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1. Introduction. The idea of infrastructure of real quadratic fields of Shanks [11] was modified and extended by Lenstra [5], Schoof [9] and Buchmann and Williams [2] to certain number fields. Finally, it was generalized to arbitrary number fields by Buchmann [1]. In 2008, Schoof [10] gave the first description of infrastructure in terms of reduced Arakelov divisors and the Arakelov class group $\mathrm{Pic}_{F}^{0}$ of a general number field $F$. Reduced Arakelov divisors can be used for computing $\mathrm{Pic}_{F}^{0}$. They form a finite and regularly distributed set in this topological group [10, Proposition 7.2, Theorems 7.4 and 7.7]. Computing $\mathrm{Pic}_{F}^{0}$ is of interest because knowing this group is equivalent to knowing the class group and the unit group of $F$ (see [6] and [10]).

Schoof proposed two algorithms which run in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$ with $\Delta_{F}$ the discriminant of $F$ [10, Algorithm 10.3]: the testing algorithm to check whether a given Arakelov divisor $D$ is reduced, and the reduction algorithm to compute a reduced Arakelov divisor that is close to a given divisor $D$ in $\operatorname{Pic}_{F}^{0}$. However, the reduction algorithm requires finding a shortest vector of the lattice associated to the Arakelov divisor, while finding a reasonably short vector using the LLL algorithm is much faster and easier than finding a shortest vector. This leads to modifications and generalizations of the definition of reduced Arakelov divisors.

One of the generalizations, which we call $C$-reduced Arakelov divisors, comes from the reduction algorithm of Schoof [10, Algorithm 10.3]. With this definition, $C$-reduced Arakelov divisors are reduced in the usual sense when $C=1$, and Arakelov divisors that are reduced in the usual sense are $C$-reduced with $C=\sqrt{n}$ (see [10]). The $C$-reduced divisors still form a finite and regularly distributed set in $\mathrm{Pic}_{F}^{0}$, just like the reduced divisors.

[^0]This modification, however, has a drawback, since for general number fields it is not known how to test whether a given divisor is $C$-reduced. Currently, we have a testing algorithm to do this only for real quadratic fields, in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$. It is the main result of this paper, presented in Section 4.

In Section 2, we discuss $C$-reduced Arakelov divisors in an arbitrary number field. Section 3 is devoted to the properties of $C$-reduced fractional ideals of real quadratic fields. An example of a real cubic field in which the testing algorithm is no longer efficient is given in Section 5.
2. $C$-reduced Arakelov divisors. In this section, we introduce $C$ reduced Arakelov divisors of number fields.

Let $F$ be a number field of degree $n$, and $r_{1}, r_{2}$ the numbers of real and complex infinite primes (or infinite places) of $F$, respectively. Let

$$
F_{\mathbb{R}}:=F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \prod_{\sigma \text { real }} \mathbb{R} \times \prod_{\sigma \text { complex }} \mathbb{C}
$$

Here $\sigma$ 's are the infinite primes of $F$. Then $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an étale $\mathbb{R}$-algebra with the canonical Euclidean structure given by the scalar product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle:=\operatorname{Tr}(u \bar{v}) \quad \text { for } u=\left(u_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma}, v=\left(v_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma} \in F_{\mathbb{R}} .
$$

In particular, in terms of coordinates, we have

$$
\|u\|^{2}=\operatorname{Tr}(u \bar{u})=\sum_{\sigma \text { real }}\left|u_{\sigma}\right|^{2}+2 \sum_{\sigma \text { complex }}\left|u_{\sigma}\right|^{2} \quad \text { for any } u=\left(u_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma} \in F_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

The norm of an element $u=\left(u_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma}$ of $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined by

$$
N(u):=\prod_{\sigma \text { real }} u_{\sigma} \cdot \prod_{\sigma \text { complex }}\left|u_{\sigma}\right|^{2}
$$

Definition 2.1. An Arakelov divisor is a formal finite sum

$$
D=\sum_{\mathbf{p}} n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}+\sum_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} \sigma
$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ runs over the nonzero prime ideals in $O_{F}$ and $\sigma$ runs over the infinite primes of $F$, with $n_{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ but $x_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}$.

To each divisor $D$ we associate the Hermitian line bundle $(I, u)$ where $I=\prod_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^{-n_{\mathbf{p}}}$ is a fractional ideal in $F$ and $u=\left(e^{-x_{\sigma}}\right)_{\sigma}$ is a vector in $\prod_{\sigma} \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset F_{\mathbb{R}}$.

There is a natural way to associate an ideal lattice to $D$. Indeed, $I$ is embedded into $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ by the infinite primes $\sigma$. Each element $g$ of $I$ is mapped to the vector $(\sigma(g))_{\sigma}$ in $F_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since $u g:=\left(u_{\sigma} \sigma(g)\right)_{\sigma} \in F_{\mathbb{R}}$, we can define

$$
\|g\|_{D}:=\|u g\|
$$

In terms of coordinates, we have

$$
\|g\|_{D}^{2}=\sum_{\sigma \text { real }} u_{\sigma}^{2}|\sigma(g)|^{2}+2 \sum_{\sigma \text { complex }}\left|u_{\sigma}\right|^{2}|\sigma(g)|^{2}
$$

With this metric, $I$ becomes an ideal lattice in $F_{\mathbb{R}}$. We call $I$ the ideal lattice associated to $D$. The vector $u$ has the role of a metric for $I$. So we make the following definition.

Definition 2.2. Let $I$ be a fractional ideal in $F$ and let $u$ be in $F_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}$. The length of an element $g$ of $I$ with respect to the metric $u$ is defined by $\|g\|_{u}:=\|u g\|$.

Definition 2.3. Let $I$ be a fractional ideal. Then 1 is called primitive in $I$ if 1 belongs to $I$ and is not divisible by any integer $\geq 2$.

Definition 2.4. Let $C \geq 1$. A fractional ideal $I$ is called $C$-reduced if:

- 1 is primitive in $I$.
- There exists a metric $u \in \prod_{\sigma} \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\|1\|_{u} \leq C\|g\|_{u}$ for all $g \in I \backslash\{0\}$.

REMARK 2.5. The second condition of Definition 2.4 is equivalent to saying that there exists a metric $u$ such that with respect to this metric, the vector 1 scaled by $C$ is a shortest vector in the lattice $I$.

Definition 2.6. Let $I$ be a fractional ideal in $F$. The Arakelov divisor $d(I)$ is defined to be associated with the Hermitian line bundle $(I, u)$ where $u=\left(u_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma}$ with $u_{\sigma}=N(I)^{-1 / n}$ for all $\sigma$.

Definition 2.7. An Arakelov divisor $D$ is called $C$-reduced if it has the form $d(I)$ for some $C$-reduced fractional ideal $I$.

