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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a Euclidean space or the Hilbert space $\ell^{2}$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$, and let $B$ be convex and closed in $\mathbb{V}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a collection of linear $k$-subspaces of $\mathbb{V}$. A set $C \subset \mathbb{V}$ is called a $\mathcal{P}$-imitation of $B$ if $B$ and $C$ have identical orthogonal projections along every $P \in \mathcal{P}$. An extremal point of $B$ with respect to the projections under $\mathcal{P}$ is a point that all closed subsets of $B$ that are $\mathcal{P}$-imitations of $B$ have in common. A point $x$ of $B$ is called exposed by $\mathcal{P}$ if there is a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $(x+P) \cap B=\{x\}$. In the present paper we show that all extremal points are limits of sequences of exposed points whenever $\mathcal{P}$ is open. In addition, we discuss the question whether the exposed points form a $G_{\delta}$-set.


1. Introduction. Throughout this paper $\mathbb{V}$ stands for a separable real Hilbert space. Thus $\mathbb{V}$ is isomorphic to either an $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or $\ell^{2}$. Let $B$ be convex and closed in $\mathbb{V}$, and let $\mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$ consist of all $k$-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{V}$ with the natural topology; see Definition 1 . Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$. We say that $x \in B$ is exposed by $\mathcal{P}$ if there is a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $(x+P) \cap B=\{x\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ the set of all points of $B$ exposed by $\mathcal{P}$. This definition generalizes the concept of an exposed point as defined in [6], that is, a point of $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ that is exposed by $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We call $C \subset \mathbb{V}$ a $\mathcal{P}$-imitation of $B$ if $C+P=B+P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, that is, $B$ and $C$ have identical projections along each element of $\mathcal{P}$. The set of extremal points of $B$ with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ and is defined as the intersection of all closed subsets of $B$ that are $\mathcal{P}$-imitations of $B$. Clearly, every exposed point is extremal as well. In [5] Theorem 14] we proved

TheOrem 1. For closed and convex sets $B \subset \ell^{2}$ with empty geometric interior $B^{\circ}$ we have $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=B$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and nonempty open $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_{k}\left(\ell^{2}\right)$.

[^0]Absent the condition on $B^{\circ}$ it is easy to see that exposed points and extremal points do not coincide in general; see [1, Example 3] for a simple example. The main purpose of this paper is to establish the following connection between exposed and extremal points in the general setting.

Theorem 2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$, let $B \subset \mathbb{V}$ be closed and convex, and let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$ be open. Then $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$.

We say that generic elements of a space have a certain property if the space has a dense $G_{\delta}$-subset all of whose elements have that property.

Theorem 3. Let $B$ be closed and convex in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$. Then $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$. Consequently, in this case generic extremal points are exposed.

Choquet, Corson, and Klee [6] investigated the space $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{n-1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{n-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ and proved this theorem for the case $n=2$. Surprisingly, Theorem 3 fails to hold for $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}(B, \mathcal{P})$ when $\mathcal{P}$ is an open proper subset of $\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see Example 22. Moreover, Corson [7] gives an example of a convex compactum $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$ is of the first category and hence does not contain a dense $G_{\delta}$-subset of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$. This is generalized to higher dimensions in Example 3 .
2. Definitions and preliminaries. The inner product in $\mathbb{V}$ is denoted by $x \cdot y$ and $\mathbf{0}$ stands for the zero vector. By a projection of a point onto a plane we always mean the orthogonal projection. If $\varepsilon>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{V}$ then the open ball centred at $x$ and with radius $\varepsilon$ is denoted by $U_{\varepsilon}(x)$. The norm on $\mathbb{V}$ is given by $\|u\|=\sqrt{u \cdot u}$ and the metric $d$ is given by $d(u, v)=\|v-u\|$. We also define, for $A \subset \mathbb{V}$,

$$
A^{\perp}=\{v \in \mathbb{V}: v \cdot x=v \cdot y \text { for all } x, y \in A\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{codim} A=\operatorname{dim} A^{\perp} \in\{0,1, \ldots, \infty\}
$$

A plane in $\mathbb{V}$ is a closed affine subspace of $\mathbb{V}$; a $k$-plane in $\mathbb{V}$ is a $k$-dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{V}$. Let $H$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{V}$, that is, a plane with codimension 1. The two components of $\mathbb{V} \backslash H$ are called the sides of $H$. We say that $H$ cuts a subset $A$ of $\mathbb{V}$ if $A$ contains points on both sides of $H$. We say that a hyperplane $H$ in $\mathbb{V}$ is supporting to $A$ at $x$ if $x \in H$ and $H$ does not cut $A$. A closed subset $L$ of $\mathbb{V}$ is called a halfspace of $\mathbb{V}$ if it is the union of a hyperplane and one of its sides.

Let $A$ be a subset of $\mathbb{V}$. We denote by $\bar{A}$ the closure and by int $A$ the interior of $A$ in $\mathbb{V}$. Furthermore, $\langle A\rangle$ stands for the convex hull of $A$ and aff $A$ is the intersection of all planes that contain $A$. Note that $\operatorname{codim} A=$ $\operatorname{codim}(\operatorname{aff} A)$. We write $\partial A$ for the geometric boundary of $A$, that is, the
boundary with respect to aff $A$, and we let $A^{\circ}=A \backslash \partial A$ denote the geometric interior. Note that if $A$ is convex and $A^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ then $A^{\circ}$ is dense in $A$ and $A^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ if $A$ is finite-dimensional.

