

*THE AFFINENESS CRITERION FOR
QUANTUM HOM-YETTER–DRINFEL’D MODULES*

BY

SHUANGJIAN GUO (Guiyang) and SHENGXIANG WANG (Chuzhou)

Abstract. Quantum integrals associated to quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules are defined, and the affineness criterion for quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules is proved in the following form. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, (A, β) an (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra and $B = A^{\text{co}H}$. Under the assumption that there exists a total quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$ and the canonical map $\beta : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes H$, $a \otimes_B b \mapsto S^{-1}(b_{[1]})\alpha(b_{[0] [-1]}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a)\beta(b_{[0] [0]})$, is surjective, we prove that the induction functor $A \otimes_B - : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B \rightarrow {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ is an equivalence of categories.

1. Introduction. Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras were introduced by Makhlouf and Silvestrov [19] as generalizations of ordinary algebras and coalgebras in the following sense: the associativity of multiplication is replaced by the Hom-associativity, and similarly for Hom-coassociativity. They also defined the structures of Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras, and described some of their properties in [21] by extending properties of ordinary bialgebras and Hopf algebras. Recently, many properties and structures of Hom-Hopf algebras have been developed: see [3]–[7], [9], [11]–[17], [27]–[31] and references cited therein.

Caenepeel and Goyvaerts [1] studied Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras from a categorical view point, and called them monoidal Hom-bialgebras and monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras respectively; these are slightly different from the above Hom-bialgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras. They also introduced the notion of Hom-Hopf modules and proved the fundamental theorem on Hom-Hopf modules, and also presented the Hom-Hopf algebraic structures of the enveloping algebras of monoidal Hom-Lie algebras.

The category of Yetter–Drinfel’d modules is an important category of modules in the theory of Hopf algebras. Under favourable conditions (e.g., if H is a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode), the category of Yetter–

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 16T05.

Key words and phrases: monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, total integral, quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules.

Received 23 April 2015; revised 10 July 2015.

Published online 14 December 2015.

Drinfel'd modules is indeed a braided monoidal category by the Drinfel'd double construction. Makhlouf and Panaite [18] defined Yetter–Drinfel'd modules over Hom-bialgebras, and showed that Yetter–Drinfel'd modules over a Hom-bialgebra with bijective structure map provide solutions of the Hom–Yang–Baxter equation.

Apart from Makhlouf and Panaite's work, Liu and Shen [16] studied Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules over monoidal Hom-bialgebras, and showed that the category of Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules is a braided monoidal category. Also, Chen and Zhang [7] defined the category of Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules in a slightly different way to [16], and showed that it is a full monoidal subcategory of the left center of the left Hom-module category. Later, You and Wang [31] extended the notion of Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules of generalized Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules.

Total integral is an important notion in representation theories. Chen and Zhang [4] introduced integrals of monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras and investigated the existence and uniqueness of integrals for finite-dimensional monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras. The first named author and Chen [11] introduced the notion of relative Hom–Hopf modules and proved that the forgetful functor F from the category of relative Hom–Hopf modules to the category of right (A, β) -Hom-modules has a right adjoint. In [13], the notion of total integral was introduced for any Hom-comodule algebra (A, β) over a monoidal Hom–Hopf algebra (H, α) , which has strong ties both to $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)^H$ (i.e., the corepresentation of (H, α)) and to the representation of the pair (H, A) (i.e., the category of relative Hom–Hopf modules $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_A^H$), and the well-known necessary and sufficient criterion for the existence of a total integral was presented.

Menini and Militaru [22] interpreted the criterion for the existence of a total integral with the help of forgetful functors $F : \mathcal{M}(H)_A^C \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$ and $G : \mathcal{M}(H)_A^C \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^C$. Inspired by their ideas, we introduce the category of left-right quantum Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$, which can be viewed as both a category containing the category ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_H$ of Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules and a quantization of the category of relative Hom–Hopf modules; so it is necessary to study quantum Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules, and in this paper we investigate the criterion for the existence of a total integral of such modules.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some definitions and properties relating to monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras which are needed later. In Section 3, we introduce the concept of quantum Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules in the sense of [12], which can be interpreted as special Doi Hom–Hopf modules. In Section 4, quantum integrals associated to quantum Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd modules are defined. Then we prove the affineness criterion for quantum Hom–Yetter–Drinfel'd-modules (Theorem 4.9).

Throughout this paper we freely use the Hopf algebra and coalgebra terminology introduced in [2], [10], [23], [25] and [26].

2. Preliminaries. In this section we recall some basic definitions and results. Throughout, all algebraic systems are supposed to be over a commutative ring k . The reader is referred to [1] and [11] as general references for Hom-structures.

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Then there is a new category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ as follows: Objects are couples (M, μ) with $M \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\mu \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$. A morphism $f : (M, \mu) \rightarrow (N, \nu)$ is a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{C} such that $\nu \circ f = f \circ \mu$.

Let \mathcal{M}_k denote the category of k -modules. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ will be called the *Hom-category* associated to \mathcal{M}_k . If $(M, \mu) \in \mathcal{M}_k$, then $\mu : M \rightarrow M$ is obviously a morphism in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. It is easy to show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k) = (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k), \otimes, (I, I), \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{l}, \tilde{r})$ is a monoidal category by [1, Proposition 1.1]. The tensor product of (M, μ) and (N, ν) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ is given by the formula $(M, \mu) \otimes (N, \nu) = (M \otimes N, \mu \otimes \nu)$, and for $(M, \mu), (N, \nu), (P, \pi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$, the associativity and unit constraints are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_{M,N,P}((m \otimes n) \otimes p) &= \mu(m) \otimes (n \otimes \pi^{-1}(p)), \\ \tilde{l}_M(x \otimes m) &= \tilde{r}_M(m \otimes x) = x\mu(m). \end{aligned}$$

An algebra in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ will be called a *monoidal Hom-algebra*.