Now we prove the following lemma.
Lemma 2.8. Let I be a fractional ideal. If I is C-reduced then the inverse $I^{-1}$ of $I$ is an integral ideal and its norm is at most $C^{n} \partial_{F}$ where $\partial_{F}=$ $(2 / \pi)^{r_{2}} \sqrt{\left|\Delta_{F}\right|}$.

Proof. Since $1 \in I$, we have $I^{-1} \subset O_{F}$. Then $L=N(I)^{-1 / n} I$ is a lattice of covolume $\sqrt{\left|\Delta_{F}\right|}$ [10, Section 4]. Consider the symmetric, convex and bounded subset of $F_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$
S=\left\{\left(x_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma}:\left|x_{\sigma}\right|<\partial_{F}^{1 / n} \text { for all } \sigma\right\}
$$

For real $\sigma$, the segment $\left|x_{\sigma}\right|<\partial_{F}^{1 / n}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ has length $2 \partial_{F}^{1 / n}$. For complex $\sigma$, the disc $\left|x_{\sigma}\right|<\partial_{F}^{1 / n}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ has area $2 \pi\left(\partial_{F}^{1 / n}\right)^{2}$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{vol}(S)=\left(2 \partial_{F}^{1 / n}\right)^{r_{1}} \cdot\left(2 \pi\left(\partial_{F}^{1 / n}\right)^{2}\right)^{r_{2}}=2^{r_{1}}(2 \pi)^{r_{2}} \partial_{F}=2^{n} \operatorname{covol}(L)
$$

By Minkowski's theorem, there is a nonzero element $f \in I$ such that

$$
N(I)^{-1 / n}|\sigma(f)| \leq \partial_{F}^{1 / n} \quad \text { for all } \sigma
$$

Since $I$ is $C$-reduced, there exists a metric $u$ such that $\|1\|_{u} \leq C\|f\|_{u}$. This implies that $\|u\| \leq C\|u\| \max _{\sigma}|\sigma(f)| \leq C\|u\| \partial_{F}^{1 / n} N(I)^{1 / n}$. So, we have $N\left(I^{-1}\right) \leq C^{n} \partial_{F}$.

REmARK 2.9. In this paper, given a fractional ideal $I$, we assume that it is represented by a matrix with rational entries as in [7, Section 4] and [6, Section 2]. Without loss of generality, we can also assume that the length of the input is polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$.

By Lemma 2.8, to test whether $I$ is $C$-reduced, first we can check that $N(I)^{-1} \leq C^{n} \partial_{F}$. We have the following.

Lemma 2.10. Testing $N(I)^{-1} \leq C^{n} \partial_{F}$ can be done in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$.

Proof. Let $M$ be the matrix representation of $I$. Since we know that $N(I)^{-1}=\sqrt{\left|\Delta_{F}\right|} / \operatorname{covol}(I)$, it is sufficient to check that

$$
|\operatorname{det}(M)|=\operatorname{covol}(I)>(\pi / 2)^{r_{2}} C^{n} .
$$

Recall that the determinant of the matrix $M$ can be computed in time polynomial [8, Section 1]. This and Remark 2.9 imply that testing $N(I)^{-1} \leq$ $C^{n} \partial_{F}$ can be done in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$.

Regarding the primitiveness of 1 in $I$, we have the result below.
Lemma 2.11. Let $C \geq 1$ and let $I$ be a fractional ideal containing 1 with $N(I)^{-1} \leq C^{n} \partial_{F}$. Then testing whether or not 1 is primitive can be done in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$.

Proof. Let $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right\}$ be an LLL-reduced $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $O_{F}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ be an LLL-reduced $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $I^{-1}$. Since $1 \in I$, we get $I^{-1} \subset O_{F}$ and so $b_{i} \in O_{F}$ for all $i$. Then for each $i=1, \ldots, n$, there exist integers $k_{i j}$ with $j=1, \ldots, n$ for which $b_{i}=\sum_{i} k_{i j} c_{j}$. Thus, there is an integer $d$ such that $1 / d \in I$ if and only if $I^{-1} \subset d O_{F}$. This is equivalent to $d \mid k_{i j}$ for all $i, j$. In other words, $d \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(k_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right)$. In conclusion, 1 is primitive in $I$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right)=1$.

Since $N(I)^{-1} \leq C^{n} \partial_{F}$, an LLL-reduced $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $I$, the coefficients $k_{i j}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right)$ can be computed in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$. In other words, testing the primitiveness of 1 can be done in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$.

By Lemma 2.11, we know how to test the first condition of Definition 2.4 . From now on, we only consider the second condition.

REmARK 2.12. Note that if $u \in \prod_{\sigma} \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfies the second condition of Definition 2.4, then $u^{\prime}=\left(u_{\sigma} / N(u)^{1 / n}\right)_{\sigma} \in \prod_{\sigma} \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ still satisfies that condition and $N\left(u^{\prime}\right)=1$. Therefore, we can always assume that $N(u)=1$ from now on.

Proposition 2.13. Let $I$ be a fractional ideal and $u$ be a vector satisfying the second condition of Definition 2.4 with $N(u)=1$. Then

$$
\|u\| \leq C \sqrt{n}(2 / \pi)^{r_{2} / n} \operatorname{covol}(I)^{1 / n}
$$

Proof. Let $L=u I:=\left\{u f=\left(u_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma(f)\right)_{\sigma}: f \in I\right\} \subset F_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $L$ is a lattice with metric inherited from $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see [10]). Since $N(u)=1$, the lattice $L$ has covolume equal to covol $(I)$. Consider the symmetric, convex and bounded subset $S$ of $F_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$
S=\left\{\left(x_{\sigma}\right):\left|x_{\sigma}\right|<(2 / \pi)^{r_{2} / n} \operatorname{covol}(I)^{1 / n} \text { for all } \sigma\right\}
$$

We have

$$
\operatorname{vol}(S)=2^{r_{1}}(2 \pi)^{r_{2}}(2 / \pi)^{r_{2}} \operatorname{covol}(I)=2^{n} \operatorname{covol}(L)
$$

By Minkowski's theorem, there is a nonzero element $f \in I$ such that

$$
u_{\sigma}|\sigma(f)| \leq(2 / \pi)^{r_{2} / n} \operatorname{covol}(I)^{1 / n} \quad \text { for all } \sigma
$$

So

$$
\|u f\| \leq \sqrt{n}(2 / \pi)^{r_{2} / n} \operatorname{covol}(I)^{1 / n}
$$

Because $u$ satisfies the second condition of Definition 2.4. we have $\|u\| \leq$ $C\|u f\|$. So, the proposition is proved.
3. $C$-reduced Arakelov divisors of real quadratic fields. In this part, fix $C \geq 1$ and a real quadratic field $F$ with discriminant $\Delta_{F}$. We will describe what $C$-reduced ideals look like, and we will investigate their properties.