Definition 1. Consider the closed unit ball $\mathbb{B}=\{v \in \mathbb{V}:\|v\| \leq 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{B})$ stand for the hyperspace of all nonempty compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}$. Recall that the Hausdorff metric $d_{\mathrm{H}}$ on $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{B})$ associated with $d$ is defined as follows:

$$
d_{\mathrm{H}}(A, B)=\sup \{d(x, A), d(y, B): x \in B \text { and } y \in A\} .
$$

By [9, Theorem 1.11.3], $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{B})$ is compact for $\mathbb{V}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and complete for $\mathbb{V}=\ell^{2}$.

We let $\mathcal{G}_{m}(\mathbb{V})$ stand for the collection of all $m$-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{V}$. We topologize $\mathcal{G}_{m}(\mathbb{V})$ by defining a metric $\rho$ on $\mathcal{G}_{m}(\mathbb{V})$ :

$$
\rho\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)=d_{\mathrm{H}}\left(L_{1} \cap \mathbb{B}, L_{2} \cap \mathbb{B}\right)
$$

It is readily seen that $\mathcal{G}_{m}(\mathbb{V})$ corresponds to a closed subset of $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{B})$ and is therefore also compact for $\mathbb{V}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and complete for $\mathbb{V}=\ell^{2}$. When $\mathbb{V}$ is finite-dimensional, $\mathcal{G}_{m}(\mathbb{V})$ is known as a Grassmann manifold.

Remark 1. We also allow the degenerate cases $\mathcal{G}_{0}(\mathbb{V})=\{\{\mathbf{0}\}\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)=\left\{\mathbb{R}^{m}\right\}$. Note that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{0}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{0}(\mathbb{V})\right)=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{0}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{0}(\mathbb{V})\right)=B$, and if $B$ is not a singleton then

$$
\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{m}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{m}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)=\emptyset
$$

Definition 2. Let $B$ be a closed and convex set in $\mathbb{V}$. A subset $F$ of $B$ is called a face of $B$ if there is a hyperplane $H$ of aff $B$ that does not cut $B$ with the property $F=B \cap H$. Note that $F$ is also closed and convex and that $\operatorname{codim} F>\operatorname{codim} B$. If $F$ is a face of $B$ we write $F \prec B$. We say that a subset $F$ of $B$ is a derived face of $B$ if $F=B$ or there exists a sequence $F=F_{1} \prec \cdots \prec F_{m}=B$ for some $m$.

Definition 3. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a collection of linear subspaces of a vector space $\mathbb{V}$. We say that an affine subspace $H$ of $\mathbb{V}$ is consistent with $\mathcal{P}$ if there is a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $z+P \subset H$ for some $z \in H$. Let $B$ be a convex and closed subset of $\mathbb{V}$. A subset $F$ of $B$ is called a $\mathcal{P}$-face of $B$ if $F=B \cap H$ for some hyperplane $H$ of $\mathbb{V}$ that does not cut $B$ and that is consistent with $\mathcal{P}$. A derived $\mathcal{P}$-face is a derived face of a $\mathcal{P}$-face. If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$ then we set

$$
\mathcal{F}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=\{F: F \text { is a derived } \mathcal{P} \text {-face of } B \text { with } \operatorname{codim} F>k\}
$$

and we let $\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ be the closure of $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$.
To determine which points are extremal we will rely on the following result from [4, Theorems 15 and 16] and [5, Theorems 3 and 19].

Theorem 4. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $B$ be closed and convex in $\mathbb{V}$, and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$ be such that $\mathcal{P} \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. If $\operatorname{codim} B \neq k$ then $\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$. If $\operatorname{codim} B=k$ then $\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$. If $B^{\circ}=\emptyset$ then $B=\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=$ $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$.

Remark 2. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be somewhere dense, that is, $\operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}} \neq \emptyset$, and let $\operatorname{codim} B \geq k$. If $P \in \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ then $P$ can be approximated by a $P^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P^{\prime} \cap$ aff $B$ is a singleton (cf. [3, Lemma 13]) and hence $B=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}(B, \mathcal{P})$ $=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}(B, \mathcal{P})$.

Definition 4. Let $B \subset \mathbb{V}$ be closed and convex. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$, and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$. For the proof of Theorem 2 we will work with the following subspace of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V}) \times B$ :

$$
\mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=\left\{(P, x) \in \mathcal{P} \times B: x \in F \text { for some } F \in \mathcal{F}_{k}(B,\{P\})\right\}
$$

3. Some lemmas. In this section we prove the lemmas that we need to prove our main results.

Lemma 5. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$, and let $B$ be closed and convex in $\mathbb{V}$. Let $\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of points in $B$ that converges to $w$. Let $\left(P_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence that converges to some $P$ in $\mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$. If $(w+P) \cap B$ is bounded then for every neighbourhood $U$ of $(w+P) \cap B$ there is a $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(w_{i}+P_{i}\right) \cap B \subset U$ for every $i>j$.

Proof. Let $U$ be an open neighbourhood of $(w+P) \cap B$. We may assume that $U$ is bounded. Striving for a contradiction, and without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left(w_{i}+P_{i}\right) \cap B \backslash U \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose $a_{i} \in\left(w_{i}+P_{i}\right) \cap B \backslash U$, and note that since $P_{i}$ is connected we can pick $a_{i}$ in the boundary of $U$. Thus $\left\{a_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded and so is $A=\left\{a_{i}-w_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ because $\left(w_{i}\right)_{i}$ converges. Let $M$ be such that $A \subset \mathbb{B}_{M}=\{x \in \mathbb{V}:\|x\| \leq M\}$. Since $a_{i}-w_{i} \in P_{i}$ we may select, by the definition of $\rho$, a point $y_{i}$ in $P \cap \mathbb{B}_{M}$ such that $d\left(a_{i}-w_{i}, y_{i}\right) \leq M \rho\left(P_{i}, P\right)$ and hence $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} d\left(a_{i}-w_{i}, y_{i}\right)=0$. Since $P \cap \mathbb{B}_{M}$ is compact we may assume (by passing to a subsequence) that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} y_{i}=y \in P \cap \mathbb{B}_{M}$. Consequently, we also have $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{i}-w_{i}\right)=y$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} a_{i}=w+y$. In conclusion, $w+y \in B \backslash U$, which contradicts the assumption that $(w+P) \cap B \subset U$.

