- [1] B. Bates, M. Bunder and K. Tognetti, *Linking the Calkin–Wilf and Stern–Brocot trees*, Eur. J. Combin. 31 (2010), 1637–1661.
- [2] B. Bates and T. Mansour, *The q -Calkin–Wilf tree*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 118 (2011), 1143–1151.
- [3] N. Calkin and H. S. Wilf, *Recounting the rationals*, Amer. Math. Monthly 107 (2000), 360–363.
- [4] K. Dilcher and K. B. Stolarsky, *A polynomial analogue to the Stern sequence*, Int. J. Number Theory 3 (2007), 85–103.

- [5] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1994.
- [6] S. Klavžar, U. Milutinović and C. Petr, *Stern polynomials*, Adv. Appl. Math. 39 (2007), 86–95.
- [7] O. Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*, 2nd ed., Teubner, 1927; reprint, Chelsea, New York, 1950.
- [8] A. Schinzel, *Stern polynomials as numerators of continued fractions*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 62 (2014), 23–27.
- [9] M. Ulas, *On certain arithmetic properties of Stern polynomials*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 79 (2011), 55–81.
- [10] I. Urbiha, *Some properties of a function studied by de Rham, Carlitz and Dijkstra and its relation to the (Eisenstein-)Stern's diatomic sequence*, Math. Comm. 6 (2001), 181–198.

Toufik Mansour
Department of Mathematics
University of Haifa
3498838 Haifa, Israel
E-mail: tmansour@univ.haifa.ac.il

*Received October 3, 2014;
received in final form February 4, 2015*

(7989)