Here and in the rest of the paper, we often identify an element $g$ of a fractional ideal with its image $(\sigma(g))_{\sigma} \in F_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus, elements of fractional ideals of real quadratic fields have the form $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in F_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

REMARK 3.1. Let $F$ be an imaginary quadratic field and let $I$ be a fractional ideal of $F$. Then $g \in I$ can be identified with its image $g \in F_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{C}$. The second condition of Definition 2.4 is equivalent to: there exists $u \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $|u| \leq C|u g|$ for all $g \in I \backslash\{0\}$. Since $u$ is a positive real number, this is equivalent to $1 / C \leq|g|$ for all $g \in I \backslash\{0\}$. In other words, the shortest vectors of $I$ have length at least $1 / C$. In addition, the first vector
in an LLL reduced basis of $I$ is also its shortest vector; finding this vector can also be done in polynomial time. This together with Lemma 2.11]shows that whether a given ideal of an imaginary quadratic field is $C$-reduced can be tested easily and in polynomial time. Therefore, in this section, we only consider $C$-reduced ideals of real quadratic fields.

### 3.1. A geometrical view on reduced ideals in real quadratic

 cases. We have $F_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Let $I$ be a fractional ideal of $F$ and $S_{1}$ be the square centered at the origin of $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ which has a vertex $(1 / C, 1 / C)$. We have the following result.Proposition 3.2. The second condition in Definition 2.4 can be restated as follows. There exists an ellipse $E_{4}$, centered at the origin and passing through the vertices of $S_{1}$, whose interior does not contain any nonzero points of the lattice I.

Proof. This is easy to see by writing down the condition $\|u\| \leq C\|u f\|$ in terms of the coordinates of $u$ and $f$.

Proposition 3.3. If I has some nonzero element in the square $S_{1}$ then the ellipse $E_{4}$ described in Proposition 3.2 does not exist. On the other hand, $E_{4}$ exists when the shortest vectors of I have length at least $\sqrt{2} / C$.

Proof. For the first case, we assume that there is a nonzero element $g$ of $I$ in the square $S_{1}$. Since $S_{1}$ is inside $E_{4}$, so is $g$ (see Figure 1). In the


Fig. 1. The shortest vectors of $I$ are inside the square $S_{1}$.


Fig. 2. The shortest vectors of $I$ are outside the circle $E_{1}$.
second case, we can take for $E_{4}$ the circle $E_{1}$ centered at the origin and of radius $\sqrt{2} / C$. Because the shortest vectors of $I$ are outside $E_{1}$, all the nonzero elements of $I$ are outside $E_{4}$ (see Figure 2).

Remark 3.4. Proposition 3.3 does not show whether the ellipse $E_{4}$ exists or not in case the shortest vectors of $I$ are inside the circle $E_{1}$, and $I$
has no nonzero element in the square $S_{1}$ (see Figure 3 ). We will discuss this case in the next sections.


Fig. 3. The shortest vectors of $I$ are inside $E_{1}$ and $I$ has no nonzero element in $S_{1}$.
3.2. Some properties of $C$-reduced ideals in real quadratic fields. In this section, as mentioned in Remark 3.4, we assume that $I$ satisfies

$$
(\star)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bullet 1 \text { is primitive in } I . \\
\bullet I \text { has no nonzero element in the square } \\
\quad S_{1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|x_{1}\right| \leq 1 / C \text { and }\left|x_{2}\right| \leq 1 / C\right. \\
\\
\left.\bullet \text { and } x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}<2 / C^{2}\right\} \\
\bullet \text { Each shortest vector } f \text { of } I \text { has length } 1 / C<\|f\|<\sqrt{2} / C .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, by Remark 2.12, we can assume that the vector $u$ in Definition 2.4 has the form $u=\left(\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\right)^{2} \subset F_{\mathbb{R}}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Let $\left\{b_{1}=\left(b_{1,1}, b_{1,2}\right), b_{2}=\left(b_{2,1}, b_{2,2}\right)\right\}$ be an LLL-basis of $I$. Then $\left\|b_{1}\right\|=$ $\|f\|<\sqrt{2} / C$. We denote by $\left\{b_{1}^{*}, b_{2}^{*}\right\}$ the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization of the basis $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$.

Let

$$
G=\left\{g \in I:\left(g_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{C^{2}}\right)\left(g_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{C^{2}}\right)<0 \text { and }\|g\|<\frac{4}{\pi} C \operatorname{covol}(I)\right\}
$$

We also set

$$
G_{1}=\left\{g \in G: g_{1}^{2}-1 / C^{2}<0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad G_{2}=\left\{g \in G: g_{2}^{2}-1 / C^{2}<0\right\}
$$

So, we get $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$. For each $g \in G$, we define

$$
B(g):=\left(-\frac{C^{2} g_{1}^{2}-1}{C^{2} g_{2}^{2}-1}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

Then denote

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{\min } & = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{C}} & \text { if } G_{1}=\emptyset \\
\max \left\{B(g): g \in G_{1}\right\} & \text { if } G_{1} \neq \emptyset\end{cases}  \tag{3.1}\\
B_{\max } & = \begin{cases}2 \sqrt{C} & \text { if } G_{2}=\emptyset \\
\min \left\{B(g): g \in G_{2}\right\} & \text { if } G_{2} \neq \emptyset\end{cases} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $G^{\prime}=\left\{g \in G: B(g)=B_{\max }\right.$ or $\left.B(g)=B_{\min }\right\}$. Then because of assumption $(\star)$, the vector $b_{1}$ is in $G$. Thus, $G^{\prime}$ is nonempty.

The most important result in this paper is the following proposition.
Proposition 3.5. The ideal $I$ is $C$-reduced if and only if $B_{\min } \leq B_{\max }$.
We prove this proposition after proving some results below. First, we establish a property of the ellipses $E_{4}$ described in Section 3.1.

Proposition 3.6. Assume that $E_{4}: X_{1}^{2} / a_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2} / a_{2}^{2}=1$ with $a_{1}>0$ and $a_{2}>0$ is an ellipse satisfying the conclusion of Proposition 3.2. In other words, $E_{4}$ has its center at the origin, passes through the vertices of $S_{1}$ and its interior contains no nonzero points of the lattice I. Then:
(i) The coefficients $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are bounded by $(4 / \pi) C \operatorname{covol}(I)$.
(ii) $E_{4}$ is inside the circle $E_{5}$ of radius $(4 / \pi) C \operatorname{covol}(I)$ centered at the origin.


