

On the subset sums of exponential type sequences

by

YONG-GAO CHEN (Nanjing), JIN-HUI FANG (Nanjing)
and NORBERT HEGYVÁRI (Budapest)

1. Introduction. Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of all positive integers. For a sequence $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $P(A)$ be the set of all sums of distinct terms taken from A . Here $0 \in P(A)$. The sequence A is said to be *complete* if $P(A)$ contains all sufficiently large integers. The sequence A is said to be *subcomplete* if $P(A)$ contains an infinite arithmetic progression. The simplest example for a complete sequence is the powers of two: $S_2 = \{2^n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$, where $P(S_2)$ contains all nonnegative integers; furthermore, the sequence $S_p = \{p^n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ ($p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p > 1$) is complete if and only if $p = 2$. In 1959 Birch [1] confirmed the following conjecture of Erdős: the sequence $S_{p,q} = \{p^n q^m : n, m = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is complete, where p and q are coprime integers greater than 1.

Cassels [3] proved a theorem more general than Birch's.

THEOREM (Cassels, 1960). *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and assume that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(2n) - A(n)}{\log \log n} = \infty,$$

and for every $0 < \theta < 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|a_i \theta\|^2 = \infty$. Then the sequence A is complete.

Later H. Davenport remarked that there is a stronger version of Erdős' conjecture: there should be a positive integer $K = K(p, q)$ for which the sequence

$$S_{p,q}(K) = \{p^n q^m : n = 0, 1, \dots; 0 \leq m \leq K\}$$

will be complete.

In [7] it was proved that

$$K(p, q) \leq 2p^{2c^{2^2q^{4p+3}}},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B13; Secondary 11A07.

Key words and phrases: Birch's theorem, complete sequence, exponential type sequence.

Received 15 April 2015; revised 20 December 2015 and 11 March 2016.

Published online 11 May 2016.

where $c = 1152 \log_2 p \log_2 q$, and this result was improved in [5], [4] to

$$K(p, q) \leq c^{2^q 2^{p+3}},$$

where p and q are coprime integers greater than 1. For related results, see [2], [8] and [9].

The Fibonacci sequence is defined by $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$ and $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ for $n > 1$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_0, F_1, \dots\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_k = \{F_k, F_{k+1}, \dots\}$. For any sequence $\{G_i\}$ and two integers $n \geq k$, define $\mathcal{G}_k(n) = \{G_i\}_{i=k}^n$. For (finite or infinite) sequences $A = \{a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots\}$ and $B = \{b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots\}$, define the sequence $AB = \{a_i b_j : i = 1, 2, \dots; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the completeness of $S_p A$, where $p > 1$ is an integer.

If $A_{p-1} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}\}$ with $a_i = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq p-1$), then $P(S_p A_{p-1}) = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ since every nonnegative integer has a p -ary expansion. It is known that almost all numbers, when expressed in any scale, contain every possible digit (see [6, Theorem 143]). It follows that, if $t \leq p-2$ and $A_t = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$ with $a_i = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$), then $P(S_p A_t)$ has asymptotic density zero. What about $S_p A$ for general A ? In this paper, we solve this problem.

It is not too hard to see that the sequence $S_p \mathcal{F}_1(n)$ is complete provided $n \gg \log p$ (more precisely, when $F_n > p$). So it is reasonable to ask the following question: fixing the integer $k > 1$, what is the minimum of $n = n(k)$ for which the sequence $S_p \mathcal{F}_k(n)$ is complete or subcomplete or has positive lower asymptotic density?

The following results are proved.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $p > 1$ and $t \geq 1$ be two integers.*

- (i) *If $t \geq p-1$, then, for any sequence $A_t = \{a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_t\}$ of positive integers (not necessarily distinct), $P(S_p A_t)$ has positive lower asymptotic density not less than $1/a_{p-1}$. Furthermore, the lower bound $1/a_{p-1}$ is the best possible.*
- (ii) *If $2^t < p$, then, for any sequence $A_t = \{a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_t\}$ of positive integers (not necessarily distinct), $P(S_p A_t)$ has asymptotic density zero.*
- (iii) *If $t < p-1$ and $2^t \geq p$, then there exist two sequences A and B of positive integers with length t such that $P(S_p A)$ has positive lower asymptotic density and $P(S_p B)$ has asymptotic density zero.*