DEFINITION 2.1. A *monoidal Hom-algebra* is an object $(A, \alpha) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a k -linear map $m_A : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and an element $1_A \in A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(ab) &= \alpha(a)\alpha(b), & \alpha(1_A) &= 1_A, \\ \alpha(a)(bc) &= (ab)\alpha(c), & a1_A &= 1_Aa = \alpha(a), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$. Here we use the notation $m_A(a \otimes b) = ab$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A *monoidal Hom-coalgebra* is an object $(C, \gamma) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with k -linear maps $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$, $\Delta(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ (summation implicitly understood) and $\gamma : C \rightarrow C$ such that

$$\Delta(\gamma(c)) = \gamma(c_{(1)}) \otimes \gamma(c_{(2)}), \quad \varepsilon(\gamma(c)) = \varepsilon(c),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{-1}(c_{(1)}) \otimes c_{(2)(1)} \otimes c_{(2)(2)} &= c_{(1)(1)} \otimes c_{(1)(2)} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(c_{(2)}), \\ \varepsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)} &= \varepsilon(c_{(2)})c_{(1)} = \gamma^{-1}(c), \end{aligned}$$

for all $c \in C$.

DEFINITION 2.3. A *monoidal Hom-bialgebra* $H = (H, \alpha, m, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bialgebra in the category $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. This means that (H, α, m, η) is a mono-

idal Hom-algebra, (H, Δ, α) is a monoidal Hom-coalgebra, and Δ and ε are morphisms of monoidal Hom-algebras, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(ab) &= a_{(1)}b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}b_{(2)}, & \Delta(1_H) &= 1_H \otimes 1_H, \\ \varepsilon(ab) &= \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b), & \varepsilon(1_H) &= 1_H. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2.4. A *monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra* is a monoidal Hom-bialgebra (H, α) together with a linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ such that

$$S * I = I * S = \eta\varepsilon, \quad S\alpha = \alpha S.$$

DEFINITION 2.5. Let (A, α) be a monoidal Hom-algebra. A *right (A, α) -Hom-module* is an object $(M, \mu) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ consisting of a k -module and a linear map $\mu : M \rightarrow M$ together with a morphism $\psi : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$, $\psi(m \cdot a) = m \cdot a$, in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ such that

$$(m \cdot a) \cdot \alpha(b) = \mu(m) \cdot (ab), \quad m \cdot 1_A = \mu(m),$$

for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$. The fact that $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ means

$$\mu(m \cdot a) = \mu(m) \cdot \alpha(a).$$

A morphism $f : (M, \mu) \rightarrow (N, \nu)$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ is said to be *right A -linear* if it preserves the A -action, that is, $f(m \cdot a) = f(m) \cdot a$. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_A$ the category of right (A, α) -Hom-modules and A -linear morphisms.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let (C, γ) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. A *right (C, γ) -Hom-comodule* is an object $(M, \mu) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a k -linear map $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ ($\rho_M(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]}$) in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{[0][0]} \otimes (m_{[0][1]} \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{[1]})) &= \mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \otimes \Delta_C(m_{[1]}), \\ m_{[0]}\varepsilon(m_{[1]}) &= \mu^{-1}(m), \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M$. The fact that $\rho_M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ means

$$\rho_M(\mu(m)) = \mu(m_{[0]}) \otimes \gamma(m_{[1]}).$$

Morphisms of right (C, γ) -Hom-comodule are defined in the obvious way. The category of right (C, γ) -Hom-comodules will be denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)^C$.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. A monoidal Hom-algebra (A, β) is called a *right (H, α) -Hom-comodule algebra* if (A, β) is a right (H, α) Hom-comodule with a coaction $\rho_A^r : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$, $\rho_A^r(a) = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}$, such that

$$\rho_A^r(ab) = a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}b_{[1]}, \quad \rho_A^r(1_A) = 1_A \otimes 1_H,$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

DEFINITION 2.8. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. A monoidal Hom-algebra (A, β) is called a *left (H, α) -Hom-comodule algebra* if (A, β) is a right (H, α) Hom-comodule with a coaction $\rho_A^l : A \rightarrow H \otimes A$, $\rho_A(a) = a_{[-1]} \otimes a_{[0]}$, such that

$$\rho_A^l(ab) = a_{[-1]}b_{[-1]} \otimes a_{[0]}b_{[0]}, \quad \rho_A^l(1_A) = 1_H \otimes 1_A,$$

for all $a, b \in A$.

DEFINITION 2.9. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. A monoidal Hom-algebra (A, β) is called a *bicomodule algebra* if (A, β) is not only a right (H, α) -Hom-comodule algebra with a coaction ρ_A , but also a left (H, α) -Hom-comodule algebra with a coaction ρ_A^l such that

$$\alpha^{-1}(a_{[-1]}) \otimes a_{[0][0]} \otimes a_{[0][1]} = a_{[0][-1]} \otimes a_{[0][0]} \otimes \alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]})$$

for all $a \in A$.

3. Quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules

DEFINITION 3.1. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode, and (A, β) an (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra. A *quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d module* (M, μ) is a right (A, β) -Hom-module which is also a left (H, α) -Hom-comodule with the coaction structure $\rho_M : M \rightarrow H \otimes M$ defined by $\rho_M(m) = m_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]}$, and satisfies the following compatibility condition: for all $m \in M$ and $a \in A$,

$$(3.1) \quad m_{[-1]}a_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]} \cdot a_{[0]} = a_{[1]}(\mu^{-1}(m) \cdot a_{[0]})_{[-1]} \otimes \mu((\mu^{-1}(m) \cdot a_{[0]})_{[0]}).$$

We denote by ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ the category of left-right quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules, morphisms being right (A, β) -linear left (H, α) -colinear maps.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let (M, μ) be a right (A, β) -Hom-module and a left (H, α) -Hom-comodule. Then the compatibility relation (3.1) is equivalent to*

$$(3.2) \quad \rho(m \cdot a) = S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-1}(m_{[-1]})a_{[0][-1]}) \otimes m_{[0]} \cdot \beta(a_{[0][0]})$$

for all $a \in A$ and $m \in M$.