Lemma 6. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}, \varepsilon>0$, and let $B$ be closed and convex in $\mathbb{V}$. Then the set $S_{\varepsilon}=\left\{(P, x) \in \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V}) \times B: \operatorname{diam}((x+P) \cap B) \geq \varepsilon\right\}$ is closed.

Proof. Let $(P, x) \in \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V}) \times B$ be the limit of a sequence $\left(P_{i}, x_{i}\right)_{i}$ in $S_{\varepsilon}$. If diam $((x+P) \cap B)<\varepsilon$ then choose a neighbourhood $U$ of $(x+P) \cap B$ with diam $U<\varepsilon$. Apply Lemma 5 to find a $\left(P_{i}, x_{i}\right) \in S_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\left(x_{i}+P_{i}\right) \cap B$ is contained in $U$, which implies that diam $U \geq \varepsilon$. Thus $(P, x) \in S_{\varepsilon}$.

Lemma 7. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$, let $B$ be closed and convex in $\mathbb{V}$, and let $P \in \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$. Let $w$ be a point in a $\{P\}$-face of $B$ such that $(w+P) \cap B$ is line-free. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $w^{*} \in B$ such that $\left(P, w^{*}\right)$ is in $\mathcal{T}_{k}(B,\{P\})$ and $U_{\varepsilon}\left(w^{*}\right) \cap(w+P) \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $F^{*}$ be the $\{P\}$-face that contains $w$, so there is a supporting hyperplane $H$ to $B$ at $w$ such that $w+P \subset H$ and $F^{*}=B \cap H$. Consider
$\mathcal{F}=\left\{F: F\right.$ is a derived face of $F^{*}$ such that $\left.F \cap(w+P) \neq \emptyset\right\}$.
The collection $\mathcal{F}$ is nonempty because it contains $F^{*}$.
Let us first assume that there is an $F_{1} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F_{1}^{\circ}=\emptyset$. Consider $A=F_{1} \cap(w+P)$. According to [2, Lemma 5] the set $\bigcup\{F: F$ is a derived face of $F_{1}$ and $\left.\operatorname{codim} F>k\right\}$ is dense in $F_{1}$. So, we can choose a derived face $\hat{F}$ of $F_{1}$ and a $w^{*} \in \hat{F}$ such that $\operatorname{codim} \hat{F}>k$ and $U_{\varepsilon}\left(w^{*}\right) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Consequently, $\hat{F} \in \mathcal{F}_{k}(B,\{P\})$ and $\left(P, w^{*}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{k}(B,\{P\})$, as required.

Next, we may assume that $F^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Striving for a contradiction, assume that $\operatorname{codim} F \leq k$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then we may select an $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with maximal codimension. Note that $H$ contains both $w+P$ and $F$ and $\operatorname{codim} H=1$. Thus codim $(\operatorname{aff} F)$ in $H$ is at most $k-1$. Since $\operatorname{dim} P=k$ we have $\operatorname{dim}((w+P) \cap \operatorname{aff} F) \geq 1$. Let $\ell$ be a line contained in $(w+P) \cap$ aff $F$ such that $\ell \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Since $(w+P) \cap B$ is line-free, there is a $y \in \ell \cap \partial F$. Since $F^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$, the point $y$ is contained in some face $G$ of $F$ by Hahn-Banach. Now we see that $\operatorname{codim} G>\operatorname{codim} F$ and $G \in \mathcal{F}$, contrary to the choice of $F$. Thus, we can conclude that there is an $\hat{F} \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\operatorname{codim} \hat{F}>k$. In this case, for $w^{*}$ we simply take any point in $\hat{F} \cap(w+P)$.

Lemma 8. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$ and let $B$ be closed and convex in $\mathbb{V}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$, let $\mathcal{P}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$ such that $\mathcal{P} \subset$ int $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$, and let $(L, w) \in \mathcal{T}_{k}(B$, int $\overline{\mathcal{P}})$. Then there is an $\left(L^{*}, w^{*}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ such that $\left\|w-w^{*}\right\|<\varepsilon, \rho\left(L, L^{*}\right)<\varepsilon$, and $\operatorname{diam}\left(\left(w^{*}+L^{*}\right) \cap B\right)<\varepsilon$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$ since $L \in \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Define the open nonempty set

$$
\mathcal{U}=\left\{L^{\prime} \in \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}: \rho\left(L^{\prime}, L\right)<\varepsilon / 2\right\}
$$

of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$. We consider three cases.
Case I: codim $B>k$. According to Remark 2 we can approximate $L$ with an $L^{*} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $L^{*} \cap$ aff $B$ is a singleton and hence $\left(w+L^{*}\right) \cap B=$ $\{w\}$. Note that $\operatorname{codim}\left(L^{*}+\operatorname{aff} B\right) \geq \operatorname{codim} B-\operatorname{dim} L^{*} \geq 1$, thus $B+L^{*}$ is contained in some hyperplane of $\mathbb{V}$. Consequently, $B \in \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(B,\left\{L^{*}\right\}\right)$ and $\left(L^{*}, w\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$.

