## Amenability properties of Figà-Talamanca–Herz algebras on inverse semigroups

by

HASAN POURMAHMOOD-AGHABABA (Tabriz and Tehran)

**Abstract.** This paper continues the joint work with A. R. Medghalchi (2012) and the author's recent work (2015). For an inverse semigroup S, it is shown that  $A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity if and only if  $l^1(S)$  is amenable (a generalization of Leptin's theorem) and that A(S), the Fourier algebra of S, is operator amenable if and only if  $l^1(S)$  is amenable (a generalization of Ruan's theorem).

**1. Introduction.** A discrete semigroup S is called an *inverse semigroup* if for each  $s \in S$  there is a unique element  $s^* \in S$  such that  $ss^*s = s$  and  $s^*ss^* = s^*$ . An element  $e \in S$  is called an *idempotent* if  $e^2 = e = e^*$ . The set of idempotents is denoted by E(S).

In [MP], we extended the Figà-Talamanca-Herz algebras  $A_p(G)$  introduced by Herz [Her] (on locally compact groups G) to algebras  $A_p(S)$  on inverse semigroups. Then we studied pseudomeasures and pseudofunctions on inverse semigroups in [Pou]. Here we continue this work, investigating some properties of  $A_p(S)$  such as amenability-like properties and existence of a bounded approximate identity. Indeed, after giving some preliminaries in Section 2, we obtain generalizations of Leptin's theorem and Ruan's theorem in Section 3. The Leptin theorem asserts that for a locally compact group G, the Figà-Talamanca-Herz algebra  $A_p(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity if and only if G is amenable; by Johnson's theorem, this is equivalent to the amenability of  $L^{1}(G)$ . Also, the celebrated theorem of Ruan states that the operator amenability of the Fourier algebra A(G) is equivalent to the amenability of G and hence to the amenability of the group algebra  $L^{1}(G)$ . We extend these two theorems to (discrete) inverse semigroups. Indeed, we show that  $A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity if and only if the semigroup algebra  $l^1(S)$  is amenable if and only if A(S) is operator amenable.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 43A15; Secondary 20M18.

Key words and phrases: Figà-Talamanca–Herz algebras, amenability, semigroup algebras. Received 14 March 2015; revised 3 April 2016.

Published online 5 May 2016.

The amenability of  $A_p(S)$  is also characterized in the sense of amenability of Figà-Talamanca–Herz algebras of maximal subgroups of S. Furthermore, we show that the character space of  $A_p(S)$  is equal to S (extension of [MP, Theorem 6.3]).

**2. Preliminaries.** Let S be an inverse semigroup and let  $l^1(S)$  denote its semigroup algebra. We also consider the restricted semigroup algebra  $l_r^1(S) = (l^1(S), \bullet, \sim)$ , defined in [AM]. We recall that for  $f \in l_r^1(S)$  the functions  $\check{f}$  and  $\check{f}$  are elements of  $l_r^1(S)$  defined by  $\check{f}(s) = f(s^*)$  and  $\tilde{f}(s) = f(s^*)$ . Also the action  $\bullet$  on  $l_r^1(S)$  is given by

$$\left(\sum_{s\in S}a_s\delta_s\right)\bullet\left(\sum_{t\in S}b_t\delta_t\right)=\sum_{s,t\in S,\,tt^*=s^*s}a_sb_t\delta_{st}\quad\left(\sum_{s\in S}a_s\delta_s,\sum_{t\in S}b_t\delta_t\in l_r^1(S)\right).$$

It is proved in [AM] that  $l_r^1(S)$  is a Banach \*-algebra with approximate identity.

The linear span of  $\{\delta_t : t \in S\}$  is denoted by F(S). In fact, F(S) consists of all finite support functions in  $l^1(S)$ . Also  $F(S)_+$  denotes the space of non-negative functions in F(S).

Let S be an inverse semigroup and let  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  be such that 1/p + 1/q = 1. The Figà-Talamanca-Herz algebra of S, introduced in [MP], is denoted by  $A_p(S)$ . It consists of those  $\mathbf{u} \in c_0(S)$  such that there are sequences  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq l^p(S)$  and  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq l^q(S)$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||f_n||_p ||g_n||_q < \infty$ and  $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \bullet \check{g}_n$ . The norm of  $\mathbf{u} \in A_p(S)$  is

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{A_p} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_q : \mathbf{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \bullet \check{g}_n \right\}.$$

By [MP, Theorem 3.6],  $(A_p(S), \|\cdot\|_{A_p})$  is a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication. The space  $A(S) := A_2(S)$  is called the *Fourier algebra* of S.

