Michael's theorem for Lipschitz cells in o-minimal structures

MAŁGORZATA CZAPLA and WIESŁAW PAWŁUCKI (Kraków)

Abstract. A version of Michael's theorem for multivalued mappings definable in o-minimal structures with M-Lipschitz cell values (M a common constant) is proven. Uniform equi- LC^n property for such families of cells is checked. An example is given showing that the assumption about the common Lipschitz constant cannot be omitted.

1. Introduction. Assume that R is any real closed field and an expansion of R to some o-minimal structure is given. Throughout the paper we will be talking about definable sets and mappings referring to this o-minimal structure. (For fundamental definitions and results on o-minimal structures the reader is referred to [vdD] or [C].) In this article we adopt the following definition of a closed cell.

A subset S of \mathbb{R}^m $(m \in \mathbb{Z}, m > 0)$ will be called a *closed* (respectively, *closed M-Lipschitz*) *cell* in \mathbb{R}^m , where $M \in \mathbb{R}, M > 0$, if

- (i) when m = 1: S is a closed interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ $(\alpha, \beta \in R, \alpha \leq \beta)$, or $S = [\alpha, +\infty)$, or $S = (-\infty, \alpha]$ $(\alpha \in R)$, or S = R, and
- (ii) when m > 1: $S = [f_1, f_2] := \{(y', y_m) : y' \in S', f_1(y') \leq y_m \leq f_2(y')\}$, where $y' = (y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1})$, S' is a closed (respectively, closed M-Lipschitz) cell in R^{m-1} , $f_i : S' \to R$ (i = 1, 2) are continuous (respectively, M-Lipschitz) definable functions such that $f_1(y') \leq f_2(y')$ for each $y' \in S'$, or $S = [f, +\infty) = \{(y', y_m) : y' \in S', y_m \geq f(y')\}$, or $S = (-\infty, f] = \{(y', y_m) : y' \in S', y_m \leq f(y')\}$, or $S = S' \times R$, where S' is as before and $f : S' \to R$ is continuous (respectively, M-Lipschitz).

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14P10; Secondary 54C60, 54C65, 32B20, 49J53.

Key words and phrases: Michael's theorem, Lipschitz cell, o-minimal structure, uniform equi- LC^n .

Received 4 February 2016; revised 29 June 2016. Published online 22 July 2016.

DOI: 10.4064/ap3931-7-2016

Let $F: A \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a multivalued mapping defined on a subset A of \mathbb{R}^n ; i.e. a mapping which assigns to each $x \in A$ a nonempty subset F(x) of \mathbb{R}^m . Then F can be identified with its graph, a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. If this subset is definable, we will call F definable. F is called *lower semicontinuous* if for each $a \in A$, each $u \in F(a)$ and any neighborhood U of u, there exists a neighborhood V of a such that $U \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in V$.

The aim of the present article is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $F : A \Rightarrow R^m$ be a definable lower semicontinuous multivalued mapping on a definable subset A of R^n such that every value F(x)is a closed M-Lipschitz cell in R^m , where the constant M > 0 is independent of $x \in A$. Then F admits a continuous definable selection $\varphi : A \to R^m$.

The following generalization of Theorem 1.1 is immediate.

COROLLARY 1.2. Let $F: A \rightrightarrows R^m$ be a definable lower semicontinuous multivalued mapping on a definable subset A of R^n . If there is a continuous definable mapping $\Phi: A \to \operatorname{Aut}(R^m)$ with values in the space of linear automorphisms (¹) of R^m such that $\Phi(x)(F(x))$ is a closed M-Lipschitz cell in R^m , then F admits a continuous definable selection $\varphi: A \to R^m$.

Theorem 1.1 is true for the semilinear expansion of R provided that A is bounded (see Remark 2.6 below). Moreover, every closed semilinear cell is Lipschitz and for every semilinear family of semilinear cells they are M-Lipschitz with a common M [vdD, Chapter 1, (7.4)]. In this way we obtain the following generalization of [AT2, Theorem 4.10].

COROLLARY 1.3. Let $F: A \rightrightarrows R^m$ be a semilinear lower semicontinuous multivalued mapping on a semilinear bounded subset A of R^n such that every value F(x) is a closed semilinear cell in R^m . Then F admits a continuous semilinear selection $\varphi: A \to R^m$.

For other results on multivalued mappings in connection with o-minimal geometry we refer the reader to [AT1], [AT2] and [DP].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof will be by induction on m. Consider first the case m = 1. Then $F(x) = \{t \in R : f(x) \le t \le g(x)\}$ for each $x \in A$, where $f : A \to R \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $g : A \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$ are definable functions (²). It is easy to check that F is lower semicontinuous if and only if g is lower semicontinuous and f is upper semicontinuous. Therefore, the problem reduces to the following.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $f : A \to R \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $g : A \to R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be definable functions such that $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for each $x \in A$, and f is upper

^{(&}lt;sup>1</sup>) The space $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is naturally identified with a subset of \mathbb{R}^{m^2} .