Case II: $B^{\circ}=\emptyset$. By Theorem 1 we find a $P \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(w+P) \cap B$ $=\{w\}$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define the nonempty set

$$
\mathcal{P}_{i}=\left\{P^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}: \rho\left(P^{\prime}, P\right)<1 / i\right\}
$$

and note that $\mathcal{P}_{i} \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{i}$. By Theorem 4 we have $B=\mathcal{E}^{k}\left(B, \mathcal{P}_{i}\right)$ and we can choose a $w_{i} \in B$ such that $\left\|w_{i}-w\right\|<1 / i$ and $w_{i} \in F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(B, \mathcal{P}_{i}\right)$. So there is a $P_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{i}$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}_{k}\left(B,\left\{P_{i}\right\}\right)$ and hence $\left(P_{i}, w_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$. Let $O$ be a neighbourhood of $w$ such that $\operatorname{diam} O<\varepsilon$. By Lemma 5 there exists an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho\left(P_{i}, P\right)<\varepsilon / 2,\left\|w_{i}-w\right\|<\varepsilon$, and $\left(w_{i}+P_{i}\right) \cap B \subset O$. Taking $w^{*}=w_{i}$ and $L^{*}=P_{i}$ we see that the proof for this case is complete.

CASE III: $\operatorname{codim} B \leq k$ and $B^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{k}(B,\{L\})$ be such that $w \in F$. Since codim $F>k$ we can find by [2, Remark 1] a sequence of affine spaces $H_{k+1} \subset H_{k} \subset \cdots \subset H_{0}=\mathbb{V}$ such that $w+L \subset H_{1}, F \subset H_{k+1}$, and $H_{i}$ is a hyperplane in $H_{i-1}$ that does not cut $H_{i-1} \cap B$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, k+1\}$. Note that codim $H_{i}=i$ for each $i$ and that $B \not \subset H_{k+1}$ because codim $B \leq k$. Let $i$ be such that $B \not \subset H_{i}$ but $B \subset H_{i-1}$. Choose a coordinate system such that $\mathbf{0} \in B \backslash H_{i}$ and $\|w\|<\varepsilon / 3$. Set

$$
U=U_{\varepsilon / 3}(w) \cap H_{i}, \quad \mathcal{Z}=(0,1] U, \quad C=B \backslash \mathcal{Z}
$$

Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is open in the halfspace of $H_{i-1}$ that has $H_{i}$ as its boundary and that contains $B$, we see that $C$ is closed. Also $\operatorname{diam} \mathcal{Z}<\varepsilon$ and $C$ is a cone with vertex $\mathbf{0}$, that is, $[0,1] C=C$. Since $w \in F \in \mathcal{F}_{k}(B,\{L\})$ and $L \in \mathcal{U}$ we find that $w \in \mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{U})$. Hence $w \in \mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{U}) \backslash C \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}(B, \mathcal{U}) \backslash C$ by Theorem 4. Thus $C$ is not a $\mathcal{U}$-imitation of $B$, and there are an $\hat{L} \in \mathcal{U}$ and a $\hat{w} \in B \backslash C$ such that $(\hat{w}+\hat{L}) \cap C=\emptyset$. This means that $(\hat{w}+\hat{L}) \cap B$ is a subset of $\mathcal{Z}$ and thus diam $((\hat{w}+\hat{L}) \cap B)<\varepsilon$. Let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{L}_{n}=\hat{L}$ with $\hat{L}_{n} \in \mathcal{P}$ for every $n$. By Lemma 5 we can choose an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho\left(\hat{L}, \hat{L}_{i}\right)<\varepsilon / 2$ and $\left(\hat{w}+\hat{L}_{i}\right) \cap B \subset \mathbb{V} \backslash C$, thus $\left(\hat{w}+\hat{L}_{i}\right) \cap C=\emptyset$. Set $L^{*}=\hat{L}_{i}$ and observe that $\rho\left(L, L^{*}\right)<\varepsilon$.

Claim 1. $\left(t \hat{w}+L^{*}\right) \cap C=\emptyset$ for every $t \geq 1$.
Proof. Suppose that there are $t \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 1$, and $v \in L^{*}$ such that $t \hat{w}+v \in C$. Consider the point $z=\frac{1}{t}(t \hat{w}+v)=\hat{w}+\frac{1}{t} v$. Observe that $z \in\left(\hat{w}+L^{*}\right) \cap C$, a contradiction.

Note that $\hat{w} \notin L^{*}$ because otherwise we would have had $\mathbf{0} \in\left(\hat{w}+L^{*}\right) \cap C$. Thus $\mathbb{R} \hat{w} \cap L^{*}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and the natural map from $\mathbb{R} \times L^{*}$ to $\mathbb{R} \hat{w}+L^{*}$ is a homeomorphism. By Claim 1 we see that $\left([1, \infty) \hat{w}+L^{*}\right) \cap B$ is a subset of the bounded set $\mathcal{Z}$ and is therefore compact. We may now define

$$
s=\max \left\{t \geq 1:\left(t \hat{w}+L^{*}\right) \cap B \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