Fig. 4. The circle $E_{5}$ and an ellipse $E_{4}$

Proof. Since $E_{4}$ passes through the vertex $(1 / C, 1 / C)$ of $S_{1}$, its coefficients satisfy $a_{1}>1 / C$ and $a_{2}>1 / C$. We also know that $\operatorname{vol}\left(E_{4}\right)=\pi a_{1} a_{2}$.

Hence

$$
a_{1}=\frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(E_{4}\right)}{\pi a_{2}}<\frac{1}{\pi} C \operatorname{vol}\left(E_{4}\right)
$$

In addition, the ellipse $E_{4}$ is a symmetric, convex and bounded set whose interior contains no nonzero points of the lattice $I$, hence it must have volume less than $2^{2} \operatorname{covol}(I)$ by Minkowski's theorem. Therefore

$$
a_{1}<\frac{4}{\pi} C \operatorname{covol}(I)
$$

By symmetry, we also have this bound for $a_{2}$. Therefore, the first statement of the proposition is obtained. The second one follows from the first.

We have another equivalent condition to Definition 2.4:
Proposition 3.7. The second condition of Definition 2.4 is equivalent to the following: there exists a metric $u \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\right)^{2}$ such that for all $g \in G$, we have $\|1\|_{u} \leq C\|g\|_{u}$.

Proof. Let $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ be a nonzero element of $I$. If $\|g\| \geq(4 / \pi) C \operatorname{covol}(I)$ then $g$ is outside the circle $E_{5}$. By Proposition 3.6, $g$ is also outside any ellipse $E_{4}$ (see Figure 4). Using this and the equivalent condition of Proposition 3.2, we obtain: a vector $u$ satisfies Definition 2.4 if and only if $\|u\| \leq C\|u g\|$ for all $g \in I \backslash\{0\}$ with $\|g\|<(4 / \pi) C \operatorname{covol}(I)$.

On the other hand, if $\left|g_{1}\right| \geq 1 / C$ and $\left|g_{2}\right| \geq 1 / C$, then $\|u\| \leq C\|u g\|$ for any $u \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\right)^{2}$. Therefore, it is sufficient to consider the elements $g$ such that $\left|g_{1}\right|<1 / C$ or $\left|g_{2}\right|<1 / C$ to show the existence of $u$.

Moreover, $I$ contains no nonzero elements of $S_{1}$, so $g \notin\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right.$ : $\left|x_{1}\right| \leq 1 / C$ and $\left|x_{2}\right| \leq 1 / C$ and $\left.x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}<2 / C^{2}\right\}$.

Combining these conditions, we obtain the conclusion.
The ideal $I$ with properties $(\star)$ mentioned at the beginning of this section has bounded covolume. Explicitly:

Proposition 3.8. The covolume of $I$ is bounded by $2 / C$.
Proof. Since 1 is in $I$, there exist integers $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ such that $1=$ $m_{1} b_{1}+m_{2} b_{2}$. If $m_{2}=0$ then $1=m_{1} b_{1}$ so $1 / m_{1}=b_{1} \in I$. Because 1 is primitive in $I$, we must have $m_{1}= \pm 1$. So $\left\|b_{1}\right\|=\|1\|=\sqrt{2} \geq \sqrt{2} / C$ for any $C \geq 1$. This contradicts the fact that the length of the shortest vectors of $I$ is strictly less than $\sqrt{2} / C$. So $m_{2} \neq 0$.

We have $\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\left|m_{2}\right|}\|1\| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{covol}(I)=\left\|b_{1}\right\|\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\|<\frac{\sqrt{2}}{C} \times \sqrt{2}=\frac{2}{C}
$$

By this proposition and Proposition 3.6, we obtain:

Corollary 3.9. The coefficients $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ and the radius of the circle $E_{5}$ in Proposition 3.6 are bounded by $8 / \pi$. In addition, the set $G$ is contained in the finite set $\left\{g \in I:\left(g_{1}^{2}-1 / C^{2}\right)\left(g_{2}^{2}-1 / C^{2}\right)<0\right.$ and $\left.\|g\|<8 / \pi\right\}$.

For a real quadratic field, Proposition 2.13 can be restated as follows:
Proposition 3.10. Assume that $u=\left(\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\right)^{2}$ satisfies the second condition of Definition 2.4. Then $\|u\| \leq 2 \sqrt{C}$, and so

$$
\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{C}}<\alpha<2 \sqrt{C}
$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.13, $\|u\| \leq C \sqrt{2} \operatorname{covol}(I)^{1 / 2}$. By Proposition 3.8, $\operatorname{covol}(I)<2 / C$, so $\|u\| \leq 2 \sqrt{ } C$. Since $\alpha^{-1}<\|u\|$ and $\alpha<\|u\|$, the conclusion follows.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Let $u=\left(\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\right)^{2}$. Then from $\|1\|_{u} \leq$ $C\|g\|_{u}$, we get

$$
\alpha^{4}\left(C^{2} g_{2}^{2}-1\right) \geq-\left(C^{2} g_{1}^{2}-1\right)
$$

Thus $\alpha \geq B(g)$ if $g \in G_{1}$, and $\alpha \leq B(g)$ if $g \in G_{2}$. As 1 is primitive in $I$, by Proposition 3.7 the ideal $I$ is $C$-reduced if and only if it satisfies the following equivalent conditions:
there exists $u \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\right)^{2}$ such that $\|1\|_{u} \leq C\|g\|_{u}$ for all $g \in G$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Leftrightarrow \text { there exist } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \text { such that } \begin{cases}\alpha \geq B(g) & \text { for all } g \in G_{1} \\
\alpha \leq B(g) & \text { for all } g \in G_{2}\end{cases} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \text { there exists } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \text { such that }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \geq B_{\min } \\
\alpha \leq B_{\max }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Leftrightarrow B_{\max } \geq B_{\min } .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equivalence comes from Proposition 3.10 and the definition of $B_{\text {min }}$ and $B_{\text {max }}$.

Propositions 3.5 and 3.7 motivate further investigation of properties of the sets $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. We first establish a special property of the elements in $G$.

Proposition 3.11. If $g=s_{1} b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2} \in G$ then $\left|s_{2}\right| \leq 1$.
Proof. Let $g=s_{1} b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2}$ in $G$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.8, we get $\left\|b_{1}\right\|<\sqrt{2} / C$ and $\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\| \leq \sqrt{2}$. By the properties of LLL-reduced bases, $\left\|b_{2}\right\| \leq \sqrt{2}\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\| \leq 2$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{4 C \operatorname{covol}(I)}{\pi}=\frac{4 C\left\|b_{1}\right\|\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\|}{\pi}<\frac{4 \sqrt{2}\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\|}{\pi}
$$

Now let $g^{*}$ be a vector of length equal to the distance from $g$ to the 1 dimensional vector space $\mathbb{R} b_{1}$, i.e., $\left\|g^{*}\right\|=d\left(g, \mathbb{R} b_{1}\right)=\left|s_{2}\right|\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\|$. If $\left|s_{2}\right| \geq 2$,
then we would have the following contradiction:

$$
\|g\| \geq d\left(g, \mathbb{R} b_{1}\right)=\left\|g^{*}\right\| \geq 2\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\|>\frac{4 \sqrt{2}\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\|}{\pi}>\frac{4}{\pi} C \operatorname{covol}(I) .
$$

Therefore, $\left|s_{2}\right| \leq 1$.
In the next proposition, we prove that the cardinality of $G$ is bounded by a number that depends only on $C$ but not on $I$ or the number field $F$.