Proof. (3.1) \Rightarrow (3.2): For $h \in H$ and $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-1}(m_{[-1]})a_{[0][-1]}) \otimes m_{[0]} \cdot \beta(a_{[0][0]}) \\ &= S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-1}(m_{[-1]})a_{[0][-1]}) \otimes \mu(\mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \cdot a_{[0][0]}) \\ &\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(a_{[0][1]}(\mu^{-2}(m) \cdot a_{[0][0]})_{[-1]}) \otimes \mu(\mu((\mu^{-2}(m) \cdot a_{[0][0]})_{[0]})) \\ &= (S^{-1}(a_{[1](2)})a_{1})(\mu^{-1}(m) \cdot a_{[0]})_{[-1]} \otimes \mu(\mu((\mu^{-2}(m) \cdot \beta^{-1}(a_{[0]})_{[0]}))_{[0]}) \\ &= (m \cdot a)_{[-1]} \otimes (m \cdot a)_{[0]}. \end{aligned}$$

(3.2) \Rightarrow (3.1): We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_{[1]}(\mu^{-1}(m) \cdot a_{[0]})_{[-1]} \otimes \mu((\mu^{-1}(m) \cdot a_{[0]})_{[0]}) \\
 & \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} a_{[1]}(S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(m_{[-1]})a_{[0][0]([-1])})) \otimes \mu((\mu^{-2}(m_{[0]}) \cdot \beta(a_{[0][0][0]})) \\
 & = (\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]})S^{-1}(a_{[1]}))(\alpha^{-1}(m_{[-1]})\alpha(a_{[0][0]([-1])})) \\
 & \quad \otimes \mu((\mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \cdot \beta(a_{[0][0][0]})) \\
 & = (a_{[1](2)}S^{-1}(a_{1}))(\alpha^{-1}(m_{[-1]})a_{[0]([-1])}) \otimes \mu((\mu^{-1}(m_{[0]}) \cdot a_{[0][0]}) \\
 & = m_{[-1]}a_{[-1]} \otimes m_{[0]} \cdot a_{[0]}. \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 3.3. (1) Let $A = H$ and $\rho = \rho^l = \Delta$. Then ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_H$ is the category of Yetter–Drinfel’d modules introduced in [16].

(2) If $\rho_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ is the trivial coaction, that is, $\rho_A(a) = \beta^{-1}(a) \otimes 1_H$, then ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A = {}^H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_A$, the category of relative Hom–Hopf modules introduced in [11].

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Under the hypotheses of Definition 3.1:*

(1) (A, β) is a left $(H \otimes H^{\text{op}}, \alpha \otimes \alpha^{\text{op}})$ -Hom-comodule algebra. The coaction $A \rightarrow (H \otimes H^{\text{op}}) \otimes A$ is given by

$$a \mapsto (a_{[0]([-1])} \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))) \otimes \beta(a_{[0][0]}).$$

(2) (H, α) is a right $(H \otimes H^{\text{op}}, \alpha \otimes \alpha^{\text{op}})$ -Hom-module coalgebra. The action of $H^{\text{op}} \otimes H$ on H is given by

$$g \triangleleft (h \otimes k) = \alpha(k)(\alpha^{-1}(g)h).$$

(3) The category ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ of left-right quantum Hom–Yetter–Drinfel’d modules is isomorphic to a category of Doi Hom–Hopf modules, namely ${}^H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H \otimes H^{\text{op}})_A$.

Proof. (1) Let us first prove that (A, β) is a left $(H \otimes H^{\text{op}}, \alpha \otimes \alpha^{\text{op}})$ -Hom-comodule. For all $h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Delta_{H \otimes H^{\text{op}}} \otimes \beta^{-1})\rho_H(h) &= \Delta_{H \otimes H^{\text{op}}}(a_{[0]([-1])} \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))) \otimes a_{[0][0]} \\
 &= a_{[0]([-1](1))} \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1](2)})) \otimes a_{[0]([-1](2))} \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{1})) \otimes a_{[0][0]} \\
 &= \alpha^{-1}(a_{[0]([-1])}) \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-2}(a_{[1]})) \\
 & \quad \otimes \alpha(a_{[0][0][0]([-1])}) \otimes S^{-1}(a_{[0][0][1]}) \otimes \beta^2(a_{[0][0][0][0]}) \\
 &= \alpha^{-1}(a_{[0]([-1])}) \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-2}(a_{[1]})) \otimes \rho_A(\beta(a_{[0][0]})) = (\alpha^{-1} \otimes \rho_A)\rho_A(a).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (A, β) is a right $(H \otimes H^{\text{op}}, \alpha \otimes \alpha^{\text{op}})$ -Hom-comodule, and it is easy to check that $\rho_A(ab) = \rho_A(a)\rho_A(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$.

(2) We will prove that (H, α) is a right $(H \otimes H^{\text{op}}, \alpha \otimes \alpha^{\text{op}})$ -Hom-comodule. For all $h, l, k, m, c \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [c \triangleleft (h \otimes k)] \triangleleft (\alpha(l) \otimes \alpha(m)) &= [\alpha(k)(\alpha^{-1}(c)h)] \triangleleft (\alpha(l) \otimes \alpha(m)) \\ &= \alpha^2(m) [[k(\alpha^{-2}(c)\alpha^{-1}(h))]\alpha(l)] = \alpha^2(m) [[(\alpha^{-1}(k)\alpha^{-2}(c))h]\alpha(l)] \\ &= \alpha^2(m) [(k\alpha^{-1}(c))(hl)] = [c(mk)](\alpha(h)\alpha(l)) \\ &= \alpha(c) \triangleleft (hl \otimes mk) = \alpha(c) \triangleleft [(h \otimes k)(l \otimes m)], \end{aligned}$$

and this implies that (H, α) is a right $(H \otimes H^{\text{op}}, \alpha \otimes \alpha^{\text{op}})$ -Hom-comodule.

Since (H, α) is an (H, α) -Hom-bimodule algebra, it follows that (H, α) is a left $(H \otimes H^{\text{op}}, \alpha \otimes \alpha^{\text{op}})$ -Hom-module coalgebra.