Choose a $v \in\left(s \hat{w}+L^{*}\right) \cap B$ and note that $s \hat{w}+L^{*}=v+L^{*}$ and $\left(v+L^{*}\right) \cap C=\emptyset$ by Claim 1. Also, $\left(v+L^{*}\right) \cap B$ is compact because the set is contained in $\mathcal{Z}$. Observe that $\left(v+L^{*}\right) \cap B^{\circ}=\emptyset$, otherwise $s$ would not be maximal. By Hahn-Banach and the assumption $B^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ there exists a hyperplane $H$ in $\mathbb{V}$ such that $\left(v+L^{*}\right) \subset H$ and $H$ does not cut $B$. Note that $v$ is in the $\left\{L^{*}\right\}$-face
$B \cap H$. By Lemma 7, we construct a sequence $\left(w_{n}^{*}\right)_{n}$ of points in $B$ such that $\left(L^{*}, w_{n}^{*}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ and $U_{1 / n}\left(w_{n}^{*}\right) \cap\left(v+L^{*}\right) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Since $\left(v+L^{*}\right) \cap B$ is compact, we may assume that $\left(w_{n}^{*}\right)_{n}$ converges to a point in $\left(v+L^{*}\right) \cap B$. Now, we apply Lemma 5 to find some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(w_{j}^{*}+L^{*}\right) \cap C=\emptyset$ and hence $\left(w_{j}^{*}+L^{*}\right) \cap B \subset \mathcal{Z}$ and $\operatorname{diam}\left(\left(w^{*}+L^{*}\right) \cap B\right)<\varepsilon$. We observe that $w$ and $w_{j}^{*}$ are both in $\mathcal{Z}$ and thus $\left\|w_{j}^{*}-w\right\|<\varepsilon$. Taking $w_{j}^{*}$ for $w^{*}$ we conclude that $\left(L^{*}, w^{*}\right)$ is as required.

Lemma 9. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$, let $B$ be closed and convex in $\mathbb{V}$, and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$ such that $\mathcal{P} \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Then $\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=$ $\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}})$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}})$.

Proof. By Theorem 4 and Remark $2, \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}$ follows from $\mathcal{E}$. Clearly, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}) \subset \mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$, which means that $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_{k}(B, \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}) \subset$ $\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$. So let $w \in F \in \mathcal{F}_{k}(B, \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}})$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Then there is an $L$ such that $(L, w) \in \mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}})$. By Lemma 8 there is an $\left(L^{*}, w^{*}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ such that $\left\|w-w^{*}\right\|<\varepsilon$ and hence $w^{*} \in \mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$. Thus $w \in \mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ because that set is closed.
4. Proofs and examples. Theorem 2 follows immediately from the following stronger theorem.

Theorem 10. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$, let $B \subset \mathbb{V}$ be closed and convex, and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a $G_{\delta}$-subset of $\mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$ such that $\mathcal{P} \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Then $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$.

Proof. First of all, we may assume that $\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$ since otherwise the theorem is trivial. Now, if $\operatorname{codim} B \geq k$ then we are done by Remark 2 ,

Thus we may assume that $\operatorname{codim} B<k$. By Theorem 4 we know that $\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$. By the definition of $\mathcal{E}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ it suffices to show that $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})}$. Let $M$ denote the closure of $\mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ in $\mathcal{P} \times B$ and notice that $M$ is topologically complete because $B$ and $\mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$ are complete and $\mathcal{P}$ is topologically complete. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$
S_{n}=\{(P, x) \in M: \operatorname{diam}((x+P) \cap B) \geq 1 / n\}
$$

and note that this set is closed by Lemma 6. According to Lemma 8 every element of $\mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ can be approximated by an element of $\mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P}) \backslash S_{n}$, thus $S_{n}$ is nowhere dense in $M$. By the Baire Category Theorem we deduce that $M \backslash \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n}$ is dense in $M$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$, let $x \in F$, and let $\varepsilon>0$. Then there is a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $F$ is a derived $\{P\}$-face of $B$. Thus $(P, x) \in \mathcal{T}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$ and there is a $\left(P^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in M \backslash \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n}$ such that $\left\|x^{\prime}-x\right\|<\varepsilon$ and $P^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}$ of course. Note that $\operatorname{diam}\left(\left(x^{\prime}+P^{\prime}\right) \cap B\right)<1 / n$ for all $n$, and hence $\left(x^{\prime}+P^{\prime}\right) \cap B=\left\{x^{\prime}\right\}$ and $x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$. Consequently, $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_{k}(B, \mathcal{P}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})}$ and the theorem is proved.

With Lemma 9 we have
Corollary 11. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k<\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{V}$, let $B \subset \mathbb{V}$ be closed and convex, and let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V})$ be such that $\mathcal{P} \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Then

$$
\overline{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}})}=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})
$$

A natural question is whether we can replace the left hand side of the equation in Corollary 11 by $\overline{\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})}$, that is: Is Theorem 10 valid without the $G_{\delta}$-condition on $\mathcal{P}$ as in Theorem 4? The following example shows that the answer is no.

Example 1. We construct a convex compactum $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and a $\mathcal{P} \subset$ $\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with $\mathcal{P} \subset \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}(B, \mathcal{P})$ is not dense in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1}(B, \mathcal{P})$. This example can easily be generalized to higher dimensions using the method of Example 3 below.

Let $C$ be a Cantor set in $\mathbb{I}=[0,1]$ such that $0,1 \in C$ and every nonempty open subset has positive Lebesgue measure $\lambda$. Let $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, list the gaps of $C$, and set $U=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$. Let $\chi$ be the characteristic function on $C$ and define $f(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \chi(t) d t$ for $x \in \mathbb{I}$. Note that $f$ is a nondecreasing continuous function from $\mathbb{I}$ onto $[0, \lambda(C)]$. Moreover, $f$ is constant on the intervals $\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$ and we set $\left\{m_{n}\right\}=f\left(\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]\right)$. If $s<x<t$ in $\mathbb{I}$ and $x \in$ $C \backslash U$ then $\lambda(C \cap(s, x))>0$ and $\lambda(C \cap(x, t))>0$, thus $f(s)<f(x)<f(t)$. Since the union $U$ is dense in $\mathbb{I}$, we see that $M=\left\{m_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is dense in $[0, \lambda(C)]$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ consist of the lines in $\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ that have a slope in $M$ and note that $\mathcal{P} \subset$ int $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Define $F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t$ for $x \in \mathbb{I}$ and note that the graph $G$ of $F$ is concave up, so the convex hull $B$ of that graph is a compactum in the plane that is bounded below by $G$ and above by the line segment that connects the origin $(0, F(0))$ with the point $(1, F(1))$. Note that the part of $G$ above $\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$ is a straight line segment $L_{n}$.