 $[\]binom{2}{2}$ This means that $f|f^{-1}(R)$ and $g|g^{-1}(R)$ are definable.

semicontinuous while g is lower semicontinuous. Then there exists a definable continuous function $\varphi : A \to R$ such that $f \leq \varphi \leq g$.

To prove Proposition 2.1, which is a definable version of the Katětov– Tong Insertion Theorem, we need the following definable version of the Tietze Theorem.

THEOREM 2.2 (Definable Tietze Theorem). Let X and Y be definable subsets of \mathbb{R}^n such that Y is closed in X. Then every definable continuous function $\psi: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ has a continuous definable extension $\Psi: X \to \mathbb{R}$.

For a proof of Theorem 2.2 see [vdD, Chapter 8, (3.10)] (compare also [AF, Lemma 6.6]).

REMARK 2.3. According to [AT2, Theorem 3.3] Theorem 2.2 holds true in the semilinear expansion of R, provided that Y is bounded.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. We use induction on $d := \dim A$. The case d = 0 is trivial. Assume that d > 0. Let

 $B := \{a \in A : f \text{ and } g \text{ are both continuous in a neighborhood of } a \text{ in } A\}.$

Then B is a definable, open and dense subset of A. Hence $A \setminus B$ is definable closed in A and dim $(A \setminus B) < d$. By induction hypothesis there exists a definable continuous function $\psi : A \setminus B \to R$ such that $f(x) \leq \psi(x) \leq g(x)$ for each $x \in A \setminus B$. By the Definable Tietze Theorem there exists a definable continuous extension $\Psi : A \to R$ of ψ . Now set $\varphi(x) := \min(\max(\Psi(x), f(x)), g(x))$ for $x \in A$. It is clear that $f \leq \varphi \leq g$. Continuity of φ on B is obvious, since Ψ , f and g are continuous on B.

We now check the continuity of φ at any $a \in A \setminus B$. We have $\varphi(a) = \psi(a) \in [f(a), g(a)]$. Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a neighborhood V of a in A such that $\psi(a) + \varepsilon > f(x)$, $\psi(a) - \varepsilon < g(x)$, $\psi(a) + \varepsilon > \Psi(x)$ and $\psi(a) - \varepsilon < \Psi(x)$ for each $x \in V$. Then

$$\varphi(a) - \varepsilon = \psi(a) - \varepsilon < \Psi(x) \le \max(\Psi(x), f(x)) < \psi(a) + \varepsilon = \varphi(a) + \varepsilon$$

and $\varphi(a) - \varepsilon < g(x)$. Hence

 $\varphi(a) - \varepsilon < \min(\max(\Psi(x), f(x)), g(x)) < \varphi(a) + \varepsilon.$

REMARK 2.4. The proof of Proposition 2.1 holds true in the semilinear expansion of R under the assumption that A is semilinear bounded, provided we apply a semilinear version of Theorem 2.2 with X = A (see Remark 2.3).

Assume now that m > 1 and our theorem is true for m - 1. To make the induction hypothesis work we prove the following.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, let

$$\pi: R^m \ni y = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \mapsto y' = (y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}) \in R^{m-1}$$

be the natural projection. Let $\pi \circ F : A \rightrightarrows R^{m-1}$ be defined by $(\pi \circ F)(x) = \pi(F(x))$. Then, after identifying $\pi \circ F$ with its graph $\pi \circ F \subset R^n \times R^{m-1}$, the multivalued mapping

$$G: \pi \circ F \ni (x, y') \mapsto \{y_m \in R : (y', y_m) \in F(x)\} \subset R$$

is lower semicontinuous.

Proof. By the definition of a closed cell, one can write, for each $x \in A$,

$$F(x) = \{ (y', y_m) : y' \in \pi(F(x)), y_m \in R, f_x(y') \le y_m \le g_x(y') \},\$$

where $f_x, g_x : \pi(F(x)) \to R$ are *M*-Lipschitz (or maybe $f_x = -\infty$, or $g_x = \infty$; these cases will follow by a simple modification of the argument below). Fix any $(a, b') \in \pi \circ F$, $u \in G(a, b') = \{y_m \in R : f_a(b') \le y_m \le g_a(b')\}$ and any open interval $(u - \varepsilon, u + \varepsilon)$. Let *W* be the open ball $\{y' \in R^{m-1} : |y'-b'| < \varepsilon/(4M)\}$, where $|\cdot|$ is defined by $|y'| = |(y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1})| = \max_j |y_j|$. By lower semicontinuity of *F* there exists a neighborhood *V* of *a* in *A* such that $F(x) \cap (W \times (u - \varepsilon/2, u + \varepsilon/2)) \neq \emptyset$ whenever $x \in V$.