THEOREM 1.2. *For any integers $p > 1$ and $k \geq 1$, $S_p \mathcal{F}_k(n)$ is complete, where $n = p^2 F_{k+2p-1}^2$.*

For $p = 3$ we obtain the following result for general sequences $\{G_i\}$.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i\}$ be a sequence of integers with $1 \leq G_i < \eta^i$ for some $1 < \eta < 2$ and all i . Then, for any given positive integer $k \geq 1$,*

there is an integer n with $k \leq n \leq ck$ such that the sequence $S_3\mathcal{G}_k(n)$ is subcomplete, where $c = c(\eta)$ is a positive constant depending only on η .

Motivated by Theorem 1.3, we pose the following problem:

PROBLEM 1.4. Let $p > 1$ be an integer and $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i\}$ be a sequence of integers, where $1 \leq G_i < \eta^i$ for some $1 < \eta < 2$ and all i . Given an integer k , is there an integer $n > k$ such that $S_p\mathcal{G}_k(n)$ is subcomplete?

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $B = \{b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots\}$ be a sequence of positive integers. Assume that there exists an integer n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$, $b_n \leq b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} + b_{n_0}$. Then $P(B)$ has bounded gaps, i.e. if $P(B) = \{x_1 < x_2 < \dots\}$, then $x_{k+1} - x_k \leq b_{n_0}$ for every k .

Proof. We will prove the following stronger proposition: For any positive integer m with $m \leq b_1 + \dots + b_n$, there exists an integer $x \in P(\{b_1, \dots, b_n\})$ with $0 < m - x \leq b_{n_0}$.

For $1 \leq m \leq b_1$, the proposition is true for $x = 0 \in P(\{b_1\})$. Now we assume that $m > b_1$, $b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} < m \leq b_1 + \dots + b_n$ and the proposition is true for all positive integers less than m . If $n \leq n_0$ or $m \leq b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} + b_{n_0}$, then the proposition is true for $x = b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} \in P(\{b_1, \dots, b_n\})$. Now we assume that $n > n_0$ and $b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} + b_{n_0} < m \leq b_1 + \dots + b_n$. Then

$$0 \leq b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} + b_{n_0} - b_n < m - b_n \leq b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, there exists $x \in P(\{b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\})$ such that $0 < (m - b_n) - x \leq b_{n_0}$. This implies that $0 < m - (b_n + x) \leq b_{n_0}$ and $b_n + x \in P(\{b_1, \dots, b_n\})$. This completes the proof of the proposition. ■

Now we use Lemma 2.1 to prove Theorem 1.1(i).

Assume that $t \geq p - 1$ and

$$S_p A_t = \{0 = b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots\}.$$

Our task is to find an integer n_0 such that $b_n \leq b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} + b_{n_0}$ for every $n \geq n_0$.

Since $1 \in S_p$, it follows that $a_{p-1} \in S_p A_t$. Let n_0 be such that $b_{n_0} = a_{p-1}$. We now show that the n_0 is as required.

Let $n \geq n_0$. For any integer $1 \leq l \leq p - 1$, let T_l be the integer such that

$$p^{T_l} < b_n/a_l \leq p^{T_l+1}.$$

Then, for each $1 \leq l \leq p - 1$, the sum of the integers $p^i a_l$ which are less than b_n is

$$a_l(1 + p + \dots + p^{T_l}) = \frac{a_l p^{T_l+1} - a_l}{p - 1} \geq \frac{b_n - a_l}{p - 1}.$$

Hence

$$b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} \geq \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} a_l(1 + p + \dots + p^{Tl}) \geq b_n - \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} a_l \geq b_n - a_{p-1}.$$

So $b_n \leq b_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} + b_{n_0}$.

Let $P(S_p A_t) = \{x_1 < x_2 < \dots\}$. By Lemma 2.1 we have $x_{k+1} - x_k \leq b_{n_0} = a_{p-1}$. Hence the lower asymptotic density of $P(S_p A_t)$ is not less than $1/a_{p-1}$.