Let $(x, F(x))$ be a point of $G$ with $x \in(0,1)$ and let $\ell$ be a supporting hyperplane (a line in this case) to $B$ at that point. Since $F$ is differentiable, $\ell$ must be the tangent line to $G$ and the slope of $\ell$ is $f(x)$. If $x \in \mathbb{I} \backslash U$ then $f(x) \notin M$ because $f$ is strictly increasing at $x$. Thus $(x, F(x)) \notin \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}(B, \mathcal{P})$. If $x \in U$ then $\ell \cap B=L_{n}$ for some $n$, so $x$ is not exposed by any line. But the endpoints $\left(a_{n}, F\left(a_{n}\right)\right)$ and $\left(b_{n}, F\left(b_{n}\right)\right)$ of $L_{n}$ are in $\mathcal{E}^{1}(B, \mathcal{P})=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1}(B, \mathcal{P})$. Clearly, the closure of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}(B, \mathcal{P})$ does not equal $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1}(B, \mathcal{P})$.

We have the following improvement over Theorem 1 .
Theorem 12. For closed and convex sets $B \subset \ell^{2}$ with empty geometric interior $B^{\circ}$ we have $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})=B$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and somewhere dense $G_{\delta}$-set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_{k}\left(\ell^{2}\right)$.

We showed in [5, Example 3] that one cannot do without the $G_{\delta}$-condition on $\mathcal{P}$ in this theorem.

Proof of Theorem 12. Obviously it suffices to prove that $B \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$. Define $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\mathcal{P} \cap \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ and note that $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is a nonempty $G_{\delta}$-set with the property $\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \subset$ int $\overline{\mathcal{P}^{\prime}}=\mathcal{O}$. Let $w \in B$ and define, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\mathcal{O}_{n}=\{P \in \mathcal{O}: \operatorname{diam}((w+P) \cap B)<1 / n\} .
$$

By Lemma 6 every $\mathcal{O}_{n}$ is open. By Theorem 1 every nonempty open subset of $\mathcal{O}$ contains a $P$ with $(w+P) \cap B=\{w\}$, thus $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{n}$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is topologically complete and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is also a dense $G_{\delta}$-set in $\mathcal{O}$, we deduce according to Baire that there is an element $P$ in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_{n}$. Consequently, $(w+P) \cap B=\{w\}$ and $w \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(B, \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B, \mathcal{P})$.

Proof of Theorem [3. By Remark 2 we may assume that $\operatorname{codim} B=0$, that is, $\operatorname{dim} B=n$. If $F_{1} \prec F_{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ then we say that $F_{1}$ is a facet of $F_{2}$ if $\operatorname{dim} F_{1}=\operatorname{dim} F_{2}-1$. Note that a facet $F_{1}$ has a nonempty interior in the ( $\operatorname{dim} F_{2}-1$ )-manifold $\partial F_{2}$. Also these interiors are disjoint for different facets of the same closed convex set. Consequently, by separability a closed convex set can have only countably many facets. A sequence of derived faces $F_{m} \prec F_{m-1} \prec \cdots \prec F_{1}=B$ of $B$ is called regular if every $F_{i}$ is a facet of $F_{i-1}$. Also, we call every derived face of $B$ for which a regular sequence exists a regular derived face. Note that $B$ has countably many regular derived faces and one of them is $B$ itself.

Claim 2. If $x \in B \backslash \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ then $x \in F^{\circ}$ for some regular derived face $F$ of $B$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all regular derived faces $F$ of $B$ such that $x \in F$. Since $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$, we may select an $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with a minimal dimension. We show that $x \in F^{\circ}$. Indeed, suppose that $x \notin F^{\circ}$. Let $H$ be a supporting hyperplane at $x$ to $F$ in aff $F$. Then $\hat{F}=H \cap F$ is a face of $F$. Since $F$ is a regular derived face with a minimal dimension, $\hat{F}$ cannot be a facet of $F$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} \hat{F} \leq \operatorname{dim} F-2$. Therefore, the codimension of $\hat{F}$ in $H$ is at least 1 . So we can select a line $\ell \in \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $x+\ell \subset H$ and $\ell$ is perpendicular to aff $\hat{F}$. Then $(x+\ell) \cap B=\{x\}$ and $x$ is exposed, a contradiction. We may conclude that $x \in F^{\circ}$.

Claim 3. Let $F$ be a derived face of $B$. If there is an exposed point of $B$ in $F^{\circ}$ then $F \subset \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$.

Proof. Take a coordinate system such that $\mathbf{0} \in F^{\circ}$ and $\mathbf{0}$ is exposed, so there is an $\ell \in \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\ell \cap B=\{\mathbf{0}\}$. Consider an $x \in F$ and assume that $y \in(x+\ell) \cap B$ with $y \neq x$. Then $\ell=\mathbb{R}(y-x)$. Next, since $\mathbf{0} \in F^{\circ}$ we can choose a $t>0$ such that $-t x \in F$. Since $-t x, y \in B$, we find by convexity that $w=\frac{t}{1+t} y+\frac{1}{1+t}(-t x)$ is in $B$. On the other hand, $w=\frac{t}{1+t}(y-x)$ and therefore $w \in \ell$. Hence $w \in B \cap \ell$ and $w \neq \mathbf{0}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $(x+\ell) \cap B=\{x\}$ and the claim is proved.