By [MP, Proposition 3.2], for each 1 , <math>F(S) is a dense subset of  $A_p(S)$ . For each  $D \subseteq S$  we define

$$\mathcal{A}_p(D) = \{ \mathbf{u} |_D : \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}_p(S) \},\$$

with the induced quotient norm, i.e.,

 $\|\mathbf{u}\|_{D}\|_{\mathbf{A}_{p}(D)} = \inf\{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{A}_{p}} : \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{A}_{p}(S), \, \mathbf{v}\|_{D} = \mathbf{u}\|_{D}\} \quad (\leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{A}_{p}}).$ 

In other words,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_D\|_{\mathcal{A}_p(D)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_q : \mathbf{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \bullet \check{g}_n \text{ on } D \right\}.$$

Clearly  $A_p(D)$  is a Banach algebra under pointwise multiplication.

We remark that for  $f \in l^q(S)$ ,  $g \in l^p(S)$  and  $u \in S$ , by [MP, (3.3) and (3.4)], we have

(2.1) 
$$f \bullet \check{g}(u) = \sum_{t \in S, \, tt^* = u^*u} f(ut)g(t) = \sum_{s \in S, \, ss^* = uu^*} f(s)\check{g}(s^*u)$$

For an inverse semigroup S, a linear functional  $\mathbf{m} \in l^{\infty}(S)^*$  is called an invariant mean if  $\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{m} \rangle = \|\mathbf{m}\| = 1$  and  $\mathbf{m}(l_s f) = \mathbf{m}(f)$  for all  $f \in l^{\infty}(S)$ and  $s \in S$ , where  $\mathbf{1}$  denotes the constant unit function on S and  $l_s f(t) = f(st)$  for all  $t \in S$ . The semigroup S is termed *amenable* if there exists an invariant mean on  $l^{\infty}(S)$ .

Let A be a Banach algebra and X a Banach A-bimodule. Then  $X^*$ , the Banach space dual of X, is also a Banach A-bimodule. A *derivation* from A into X is a bounded linear map satisfying

$$D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

For  $x \in X$  we denote by  $\operatorname{ad}_x$  the derivation  $\operatorname{ad}_x(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$  for all  $a \in A$ , which is called an *inner derivation*. We denote by  $\mathcal{Z}^1(A, X)$  the space of all derivations from A into X and by  $\mathcal{B}^1(A, X)$  the space of all inner derivations from A into X. The *first cohomology group* of A with coefficients in X, denoted by  $\mathcal{H}^1(A, X)$ , is the quotient space  $\mathcal{Z}^1(A, X)/\mathcal{B}^1(A, X)$ .

A Banach algebra A is amenable if  $\mathcal{H}^1(A, X^*) = \{0\}$  for every Banach A-bimodule X, and contractible if  $\mathcal{H}^1(A, X) = \{0\}$  for each Banach A-bimodule X.

For two Banach spaces X and Y we denote by  $X \otimes Y$  their projective tensor product and by  $\|\cdot\|_{\wedge}$  its projective norm. We let  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  be the space of all bounded operators on X. Throughout, we use " $\cong$ " to denote isometric isomorphism of Banach spaces, algebras, modules, etc. while " $\simeq$ " denotes isomorphism with equivalent norms, but not necessarily isometric.

**3.** Generalizations of Leptin's and Ruan's theorems. Let S be a semigroup. By [How, Chapter 2], there is an equivalence relation  $\mathcal{D}$  on S defined by  $s\mathcal{D}t$  if and only if there exists  $x \in S$  such that

$$Ss \cup \{s\} = Sx \cup \{x\} \quad \text{and} \quad tS \cup \{t\} = xS \cup \{x\}.$$

If S is an inverse semigroup, then by [How, Proposition 5.1.2(4)],  $s\mathcal{D}t$  if and only if there exists  $x \in S$  such that

(3.1) 
$$s^*s = xx^*$$
 and  $t^*t = x^*x$ .

For more details on the relation  $\mathcal{D}$  see [MP, Section 4].

Now let S be an inverse semigroup and D a  $\mathcal{D}$ -class of S. For each  $e, f \in E(D)$  we set

$$D_{e,f} = \{s \in D : ss^* = e, s^*s = f\}, \quad D_e = \bigcup_{f \in E(D)} D_{e,f} = \{s \in D : ss^* = e\}.$$

Our first aim is to show that the existence of a bounded approximate identity in  $A_p(S)$  implies finiteness of E(S). We show this via several lemmata. In the lemmata we assume that S is an inverse semigroup, D is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -class of S and  $e, e', f, f' \in E(D)$ .