Let $(x, y') \in (\pi \circ F) \cap (V \times W)$. There exists $(z', v) \in F(x) \cap (W \times (u - \varepsilon/2, u + \varepsilon/2))$. Then $y' \in \pi(F(x))$ and $z' \in \pi(F(x))$; hence $|y' - z'| < \varepsilon/(2M)$ and $f_x(z') \leq v \leq g_x(z')$. Thus, $|f_x(y') - f_x(z')| \leq M|y' - z'| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. Hence $f_x(y') \leq f_x(z') + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \leq v + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon < u + \varepsilon$. Similarly, $|g_x(y') - g_x(z')| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and so $g_x(y') \geq g_x(z') - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \geq v > u - \varepsilon$. Finally, $[f_x(y'), g_x(y')] \cap (u - \varepsilon, u + \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, which ends the proof.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.1, observe that the mapping $\pi \circ F$ is lower semicontinuous as the composition of a lower semicontinuous mapping with a continuous one, so by the induction hypothesis there exists a continuous definable selection φ' for $\pi \circ F$. We identify φ' with its graph. By Proposition 2.5, $G|\varphi':\varphi' \rightrightarrows R$ is lower semicontinuous; hence, by Proposition 2.1, it admits a continuous definable selection $\sigma:\varphi' \to R$, which gives the required selection $\varphi = (\varphi', \sigma \circ (\mathrm{id}_A, \varphi')).$

REMARK 2.6. The proof of Proposition 2.5 holds true for the semilinear expansion of R, so in view of Remark 2.4, Theorem 1.1 holds true for the semilinear structure under the assumption that A is semilinear and bounded.

3. A counterexample. We now give a semialgebraic example showing that in Theorem 1.1 the assumption of common boundedness of the Lipschitz constants of the Lipschitz cells F(x) cannot be omitted, even if F is continuous.

Let $A = T_1 \cup T_2 \subset R^2$, where

$$T_1 = \{ (x_1, x_2) : x_1 \in [0, 1], -x_1 \le x_2 \le x_1 \}, T_2 = \{ (x_1, x_2) : x_1 \in [-1, 0], x_1 \le x_2 \le -x_1 \}.$$

We define
$$F: A \rightrightarrows R^2$$
 by

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \{0\} \times [0, 1], & (x_1, x_2) = (0, 0), \\ \{(y, |y|/|x_1|) : -x_1 + x_2 \le y \le x_1\}, & x_1 > 0, x_2 \ge 0, \\ \{(y, |y|/|x_1|) : -x_1 \le y < x_1 + x_2\}, & x_1 > 0, x_2 \le 0, \\ \{(y, 1 - |y|/|x_1|) : x_1 + x_2 \le y < -x_1\}, & x_1 < 0, x_2 \ge 0, \\ \{(y, 1 - |y|/|x_1|) : x_1 \le y \le -x_1 + x_2\}, & x_1 < 0, x_2 \le 0. \end{cases}$$

The graph of F is shown in Fig 1.



Fig. 1. The graph of F

Suppose that F admits a continuous semialgebraic selection $\varphi = (\sigma, \rho)$: $A \to R^2$. Then, for $x_1 > 0$, $\sigma(x_1, x_1) \ge 0$ and $\sigma(x_1, -x_1) \le 0$; hence, there exists $\xi \in [-x_1, x_1]$ such that $\sigma(x_1, \xi) = 0$, so $\rho(x_1, \xi) = |\sigma(x_1, \xi)|/|x_1| = 0$ and $\varphi(x_1, \xi) = (0, 0)$. Consequently, by continuity, $\varphi(0, 0) = (0, 0)$. Similarly, for any $x_1 < 0$, there exists $\xi \in [x_1, -x_1]$ such that $\varphi(x_1, \xi) = (0, 1)$; hence $\varphi(0, 0) = (0, 1)$, a contradiction.

Notice that in the above example the dimensions of both the domain and the target space are minimal (see [CzP]).