Consider the countable set
$\mathcal{L}=\left\{F^{\circ}: F\right.$ is a regular derived face of $B$ with $\left.F^{\circ} \cap \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)=\emptyset\right\}$.
Obviously, $\cup \mathcal{L} \subset B \backslash \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$. If $x \in B \backslash \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ then by Claim 2 there is a regular derived face $F$ of $B$ with $x \in F^{\circ}$. By Claim 3 no point of $F^{\circ}$ can be exposed, thus $F^{\circ} \in \mathcal{L}$. We observe that $\cup \mathcal{L}=$ $B \backslash \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$. Every $F^{\circ} \in \mathcal{L}$ is an open subset of a closed set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, thus $\sigma$-compact. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is countable, $\bigcup \mathcal{L}$ is also $\sigma$-compact. Hence $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set in $B$ and of course also in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$.

The following example shows that in Theorem 3 we may not replace $\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by an open proper subset.

Example 2. We give an example for a compact and convex set $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ for which the set of points exposed by $\mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \backslash \mathcal{G}_{1}(H)$, for some linear 2-space $H$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, is not a $G_{\delta}$-set. In $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ take an $x y z$-coordinate system and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=\left\{(x, 0, z):(x+1)^{2}+(z+1)^{2}=1\right\} \\
& K_{2}=\left\{(x, 0, z):(x-1)^{2}+(z+1)^{2}=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $B$ be formed by revolving $\left\langle K_{1} \cup K_{2}\right\rangle$ around the $z$-axis. The intersection of $B$ and the $x y$-plane is denoted by $D=\left\{(x, y, 0): x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1\right\}$. Let $A=\left\{a_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a dense countable subset of the circle $\partial D$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $E_{n}$ be the hyperplane determined by the point $(0,0,1 / n)$ and the tangent line $r_{n}$ at $a_{n}$ to $\partial D$ in the $x y$-plane. Let $V_{n}$ be the halfspace determined by $E_{n}$ that contains the origin. Define a compact convex subset $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ by

$$
K=B \cap \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n}
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P \in \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right): P \not \subset x y\right.$-plane $\}$. It suffices to prove that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}(K, \mathcal{P})$ $\cap \partial D=A$.

Note that if $\ell$ is a line through $a_{n}$ and a point on the $z$-axis between 0 and $1 / n$ then $\ell \cap K=\left\{a_{n}\right\}$. Thus $a_{n} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}(K, \mathcal{P})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $w$ in $\partial D \backslash A$. We prove that $w \notin \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}(K, \mathcal{P})$. Let $\ell \in \mathcal{P}$ be arbitrary. Then $\ell=\mathbb{R} v$ for some nonzero vector $v=\left(v_{x}, v_{y}, v_{z}\right)$ with $v_{z}<0$. Note that $w+\mathbb{R} v$ does not expose $w$ in $B$ (see [1, Example 3]). Thus, $(w+\mathbb{R} v) \cap B=w+[0, s] v$ for some $s>0$.

CLAIm 4. If $E_{n} \cap\left(w+\mathbb{R}^{+} v\right) \neq \emptyset$ then $\left|v_{z}\right| / \sqrt{v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}} \leq 1 / n$, where $\mathbb{R}^{+}=(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Suppose $u \in E_{n} \cap\left(w+\mathbb{R}^{+} v\right)$. Let $\hat{u}$ be the projection of $u$ onto the $x y$-plane. Note that $u$ lies below the $x y$-plane because $v_{z}<0$, and hence $\hat{u}$ and $\mathbf{0}$ lie on opposite sides of $r_{n}$ in the $x y$-plane. Let $p$ be the point of intersection of $r_{n}$ with the line segment that connects $\hat{u}$ to $\mathbf{0}$. Since $r_{n}$ is
tangent to the unit circle $\partial D$ we see that $\|p\| \geq 1$ and $\|p-\hat{u}\| \leq\|q-\hat{u}\|$ for any point $q \in \partial D$, in particular for $w$. Note that the slope of the line $w+\mathbb{R} v$ with respect to the $x y$-plane is

$$
\frac{\left|v_{z}\right|}{\sqrt{v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}}}=\frac{\|u-\hat{u}\|}{\|w-\hat{u}\|} \leq \frac{\|u-\hat{u}\|}{\|p-\hat{u}\|} .
$$

Looking at the plane that contains the $z$-axis and $u$, we find by similarity of triangles that

$$
\frac{\|u-\hat{u}\|}{\|p-\hat{u}\|}=\frac{1 / n}{\|p\|} \leq \frac{1}{n}
$$

By Claim 4, only finitely many elements of $\left\{E_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ intersect $w+\mathbb{R}^{+} v$. Thus there exists a $t \in(0, s]$ such that $w+[0, t] v$ is contained in every halfspace $V_{n}$. Therefore, $w+[0, t] v \subset K$ and $w \notin \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}(K, \mathcal{P})$. Being countable and dense in $\partial D$ the subset $A$ is not a $G_{\delta}$-set. Hence $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}(K, \mathcal{P})$ is not a $G_{\delta}$-set.

We turn to the question whether Theorem 3 is valid for $k>1$. Klee [8, Example (6.10)] showed that there is a compact convex body $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$ is not a $G_{\delta}$-set. Corson [7] constructed a more refined example: there is a compact convex body $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$ is of the first category and therefore does not contain a dense $G_{\delta}$-subset of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$. The question here is whether there are similar statements for $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{k}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right.$ ) for $1<k<n$.