LEMMA 3.1.  $l^p(D_{e,f}) \bullet l^q(D_{e',f'})^{\vee}$  is a subset of  $A_p(D_{e,e'})$  if f = f', and it is  $\{0\}$  otherwise.

*Proof.* Let  $h \in l^p(D_{e,f})$  and  $g \in l^q(D_{e',f'})$ . Then

$$h \bullet \check{g}(u) = \sum_{s \in S, \, ss^* = uu^*} h(s)\check{g}(s^*u) = \sum_{s \in D_{e,f}, \, u^*s \in D_{e',f'}, \, uu^* = e} h(s)g(u^*s).$$

Since

$$e' = (u^*s)(u^*s)^* = u^*eu = u^*(uu^*)u = u^*u,$$

and

$$f' = (u^*s)^*(u^*s) = s^*(uu^*)s = s^*es = s^*(ss^*)s = s^*s = f,$$

we have

$$h \bullet \check{g}(u) = \begin{cases} \sum_{s \in D_{e,f}, u^* s \in D_{e',f}} h(s)g(u^*s) & \text{if } u \in D_{e,e'} \text{ and } f = f', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $h \bullet \check{g} \in \mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e'})$  if f = f' and  $h \bullet \check{g} = 0$  otherwise.

LEMMA 3.2.  $A_p(D_{e,e'}) = l^p(D_e) \bullet l^q(D_{e'})^{\vee}$ , that is,

$$A_p(D_{e,e'}) = \Big\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \bullet \check{g}_n : f_n \in l^p(D_e), \, g_n \in l^q(D_{e'}), \, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\|_p \|g_n\|_q < \infty \Big\}.$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 3.1 we have

$$l^{p}(D_{e}) \bullet l^{q}(D_{e'})^{\vee} = \left(\bigoplus_{f \in E(D)} l^{p}(D_{e,f})\right) \bullet \left(\bigoplus_{f' \in E(D)} l^{q}(D_{e',f'})\right)^{\vee}$$
$$= \bigoplus_{f,f' \in E(D)} \left(l^{p}(D_{e,f}) \bullet l^{q}(D_{e',f'})^{\vee}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{p}(D_{e,e'}).$$

Now let  $u \in A_p(D_{e,e'})$  and  $\tilde{u} \in A_p(D)$  be such that  $\tilde{u}|_{D_{e,e'}} = u$ . Let  $\tilde{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \bullet \check{g}_n$ , where  $(h_n) \subseteq l^p(D)$ ,  $(g_n) \subseteq l^q(D)$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_n\|_p \|g_n\|_q < \infty$ . Since  $D = \bigcup_{e \in E(D)} D_e$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $h_n = \sum_{e \in E(D)} h_{n,e}$  and

$$= \sum_{e \in E(D)} g_{n,e}, \text{ where } h_{n,e} \in l^p(D_e) \text{ and } g_{n,e} \in l^q(D_e). \text{ Hence}$$
$$u_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \bullet \check{g}_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{e \in E(D)} h_{n,e}\right) \bullet \left(\sum_{e' \in E(D)} \check{g}_{n,e'}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{e,e' \in E(D)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,e} \bullet \check{g}_{n,e'}$$
$$\in \bigoplus_{e,e' \in E(D)} l^p(D_e) \bullet l^q(D_{e'})^{\vee} \subseteq \bigoplus_{e,e' \in E(D)} A_p(D_{e,e'}),$$

which means  $u = u_1|_{D_{e,e'}} \in l^p(D_e) \bullet l^q(D_{e'})^{\vee}$ .

LEMMA 3.3. For each  $u \in A_p(D_{e,e'})$  there is  $\tilde{u} \in A_p(D)$  such that  $\tilde{u} = u$ on  $D_{e,e'}$  and  $\tilde{u} = 0$  on  $D \setminus D_{e,e'}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_1 \in A_p(D)$  be as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. Since

$$u_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \bullet \check{g}_n = \sum_{e,e' \in E(D)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,e} \bullet \check{g}_{n,e'} \in \bigoplus_{e,e' \in E(D)} \mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e'}),$$

we obtain

 $g_n$ 

$$u = u_1|_{D_{e,e'}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,e} \bullet \check{g}_{n,e'} \in \mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e'}).$$

Let  $\tilde{h}_{n,e} \in l^p(D)$  and  $\tilde{g}_{n,e'} \in l^q(D)$  be extensions of  $h_{n,e}$  and  $g_{n,e'}$  to D, respectively, by assuming  $\tilde{h}_{n,e} = 0$  on  $D \setminus D_e$  and  $\tilde{g}_{n,e'} = 0$  on  $D \setminus D_{e'}$ . Now set  $\tilde{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_{n,e} \bullet \check{\tilde{g}}_{n,e'}$ .