Lemma 3.12. The number of vectors in $G$ (up to sign) is less than $17 C+3$.

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Then $g=s_{1} b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2}$ for some integers $s_{1}, s_{2}$. We have $\left\|b_{1}\right\| \geq 1 / C$ and $\|g\|<8 / \pi$ (by Corollary 3.9). This implies that

$$
\left|s_{1}\right| \leq \sqrt{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\|g\|}{\left\|b_{1}\right\|}<\frac{12 \sqrt{2} C}{\pi}
$$

[7, Section 12]. By Proposition 3.11, we get $\left|s_{2}\right| \leq 1$.
Therefore, the number of elements in $G$ (up to sign) is at most $3 \cdot(12 \sqrt{2} C / \pi+1)$, which is less than $17 C+3$.

The proposition below gives a property of elements in $G^{\prime}$.
Proposition 3.13. Let $g=s_{1} b_{1}+b_{2} \in G^{\prime}$. Then:

- $\left|s_{1}\right| \leq 2$ or
- $s_{1} \in\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\}$ for some integers $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$ in the interval $(-1-2 C, 1+2 C)$.

Proof. It is easy to show that $b_{1} \in G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ since $\left\|b_{1}\right\| \leq(4 / \pi) C \operatorname{covol}(I)$. Here, we only prove the proposition for $b_{1} \in G_{1}$, so $0<b_{11}<1 / C$ and $1 / C<\left|b_{12}\right|<\sqrt{2} / C$. For $b_{1} \in G_{2}$, it is sufficient to switch $b_{11}$ and $b_{12}$. In


Fig. 5. $b_{1}$ is in the doubly shaded area and $g$ is in the shaded area.
the first case, by definition of $B_{\min }$, we get $B\left(b_{1}\right) \leq B_{\min }$. The element $g$ is in $G^{\prime}$, and so it belongs to $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$. If $g$ is in $G_{1}$ then $0<\left|g_{1}\right|<1 / C$ and $\left|g_{2}\right|>1 / C$. Since $g \in G^{\prime}$ and $B\left(b_{1}\right) \leq B_{\text {min }}$, we also have $B\left(b_{1}\right) \leq B(g)$. If $\|g\|>\sqrt{2} / C$ then $B\left(b_{1}\right)>B(g)$, contradicting the previous inequality. So, $\|g\| \leq \sqrt{2} / C$. With this in mind and by the properties of LLL-reduced bases [7, Section 12], we get

$$
\left|s_{1}\right| \leq \sqrt{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\|g\|}{\left\|b_{1}\right\|}<\sqrt{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{C}}{\frac{1}{C}}=3, \quad \text { so } \quad\left|s_{1}\right| \leq 2
$$

If $g$ is in $G_{2}$ then $\left|g_{1}\right|>1 / C$ and $\left|g_{2}\right|<1 / C$. Since $g=s_{1} b_{1}+b_{2}$ and $\left|g_{2}\right|<1 / C$, the value of $s_{1}$ is between $\frac{-1 / C-b_{22}}{b_{12}}$ and $\frac{1 / C-b_{22}}{b_{12}}$. The fact that $0<\left|b_{12}\right|<\sqrt{2} / C$ implies that the distance between these numbers,

$$
\left|\frac{-1 / C-b_{22}}{b_{12}}-\frac{1 / C-b_{22}}{b_{12}}\right|=\frac{2}{C\left|b_{12}\right|}
$$

is in the interval $(\sqrt{2}, 2)$. So, there exist two integers $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$ between these numbers. Moreover, since $1 / C<\left|b_{12}\right|<\sqrt{2} / C$ and $\left|b_{22}\right|<\left\|b_{2}\right\| \leq 2$ (see the proof of Proposition 3.11), one can easily see that

$$
\left|\frac{ \pm 1 / C-b_{22}}{b_{12}}\right|<1+2 C
$$

Thus, we get the bounds for $s_{1}$ in this case, completing the proof.
4. Test algorithm for real quadratic fields. In this section, given $C \geq 1$, we explain an algorithm to test whether a given fractional ideal $I$ is $C$-reduced for a real quadratic field $F$ in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$ with $\Delta_{F}$ the discriminant of $F$.

By Proposition 3.5, if we know $B_{\min }$ and $B_{\max }$, we can show the existence of a metric $u=\left(\alpha^{-1}, \alpha\right)$ in Definition 2.4. In this algorithm, we first find all the possible elements of $G^{\prime}=\left\{g \in G: B(g)=B_{\max }\right.$ or $\left.B(g)=B_{\min }\right\}$ and then compute $B_{\min }$ and $B_{\max }$. Let $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ be an LLL-basis of $I$ and $g=s_{1} b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2} \in G^{\prime}$. Then Proposition 3.11 says that $s_{2}=0$ or $s_{2}= \pm 1$. By symmetry, it is sufficient to consider the case $s_{2} \in\{0,1\}$.

- If $s_{2}=0$ then $g=b_{1}$.
- If $s_{2}=1$ then $g=s_{1} b_{1}+b_{2}$. By Proposition 3.13, there are five possible values for $s_{1}$ in the interval $[-2,2]$ and two possible values $t_{1}, t_{2}$ (with $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$ ) of $s_{1}$ either between $\frac{-1 / C-b_{22}}{b_{12}}$ and $\frac{1 / C-b_{22}}{b_{12}}$, or between $\frac{-1 / C-b_{21}}{b_{11}}$ and $\frac{1 / C-b_{21}}{b_{11}}$. This proposition also shows that the coefficients $s_{1}$ have absolute values less than $1+2 C$.

Furthermore, by Proposition 3.10, we have $1 /(2 \sqrt{C})<\alpha<2 \sqrt{C}$, and so $1 /\left(16 C^{2}\right)<B(g)^{4}<16 C^{2}$ for all $g \in G$. In other words:
$(\star \star)\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { If }\left|g_{2}\right|<1 / C \text { then } \\ \quad\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}+16 C^{2}\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}<16+\frac{1}{C^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}+16 C^{2}\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}>16+\frac{1}{C^{2}} . \\ \text { If }\left|g_{2}\right|>1 / C \text { then } \\ \left|g_{2}\right|^{2}+16 C^{2}\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}>16+\frac{1}{C^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}+16 C^{2}\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}>16+\frac{1}{C^{2}} .\end{array}\right.$
Using $(\star \star)$, we can eliminate some elements $g$ which are not in $G^{\prime}$ without having to compute $B(g)$.