(3) Let (M, \cdot, μ) be a right (A, β) -module and (M, ρ_M, μ) be a right (H, α) -comodule. Then $M \in {}^H \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H \otimes H^{\text{op}})_A$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_M(m \cdot a) &= m_{[-1]} \triangleleft (a_{[0]_{[-1]}} \otimes S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))) \otimes m_{[0]} \cdot \beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}) \\ &= S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-1}(m_{[-1]}a_{[0]_{[-1]}}) \otimes m_{[0]} \cdot \beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. This shows that ${}^H \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H \otimes H^{\text{op}})_A$ is isomorphic to ${}^H \mathcal{HYD}_A$. ■

4. The affineness criterion for quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules. In the section, we first introduce the notion of quantum integrals associated to quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules. Then we show our main result, the affineness criterion for quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules, via the quantum integrals.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode and (A, β) a (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra. A k -linear map $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$ (i.e., the set of homomorphisms from (H, α) to (A, β)) satisfying $\gamma(\alpha(g))(\alpha(h)) = \beta \circ \gamma(g)(h)$ is called a *quantum integral* if

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha(h_{(1)}) \otimes \gamma(h_{(2)})(\alpha^{-1}(g)) = S^{-1}([\gamma(h)(\alpha(g_{(1)}))]_{[1]}) (\alpha^{-1}(g_{(2)}) \otimes [\gamma(h)(\alpha(g_{(1)}))]_{[0]_{[-1]}} [\gamma(\alpha(h))\alpha^2(g_{(1)})]_{[0]_{[0]}})$$

for all $g, h \in H$. A quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$ is called *total* if

$$(4.2) \quad \gamma(h_{(1)})(h_{(2)}) = \varepsilon(h)1_A$$

for all $h \in H$.

REMARK 4.2. Let $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$ be a quantum integral. Then the map

$$\phi : (H, \alpha) \rightarrow (A, \beta), \quad \phi(h) = \gamma(h)(1_H),$$

satisfies the condition

$$h_{(1)} \otimes \phi(h_{(2)}) = S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(\phi(h)_{[1]}))\phi(h)_{[0]_{[-1]}} \otimes \beta(\phi(h)_{[0]_{[0]}})$$

for all $h \in H$, that is, $\phi : (H, \alpha) \rightarrow (A, \beta)$ is left (H, α) -colinear.

It is not hard to check that $H \otimes A$ is an object in ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ via the following structures:

$$(4.3) \quad (h \otimes b)a = S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(h\alpha^{-1}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes b\beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}),$$

$$(4.4) \quad \rho_{H \otimes A}(h \otimes a) = \alpha(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes \beta^{-1}(b).$$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode and (A, β) an (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra. Assume that there exists a total quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$. Then $\tilde{\rho} : A \rightarrow H \otimes A$, $\tilde{\rho}(a) = S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))a_{[0]_{[-1]}} \otimes \beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}$, splits in ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$.*

Proof. We consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda : H \otimes A &\rightarrow A, \\ \lambda(h \otimes a) &= \beta^2(a_{[0]_{[0]}})\gamma(\alpha^{-1}(h))(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))a_{[0]_{[-1]}}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H$. It is easy to see that λ is a left (H, α) -colinear retraction of $\tilde{\rho}$. In particular, $\lambda(1_H \otimes 1_A) = 1_A$ and

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{(1)} \otimes \lambda(h_{(2)} \otimes 1_A) \\ = S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(\lambda(h \otimes a)_{[1]}))\lambda(h \otimes a)_{[0]_{[-1]}} \otimes \beta(\lambda(h \otimes a)_{[0]_{[0]}}). \end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$\Lambda : H \otimes A \rightarrow A, \quad \Lambda(h \otimes a) = \lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes 1_A)\beta^2(a_{[0]_{[0]}}),$$

for all $h \in H$ and $a \in A$. Then Λ is still a retraction of $\tilde{\rho}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda \circ \tilde{\rho})(a) &= \Lambda(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))a_{[0]_{[-1]}} \otimes \beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}})) \\ &= \lambda(S^{-2}(\alpha(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}}))S^{-1}(\alpha^{-3}(a_{[1]}))\alpha^{-2}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})S(\alpha(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}})) \otimes 1_A)\beta^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}})) \\ &= \lambda(S^{-2}(\alpha(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}}))S^{-1}(\alpha^{-2}(a_{[1]}))\{\alpha^{-2}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})S(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}})) \otimes 1_A)\beta^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}})) \\ &= \lambda(S^{-2}(\alpha^2(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}}))S^{-1}(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}})\{\alpha^{-3}(a_{[-1]})S(\alpha^{-2}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}}))\}) \otimes 1_A)\beta^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}})) \\ &= \lambda(S^{-2}(\alpha(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}}))S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[0]_{[1]}}))\{\alpha^{-2}(a_{[-1]_{(1)}})S(\alpha^{-2}(a_{[-1]_{(2)}}))\}) \otimes 1_A)\beta^2(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}})) \\ &= \lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[0]_{[1]}})S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]})) \otimes 1_A)\beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}) \\ &= \lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]_{(1)}})S^{-1}(a_{[1]_{(2)}}) \otimes 1_A)a_{[0]} = \lambda(1_H \otimes 1_A)\beta^{-1}(a) = a. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that Λ is a morphism in ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$. For this purpose,

we take $h \in H$ and $a, b \in A$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda((h \otimes b)a) &= \Lambda(S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(h\alpha^{-1}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes b\beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}})) \\
&= \lambda(S^{-2}(b_{[1]}\alpha(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}})))(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-2}(a_{[1]})))(\alpha^{-2}(h)\alpha^{-3}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \\
&\quad S(b_{[0]_{[1]}}\alpha(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}})) \otimes 1_A)\beta^2(b_{[0]})\beta^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}) \\
&= \lambda(S^{-2}(\alpha(b_{[1]}))\{S^{-2}(\alpha^{-2}(a_{[0]_{[1]}}))(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-3}(a_{[1]}))\})(\alpha^{-1}(h)\alpha^{-1}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \\
&\quad S(\alpha(b_{[0]_{[1]}})\alpha^2(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}})) \otimes 1_A)\beta^2(b_{[0]})\beta^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}) \\
&= \lambda(S^{-2}(b_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(b_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes 1_A)\beta^2(b_{[0]_{[0]}})a = \Lambda(h \otimes b)a, \\
\tilde{\rho}\Lambda(h \otimes a) &= \tilde{\rho}(\lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes 1_A)\beta^2(a_{[0]_{[0]}})) \\
&= S^{-1}(\alpha(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}}))S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(\lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes 1_A)_{[1]})) \\
&\quad \lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes 1_A)_{[0]_{[-1]}}\alpha^2(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[-1]}}}}) \\
&\quad \otimes \beta(\lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes 1_A)_{[0]_{[0]}})\beta^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}) \\
&= S^{-1}(\alpha(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}}))\alpha^{-1}\{S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(\lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes 1_A)_{[1]})) \\
&\quad \lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes 1_A)_{[0]_{[-1]}}\}\alpha^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[-1]}}}}) \\
&\quad \otimes \beta(\lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]})(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes 1_A)_{[0]_{[0]}})\beta^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}) \\
&\stackrel{(4.5)}{=} S^{-1}(\alpha(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[1]}}}))\alpha^{-1}(S^{-2}(a_{[1]_{(1)}})(\alpha^{-2}(h_{(1)})S(a_{[0]_{[-1]_{(2)}}}))\alpha^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[-1]}}}}) \\
&\quad \otimes \beta(\lambda(S^{-2}(a_{[1]_{(2)}})(\alpha^{-2}(h_{(2)})S(a_{[0]_{[-1]_{(1)}}})) \otimes 1_A)_{[0]_{[0]}})\beta^3(a_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]_{[0]}}}}) \\
&= \alpha(h_{(1)}) \otimes \lambda(S^{-2}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]})))(\alpha^{-2}(h_{(2)})S(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}}))) \otimes 1_A)\beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}) \\
&= (\text{id}_H \otimes \Lambda)\rho_{H \otimes A}(h \otimes a).
\end{aligned}$$