If $a_1 = \dots = a_{p-1} = p$, then $S_p A_{p-1}$ consists of all p^i ($i \geq 1$), where every p^i repeats exactly $p - 1$ times. Thus $P(S_p A_{p-1})$ consists of all nonnegative integers which are divisible by p . Hence the asymptotic density of $P(S_p A_{p-1})$ is $1/p = 1/a_{p-1}$. So the bound in Theorem 1.1(i) is the best possible.

Now we prove Theorem 1.1(ii). Assume that $2^t < p$. Let X be a sufficiently large integer.

Suppose that $x \in P(S_p A_t)$. Then

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^T \sum_{j=1}^t \varepsilon_{i,j} a_j p^i, \quad \varepsilon_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Hence the number of integers in $P(S_p A_t)$ which are less than X is at most

$$(2^t)^{\log_p X+1} \leq (p-1)^{\log_p X+1} = (p-1)X^{\log_p(p-1)} = o(X).$$

Therefore, $P(S_p A_t)$ has asymptotic density zero.

Finally we prove Theorem 1.1(iii). Assume that $t < p - 1$ and $2^t \geq p$. Let

$$A = \{1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^{t-1}\},$$

and

$$B = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}, \quad a_i = 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq t).$$

Since $P(A) = \{0, 1, \dots, 2^t - 1\}$ and $p - 1 \leq 2^t - 1$, it follows that

$$\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\} \subseteq P(A).$$

Thus $P(S_p A) = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and then $P(S_p A)$ has asymptotic density one. It is mentioned in the introduction that $P(S_p B)$ has asymptotic density zero. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

3.1. On $P(\mathcal{F}_k)$. In this subsection we establish an interesting fact on the subset sums of \mathcal{F}_k , unnoticed in the literature.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Then the sequence*

$$P(\mathcal{F}_k) = \{b_1 < b_2 < \dots\}$$

has bounded gaps. In fact, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$b_{n+1} - b_n \in \{F_{k-1}, F_k\}.$$

First, we recall a lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *If*

$$n = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_t}, \quad i_1 < \cdots < i_t,$$

then there are integers $i_1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_s$ with $j_{u+1} - j_u \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq u \leq s - 1$ such that

$$n = F_{j_1} + \cdots + F_{j_s}.$$

This lemma is also known as Zeckendorf's theorem (see [10]). For the sake of completeness we include a short proof.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. If $i_{u+1} - i_u \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq u \leq t - 1$, then we are done. Now we assume that there is an integer v such that $i_{v+1} - i_v = 1$. Let v_0 be the largest integer with $i_{v_0+1} - i_{v_0} = 1$. Then $i_{v_0+2} - i_{v_0+1} \geq 2$ (if i_{v_0+2} exists). That is, $i_{v_0+2} \geq i_{v_0} + 3$. Thus

$$n = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_{v_0-1}} + F_{i_{v_0+2}} + F_{i_{v_0+2}} + \cdots + F_{i_t}.$$

Now, by induction on t , we obtain the desired conclusion. ■

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that*

$$m = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_s}, \quad i_1 > \cdots > i_s \geq 1$$

and

$$n = F_{j_1} + \cdots + F_{j_t}, \quad j_1 > \cdots > j_t \geq 1.$$

If $m > n$, then $m - n \geq F_{\min\{i_s, j_{t-1}\}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we may assume that $i_{u-1} - i_u \geq 2$ ($2 \leq u \leq s$) and $j_{v-1} - j_v \geq 2$ ($2 \leq v \leq t$).

If $s \leq t$ and $i_u = j_u$ ($u = 1, \dots, s$), then $m \leq n$, a contradiction.

If $s > t$ and $i_u = j_u$ ($u = 1, \dots, t$), then $m - n \geq F_{i_s} \geq F_{\min\{i_s, j_{t-1}\}}$.

Now we assume that there exists $1 \leq u \leq \min\{s, t\}$ with $i_u \neq j_u$. Let w be the least integer with $i_w \neq j_w$. Then $1 \leq w \leq \min\{s, t\}$ and $i_u = j_u$ ($1 \leq u < w$).

If $i_w < j_w$, then

$$\begin{aligned} n - m &\geq F_{j_w} - (F_{i_w} + \cdots + F_{i_s}) \\ &\geq F_{i_w+1} - (F_{i_w} + \cdots + F_{i_s}) \\ &= F_{i_w-1} - (F_{i_w+1} + \cdots + F_{i_s}) \\ &\geq F_{i_w+1+1} - (F_{i_w+1} + \cdots + F_{i_s}) \\ &\geq \cdots \\ &\geq F_{i_s+1} - F_{i_s} = F_{i_s-1} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to $m > n$.