Let $C \geq 1$ and let $I$ be a fractional ideal of $F$. Assume that an LLLreduced basis $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ of $I$ is also given, and change the sign if necessary to have the first component of $b_{1}=\left(b_{11}, b_{12}\right) \in F_{\mathbb{R}}$ positive. In Remark 2.9, we assume that the coordinates of $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ have at most $O\left(\left(\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|\right)^{a}\right)$ digits for some integer $a>0$.

We have the following algorithm to test whether $I$ is $C$-reduced in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$.

## Algorithm 4.1.

1. Check if $1 \in I$ and $N(I)^{-1}<C^{2} \sqrt{\left|\Delta_{F}\right|}$ or not.
2. Test whether or not $1 \in I$ is primitive.
3. Check whether there is no nonzero element of $I$ in $S_{1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|x_{1}\right| \leq 1 / C\right.$ and $\left|x_{2}\right| \leq 1 / C$ and $\left.x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}<2 / C^{2}\right\}$.
4. If $\left\|b_{1}\right\| \geq \sqrt{2} / C$ then $I$ is $C$-reduced.

If not, then find all possible elements of $G^{\prime}$.

- If $0<b_{11}<1 / C$ and $1 / C<\left|b_{12}\right|<\sqrt{2} / C$ then compute the integers $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$ which are between $\frac{-1 / C-b_{22}}{b_{12}}$ and $\frac{1 / C-b_{22}}{b_{12}}$.
- If $1 / C<b_{11}<\sqrt{2} / C$ and $0<\left|b_{12}\right|<1 / C$ then compute the integers $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$ which are between $\frac{-1 / C-b_{21}}{b_{11}}$ and $\frac{1 / C-b_{21}}{b_{11}}$.
Let $G_{3}=\left\{b_{1}, t_{1} b_{1}+b_{2}, t_{2} b_{1}+b_{2}, s_{1} b_{1}+b_{2}\right.$ with $\left.\left|s_{1}\right| \leq 2\right\}$.

5. Remove from $G_{3}$ all elements which do not satisfy ( $\star \star$ ).
6. Compute $B(g)$ for all $g \in G_{3}$, and then $B_{\max }$ and $B_{\min }$.

If $B_{\min } \leq B_{\max }$ then $I$ is $C$-reduced. If not, then $I$ is not $C$-reduced.
Step 3 of Algorithm4.1 is done in a similar way to testing the minimality of 1 (cf. [10, Algorithm 10.3]) but here 1 is replaced by $1 / C$. In fact, we have the lemma below.

Lemma 4.2. Step 3 of Algorithm 4.1 can be done by checking at most six short vectors of the lattice $I$.

Proof. If $b_{1}$ is in $S_{1}$ then $I$ is not $C$-reduced. Otherwise, $\left\|b_{1}\right\|>1 / C$. Assume that $g=s_{1} b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2}$ is in $S_{1}$. Then $\|g\|<\sqrt{2} / C$.

Since $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ is an LLL-reduced basis of $I$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|s_{1}\right| \leq \sqrt{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\|g\|}{\left\|b_{1}\right\|}<\sqrt{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{C}}{\frac{1}{C}}=3, \\
& \left|s_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2} \frac{\|g\|}{\left\|b_{1}\right\|}<\sqrt{2} \frac{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{C}}{\frac{1}{C}}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

[7. Section 12]. Therefore, the elements of $I$ which are in $S_{1}$ have the form $g=s_{1} b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2}$ with $\left|s_{1}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|s_{2}\right| \leq 1$. By symmetry, it is sufficient to test at most six short elements of $I$.

Proposition 4.3. Algorithm 4.1 runs in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$.
Proof. The first step can be done in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$ by Lemma 2.10. An LLL-reduced basis of $I$ can be computed in time polynomial in $\log \mid \overline{\Delta_{F} \mid}$, and Step 2 can be done in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$ (see Lemma 2.11). In Step 3, by Lemma 4.2, it is sufficient to check few short vectors of $I$ which have length bounded by $\sqrt{2} / C$. Step 4 can be done by finding two integers $t_{1}, t_{2}$ in the interval $[-1-2 C, 1+2 C]$. In Step 6 , the bounds $B(g)$ are between $1 /(2 \sqrt{C})$ and $2 \sqrt{C}$. Overall, this algorithm runs in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$.
5. A counterexample. By Lemma 2.10, 2.11 and 4.2 , the first three steps of Algorithm 4.1 can be done in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$. Essentially, the last three steps require finding all elements of $I$ in a certain subset $G$ (see Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 5.1). Therefore, the complexity of this algorithm is proportional to the cardinality of $G$.

For real quadratic fields, the task can be reduced to finding all elements of the subset $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ by Proposition 3.5. Since Propositions 3.11 and 3.13 say that $G^{\prime}$ has few elements and it is easy to compute them, Algorithm 4.1 works well, i.e., it runs in time polynomial in $\log \left|\Delta_{F}\right|$.

However, for a number field of degree at least 3 , the set $G$ may have many elements, and we currently do not know how to reduce $G$ to a smaller subset. Therefore, an algorithm similar to Algorithm 4.1 would be inefficient. In other words, in bad cases, the complexity of Steps 4-6 of Algorithm 4.1 may reach $\left|\Delta_{F}\right|^{a}$ for some $a>0$. In this section, we provide an example of a real cubic field $F$ with large discriminant $\Delta_{F}$ for which $G$ has at least $\left|\Delta_{F}\right|^{1 / 4}$ elements.

Since $F$ is a real cubic field, we have $F_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let $I$ be a fractional ideal of $F$. Then we identify each element $g \in I$ with its image $(\sigma(g))_{\sigma}=$ $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \in F_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

We set

$$
\delta(I, C)=\frac{6}{\pi} C^{2} \operatorname{covol}(I)
$$

and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:\left|x_{i}\right| \leq 1 / C, 1 \leq i \leq 3, \text { and } x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}<3 / C^{2}\right\}, \\
& G=\left\{g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \in I:\|g\|<\delta(I, C)\right. \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { there exists } \left.i \text { such that }\left|g_{i}\right|<1 / C\right\} .
$$

Let $E_{1}$ be the sphere centered at the origin of radius $\sqrt{3} / C$. As in condition ( $\star$ ) for the quadratic case (see Remark 3.4), we assume that 1 is primitive in $I$ and $I$ contains no nonzero element of $S_{1}$, but the shortest vectors of $I$ are inside $E_{1}$.