So Λ is a retraction of $\tilde{\rho}$ in ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$, as required, and this completes the proof. ■

Define the coinvariants of (A, β) as

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= A^{\text{co}H} = \{a \in A \mid \tilde{\rho}(a) = 1_H \otimes \beta^{-1}(a)\} \\
&= \{a \in A \mid S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))a_{[0]_{[-1]}} \otimes \beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}) = 1_H \otimes \beta^{-1}(a)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (B, β) is a Hom-subalgebra of (A, β) .

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode and (A, β) an (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra. Assume that there exists a total quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$. Then*

- (1) B is a direct summand of A as a left B -submodule;
- (2) B is a direct summand of A as a right B -submodule.

Proof. We shall prove that there exists a well-defined left trace given by the formula

$$t^l : (A, \beta) \rightarrow (B, \beta),$$

$$t^l(a) = \lambda(1_H \otimes a) = \beta(a_{[0][0]})\gamma(1_H)(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))a_{[0][-1]}),$$

for all $a \in A$. By (3.2) we obtain $\tilde{\rho}(t^l(a)) = \beta^{-1}(t^l(a)) \otimes 1_H$, i.e., $t^l(a) \in B$. Now for any $b \in B$ and $a \in A$, we have

$$t^l(ba) = \beta(b_{[0][0]}a_{[0][0]})\gamma(1_H)(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(b_{[1]})\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))b_{[0][-1]}a_{[0][-1]})$$

$$= (\beta^{-1}(b)\beta(a_{[0][0]}))\gamma(1_H)(S^{-1}(a_{[1]})\alpha(a_{[0][-1]})) = bt^l(a).$$

Hence t^l is a left (B, β) -module map satisfying

$$t^l(1_A) = 1_A\gamma(1_H)(1_H) = 1_A.$$

It follows that t^l is a left (B, β) -module retraction of the inclusion $B \subset A$, as desired.

(2) Similarly, one can prove that the map

$$t^r : (A, \beta) \rightarrow (B, \beta),$$

$$t^r(a) = \Lambda(1_H \otimes a) = \gamma(S^{-2}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))S(a_{[0][-1]})(1_H))\beta(a_{[0][0]}),$$

for all $a \in A$, is a right (B, β) -module retraction of the inclusion $B \subset A$. ■

DEFINITION 4.5. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode and (A, β) an (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra. Assume that there exists a total quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$. The map

$$t^l : (A, \beta) \rightarrow (B, \beta), \quad t^l(a) = \beta(a_{[0][0]})\gamma(1_H)(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))a_{[0][-1]}), \quad a \in A,$$

is called a *quantum trace* associated to γ .

Next we will construct functors connecting ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B$. First, if $(M, \mu) \in {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$, then

$$M^{\text{co}H} = \{m \in M \mid \rho_M(m) = 1_H \otimes \mu^{-1}(m)\}$$

is the right (B, β) -module of coinvariants of (M, μ) . Furthermore, $M \rightarrow M^{\text{co}H}$ gives us a covariant functor

$$(-)^{\text{co}H} : {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B.$$

Now, for any $(N, \nu) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B$, $N \otimes_B A$ is an object in ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ via the structures

$$(n \otimes_B a)a' = \nu(n) \otimes_B a\beta^{-1}(a'),$$

$$\rho_{N \otimes_B A}(n \otimes_B a) = S^{-1}(\alpha^{-2}(a_{[1]}))\alpha^{-1}(a_{[0][-1]}) \otimes \nu^{-1}(n) \otimes \alpha(a_{[0][0]}),$$

for all $n \in N$ and $a, a' \in A$. In this way, we have constructed a covariant functor (called the *induction functor*)

$$A \otimes_B - : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B \rightarrow {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A.$$

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode and (A, β) an (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra. Then the induction functor $A \otimes_B - : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B \rightarrow {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ is a left adjoint of the coinvariant functor $(-)^{\text{co}H} : {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B$.*

Proof. Similar to [22]. Details are left to the reader. ■

We have shown that $H \otimes A \in {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ and $(A \otimes H)^{\text{co}H} \cong A$ via $a \otimes 1_H \mapsto a$. Then the adjunction map can be viewed as a map in ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$:

$$\psi : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes H, \quad \psi(a \otimes b) = S^{-1}(b_{[1]})\alpha(b_{[0]_{[-1]}}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a)\beta(b_{[0]_{[0]}}),$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Here $A \otimes_B A \in {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ with the structures

$$\begin{aligned} (a \otimes_B b) \cdot a' &= \beta^{-1}(a) \otimes_B b\beta^{-1}(a'), \\ \rho_{A \otimes_B A}(a \otimes_B b) &= S^{-1}(b_{[1]})\alpha(b_{[0]_{[-1]}}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a) \otimes \beta(b_{[0]_{[0]}}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, a', b \in A$.

DEFINITION 4.7. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode, (A, β) an (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra and $B = A^{\text{co}H}$. A/B is called a *quantum Galois extension* if the canonical map

$$\psi : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes H, \quad \psi(a \otimes b) = S^{-1}(b_{[1]})\alpha(b_{[0]_{[-1]}}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a)\beta(b_{[0]_{[0]}}),$$

is bijective.