LEMMA 3.4. If  $\tilde{u} \in A_p(D)$  is an extension of  $u \in A_p(D_{e,e'})$  with  $\tilde{u} = 0$ on  $D \setminus D_{e,e'}$ , then  $\|\tilde{u}\|_{A_p(D)} = \|u\|_{A_p(D_{e,e'})}$ .

*Proof.* If  $\tilde{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \bullet \check{g}_n$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_n\|_p \|g_n\|_q < \infty$ , then as in the proof of Lemma 3.3,

$$\tilde{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \bullet \check{g}_n = \sum_{e,e' \in E(D)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,e} \bullet \check{g}_{n,e'} \in \bigoplus_{e,e' \in E(D)} \mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e'}).$$

On the other hand, the condition  $\tilde{u}|_{D\setminus D_{e,e'}} = 0$  implies  $\tilde{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_{n,e} \bullet \check{\tilde{g}}_{n,e'}$ . Now using the inequality  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\tilde{h}_{n,e}\|_p \|\tilde{g}_{n,e'}\|_q \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_n\|_p \|g_n\|_q$  we have

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{A}_{p}(D)} &= \inf\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_{n}\|_{p} \|g_{n}\|_{q} : \tilde{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n} \bullet \check{g}_{n}\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\tilde{h}_{n,e}\|_{p} \|\tilde{g}_{n,e'}\|_{q} : \tilde{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_{n,e} \bullet \check{\tilde{g}}_{n,e'}\right\} \end{split}$$

H. Pourmahmood-Aghababa

$$= \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_{n,e}\|_p \|g_{n,e'}\|_q : u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,e} \bullet \check{g}_{n,e'} \right\}$$
$$= \|u\|_{\mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e'})}. \bullet$$

LEMMA 3.5. There is a norm decreasing epimorphism

$$\varphi : \mathcal{A}_p(D) \to l^1 \operatorname{-} \bigoplus_{e \in E(D)} \mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e}).$$

*Proof.* For each  $u \in A_p(D)$  define  $\varphi(u) = (u_{e,e})$ , where  $u_{e,e} = u|_{D_{e,e}}$ . Clearly  $\varphi$  is linear. We show that it is onto. Let

$$u = (u_e) \in l^1 - \bigoplus_{e \in E(D)} \mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e}).$$

Using Lemma 3.4, let  $\tilde{u}_e \in A_p(D)$  be an extension of  $u_e$  on D with  $\tilde{u}_e = 0$ on  $D \setminus D_{e,e}$  and  $\|\tilde{u}_e\|_{A_p(D)} = \|u_e\|_{A_p(D_{e,e})}$ . Set  $\tilde{u} = \sum_{e \in E(D)} \tilde{u}_e$ . Since  $A_p(D)$ is a Banach space and

$$\sum_{e \in E(D)} \|\tilde{u}_e\|_{\mathcal{A}_p(D)} = \sum_{e \in E(D)} \|u_e\|_{\mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e})} = \|u\| < \infty,$$

the series  $\sum_{e \in E(D)} \tilde{u}_e$  converges in  $A_p(D)$ , that is,  $\tilde{u} \in A_p(D)$ . Now it is clear that  $\varphi(\tilde{u}) = u$ .

In order to see that  $\varphi$  is norm decreasing, let  $u \in A_p(D)$ . For  $e, e' \in E(D)$ let  $u_{e,e'} = u|_{D_{e,e'}}$ . If  $\tilde{u}_{e,e'} \in A_p(D)$  is an extension of  $u_{e,e'}$  with  $\tilde{u}_{e,e'} = 0$  on  $D \setminus D_{e,e'}$ , then clearly  $u = \sum_{e,e' \in E(D)} \tilde{u}_{e,e'}$ . Now let  $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \bullet \check{g}_n$ , where  $(h_n) \subseteq l^p(D), (g_n) \subseteq l^q(D)$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_n\|_p \|g_n\|_q < \infty$ . Then by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \bullet \check{g}_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{e,e',f \in E(D)} h_{n,e,f} \bullet \check{g}_{n,e',f}$$
$$= \sum_{e,e' \in E(D)} \left( \sum_{f \in E(D)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,e,f} \bullet \check{g}_{n,e',f} \right) \in \bigoplus_{e,e' \in E(D)} \mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e'}),$$