4. *M*-Lipschitz cells as a uniformly equi- LC^p family, with arbitrary p. Let S_M^m denote the set of all closed *M*-Lipschitz cells in \mathbb{R}^m , where M > 0 is a constant. We will show that S_M^m is uniformly equi- LC^p , with arbitrary p, in the sense of Michael [M]. This follows immediately from the fact that every closed cell is contractible, together with the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let $M, \varepsilon \in R$, $M \ge 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $k_1 = l_1 = 1$ and $k_m = 2^{2m-2}$ and $l_m = 2^{2m-3}$ for $m \ge 2$. Endow R^m with the metric $|(a_1,\ldots,a_m)-(b_1,\ldots,b_m)| = \max_j |a_j-b_j|$. For any $a = (a_1,\ldots,a_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, consider the following cuboids with center a:

$$P(a,\varepsilon) := [a_1 - k_1\varepsilon, a_1 + k_1\varepsilon] \times \cdots \times [a_m - k_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon, a_m + k_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon],$$

$$Q(a,\varepsilon) := [a_1 - l_1\varepsilon, a_1 + l_1\varepsilon] \times \cdots \times [a_m - l_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon, a_m + l_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon].$$

Then, for any $S \in \mathcal{S}_M^m$, if $S \cap Q(a,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$, then $S \cap P(a,\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{S}_M^m$.

Proof. The assertion is trivial for m = 1, so assume that $m \ge 2$ and the assertion is true for m - 1. Let $\pi : R^m \ni (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \mapsto (a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}) \in R^{m-1}$. Then $\pi(P(a, \varepsilon)) = P(\pi(a), \varepsilon)$ and $\pi(Q(a, \varepsilon)) = Q(\pi(a), \varepsilon)$. Let $S \in S_M^m$ and $S \cap Q(a, \varepsilon) \ne \emptyset$. Then $\pi(S) \cap Q(\pi(a), \varepsilon) \ne \emptyset$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, $T := \pi(P(a, \varepsilon)) \cap \pi(S)$ is an *M*-Lipschitz cell in R^{m-1} . We distinguish three cases.

(I) $S = [f_1, f_2] = \{y = (y', y_m) : y' \in \pi(S), f_1(y') \leq y_m \leq f_2(y')\},\$ where $y' = (y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1})$ and $f_i : \pi(S) \to R$ (i = 1, 2) are *M*-Lipschitz. By assumption, there exists $u = (u', u_m) \in S \cap Q(a, \varepsilon)$. Then $u' \in T$, $f_1(u') \leq u_m \leq f_2(u')$ and $a_m - l_m M^{m-1} \varepsilon \leq u_m \leq a_m + l_m M^{m-1} \varepsilon$. Thus, for any $y' \in T$,

$$f_1(y') \le f_1(u') + M|y' - u'| \le u_m + M \operatorname{diam} \pi(P(a,\varepsilon))$$
$$\le a_m + l_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon + M \cdot 2k_{m-1} M^{m-2}\varepsilon = a_m + k_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon$$

and similarly

$$f_2(y') \ge f_2(u') - M|y' - u'| \ge u_m - M \operatorname{diam} \pi(P(a,\varepsilon))$$
$$\ge a_m - l_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon - M \cdot 2k_{m-1} M^{m-2}\varepsilon = a_m - k_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$S \cap P(a,\varepsilon) = \{(y',y_m) : y' \in T, \\ \max(f_1(y'), a_m - k_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon) \le y_m \le \min(f_2(y'), a_m + k_m M^{m-1}\varepsilon)\}$$

is an M-Lipschitz cell.

In the cases (II) $S = [f, +\infty)$ and (III) $S = (-\infty, f]$ we argue in a similar way. \blacksquare

Acknowledgements. We thank the referees for valuable comments.

References

- [AF] M. Aschenbrenner and A. Fischer, Definable versions of theorems by Kirszbraun and Helly, Proc. London Math. Soc. 102 (2011), 468–502.
- [AT1] M. Aschenbrenner and A. Thamrongthanyalak, Whitney's extension problem in o-minimal structures, MODNET Preprint 624.
- [AT2] M. Aschenbrenner and A. Thamrongthanyalak, Michael's selection theorem in a semilinear context, Adv. Geom. 15 (2015), 293–313.

- [C] M. Coste, An Introduction to O-minimal Geometry, Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali, Pisa, 2000.
- [CzP] M. Czapla and W. Pawłucki, Michael's theorem for a mapping definable in an o-minimal structure on a set of dimension 1, RAAG Preprint 354.
- [DP] A. Daniilidis and J. C. H. Pang, Continuity and differentiability of set-valued maps revisited in the light of tame geometry, J. London Math. Soc. 83 (2011), 637–658.
- [vdD] L. van den Dries, Tame Topology and O-minimal Structures, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- [M] E. Michael, Continuous selections II, Ann. of Math. (2) 64 (1956), 562–580.

Małgorzata Czapla, Wiesław Pawłucki

Instytut Matematyki Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego

Łojasiewicza 6

30-348 Kraków, Poland

E-mail: malgorzata.czapla@im.uj.edu.pl wieslaw.pawlucki@im.uj.edu.pl