THEOREM 4.8. *Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode, (A, β) an (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra and $B = A^{\text{co}H}$. Assume that there exists a total quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$. Then*

$$\eta_N : N \rightarrow (N \otimes_B A)^{\text{co}H}, \quad \eta_N(n) = n \otimes_B 1_A,$$

is an isomorphism of right (B, β) -modules for all $(N, \nu) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B$.

Proof. Using the left quantum trace $t^l : (A, \beta) \rightarrow (B, \beta)$ we construct an inverse of η_N as follows. Define the map

$$\theta_N : (N \otimes_B A)^{\text{co}H} \rightarrow N, \quad \theta_N(n_i \otimes_B a_i) = \sum n_i t^l(a_i),$$

for any $a_i \otimes_B n_i \in (N \otimes_B A)^{\text{co}H}$. Since $t^l(1_A) = 1_A$, we get $\theta_N \circ \eta_N = \text{id}_N$. Let $n_i \otimes_B a_i \in (N \otimes_B A)^{\text{co}H}$. Then

$$1_H \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes_B \alpha^{-1}(a_i) = S^{-1}(a_{[1]})\alpha(a_{[0]_{[-1]}}) \otimes \nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes_B \beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes_B \alpha^{-1}(a_i) \otimes 1_A \\ = \nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes_B \beta(a_{[0][0]}) \otimes \gamma(1_H)(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))a_{[0][-1]}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes_B \alpha^{-1}(a_i)1_A = \nu^{-1}(n_i) \otimes_B \beta(a_{[0][0]})\gamma(1_H)(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))a_{[0][-1]}).$$

Thus, we get $n_i \otimes_B a_i = n_i \otimes_B t^l(a_i)$ and

$$(\eta_N \circ \theta_N)(n_i \otimes_B a_i) = \sum n_i t^l(a_i) \otimes_B 1_A = \sum n_i \otimes_B t^l(a_i) = n_i \otimes_B a_i.$$

Hence θ_N is the inverse of η_N , as desired. ■

We now prove the main result of this section, that is, the affineness criterion for quantum Hom-Yetter–Drinfel’d modules.

THEOREM 4.9. *Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode, (A, β) an (H, α) -Hom-bicomodule algebra and $B = A^{\text{co}H}$. Assume that*

- (1) *there exists a total quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$;*
- (2) *the canonical map*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes H, \quad a \otimes_B b \mapsto S^{-1}(b_{[1]})\alpha(b_{[0][-1]}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a)\beta(b_{[0][0]}), \\ \text{is surjective.} \end{aligned}$$

Then the induction functor $A \otimes_B - : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B \rightarrow {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. In Theorem 4.8 we have shown that the adjunction map $\eta_N : N \rightarrow (N \otimes_B A)^{\text{co}H}$ is an isomorphism for all $(N, \nu) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B$. It remains to prove that the other adjunction map

$$\beta_M : M^{\text{co}H} \otimes_B A \rightarrow M, \quad \beta_M(m \otimes_B a) = ma,$$

is also an isomorphism.

Let (V, ω) be a k -module. Then $A \otimes V \in {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ via the structures induced by A , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (a \otimes v)a' &= a\beta^{-1}(a') \otimes \omega(v), \\ \rho_{A \otimes V}(a \otimes v) &= S^{-1}(a_{[1]})\alpha(a_{[0][-1]}) \otimes \beta(a_{[0][0]}) \otimes \omega^{-1}(v), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $v \in V$. In particular, for $V = A$, $A \otimes A \in {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ via

$$(4.6) \quad (a \otimes b) \cdot a' = a\beta^{-1}(a') \otimes \beta(b);$$

$$(4.7) \quad \rho_{A \otimes A}(a \otimes b) = S^{-1}(a_{[1]})\alpha(a_{[0][-1]}) \otimes \beta(a_{[0][0]}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(b),$$

for all $a, b, a' \in A$.

Now we prove that the adjunction map $\beta_{A \otimes V} : (A \otimes V)^{\text{co}H} \otimes_B A \rightarrow A \otimes V$ is an isomorphism for any k -module V .

First, $V \otimes B$ and $B \otimes V$ are both objects in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B$ via the usual B -actions:

$$(v \otimes a) \cdot b = \omega(v) \otimes a\beta^{-1}(b), \quad a' \cdot (b' \otimes v') = \beta^{-1}(a')b' \otimes \omega(v'),$$

for all $a, b, a', b' \in B$ and $v, v' \in V$. The flip map $\tau : V \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes V$, $\tau(v \otimes b) = b \otimes v$, is an isomorphism in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B$. On the other hand, $V \otimes A \in {}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$ via the structures induced by A , i.e.,

$$(v \otimes a) \cdot b = \omega(v) \otimes a\beta^{-1}(b), \\ \rho_{V \otimes A}(v \otimes a) = S^{-1}(a_{[1]})\alpha(a_{[0]([-1])}) \otimes \omega^{-1}(v) \otimes \beta(a_{[0]([0])}).$$

It is easy to see that the flip map $\tau : A \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes A$, $\tau(a \otimes v) = v \otimes a$, is an isomorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$.

Applying Theorem 4.8 for $N = V \otimes B \cong B \otimes V$, we obtain the following isomorphisms in \mathcal{M}_B :

$$B \otimes V \cong V \otimes B \cong (V \otimes B \otimes_B A)^{\text{co}H} \cong (V \otimes A)^{\text{co}H} \cong (A \otimes V)^{\text{co}H}.$$

Hence, $(A \otimes V)^{\text{co}H} \otimes_B A \cong A \otimes V$.

Define

$$\widetilde{\psi} : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow H \otimes A, \quad a \otimes_B b \mapsto S^{-1}(b_{[1]})\alpha(b_{[0]([-1])}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a)\beta(b_{[0]([0])}),$$

for all $a, b \in A$. As ψ is surjective, $\widetilde{\psi}$ is surjective since $\widetilde{\psi} = \psi \circ \text{can}$, where $\text{can} : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes_B A$ is the canonical surjection.