and

$$u_{e,e'} = \sum_{f \in E(D)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,e,f} \bullet \check{g}_{n,e',f},$$

where  $h_n = \sum_{e,f \in E(D)} h_{n,e,f}$ ,  $g_n = \sum_{e,f \in E(D)} g_{n,e,f}$ ,  $h_{n,e,f} \in l^p(D_{e,f})$  and  $g_{n,e,f} \in l^q(D_{e,f})$ . So  $u_{e,e} = \sum_{f \in E(D)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n,e,f} \bullet \check{g}_{n,e,f}$  and by Hölder's

inequality,

$$\sum_{e \in E(D)} \|u_{e,e}\|_{\mathcal{A}_{p}(D_{e,e})} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{e,f \in E(D)} \|h_{n,e,f}\|_{p} \|g_{n,e,f}\|_{q}$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{e,f \in E(D)} \|h_{n,e,f}\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{e,f \in E(D)} \|g_{n,e,f}\|_{q}^{q}\right)^{1/q}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|h_{n}\|_{p} \|g_{n}\|_{q},$$

which implies

$$\|\varphi(u)\| = \sum_{e \in E(D)} \|u_{e,e}\|_{\mathcal{A}_p(D_{e,e})} \le \|u\|_{\mathcal{A}_p(D)}.$$

LEMMA 3.6. If  $A_p(D)$  has a bounded approximate identity, then E(D) is finite.

*Proof.* If  $A_p(D)$  has a bounded approximate identity, by Lemma 3.5 so does  $l^1 - \bigoplus_{e \in E(D)} A_p(D_{e,e})$ . Now it follows from [MP, Lemma 6.4] that E(D) must be finite.

THEOREM 3.7. Let S be an inverse semigroup and 1 . Then <math>E(S) is finite provided that  $A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity.

*Proof.* Let  $\{D_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be the family of  $\mathcal{D}$ -classes of S indexed by some set  $\Lambda$ . Then by [MP, equation (4.2)],

$$\mathcal{A}_p(S) \cong l^1 - \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{A}_p(D_\lambda),$$

where the right hand side is a commutative Banach algebra with componentwise product. It follows from [MP, Lemma 6.4] that  $\Lambda$  is finite and each  $A_p(D_{\lambda})$  has a bounded approximate identity. Now by Lemma 3.6,  $E(S) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E(D_{\lambda})$  is finite.

Let G be a group with identity e, and let I be a non-empty set. Then the Brandt inverse semigroup corresponding to G and I, denoted by  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, I)$ , is the collection of all  $I \times I$  matrices  $(g)_{ij}$  with  $g \in G$  in the (i, j) entry and zero elsewhere and the  $I \times I$  zero matrix 0. Multiplication in  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, I)$  is given by the formula

$$(g)_{ij}(h)_{kl} = \begin{cases} (gh)_{il} & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases} \quad (g,h \in G, \, i,j,k,l \in I),$$

and  $(g)_{ij}^* = (g^{-1})_{ji}$  and  $0^* = 0$ . The set of all idempotents is

$$E(\mathcal{M}^0(G, I)) = \{(e)_{ii} : i \in I\} \cup \{0\}.$$

The element  $(e)_{ij}$  will be denoted by  $E_{ij}$ . If I has finitely many elements, say |I| = n,  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n)$  will be used instead of  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, I)$ . We remark that  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, I) \setminus \{0\}$  is a  $\mathcal{D}$ -class in  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, I)$ .

Let S be a semigroup. A *principal series* of ideals for S is a chain

$$(3.2) S = S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_m \supseteq S_{m+1} = \emptyset,$$

where  $S_1, \ldots, S_m$  are ideals in S and there is no ideal of S strictly between  $S_j$  and  $S_{j+1}$  for every  $1 \le j \le m$ .

Later on we will use the following lemma [DN, p. 315].

LEMMA 3.8. Let S be an inverse semigroup with finitely many idempotent elements. Then S has a principal series as in (3.2). Moreover, for every k = 1, ..., m there is a natural number  $n_k$  and a group  $G_k$  such that  $S_k/S_{k+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k)$ . Also, the maximal subgroups of S (up to isomorphism) are precisely  $G_k$  for k = 1, ..., m.

THEOREM 3.9. Let  $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, I)$  be a Brandt inverse semigroup. Then

- (i)  $A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity if and only if I is finite and G is amenable.
- (ii)  $A_p(S)$  is amenable if and only if I is finite and  $A_p(G)$  is amenable.