We need a proposition. If we assume that $\mathbf{0} \in B$ then aff $B$ is a linear space and we can use $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B)$ to denote $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{k}(\right.$ aff $\left.B)\right)$.

Proposition 13. Let $B$ be a closed and convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then, for $2 \leq k \leq \operatorname{dim} B$,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B \times \mathbb{I})=\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B) \times(0,1)\right) \cup\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k-1}(B) \times\{0,1\}\right)
$$

Proof. Choose a coordinate system such that $\mathbf{0} \in B^{\circ}$. Let $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the projection. We identify $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Fix $2 \leq$ $k \leq \operatorname{dim} B$.

Let $x=(a, s) \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B \times \mathbb{I})$. Thus there is an $L \in \mathcal{G}_{k}(\operatorname{aff} B \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $(x+L) \cap(B \times \mathbb{I})=\{x\}$. Consider the case $0<s<1$. Put $L^{\prime}=\pi(L) \in$ aff $B$. Since $x+L$ does not contain the line $\{a\} \times \mathbb{R}$ we see that $\operatorname{dim} L^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} L=k$ and $L^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{k}(\operatorname{aff} B)$. If $b \in L^{\prime}$ is such that $a+b \in B$ then there is a $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(b, t) \in L$. Because $s$ is interior to $\mathbb{I}$ we can select an $r \in(0,1)$ such that $q=s+r t \in \mathbb{I}$. Then $c=a+r b \in B$ and $(c, q) \in(x+L) \cap B$. Thus $c=a$ and hence $b=\mathbf{0}$. We have $\left(a+L^{\prime}\right) \cap B=\{a\}$ and $a \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B)$.

Now, let $s=0$. Let $\hat{L}=L \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\{0\}\right)$. Note that $\operatorname{dim} \hat{L} \geq k-1$ and select a $(k-1)$-subspace $P$ of $\hat{L}$. Then $(x+P) \cap B=\{a\}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k-1}(B) \times\{0\}$. The case $s=1$ is obviously the same.

For the other direction, let $(a, s)=x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B) \times(0,1)$. Then there is an $L \in \mathcal{G}_{k}($ aff $B)$ such that $(a+L) \cap B=\{a\}$. This implies $(x+L) \cap(B \times \mathbb{I})=$ $(x+L) \cap(B \times\{s\})=\{x\}$. Hence $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B \times \mathbb{I})$.

Now, let $(a, 0)=x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k-1}(B) \times\{0\}$. So, there is an $L \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(\operatorname{aff} B)$ such that $(a+L) \cap B=\{a\}$. Set $\hat{L}=L+\mathbb{R}(a, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{k}(\operatorname{aff} B \times \mathbb{R})$ and let $(b, t) \in(x+\hat{L}) \cap(B \times \mathbb{I})$. Thus $t \in \mathbb{I}$ and $b=a+p+t a \in B$ for some $p \in L$. Since $\mathbf{0} \in B^{\circ}$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{1+t} b=a+\frac{1}{1+t} p \in(a+L) \cap B=\{a\}
$$

So $b=\frac{1}{1+t} a$ and $U=a+\frac{t}{1+t} B^{\circ} \subset B$ by convexity. If $t>0$ then $U$ is an open neighbourhood of $a$ in aff $B$ and hence $(a+L) \cap U$ is open in $a+L$. Thus $\operatorname{dim}((a+L) \cap B)=\operatorname{dim} L=k-1 \geq 1$, which contradicts $(a+L) \cap B=\{a\}$. Consequently, $t=0$ and hence $x=(b, t)$, which means that $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}(B \times \mathbb{I})$.

Example 3. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be Corson's example as described above. Let $n \geq 3$ and $K_{n}=B \times \mathbb{I}^{n-3} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Since aff $B=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we have aff $K_{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We show by induction with respect to $n$ that whenever $2 \leq k<n$ the set $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(K_{n}\right)$ contains a nonempty open first category subspace. Consequently, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(K_{n}\right)$ does not contain a dense $G_{\delta}$-subset of the complete space $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{k}\left(K_{n}\right)$.

For the base case of the induction we have $n=3$ thus $k=2$ with $K_{3}=B$ obviously satisfying the hypothesis. Assume now that the hypothesis is valid for some $n \geq 3$, and consider $K_{n+1}$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$. We apply Proposition 13 to get

$$
\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(K_{n+1}\right)=\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(K_{n}\right) \times(0,1)\right) \cup\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k-1}\left(K_{n}\right) \times\{0,1\}\right)
$$

If $k<n$ then by the hypothesis $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(K_{n}\right)$ contains a nonempty open first category subspace $O$ and hence $O \times(0,1)$ is a nonempty open first category subspace of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(K_{n}\right)$. If $k=n$ then $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(K_{n}\right)=\emptyset$ (see Remark 1). So $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k}\left(K_{n+1}\right)=\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k-1}\left(K_{n}\right) \times\{0,1\}$, the union of two clopen copies of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k-1}\left(K_{n}\right)$. Since $k-1=n-1 \geq 2$ the induction hypothesis applies to $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{k-1}\left(K_{n}\right)$ and the proof is complete.

These examples show why we work in the space $\mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathbb{V}) \times B$ for the proof of Theorem 10, the Baire category argument cannot work in $B$.

We finish our paper with the following open problem.
Question 1. Let $B$ be closed and convex in $\ell^{2}$ with int $B \neq \emptyset$. Is $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\ell^{2}\right)\right)$ a $G_{\delta}$-set in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1}\left(B, \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(\ell^{2}\right)\right) ?$
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