Define

$$\xi : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes H, \\ \xi(a \otimes b) = (\widetilde{\psi} \circ \tau)(a \otimes b) = S^{-1}(a_{[1]})\alpha(a_{[0]([-1])}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(b)\beta(a_{[0]([0])}),$$

for any $a, b \in A$. Then the map ξ is surjective since $\widetilde{\psi}$ and τ are surjective. We will prove that ξ is a morphism in ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$, where $A \otimes A$ and $A \otimes H$ are quantum Hom-Yetter-Drinfel'd modules respectively. Indeed, we have

$$\xi((a \otimes b)c) = \xi(a\beta^{-1}(c) \otimes \beta(b)) \\ = S^{-1}(a_{[1]})\alpha^{-1}(c_{[1]})\alpha(a_{[0]([-1])})c_{[0]([-1])} \otimes b\beta(a_{[0]([0])})c_{[0]([0])} \\ = S^{-1}(c_{[1]})\{(S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))a_{[0]([-1])})\alpha^{-1}(c_{[0]([-1])})\} \otimes \{\beta^{-1}(b)\beta(a_{[0]([0])})\}\beta(c_{[0]([0])}) \\ = \xi(a \otimes b)c$$

and

$$\rho_{H \otimes A}\xi(a \otimes b) = \rho_{H \otimes A}(S^{-1}(a_{[1]})\alpha(a_{[0]([-1])}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(b)\beta(a_{[0]([0])})) \\ = S^{-1}(\alpha(a_{[1](2)}))\alpha^2(a_{[0]([-1](1))}) \otimes \{S^{-1}(a_{1})\alpha(a_{[0]([-1](2))}) \otimes \beta^{-2}(b)a_{[0]([0])}\} \\ = (\text{id}_H \otimes \xi)(S^{-1}(a_{[1]})\alpha(a_{[0]([-1])}) \otimes \beta(a_{[0]([0])}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(b)) \\ = (\text{id}_H \otimes \xi)\rho_{A \otimes A}(a \otimes b).$$

Hence, ξ is a surjective morphism in ${}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$. Moreover, $H \otimes A$ is projective as a left (A, β) -module, where $H \otimes A$ is a left A -module given by (3.4). By Proposition 3.1, the map

$$u : H \otimes A \rightarrow H \otimes A, \quad h \otimes a \mapsto S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(h\alpha^{-1}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes \beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}),$$

is an isomorphism of right (A, β) -modules. It follows that there exists $\zeta : H \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ such that $\xi \circ \zeta = \text{id}_{H \otimes A}$ since $A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes H$ is surjective. Hence, ξ splits in the category of right A -modules. In particular, ξ is a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$.

Let $(M, \mu) \in {}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$. Then $A \otimes A \otimes M \in {}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$ via the structures arising from $A \otimes A$:

$$(4.8) \quad (a \otimes b \otimes m) \cdot c = a\beta^{-2}(c) \otimes \beta(b) \otimes \mu(m),$$

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{A \otimes A \otimes M}(a \otimes b \otimes m) \\ = S^{-1}(a_{[1]})(h\alpha^{-1}(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})) \otimes \beta(a_{[0]_{[0]}}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(b) \otimes \mu^{-1}(m), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$ and $m \in M$. Also, $H \otimes A \otimes M$ is an object in ${}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$ via the structures arising from $H \otimes A$:

$$\begin{aligned} (h \otimes a \otimes m)b &= S^{-1}(\alpha(b_{[1]}))(hb_{[0]_{[-1]}}) \otimes a\beta^2(b_{[0]_{[0]}}) \otimes \mu(m), \\ \rho_{H \otimes A \otimes M}(h \otimes a \otimes m) &= \alpha(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \otimes \beta^{-1}(a) \otimes \mu^{-1}(m), \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A, h \in H$ and $m \in M$. Then

$$\xi \otimes \text{id}_M : A \otimes A \otimes M \rightarrow H \otimes A \otimes M$$

is a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$.

Since ${}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A = {}^H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)(H \otimes H^{\text{op}})_A$, the map

$$f : H \otimes A \otimes M \rightarrow M,$$

$$h \otimes a \otimes m$$

$$\mapsto \mu(m_{[0]})\gamma(S^{-2}(\alpha^{-1}(a_{[1]}))(\alpha^{-2}(h)S(a_{[0]_{[-1]}})))(\alpha^{-1}(m_{[-1]}))\beta^2(a_{[0]_{[0]}}),$$

is a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$. Thus the composition

$$g = f \circ (\xi \otimes \text{id}_M) : A \otimes A \otimes M \rightarrow M,$$

$$a \otimes b \otimes m \mapsto \mu(m_{[0]})\gamma(S^{-2}(\alpha^{-1}(b_{[1]}))S(b_{[0]_{[-1]}}))(\alpha^{-1}(m_{[-1]}))\beta(b_{[0]_{[0]}})\beta^{-1}(a),$$

is a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$. Note that the structure of $A \otimes A \otimes M$ as an object in ${}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$ is of the form $A \otimes V$ for the k -module $V = A \otimes M$.

To conclude, we have constructed a k -split epimorphism in ${}^H\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{D}_A$

$$A \otimes A \otimes M = (M_1, \pi) \xrightarrow{g} (M, \mu) \rightarrow 0$$

such that the adjunction map ψ_{M_1} for (M_1, π) is bijective. Since g is k -split

and there exists a total quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, A)$, g also splits in ${}^H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. In particular, the sequence

$$(M_1^{\text{co}H}, \pi) \xrightarrow{g^{\text{co}H}} (M^{\text{co}H}, \mu) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Continuing the resolution with $\text{Ker}(g)$ instead of M , we obtain an exact sequence in ${}^H\mathcal{HYD}_A$

$$(M_2, P) \rightarrow (M_1, \pi) \rightarrow (M, \mu) \rightarrow 0$$

which splits in ${}^H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$, and the adjunction maps for (M_1, π) and (M_2, P) are bijective. Using the Five Lemma we conclude that the adjunction map for (M, μ) is bijective. ■

Finally, we consider a special case. Assume that $A = H$. Then (A, β) is a right (H, α) -comodule algebra in a natural way. The coinvariants of (H, α) are

$$\begin{aligned} B &= H^{\text{co}H} = \{h \in H \mid \tilde{\rho}(h) = 1_H \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h)\} \\ &= \{a \in A \mid S^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_{(2)}))h_{(1)(1)} \otimes \alpha(h_{(1)(2)}) = 1_H \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (B, α) is a subalgebra of (H, α) . Hence we can obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 4.10. *Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode S and $B = H^{\text{co}H}$. Assume that:*

- (1) *there exists a total quantum integral $\gamma : H \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, H)$;*
- (2) *the canonical map*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : H \otimes_B H &\rightarrow H \otimes H, \\ h \otimes_B g &\mapsto S^{-1}(h_{(2)})\alpha(h_{(1)(1)}) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h)\beta(g_{(1)(2)}), \end{aligned}$$

is surjective. Then the induction functor $-\otimes_B H : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_B \rightarrow {}^H\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)_H$ is an equivalence of categories.