*Proof.* (i) If  $A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity, then in view of Theorem 3.7, I is finite. If we set  $G_{ij} = GE_{ij}$  for each  $i, j \in I$ , then  $\{G_{ij} : i, j \in I\} \cup \{0\}$  is a partition of S. Since the operation in  $A_p(S)$  is pointwise, by the open mapping theorem,

$$\mathcal{A}_p(S) \simeq \left( l^1 - \bigoplus_{i,j \in I} \mathcal{A}_p(G_{ij}) \right) \oplus_1 \mathbb{C} \delta_0,$$

and also  $A_p(G_{ij}) = A_p(G)$ . By [MP, Lemma 6.4],  $A_p(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity, and thus, by Leptin's theorem, G is amenable.

Conversely, if I is finite and G is amenable, then by Leptin's theorem  $A_p(G_{ij}) = A_p(G)$  has a bounded approximate identity, and so does  $A_p(S) \simeq (l^1 - \bigoplus_{i,j \in I} A_p(G_{ij})) \oplus_1 \mathbb{C}\delta_0$ .

(ii) Since every amenable Banach algebra has a bounded approximate identity, this is a direct consequence of part (i) and the fact that  $A_p(S) \simeq (l^1 - \bigoplus_{i,j \in I} A_p(G_{ij})) \oplus_1 \mathbb{C}\delta_0$ .

Let A be a Banach algebra with a bounded approximate identity  $(e_{\alpha})$ , and let I be a closed ideal of A. Since  $||a + I||_{A/I} \leq ||a||$  for each  $a \in A$ ,  $(e_{\alpha} + I)$  becomes a bounded approximate identity for A/I.

LEMMA 3.10. Let  $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, I)$  be a Brandt inverse semigroup. Then  $A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity if and only if  $A_p(S)/\mathbb{C}\delta_0$  has a bounded approximate identity.

Proof. Since S is a Brandt semigroup, it has two  $\mathcal{D}$ -classes,  $S \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\{0\}$ . Therefore, by [MP, equation (4.2)], we have  $A_p(S) = A_p(S \setminus \{0\}) \oplus_1 \mathbb{C}\delta_0$ . Hence, if  $A_p(S)/\mathbb{C}\delta_0$  admits a bounded approximate identity, then so does  $A_p(S \setminus \{0\}) \cong A_p(S)/\mathbb{C}\delta_0$ . Denote by  $(e_\alpha)$  the bounded approximate identity for  $A_p(S \setminus \{0\})$ . Then it can be easily checked that  $(e_\alpha + \delta_0)$  is a bounded approximate identity for  $A_p(S)$ .

The converse is clear as we mentioned before the lemma.  $\blacksquare$ 

In the following theorem we characterize the existence of a bounded approximate identity in  $A_p(S)$ .

THEOREM 3.11. Let S be an inverse semigroup and  $1 . Then <math>A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity if and only if E(S) is finite and each maximal subgroup of S is amenable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity. Then, by Theorem 3.7, E(S) is finite. Now by Lemma 3.8, S has a principal series

$$(3.3) S = S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_m \supseteq S_{m+1} = \emptyset$$

such that for each k = 1, ..., m, we have  $S_k/S_{k+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k)$  for some group  $G_k$  and  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(e_\alpha)$  be a bounded approximate identity for  $A_p(S)$ . Since multiplication in  $A_p(S)$  is pointwise,  $(e_\alpha|_{S_k})$  forms a bounded approximate identity for  $A_p(S_k)$  for k = 1, ..., m. As  $S_k/S_{k+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k)$  has exactly two  $\mathcal{D}$ -classes,  $S_k \setminus S_{k+1} = \mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\{0\}$ , by [MP, equation (4.2)] we have  $A_p(S_k) \cong A_p(S_{k+1}) \oplus_1 A_p(S_k \setminus S_{k+1})$ . Therefore,

(3.4) 
$$A_p(S_k)/A_p(S_{k+1}) \cong A_p(S_k \setminus S_{k+1}) \cong A_p(S_k/S_{k+1})/\mathbb{C}\delta_0$$
$$\cong A_p(\mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k))/\mathbb{C}\delta_0.$$

This means that  $A_p(S_k)/A_p(S_{k+1})$  (and so, by Lemma 3.10,  $A_p(\mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k))$ ) has a bounded approximate identity for each  $k = 1, \ldots, m$ . Now it follows from the above discussion and Theorem 3.9 that each  $G_k$  is amenable.

