

STEINHAUS' LATTICE POINT PROBLEM  
FOR POLYHEDRA

BY

HIROSHI MAEHARA (Nishihara)

**Abstract.** It is proved that for every  $d$ -dimensional polyhedron  $H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , with volume  $n + \alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| < 1$ , there is a congruent copy of  $H$  that contains exactly  $n$  lattice points.

**1. Introduction.** A *lattice point* in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a point whose coordinates are all integers. The set of all lattice points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Two subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are *congruent* if they differ by a *rigid motion*, i.e. one can be moved to the other by a translation followed by a rotation.

In 1957, H. Steinhaus posed an interesting problem in elementary mathematics [2, 12]: Is there a circle in the plane that contains in its interior exactly  $n$  lattice points, for any given  $n$ ? W. Sierpiński [10] showed that there are circles and squares in the plane containing in their interior a given number of lattice points. J. Browkin also proved the square case (and the cube case in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ); see [2, p. 127]. More generally, A. Schinzel and T. Kulikowski proved (see [2, p. 125]) that, for every nonempty plane bounded convex region  $W$  and for every natural number  $n$ , there is a similarity  $f$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $f(W)$  contains exactly  $n$  lattice points. The same result is given in [3] and [4].

It is also proved in [4] that if a polygon (not necessarily convex) in the plane has area  $n$ , then there is a polygon congruent to it that covers exactly  $n$  lattice points. In this paper, we generalize this result to higher dimensions.

By a *closed polyhedral surface* in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  we mean a  $(d-1)$ -dimensional closed manifold that is contained in the union of a finite number of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The Jordan–Brouwer separation theorem (see [8], for example) shows that a closed polyhedral surface divides  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into two connected components, one bounded and the other unbounded. The closure of the bounded one is called a  $d$ -dimensional *polyhedron* in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 52C07; Secondary 11P21.

*Key words and phrases*: Steinhaus' circle-lattice-point problem, polyhedron.

Received 31 March 2014; revised 26 April 2016.

Published online 23 September 2016.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If a  $d$ -dimensional polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  has volume  $n + \alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| < 1$ , then it is possible to move it, by rotation and translation, to a position where it covers exactly  $n$  lattice points.*

**REMARK 1.2.** We cannot drop “rotation” in this theorem. For example consider the rectangle  $[0, 1/2] \times [0, 2n]$  of area  $n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . No matter how we translate this rectangle, it either contains no lattice points, or contains  $2n$  or  $2n + 1$  lattice points.

**REMARK 1.3.** It is possible to replace a  $d$ -dimensional polyhedron in Theorem 1.1 by a union of finitely many  $d$ -dimensional polyhedra.

**PROBLEM 1.4.** Is there a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with volume  $n$  no congruent copy of which covers exactly  $n$  lattice points?

Let us state some other works related to Steinhaus’ problem. A. Schinzel [9] proved that there is a circle in the plane that *passes through* exactly  $n$  lattice points (see also [7]). Kuwata–Maehara [3] considered a similar problem for quadratic curves. They showed, for example, that for any  $0 \leq n < 5$ , there is a parabola in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  passing through exactly  $n$  lattice points, but if a parabola passes through five lattice points, then it passes through infinitely many lattice points. Bannai–Miezaki [1] proved that for any 2-dimensional integral lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , and for any  $n > 0$ , there is a circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that passes through exactly  $n$  points of  $\Lambda$ . (An *integral lattice* is a discrete additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in which the squared Euclidean distance between any two elements is an integer.) Maehara [5] proved that there is a sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that passes through exactly  $n$  lattice points and whose convex hull is a  $d$ -dimensional polytope.

P. Zwoleński [13] considered a Steinhaus type problem in a more general setting. In a metric space  $M$ , a countable subset  $X \subset M$  is called a *quasi-finite* set if every ball in  $M$  contains only finitely many points of  $X$ . Zwoleński proved that if  $X$  is a quasi-finite subset of a Hilbert space, then there is a dense subset  $Y$  of the Hilbert space such that for every  $y \in Y$  and for every positive integer  $n$ , there is a ball with center  $y$  that contains exactly  $n$  points of  $X$ . In [6], our Theorem 1.1 is generalized to the case of a quasi-finite subset in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  instead of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

**2. Blichfeldt’s lemma.** For a subset  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and a point  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , denote by  $v + X$  the translate of  $X$  along  $\vec{v} := \overrightarrow{Ov}$ , and denote by  $X^*$  the set that is symmetric to  $X$  with respect to the origin  $O$ . Thus

$$v + X = \{v + x : x \in X\}, \quad X^* = \{-x : x \in X\}.$$

Note that  $(X^*)^* = X$  and

$$(2.1) \quad p \in v + X \Leftrightarrow p - v \in X \Leftrightarrow v - p \in X^* \Leftrightarrow v \in p + X^*.$$

The cardinality of  $X$  is denoted by  $|X|$ . Thus, the number of lattice points in  $X$  is  $|\mathbb{Z}^d \cap X|$ .