Similarly, if $i_w > j_w$, then $m - n \geq F_{j_{t-1}} \geq F_{\min\{i_s, j_{t-1}\}}$. ■

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $b_n, b_{n+1} \in P(\mathcal{F}_k)$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$b_{n+1} = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_s}, \quad i_1 > \cdots > i_s \geq k,$$

and

$$b_n = F_{j_1} + \cdots + F_{j_t}, \quad j_1 > \cdots > j_t \geq k,$$

where $i_{u-1} - i_u \geq 2$ ($2 \leq u \leq s$) and $j_{v-1} - j_v \geq 2$ ($2 \leq v \leq t$).

If $j_t > k$, then $b_n + F_k \in P(\mathcal{F}_k)$. So $b_{n+1} \leq b_n + F_k$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $b_{n+1} - b_n \geq F_{\min\{i_s, j_t-1\}} \geq F_k$. Hence $b_{n+1} - b_n = F_k$.

If $j_t = k$, then $j_{t-1} \geq k+2$. Thus $b_n + F_{k-1} = b_n - F_k + F_{k+1} \in P(\mathcal{F}_k)$. So $b_{n+1} \leq b_n + F_{k-1}$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $b_{n+1} - b_n \geq F_{\min\{i_s, j_t-1\}} = F_{k-1}$. Hence $b_{n+1} - b_n = F_{k-1}$. ■

3.2. On the completeness of $S_p\mathcal{F}_k(n)$ —proof of Theorem 1.2. In this section we prove Theorem 1.2: for any integers $p > 1$ and $k \geq 1$, there exists an integer $n \leq p^2 F_{k+2p-1}^2$ such that the sequence $S_p\mathcal{F}_k(n)$ is complete.

First, we recall a lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. *We have*

$$P(\{F_0, F_1, \dots\}) = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

This lemma is well-known. It is also a special case of Proposition 3.1.

LEMMA 3.5. *For any positive integers m, r with $r > 2m$, there are integers*

$$r - 2m + 2 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_t$$

such that

$$mF_r = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_t}.$$

Proof. We use induction on m . It is clear that the assertion is true for $m = 1$. Suppose that it is true for m . Now we consider $(m + 1)F_r$ with $r > 2m + 2$. Since $r > 2m + 2 > 2m$, it follows from the inductive hypothesis that there are integers $r - 2m + 2 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_t$ such that

$$mF_r = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_t}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we may assume that $i_{u+1} - i_u \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq u \leq t - 1$.

If $r \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$, then by rearranging r, i_1, \dots, i_t as $j_1 < \cdots < j_{t+1}$, we have

$$j_1 \geq r - 2m + 2 > r - 2(m + 1) + 2$$

and

$$(m + 1)F_r = F_{j_1} + \cdots + F_{j_{t+1}}.$$

Now we assume that $r \in \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$ and say $i_v = r$.

If $i_{u+1} - i_u = 2$ for all $u \leq v - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_v} + F_r &= F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_{v-1}} + F_{i_{v-2}} + F_{i_{v+1}} \\ &= F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_{v-2}} + F_{i_{v-1}-2} + F_{i_{v-1}+1} + F_{i_{v+1}} \\ &= \cdots \\ &= F_{i_1-2} + F_{i_1+1} + \cdots + F_{i_{v+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i_{u+1} - i_u > 2$ for some $u \leq v - 1$, we assume that u is the largest such integer. Similarly, we have

$$F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_v} + F_r = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_u} + F_{i_{u+1}-2} + F_{i_{u+1}+1} + \cdots + F_{i_{v+1}}.$$

Hence

$$(m + 1)F_r = F_{j_1} + \cdots + F_{j_{t+1}}$$

and $j_{t+1} > \cdots > j_1 \geq i_1 - 2 \geq r - 2(m + 1) + 2$. ■

The next lemma is also known; we include a proof for completeness.