Conversely, if E(S) is finite, then S has a principal series as in (3.3). Since  $G_k$  is amenable, by Theorem 3.9,  $A_p(\mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k))$  has a bounded approximate identity for each k. Therefore,  $A_p(S_k \setminus S_{k+1}) \cong A_p(\mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k))/\mathbb{C}\delta_0$  has a bounded approximate identity. Now using the isomorphisms  $A_p(S_k) \cong A_p(S_{k+1}) \oplus A_p(S_k \setminus S_{k+1})$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, m$ , we obtain

(3.5) 
$$A_p(S) \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^m A_p(S_k \setminus S_{k+1}).$$

which shows that  $A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity.

Using the above theorem and [Pat, Theorem A.0.3], we get one of the main results of this paper:

COROLLARY 3.12 (A generalization of Leptin's theorem). Let S be an inverse semigroup and  $1 . Then <math>A_p(S)$  has a bounded approximate identity if and only if  $l^1(S)$  is amenable.

Another application of Theorem 3.11 gives a characterization of amenability of  $A_p(S)$  which was left open in [MP].

THEOREM 3.13. Let S be an inverse semigroup and  $1 . Then <math>A_p(S)$  is amenable if and only if E(S) is finite and  $A_p(G)$  is amenable for each maximal subgroup G of S.

*Proof.* If  $A_p(S)$  is amenable, then by Theorem 3.7, E(S) is finite. Thus S has a principal series as in (3.3), and hence by (3.4) and (3.5),

(3.6) 
$$A_p(S) \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^m A_p(\mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k)) / \mathbb{C}\delta_0.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.9 and [Run, Proposition 2.3.1 and Theorem 2.3.10] that  $A_p(G_k)$  is amenable for each k.

Conversely, consider the principal series (3.3). According to Theorem 3.9, for each k, amenability of  $A_p(G_k)$  implies amenability of  $A_p(\mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k))$ , hence of  $A_p(\mathcal{M}^0(G_k, n_k))/\mathbb{C}\delta_0$ . Now the amenability of  $A_p(S)$  follows from the isomorphism (3.6).

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the bicyclic semigroup with generators p, q. Then  $E(\mathcal{C}) = \{p^n q^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Therefore,  $A_p(\mathcal{C})$  has no bounded approximate identity and consequently is not amenable.

We remark that if E(S) is finite and each maximal subgroup of S is almost abelian, then  $A_p(S)$  is amenable (see [LNR, Remark 2]). For p = 2we have a better characterization:

COROLLARY 3.14. Let S be an inverse semigroup. Then A(S) is amenable if and only if E(S) is finite and each maximal subgroup of S is almost abelian.

*Proof.* This is immediate by Theorem 3.13 and [FR, Theorem 2.3].

As in [MP], we define the restricted left regular representation  $\pi: S \to \mathcal{B}(l^2(S))$  by

$$\pi(s)(\delta_t) = \begin{cases} \delta_{st} & \text{if } tt^* = s^*s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As usual, setting  $\pi(\delta_s) = \pi(s)$  extends  $\pi$  to a representation of the Banach algebra  $l_r^1(S)$ , called the *left regular representation*. Therefore,  $\pi : l_r^1(S) \to \mathcal{B}(l^2(S))$  is defined by  $\pi(f)(g) = f \bullet g$ .

As in [MP, Definition 2.6], the ultra-weak closure of  $\pi(l_r^1(S))$  in  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(S))$ is denoted by VN(S). Using [Mur, Theorem 4.2.4], one can easily see that VN(S) is a weakly closed \*-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(S))$  containing the identity operator, hence a von Neumann algebra by [Mur, Theorem 4.2.5]. We call VN(S) the von Neumann algebra of S. By [MP, Theorem 3.7], VN(S) is the dual of A(S) and the duality is given by

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, T \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_n, T(g_n) \rangle \quad \left( \mathbf{u} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \bullet \check{g}_n \in \mathcal{A}(S), \ T \in \mathcal{VN}(S) \right).$$

Since  $A(S)^* = VN(S)$  is a von Neumann algebra, A(S) has a canonical operator space structure and so we can discuss its operator amenability. We refer the reader to [ER] for a complete account of the theory of operator spaces.

THEOREM 3.15 (A generalization of Ruan's theorem). Let S be an inverse semigroup. Then A(S) is operator amenable if and only if  $l^1(S)$  is amenable.

*Proof.* If A(S) is operator amenable, then it has a bounded approximate identity [ER, Proposition 16.1.1], and so by Theorem 3.11, E(S) is finite and each maximal subgroup of S is amenable. Therefore,  $l^1(S)$  is amenable by [Pat, Theorem A.0.3].