The following is the  $d$ -dimensional version of Blichfeldt's lemma. Intuitive proofs of the 2-dimensional case are given in [2] and [11].

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $W$  is a bounded set with  $d$ -dimensional volume  $n + \alpha$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $-1 < \alpha < 1$ , then*

- (i) *there is a point  $u \in [0, 1]^d$  such that  $|\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (u + W)| \geq n$ , and*
- (ii) *there is a point  $v \in [0, 1]^d$  such that  $|\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (v + W)| \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* We show only (i) in the case  $d = 3$ . We may suppose that  $W$  is contained in the cube  $Q = [0, N]^3$ , where  $N$  is a large integer. Let  $\chi(x, y, z)$  be the characteristic function of  $W$ . That is,  $\chi(x, y, z) = 1$  if  $(x, y, z) \in W$ , and  $\chi(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $(x, y, z) \notin W$ . The volume  $\text{vol}(W)$  is then equal to  $\iiint_Q \chi(x, y, z) \, dx \, dy \, dz$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(W) &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \iiint_{000}^{111} \chi(x+i, y+j, z+k) \, dx \, dy \, dz \\ &= \iiint_{000}^{111} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \chi(x+i, y+j, z+k) \, dx \, dy \, dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{vol}(W) > n - 1$ , there exists  $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in [0, 1]^3$  such that

$$\sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \chi(x_0 + i, y_0 + j, z_0 + k) \geq n,$$

for otherwise,  $\text{vol}(W)$  would be less than or equal to  $n - 1$ . This means that there are at least  $n$  triples  $(i, j, k) \in [0, N - 1]^3$  such that  $\chi(x_0 + i, y_0 + j, z_0 + k) = 1$ . Now, under the translation that maps  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  to  $(0, 0, 0)$ , each  $(x_0 + i, y_0 + j, z_0 + k) \in W$  is mapped to the lattice point  $(i, j, k)$ . Hence under this translation,  $W$  goes to a position where it covers at least  $n$  lattice points. ■

As already seen in Remark 1.2, it is not always possible to translate a bounded set with  $d$ -dimensional volume  $n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to a position where it covers exactly  $n$  lattice points.

**3. A few more lemmas.** If we remove a finite number of points from a planar disk, the remaining set (a disk with finitely many pinholes) is clearly path-connected. Similarly, the following holds. For  $m < d$ , an  $m$ -dimensional flat in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a translate of an  $m$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Thus a hyperplane is a  $(d - 1)$ -dimensional flat.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $F_1, \dots, F_k$  be  $(d-2)$ -dimensional flats in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , and  $B$  be an open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, for any  $\mathcal{S} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k F_j$ ,  $B - \mathcal{S}$  is path-connected.*

*Proof.* We may suppose that the center of  $B$  is the origin  $O$ . Let  $X = B - \bigcup_{j=1}^k F_j$  and let  $u_1, u_2 \in X$ ,  $u_1 \neq u_2$ . First, we show that  $X$  contains a path that connects  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . Since  $X$  is open in  $B$ , there are open balls  $U(u_i) \subset X$  with center  $u_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , let  $E_j$  be the  $(d-2)$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  obtained as a translate of the flat  $F_j$ . Take a point  $v_1 \in U(u_1) - \bigcup_{j=1}^k E_j$ . The subspace  $H_j$  spanned by  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $E_j$  is a  $(d-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Since a finite number of hyperplanes cannot cover  $U(u_2)$ , there is a point  $v_2 \in U(u_2) - \bigcup_{j=1}^k H_j$ . Then  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2$  and  $E_j$  span  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $V$  be the 2-dimensional subspace  $V$  spanned by  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2$ . Then, for all  $j$ , we have  $V \cap E_j = \{O\}$ . Therefore  $V$  intersects each flat  $F_j$  in a single point. Thus  $V \cap X$  is a disk with finitely many pinholes, and it is path-connected. Let  $J$  be a path in  $V \cap X$  that connects  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Joining to  $J$  the line segments  $u_i v_i$  in  $U(u_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we get a path in  $X$  that connects  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .

Now, suppose  $\mathcal{S} \subsetneq \bigcup_{j=1}^k F_j$ , and let  $u_1, u_2 \in B - \mathcal{S}$ . For each  $i = 1, 2$ , there is a line  $L_i$  containing  $u_i$  that is not covered by  $\bigcup_{j=1}^k F_j$ . Such a line intersects  $\bigcup_{j=1}^k F_j$  in at most  $k$  points. Hence there is a point  $w_i \in B \cap L_i$  such that the intersection of the line segment  $u_i w_i$  and  $\bigcup_{j=1}^k F_j$  is either  $\{u_i\}$  or  $\emptyset$ . Then the line segment  $u_i w_i$  is contained in  $B - \mathcal{S}$ . Since  $X$  is path-connected, there is a path  $J \subset X$  that connects  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . By joining  $J$  and the line segments  $u_i w_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we get a path in  $B - \mathcal{S}$  that connects  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . ■

For a 2-dimensional subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , let  $G_V$  denote the subgroup of the rotation group  $\text{SO}(d)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  consisting of those elements  $\sigma \in \text{SO}(d)$  that pointwise fix the orthogonal complement  $V^\perp$  of  $V$ , and map  $V$  onto itself. This subgroup  $G_V$  is clearly isomorphic to  $\text{SO}(2)$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $H_1, H_2$  be hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $p, q$  be two distinct points. If  $V$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that intersects  $H_2$  in a line, then the number of those  $\sigma \in G_V$  that satisfy*

$$(3.1) \quad p + \sigma(H_1) = q + \sigma(H_2)$$

*is at most two.*

*Proof.* Unless  $H_1$  is parallel to  $H_2$  (or  $H_1 = H_2$ ), no  $\sigma \in G_V$  can satisfy (3.1). So, we suppose  $H_1$  is parallel to  $H_2$ . Set  $w = p - q$ , and let  $v$  be a point in  $H_1$ . Note that

$$(3.1) \Leftrightarrow w + \sigma(H_1) = \sigma(H_2) \Leftrightarrow \sigma^{-1}(w) + H_1 = H_2 \\ \Leftrightarrow \sigma^{-1}(w) \in (-v) + H_2.$$

Since  $\{\sigma^{-1}(w) : \sigma \in G_V\}$  is a circle lying on a 2-dimensional flat parallel to  $V$ , and since  $V$  intersects  $H_2$  in a line, this circle intersects the hyperplane

$(-v) + H_2$  in at most two points. Therefore, the number of  $\sigma \in G_V$  that satisfy (3.1) is at most two. ■

In the following,  $\Pi$  denotes a  $d$ -dimensional polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\Sigma$  denotes the closed polyhedral surface that bounds  $\Pi$ . Let  $B$  be an open ball with center  $O$  such that  $\Pi \subset B$ ,  $[0, 1]^d \subset B$ , and let

$$K = \{p \in \mathbb{Z}^d : B \cap (p + B) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

It is obvious that  $K$  is a finite set. For a  $\sigma \in \text{SO}(d)$ , let

$$\mathcal{S}(\sigma) = \bigcup \{(p + \sigma(\Sigma^*)) \cap (q + \sigma(\Sigma^*)) : p, q \in K, p \neq q\}.$$

Since  $p \in v + \sigma(\Sigma) \Leftrightarrow v \in p + \sigma(\Sigma^*)$  by (2.1), it follows that

$$p, q \in v + \sigma(\Sigma) \Leftrightarrow v \in (p + \sigma(\Sigma^*)) \cap (q + \sigma(\Sigma^*)).$$

Hence, if  $v \in B$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad |\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (v + \sigma(\Sigma))| \geq 2 \Rightarrow v \in \mathcal{S}(\sigma).$$

LEMMA 3.3. *There is a  $\sigma \in \text{SO}(d)$  such that  $B - \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$  is path-connected.*

*Proof.* Since  $\Sigma^*$  is a closed polyhedral surface in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , there are hyperplanes  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\Sigma^* \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^k H_j$ . Let

$$\mathcal{T}(\sigma) = \bigcup \{(p + \sigma(H_i)) \cap (q + \sigma(H_j)) : p, q \in K, p \neq q, 0 \leq i \leq j \leq k\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{S}(\sigma) \subset \mathcal{T}(\sigma)$ . Let  $L$  be a line through  $O$  that pierces all  $H_j$ , and let  $V$  be any 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that contains  $L$ . Since  $V \not\subset H_j$  and  $\dim H_j + \dim V = n - 1 + 2$ , it follows that  $V$  intersects every  $H_j$  in a line. By Lemma 3.2, the set of those  $\sigma \in G_V$  that satisfy  $p + \sigma(H_i) = q + \sigma(H_j)$  for some 4-tuple  $(p, q, i, j)$  (where  $p, q \in K$ ,  $p \neq q$ , and  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq k$ ) is also a finite set. Hence there is a  $\sigma_0 \in G_V$  that is not contained in this set. Then, since  $p + \sigma_0(H_i) \neq q + \sigma_0(H_j)$  for all  $(p, q, i, j)$ , the intersection  $(p + \sigma_0(H_i)) \cap (q + \sigma_0(H_j))$  is either  $\emptyset$  or a  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional flat. Therefore,  $\mathcal{T}(\sigma_0)$  is a union of finitely many  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional flats, and hence  $B - \mathcal{S}(\sigma_0)$  is path-connected by Lemma 3.1. ■