LEMMA 3.6. *For any positive integer d , the sequence $\{F_r\}$ is purely periodic modulo d with period length at most d^2 .*

Proof. We consider $(F_r, F_{r+1}) \pmod{d}$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots$). There are positive integers m, T with $m + T \leq d^2 + 1$ such that

$$(F_m, F_{m+1}) \equiv (F_{m+T}, F_{m+T+1}) \pmod{d}.$$

Since

$$F_{r-1} = F_{r+1} - F_r, \quad F_{r+2} = F_{r+1} + F_r,$$

it follows that

$$(F_r, F_{r+1}) \equiv (F_{r+T}, F_{r+T+1}) \pmod{d}, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots$$

So $1 \leq T \leq d^2$ and $F_r \equiv F_{r+T} \pmod{d}$ for all $r \geq 0$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $r = k + 2p - 2$. Let u be the integer with $F_u \leq pF_r < F_{u+1}$. By Lemma 3.5, for any $1 \leq m \leq p - 1 < r/2$, there are integers $k + 2 \leq r - 2m + 2 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_t$ such that

$$mF_r = F_{i_1} + \cdots + F_{i_t}.$$

Since $F_{i_t} \leq mF_r \leq pF_r < F_{u+1}$, it follows that $i_t \leq u$. Thus

$$(3.1) \quad \{0, F_r, \dots, (p - 1)F_r\} \subseteq P(\mathcal{F}_k(u)).$$

Let $d = pF_r$. Let s be any integer with

$$s > F_k + \cdots + F_{k+d^2+u}.$$

Suppose that $s \equiv s_1 \pmod{d}$ with $0 \leq s_1 \leq d - 1$. By Lemma 3.4, there

exist $0 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_\ell$ such that

$$s_1 = F_{j_1} + \dots + F_{j_\ell}.$$

Then $F_{j_\ell} \leq s_1 < d = pF_r < F_{u+1}$. So $j_\ell \leq u$. By Lemma 3.6, the sequence $\{F_r\}$ is purely periodic modulo d with period length $T \leq d^2$. Suppose that v is the integer with $vT \leq d^2 < (v+1)T$. Then

$$vT = \frac{v}{v+1}(v+1)T \geq \frac{1}{2}(v+1)T > \frac{1}{2}d^2 = \frac{1}{2}p^2F_r^2 > F_r \geq F_{k+2} > k,$$

$$s \equiv s_1 = F_{j_1} + \dots + F_{j_\ell} \equiv F_{vT+j_1} + \dots + F_{vT+j_\ell} \pmod{d}$$

and

$$vT + j_\ell \leq d^2 + u.$$

Let $n = d^2 + u$. Hence there is $s_2 \in P(\mathcal{F}_k(n))$ such that $s \equiv s_2 \pmod{d}$. Let $s = s_2 + dL = s_2 + pF_rL$. Since

$$s_2 \leq F_k + \dots + F_n < F_k + \dots + F_{k+d^2+u} < s,$$

it follows that L is a positive integer. Let its p -ary expansion be

$$L = \ell_0 + \ell_1p + \dots + \ell_wp^w, \quad 0 \leq \ell_i \leq p-1 \quad (0 \leq i \leq w).$$

Then

$$s = s_2 + dL = s_2 + pF_rL = s_2 + (\ell_0F_r)p + \dots + (\ell_wF_r)p^{w+1}.$$

It follows from (3.1) and $s_2 \in P(\mathcal{F}_k(n))$ that $s \in P(S_p\mathcal{F}_k(n))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} n = d^2 + u &= p^2F_r^2 + u \leq p^2F_r^2 + pF_r \\ &= p^2F_{k+2p-2}^2 + pF_{k+2p-2} \\ &\leq p^2(F_{k+2p-1} - 1)^2 + pF_{k+2p-2} \leq p^2F_{k+2p-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $S_p\mathcal{F}_k(n)$ is complete for an integer $k \leq n \leq p^2F_{k+2p-1}^2$, it follows that $S_p\mathcal{F}_k(m)$ is complete for $m = p^2F_{k+2p-1}^2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. ■

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. In the following, $\log_2 x$ is the logarithm of x to base 2.