Acknowledgments. The work is supported by the TianYuan Special Funds of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11426073), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2015M571725) and the Fund of Science and Technology Department of Guizhou Province (2014GZ81365).

The work of S. J. Guo is also supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2015M580508) and Program for Science and Technology Innovation Talents of the Education Department of Guizhou Province (No. KY[2015]481).

Note added in proof. After the acceptance of the paper, the authors were informed by Prof. D. Simson that the paper [8] was recently accepted for publication in *Colloquium Mathematicum*, where among other things an affineness criterion for relative Hom-Hopf modules associated with a

faithfully flat Hopf–Galois extension is proved and a Schneider type affineness theorem is obtained for monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras.

References

- [1] S. Caenepeel and I. Goyvaerts, *Monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra 39 (2011), 2216–2240.
- [2] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru and S. L. Zhu, *Crossed modules and Doi–Hopf modules*, Israel J. Math. 100 (1997), 221–247.
- [3] Y. Y. Chen, Z. W. Wang and L. Y. Zhang, *The FRT–type theorem for the Hom–Long equation*, Comm. Algebra 41 (2013), 3931–3948.
- [4] Y. Y. Chen, Z. W. Wang, and L. Y. Zhang, *Integrals for monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras and their applications*, J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013), 073515, 22 pp.
- [5] Y. Y. Chen, Z. W. Wang and L. Y. Zhang, *The fundamental theorem and Maschke’s theorem in the category of relative Hom–Hopf modules*, Colloq. Math. (2016), to appear.
- [6] Y. Y. Chen, Z. W. Wang and L. Y. Zhang, *Quasitriangular Hom–Hopf algebras*, Colloq. Math. 137 (2014), 67–88.
- [7] Y. Y. Chen and L. Y. Zhang, *The category of Yetter–Drinfel’d Hom–modules and the quantum Hom–Yang–Baxter equation*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 031702, 18 pp.
- [8] Y. Y. Chen and L. Y. Zhang, *Hopf–Galois extensions for monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras*, Colloq. Math. (2016), to appear.
- [9] Y. Y. Chen and X. Zhou, *Separable and Frobenius monoidal Hom–algebras*, Colloq. Math. 137 (2014), 229–251.
- [10] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu and S. Raianu, *Hopf Algebras. An Introduction*, Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math. 235, Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [11] S. J. Guo and X. L. Chen, *A Maschke type theorem for relative Hom–Hopf modules*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 64 (2014), 783–799.
- [12] S. J. Guo and X. H. Zhang, *Separable functors for the category of Doi Hom–Hopf modules*, Colloq. Math. (2015) (online).
- [13] S. J. Guo, X. H. Zhang and S. X. Wang, *Relative Hom–Hopf modules and total integrals*, J. Math. Phys. 56 (2015), 021701, 16 pp.
- [14] S. J. Guo, X. H. Zhang and S. X. Wang, *Braided monoidal categories and Doi Hopf modules for monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras*, Colloq. Math. (2015) (online).
- [15] H. Y. Li and T. S. Ma, *A construction of the Hom–Yetter–Drinfeld category*, Colloq. Math. 137 (2014), 43–65.
- [16] L. Liu and B. L. Shen, *Radford’s biproducts and Yetter–Drinfeld modules for monoidal Hom–Hopf algebras*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 031701, 16 pp.
- [17] T. Ma, H. Li and T. Yang, *Cobraided Hom–smash product Hopf algebras*, Colloq. Math. 134 (2014), 75–92.
- [18] A. Makhlouf and F. Panaite, *Yetter–Drinfeld modules for Hom–bialgebras*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 013501, 17 pp.
- [19] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom–algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 (2008), 51–64.
- [20] A. Makhlouf and S. Silvestrov, *Hom–Lie admissible Hom–coalgebras and Hom–Hopf algebras*, in: Generalized Lie Theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond, Springer, Berlin, 2009, 189–206.
- [21] A. Makhlouf and S. D. Silvestrov, *Hom–algebras and Hom–coalgebras*, J. Algebra Appl. 9 (2010), 553–589.

- [22] C. Menini and G. Militaru, *Integral, quantum Galois extensions, and the affineness criterion for quantum Yetter–Drinfel’d modules*, J. Algebra 247 (2002), 467–508.
- [23] D. Radford, *Hopf Algebras*, Ser. Knots Everything 49, World Sci., 2012.
- [24] D. E. Radford and J. Towber, *Yetter–Drinfeld categories associated to an arbitrary bialgebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 87 (1993), 259–279.
- [25] D. Simson, *Coalgebras of tame comodule type, comodule categories, and a tame-wild dichotomy problem*, in: Representation of Algebras and Related Topics (Tokyo, 2010), A. Skowroński and K. Yamagata (eds.), EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011, 561–660.
- [26] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [27] Z. W. Wang, Y. Y. Chen and L. Y. Zhang, *The antipode and Drinfeld double of Hom-Hopf algebras*, Scientia Sinica Math. 42 (2012), 1079–1093.
- [28] S. X. Wang and S. J. Guo, *Symmetries and the u-condition in Hom-Yetter–Drinfeld categories*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 081708, 18 pp.
- [29] D. Yau, *Hom-bialgebras and comodule algebras*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 8 (2010), 45–64.
- [30] D. Yau, *The Hom-Yang–Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras*, J. Phys. A 42 (2010), 165202, 12 pp.
- [31] M. M. You and S. H. Wang, *Constructing new braided T-categories over monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras*, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 111701, 16 pp.

Shuangjian Guo
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Guizhou University of Finance and Economics
Guiyang, 550025, P.R. China
E-mail: shuangjguo@gmail.com

Shengxiang Wang (corresponding author)
School of Mathematics and Finance
Chuzhou University
Chuzhou 239000, P.R. China
E-mail: wangsx-math@163.com