**4. Proof of the theorem.** Let  $\Pi$  be a  $d$ -dimensional polyhedron of volume  $n + \alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| < 1$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We use the same notation  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma^*$ ,  $B$ ,  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$  as in the previous sections. By Lemma 3.3, we can choose  $\sigma \in \text{SO}(d)$  so that  $B - \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$  is path-connected. Let  $\Pi^\circ$  denote the interior of  $\Pi$ . Since the volumes of  $\sigma(\Pi^\circ)$  and  $\sigma(\Pi)$  are both equal to  $n + \alpha$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exist  $v_1, v_2 \in B$  such that

$$|\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (v_1 + \sigma(\Pi))| \leq n, \quad |\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (v_2 + \sigma(\Pi^\circ))| \geq n.$$

Let  $\delta$  be the minimum distance from a lattice point lying in the exterior of  $\sigma(\Pi)$  to  $\sigma(\Sigma)$ . Since  $\sigma(\Sigma)$  is compact,  $\delta$  is positive, and for any point  $v'_1$  within distance  $\delta/2$  from  $v_1$ , we have  $|\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (v'_1 + \sigma(\Pi))| \leq n$ . Hence,

by replacing  $v_1$  with some other point if necessary, we may assume that  $v_1 \in B - \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ . Similarly, we may assume that  $v_2 \in B - \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ .

To prove the theorem, we consider the case

$$(4.1) \quad |\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (v_1 + \sigma(\Pi))| < n \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (v_2 + \sigma(\Pi))| > n.$$

Since  $B - \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$  is path-connected, there is a path  $J$  in  $B - \mathcal{S}(\sigma)$  that connects  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ . If  $w \in J$ , then  $|\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (w + \sigma(\Sigma))| \leq 1$  by (3.2). This implies that when  $w$  moves from  $v_1$  to  $v_2$  along the path  $J$ ,  $|\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (w + \sigma(\Pi))|$  changes one by one. Hence, by (4.1), there exists a  $w \in J$  such that  $|\mathbb{Z}^d \cap (w + \sigma(\Pi))| = n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bannai and T. Mieziaki, *On a property of 2-dimensional integral Euclidean lattices*, J. Number Theory 132 (2012), 371–378.
- [2] R. Honsberger, *Mathematical Gems, from Elementary Combinatorics, Number Theory, and Geometry*, Math. Assoc. Amer., 1973.
- [3] T. Kuwata and H. Maehara, *Lattice points on similar figures and conics*, Graphs Combin. 27 (2011), 441–450.
- [4] H. Maehara, *Circle lattice point problem, revisited*, Discrete Math. 338 (2015), 164–167.
- [5] H. Maehara, *On a sphere that passes through  $n$  lattice points*, Eur. J. Combin. 31 (2010), 617–621.
- [6] H. Maehara and H. Martini, *Separating  $n$ -point sets from quasi-finite ones via polyhedral surfaces*, submitted.
- [7] H. Maehara and M. Matsumoto, *Is there a circle that passes through a given number of lattice points?*, Eur. J. Combin. 9 (1998), 591–592.
- [8] M. A. Perles, H. Martini and Y. S. Kupitz, *A Jordan–Brouwer separation theorem for polyhedral pseudomanifolds*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 42 (2009), 277–304.
- [9] A. Schinzel, *Sur l’existence d’un cercle passant par un nombre donné de points aux coordonnées entières*, Enseign. Math. (2) 4 (1958), 71–72.
- [10] W. Sierpiński, *Sur quelques problèmes concernant les points aux coordonnées entières*, Enseign. Math. (2) 4 (1958), 25–31.
- [11] H. Steinhaus, *Mathematical Snapshots*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1983.
- [12] H. Steinhaus, *One Hundred Problems in Elementary Mathematics*, Basic Books, New York, 1964.
- [13] P. Zwolenski, *Some generalization of Steinhaus’ lattice point problem*, Colloq. Math. 123 (2011), 129–132.

Hiroshi Maehara  
 Ryukyu University  
 Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0213, Japan  
 E-mail: hmaehara@edu.u-ryukyu.ac.jp