In the notation of Theorem 1.3, we choose

$$(4.1) \quad n = \left\lfloor \frac{k - \log_2(\eta - 1)}{1 - \log_2 \eta} \right\rfloor.$$

Then from $1 < \eta < 2$ we have

$$k \leq n \leq \frac{k - \log_2(\eta - 1)}{1 - \log_2 \eta} \leq \frac{k - k \log_2(\eta - 1)}{1 - \log_2 \eta} = c(\eta)k,$$

where

$$c(\eta) = \frac{1 - \log_2(\eta - 1)}{1 - \log_2 \eta}.$$

It follows from (4.1) that

$$n > \frac{k - \log_2(\eta - 1)}{1 - \log_2 \eta} - 1.$$

Therefore

$$2^{n-k+1} > \frac{\eta^{n+1}}{\eta - 1} > 1 + \eta + \eta^2 + \dots + \eta^n.$$

Noting that $\eta^i > G_i$ for all i , we have

$$2^{n-k+1} - 1 > \eta + \eta^2 + \dots + \eta^n \geq G_k + G_{k+1} + \dots + G_n.$$

Hence there exists $d' > 0$ that has two different representations,

$$d' = \sum_{k \leq i \leq n} \varepsilon_i G_i = \sum_{k \leq i \leq n} \varepsilon'_i G_i,$$

where $\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon'_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \leq i \leq m$) and $\varepsilon_i \neq \varepsilon'_i$ for at least one i . Deleting the identical terms in these two sums, we obtain

$$\sum_{k \leq i \leq n} \eta_i G_i = \sum_{k \leq i \leq n} \eta'_i G_i,$$

where $\eta_i, \eta'_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\eta_i \eta'_i = 0$ ($k \leq i \leq n$). We write this number as d . Then

$$d = \sum_{k \leq i \leq n} \eta_i G_i = \sum_{k \leq i \leq n} \eta'_i G_i.$$

Hence

$$d, 2d \in P(\mathcal{G}_k(n)).$$

For any positive integer N , let its 3-ary expansion be

$$N = \sum \alpha_r 3^r, \quad \alpha_r \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$$

Then

$$dN = \sum \alpha_r d 3^r \in P(S_3 \mathcal{G}_k(n)).$$

Therefore, $d\mathbb{N} \subseteq P(S_3 \mathcal{G}_k(n))$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgments. The first draft of this work was prepared in the beginning of 2015 when the second author visited Alfred Renyi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences. She is grateful to the Institute for their hospitality and financial support. The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11371195, and PAPD. The second author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11201237. The third author is supported by OTKA grants K-81658 and K-100291.

References

- [1] B. J. Birch, *Note on a problem of Erdős*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 55 (1959), 370–373.
- [2] S. A. Burr, P. Erdős, R. L. Graham and W. W.-C. Li, *Complete sequences of sets of integer powers*, Acta Arith. 77 (1996), 133–138.
- [3] J. W. Cassels, *On the representation of integers as the sums of distinct summands taken from a fixed set*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 21 (1960), 111–124.
- [4] Y.-G. Chen and J.-H. Fang, *Remark on the completeness of an exponential type sequence*, Acta Math. Hungar. 136 (2012), 189–195.
- [5] J.-H. Fang, *A note on the completeness of an exponential type sequence*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B. 32 (2011), 527–532.
- [6] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1979.
- [7] N. Hegyvári, *On the completeness of an exponential type sequence*, Acta Math. Hungar. 86 (2000), 127–135.
- [8] N. Hegyvári, *Complete sequences in \mathbb{N}^2* , Eur. J. Combin. 17 (1996), 741–749.
- [9] U. Zannier, *On a theorem of Birch concerning sums of distinct integers taken from certain sequences*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 106 (1989), 199–206.
- [10] E. Zeckendorf, *Représentation des nombres naturels par une somme de nombres de Fibonacci ou de nombres de Lucas*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 41 (1972), 179–182.

Yong-Gao Chen

School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics
Nanjing Normal University
Nanjing 210023, P.R. China
E-mail: ygchen@njnu.edu.cn

Jin-Hui Fang

Department of Mathematics
Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology
Nanjing 210044, P.R. China
E-mail: fangjinhui1114@163.com

Norbert Hegyvári

ELTE TTK
Eötvös University
Institute of Mathematics
Pázmány St. 1/c
H-1117 Budapest, Hungary
E-mail: hegyvari@elte.hu