Propositions 3.2 and 3.6 for the quadratic case can be naturally generalized to a real cubic field. Similar to Proposition 3.7, we have:

Lemma 5.1. The second condition of Definition 2.4 is equivalent to: there exists a metric $u \in\left(\mathbb{R}_{>0}\right)^{3}$ such that $\|1\|_{u} \leq C\|g\|_{u}$ for all $g \in G$.

Let $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right\}$ be an LLL-basis of $I$. We give an example with $C=1$.
5.1. An example. Let

$$
P(X)=10000000019 X^{3}+10218400019 X^{2}-8813199073 X-4923977196
$$

be an irreducible polynomial with a root $\beta$ and $F=\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$. Then $F$ is a real cubic field with discriminant

$$
\Delta_{F}=70862499223222398531211367826392679055149>7 \cdot 10^{40}
$$

Denote by $O_{F}$ the ring of integers of $F$. Let $I=O_{F}+O_{F} \beta$. Then the fractional ideal $I$ has the properties:

- 1 is primitive in $I$.
- $I$ has no nonzero element in the cube $S_{1}$.
- $b_{1}$ is inside $E_{1}$, and so is a shortest vector of $I$.
- The covolume of $I$ is greater than $1.6 \cdot\left|\Delta_{F}\right|^{1 / 4}$. The cardinality of $G$ is at least $1.7 \cdot 10^{10}>\left|\Delta_{F}\right|^{1 / 4}$.
5.2. How to find the above example. We construct a real cubic field $F$ with a fractional ideal $I$ satisfying the conditions of Section 5.1.

Let $C \geq 1$. Assume that $F=\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ for some $\beta$ of length $\|\beta\|<\sqrt{3} / C$ and outside the cube $S_{1}$. Let $O_{F}$ be the ring of integers of $F$. Suppose that $I=O_{F}+O_{F} \beta$. Then the shortest vectors of $I$ have length at most $\|\beta\|<\sqrt{3} / C$.

Denote by $P(X)=a X^{3}+b X^{2}+c X+d \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c, d)=1$ and $a>0$ an irreducible polynomial that has a root $\beta$. Let

$$
R=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(a \beta) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(a \beta^{2}+b \beta\right)
$$

Then $R$ is a multiplier ring, and so it is an order of $F$ [4, Section 12.6].

Denote by $\beta_{1}=\beta, \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{3}$ the roots of $P(X)$. We can easily choose $P(X)$ such that $O_{F}=R$. This can be done by using the lemma below.

Lemma 5.2. If the discriminant of $P(X)$ is squarefree then $O_{F}=R$.
Proof. The discriminant of $P(X)$ is $\operatorname{disc}(P)=a^{4} \prod_{i<j}\left(\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}$ [3, Proposition 3.3.5]. By computing the discriminant of $R$, we can easily see that it is equal to $\operatorname{disc}(P)$. The result follows since $\left[O_{F}: R\right]^{2} \mid \operatorname{disc}(P)$.

Lemma 5.3. If $O_{F}=R$ then $N\left(I^{-1}\right)=a$.
Proof. Since $O_{F}=R=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(a \beta) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(a \beta^{2}+b \beta\right)$ and $I=O_{F}+O_{F} \beta$, it is easy to see that $I=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \beta \oplus \mathbb{Z}\left(a \beta^{2}\right)$. Therefore, $N\left(I^{-1}\right)=\left[I: O_{F}\right]=a$ and the lemma is proved.

The next lemma says that $a$ can be chosen such that 1 is primitive in $I$.
Lemma 5.4. If a is a prime number then 1 is primitive in $I$.
Proof. If there is an integer $d \geq 2$ such that $1 / d \in I$, then we would have $d^{3}=N(d) \mid N\left(I^{-1}\right)=a$, impossible since $a$ is a prime. Thus, 1 is primitive in $I$.

Let $\left\{b_{1}=\left(b_{11}, b_{12}, b_{13}\right), b_{2}=\left(b_{21}, b_{22}, b_{23}\right), b_{3}=\left(b_{31}, b_{32}, b_{33}\right)\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \subset F_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $\left\{b_{1}^{*}, b_{2}^{*}, b_{3}^{*}\right\}$ be the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization of this basis. We have the following result, crucial to obtaining the example of Section 5.1.

Proposition 5.5. Let $C \geq 1$. Assume that:

- 1 is primitive in $I$.
- I has no nonzero elements in the cube $S_{1}$.
- $\left\|b_{1}\right\|<\sqrt{3} / C$.
- $\operatorname{covol}(I) \geq 10$.

Then the cardinality of $G$ is at least $\frac{2}{3} C^{2} \operatorname{covol}(I)$.
Proof. As $I$ has no nonzero element in $S_{1}$, there is some coordinate $b_{1 j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq 3$ of $b_{1}$ such that $\left|b_{1 j}\right| \geq 1 / C$. Let $g=s_{1} b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2}=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$. We show that if $\left|s_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3} C^{2} \operatorname{covol}(I)$ and if $s_{1}$ is between $\frac{1}{b_{1 j}}\left(1 / C-s_{2} b_{2 j}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{b_{1 j}}\left(-1 / C-s_{2} b_{2 j}\right)$, then $g$ is in $G$.

We know that $\left\|b_{1}\right\|<\sqrt{3} / C$, so $\left|b_{1 j}\right|<\sqrt{3} / C$. This means that for each $s_{2}$, the distance between $\frac{1}{b_{1 j}}\left(1 / C-s_{2} b_{2 j}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{b_{1 j}}\left(-1 / C-s_{2} b_{2 j}\right)$ is greater than $2 / \sqrt{3}>1$. Therefore there is at least one integer $s_{1}$ between them.

The bound for $s_{1}$ implies that $\left|g_{j}\right|<1 / C$. To prove that $g \in G$, it is sufficient to prove $\|g\|<\delta(I, C)$.

We first show that $\left\|b_{2}\right\| \leq \sqrt{3}$. Since 1 is in $I$, there exist integers $m_{1}$, $m_{2}$ and $m_{3}$ such that $1=m_{1} b_{1}+m_{2} b_{2}+m_{3} b_{3}$. If $m_{3}=m_{2}=0$ then $1=m_{1} b_{1}$, so $1 / m_{1}=b_{1} \in I$. Since 1 is primitive, we must have $m_{1}= \pm 1$.