Conversely, let  $l^1(S)$  be amenable. Then, again by [Pat, Theorem A.0.3], E(S) is finite and each maximal subgroup of S is amenable. Consider the principal series (3.3) for S. Using Ruan's theorem [ER, Theorem 16.2.1], we see that  $A(G_k)$  is operator amenable for each k. Now the operator space version of Theorem 3.9(ii) together with (3.6) gives the operator amenability of A(S). Note that (3.6) is a complete isometric isomorphism for p = 2.

In the rest of this section we calculate the character space of  $A_p(S)$ .

For each  $s \in S$ , define the evaluation functional  $\varphi_s : A_p(S) \to \mathbb{C}, \varphi_s(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}(s)$ . It is easily seen that  $\varphi_s$  is a character of  $A_p(S)$  (i.e. a multiplicative bounded linear functional on  $A_p(S)$ ). In the following theorem we show that these are the only characters of  $A_p(S)$ , which can also be considered as a generalization of [MP, Theorem 6.3].

THEOREM 3.16. Let S be an inverse semigroup and  $1 . Then <math>\Phi_{A_p(S)} = S$ , where  $\Phi_{A_p(S)}$  denotes the space of all non-zero characters of  $A_p(S)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_{A_p(S)}$ . Then there is  $0 \neq \mathbf{u} \in A_p(S)$  such that  $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathbf{u} = \sum_{s \in S} \mathbf{u}(s)\delta_s$ , there is  $s \in S$  with  $\varphi(\delta_s) \neq 0$ . Take an arbitrary element  $t \in S$  with  $t \neq s$ . Since  $\delta_t \delta_s = 0$ , we have  $\varphi(\delta_t)\varphi(\delta_s) = \varphi(\delta_t \delta_s) = 0$ , which implies  $\varphi(\delta_t) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\varphi = \varphi_s$ .

This theorem shows that the Gelfand transform  $\mathcal{G} : A_p(S) \to c_0(S)$  is the inclusion map, and so we have:

COROLLARY 3.17.  $A_p(S)$  is a semisimple Banach algebra.

Singer and Wermer [SW] have shown that  $\mathcal{H}^1(A, A) = 0$  for any commutative semisimple Banach algebra A. This yields

COROLLARY 3.18. Let S be an inverse semigroup and  $1 . Then <math>\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{A}_p(S), \mathcal{A}_p(S)) = 0.$ 

Acknowledgements. This research was supported in part by a grant from IPM (No. 92470041). The author would like to thank the referee for his/her useful comments, and specially for pointing out an error in the first draft and for suggesting the proof of Lemma 3.10.

## References

- [AM] M. Amini and A. Medghalchi, Restricted algebras on inverse semigroups I. Representation theory, Math. Nachr. 279 (2006), 1739–1748.
- [DN] J. Duncan and I. Namioka, Amenability of inverse semigroups and their semigroup algebras, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburg Sec. A 80 (1978), 309–321.
- [ER] E. G. Effros and Z. J. Ruan, *Operator Spaces*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2000.
- [FR] B. E. Forrest and V. Runde, Amenability and weak amenability of the Fourier algebra, Math. Z. 250, (2005), 731–744.
- [Her] C. Herz, The theory of p-spaces with applications to convolution operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1971), 69–82.
- [How] J. M. Howie, Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory, London Math. Soc. Monogr. 12, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [LNR] A. Lambert, M. Neufang and V. Runde, Operator space structure and amenability for Figà-Talamanca-Herz algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 211 (2004), 245–269.
- [MP] A. R. Medghalchi and H. Pourmahmood-Aghababa, Figà-Talamanca-Herz algebras for restricted inverse semigroups and Clifford semigroups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 395 (2012), 473–485.
- [Mur] G. J. Murphy, C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras and Operator Theory, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1990.
- [Pat] A. T. Paterson, Groupoids, Inverse Semigroups, and their Operator Algebras, Progr. Math. 170, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.
- [Pou] H. Pourmahmood-Aghababa, Pseudomeasures and pseudofunctions on inverse semigroups, Semigroup Forum 90 (2015), 632–647.
- [Run] V. Runde, Lectures on Amenability, Lecture Notes in Math. 1774, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [SW] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, Derivations on commutative normed algebras, Math. Ann. 129 (1955), 260–264.

Hasan Pourmahmood-Aghababa

Department of Mathematics, University of Tabriz

Tabriz, Iran

and

- School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)
- P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran
- E-mail: h\_p\_aghababa@tabrizu.ac.ir
  - h\_pourmahmood@yahoo.com