So $\left\|b_{1}\right\|=\|1\|=\sqrt{3} \geq \sqrt{3} / C$ for any $C \geq 1$. This contradicts $\left\|b_{1}\right\|<\sqrt{3} / C$. So $m_{3} \neq 0$ or $m_{2} \neq 0$. If $m_{3} \neq 0$ then $\left\|b_{3}^{*}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{m_{3}}\|1\| \leq \sqrt{3}$. By the properties of LLL-reduced bases [7] Section 12], we have $\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\| \leq \sqrt{2}\left\|b_{3}^{*}\right\| \leq \sqrt{6}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{covol}(I)=\left\|b_{1}\right\|\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\|\left\|b_{3}^{*}\right\|<\frac{\sqrt{3}}{C} \cdot \sqrt{6} \cdot \sqrt{3}=\frac{3 \sqrt{6}}{C}
$$

contrary to the assumption that $\operatorname{covol}(I) \geq 10$. Hence, $m_{3}=0$ and $m_{2} \neq 0$. So $\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\left|m_{2}\right|}\|1\| \leq \sqrt{3}$.

Next, we prove that $\left\|b_{2}\right\| \leq \sqrt{15} / 2$. Indeed, denoting $\mu=\left\langle b_{2}, b_{1}\right\rangle /\left\langle b_{1}, b_{1}\right\rangle$, by the properties of LLL-reduced bases we have $|\mu| \leq 1 / 2$ and $b_{2}=b_{2}^{*}+\mu b_{1}$ [7, Section 12]. It follows that

$$
\left\|b_{2}\right\|^{2}=\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\|^{2}+\mu^{2}\left\|b_{1}\right\|^{2}<3+\frac{1}{4} \frac{3}{C^{2}} \leq \frac{15}{4}
$$

Now, since $\left|b_{1 j}\right| \geq 1 / C$ and $\left|b_{2 j}\right| \leq\left\|b_{2}\right\| \leq \sqrt{15} / 2$, the two numbers $\frac{1}{b_{1 j}}\left(1 / C-s_{2} b_{2 j}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{b_{1 j}}\left(-1 / C-s_{2} b_{2 j}\right)$ are in the interval

$$
\left[-\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{15}}{2}\left|s_{2}\right|\right) C,\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{15}}{2}\left|s_{2}\right|\right) C\right]
$$

and so is $s_{1}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|g\|^{2} & =\left\|\left(s_{1}+\mu s_{2}\right) b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2}^{*}\right\|^{2}=\left(s_{1}+\mu s_{2}\right)^{2}\left\|b_{1}\right\|^{2}+\mid s_{2}^{2}\left\|b_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& <\left(\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{15}}{2}\left|s_{2}\right|\right) C+\frac{1}{2}\left|s_{2}\right|\right)^{2} \frac{3}{C^{2}}+3 s_{2}^{2} \\
& \leq 3\left(1+\frac{1+\sqrt{15}}{2}\left|s_{2}\right|\right)^{2}+3 s_{2}^{2}<[\delta(I, C)]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left|s_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3} C^{2} \operatorname{covol}(I)$ and $\operatorname{covol}(I) \geq 10$.
We have shown that $g=s_{1} b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2} \in G$ for all $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ with $\left|s_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{3} C^{2} \operatorname{covol}(I)$ and $s_{1}$ between $\frac{1}{b_{1 j}}\left(1 / C-s_{2} b_{2 j}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{b_{1 j}}\left(-1 / C-s_{2} b_{2 j}\right)$. Furthermore, if $g \in G$ then $-g \in G$. Thus, $G$ has at least $\left[2 \cdot \frac{1}{3} C^{2} \operatorname{covol}(I)\right.$ $\left.=\frac{2}{3} C^{2} \operatorname{covol}(I)\right]$ elements.

Corollary 5.6. With the assumptions in Proposition 5.5, the set $G$ contains more than $\gamma C^{2}\left|\Delta_{F}\right|^{1 / 4}$ elements for some constant $\gamma$ depending on the roots $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}$ of $P$.

Proof. By choosing $P$ such that $O_{F}=R$, we have

$$
\left|\Delta_{F}\right|=\operatorname{disc}(R)=\operatorname{disc}(P)=a^{4} \prod_{i<j}\left(\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

So,

$$
a=\frac{1}{\gamma}\left|\Delta_{F}\right|^{1 / 4} \quad \text { with } \quad \gamma=\left(\prod_{i<j}\left(\beta_{i}-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\operatorname{covol}(I)=\frac{\sqrt{\left|\Delta_{F}\right|}}{N\left(I^{-1}\right)}=\frac{\left|\Delta_{F}\right|^{1 / 2}}{a}=\gamma\left|\Delta_{F}\right|^{1 / 4}
$$

and the result follows from Proposition 5.5.
REMARK 5.7. Almost all the lattices I constructed this way have no nonzero element in the cube $S_{1}$, as we may expect. Indeed, any element $g=s_{1} b_{1}+s_{2} b_{2}+s_{3} b_{3} \in I \cap S_{1}$ has length at most $\sqrt{3} / C$. So, we can bound the coefficients $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ as follows [7, Section 12]:

$$
\left|s_{1}\right| \leq 2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\|g\|}{\left\|b_{1}\right\|}, \quad\left|s_{2}\right| \leq 2 \frac{3}{2} \frac{\|g\|}{\left\|b_{2}^{*}\right\|}, \quad\left|s_{3}\right| \leq 2 \frac{\|g\|}{\left\|b_{3}^{*}\right\|}
$$

Therefore, the cardinality of $I \cap S_{1}$ is bounded by

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{covol}(I)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{C}\right)^{3} \cdot(\text { a constant })
$$

[7, Section 12]. Since the covolume of $I$ is very large, this number is very small. So, usually we can get $I$ without any nonzero elements in $S_{1}$.

From the idea above, some examples like the one in Section 5.1 can be produced as follows:

- First choose the discriminant $\left|\Delta_{F}\right|$ of $F$ such that $\left|\Delta_{F}\right|>10^{4}$ (to make sure that $\operatorname{covol}(I) \geq 10)$.
- Choose a prime number $a \approx\left|\Delta_{F}\right|^{1 / 4}$ (such that 1 is primitive in $I$ ).
- Choose a real vector $\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)$ outside $S_{1}$ and such that

$$
\frac{1}{C^{2}}<\beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}+\beta_{3}^{2}<\frac{3}{C^{2}}
$$

- Find the polynomial $P(X)=a X^{3}+b X^{2}+c X+d \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of the form $a\left(X-\beta_{1}\right)\left(X-\beta_{2}\right)\left(X-\beta_{3}\right)$ (this can be done by using the function round in pari-gp). Then check whether $P(X)$ is irreducible.
- Check if $\operatorname{disc}(P)$ is squarefree. If not then change $\beta_{i}$ until it is. Now $O_{F}=R$.
- Let $I=O_{F}+O_{F} \beta$. Compute an LLL-reduced basis $\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right\}$ of $I$ and check if $\left\|b_{1}\right\|<\sqrt{3} / C$.
- Test whether $I$ does not have any nonzero element in $S_{1}$.
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