

A SIMPLE PROOF OF A THEOREM OF
HAJDU–JARDEN–NARKIEWICZ

BY

PAUL POLLACK (Athens, GA)

Abstract. Let K be an algebraic number field, and let G be a finitely generated subgroup of K^\times . We give a short proof that for every positive integer n , there is an element of \mathcal{O}_K not expressible as a sum of n elements of G .

1. Introduction. Let K be an algebraic number field. The following theorem was proved by Jarden and Narkiewicz [6] when $G = U(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and by Hajdu [5] in general.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let K be a number field. Let G be a finitely generated subgroup of K^\times . For each positive integer t , there is an $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ not expressible as a sum of t elements of G .*

The proofs in [5] and [6] depend crucially on the modern theory of S -unit equations. It is the purpose of this note to outline an entirely different, very short, and seemingly more elementary proof of Theorem 1.1.

We let $\lambda(n)$ denote *Carmichael's function*, defined as the exponent of the group $U(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. The following lemma—which seems possibly of some independent interest—is the key ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1.1.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be a set of primes of positive upper (relative) density. For each $\kappa > 0$, there are infinitely many squarefree natural numbers n which are divisible only by primes in \mathcal{P} and which satisfy $\lambda(n) < n^\kappa$.*

If we do not restrict the prime factors of n , then $\lambda(n)$ is occasionally as small as $(\log n)^{O(\log \log \log n)}$, as shown by Erdős–Pomerance–Schmutz [4]. That estimate has been applied in a context similar to the present one by several authors (beginning in work of Ádám, Hajdu, and Luca [1]), but only when $K = \mathbb{Q}$. The upper bound of Lemma 1.2 on the values of $\lambda(n)$ is weaker than that of [4], but the ability to restrict the support of n facilitates applications to arbitrary number fields.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11R27; Secondary 11N56.

Key words and phrases: S -unit, sum of units, Carmichael's function.

Received 8 August 2016; revised 13 September 2016.

Published online 9 January 2017.

Without further ado, we show how to deduce Theorem 1.1 from Lemma 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that η_1, \dots, η_m generate G . Let \mathcal{P} be the set of rational primes that split completely in K and are not below any prime ideal appearing in the factorizations of the η_i . Then \mathcal{P} has positive upper density; in fact, by Landau's prime ideal theorem [7] applied to the Galois closure L (say) of K/\mathbb{Q} , the density of \mathcal{P} is $1/[L:\mathbb{Q}]$. So by Lemma 1.2, there are infinitely many squarefree n composed of primes from \mathcal{P} that satisfy $\lambda(n) < n^{1/(mt)}$. Since n is a squarefree product of split completely primes, $\mathcal{O}_K/n\mathcal{O}_K \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$, and so the group $U(\mathcal{O}_K/n\mathcal{O}_K)$ has exponent $\lambda(n)$. By the choice of \mathcal{P} , it is sensible to reduce the η_i modulo n , and hence (with the obvious notation)

$$\#G \bmod n\mathcal{O}_K \leq \lambda(n)^m < n^{1/t}.$$

Hence, any sum of t elements of G falls into one of $< (n^{1/t})^t = n$ residue classes modulo $n\mathcal{O}_K$. But $\#\mathcal{O}_K/n\mathcal{O}_K = n^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \geq n$. So the set of elements of \mathcal{O}_K that cannot be written as a sum of t elements of G includes an entire residue class modulo $n\mathcal{O}_K$, and in particular is nonempty. ■

2. Proof of Lemma 1.2. This proof rests on the following simple consequence of Brun's sieve, first noticed by Erdős [3].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\delta > 0$. There is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for all $X > X_0(\delta, \epsilon)$,*

$$\#\{\text{primes } p \leq X : p-1 \text{ has a prime factor } > X^{1-\epsilon}\} < \delta \frac{X}{\log X}.$$

Proof (sketch). In fact, if $\epsilon > 0$ is fixed, Erdős's arguments show that for all $X > X_0(\epsilon)$,

$$\#\{\text{primes } p \leq X : p-1 \text{ has a prime factor } > X^{1-\epsilon}\} \leq C\epsilon \frac{X}{\log X},$$

where C is an absolute constant. (See [3, p. 213]. A reference with notation more similar to that used here is [2, second display on p. 192].) So we may choose any $\epsilon < \delta/C$. ■

Proof of Lemma 1.2. By assumption, there is a constant $\delta > 0$ and a sequence of X tending to infinity with $\#\{p \in \mathcal{P} : p \leq X\} > \delta X/\log X$. If ϵ is fixed sufficiently small in terms of δ , then for all large enough X in our sequence,

$$\#\{p \in \mathcal{P} : p \leq X, \text{ all prime factors } \ell \text{ of } p-1 \text{ are } \leq X^{1-\epsilon}\} > \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{X}{\log X}.$$

For these X , we set

$$n = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \cap [(\delta/8)X, X] \\ \ell|p-1 \Rightarrow \ell \leq X^{1-\epsilon}}} p.$$

Assuming X is large, the total number of primes up to $(\delta/8)X$ is smaller than $(\delta/4)X/\log X$, by the prime number theorem. Hence, the number of prime factors of n is at least $(\delta/4)X/\log X$, and

$$n \geq \left(\frac{\delta}{8}X\right)^{(\delta/4)X/\log X} > \exp\left(\frac{\delta}{8}X\right),$$

once X is large enough. We now turn attention to $\lambda(n)$. Since $\lambda(n) = \text{lcm}_{p|n}[p-1]$, each prime power divisor of $\lambda(n)$ is smaller than X . Moreover, if ℓ divides $\lambda(n)$, then $\ell \leq X^{1-\epsilon}$. Thus, there are (very crudely) no more than $X^{1-\epsilon}$ such primes ℓ . It follows that

$$\lambda(n) < X^{X^{1-\epsilon}} = \exp(X^{1-\epsilon} \log X).$$

Comparing this upper bound for $\lambda(n)$ with the displayed lower bound for n , it is clear that $\lambda(n) < n^\kappa$ once X is sufficiently large. (In fact, $\lambda(n) < \exp((\log n)^{1-\epsilon/2})$.) ■

Acknowledgements. The author's research was partly supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF grant no. DMS-1402268).

REFERENCES

- [1] Zs. Ádám, L. Hajdu, and F. Luca, *Representing integers as linear combinations of S -units*, Acta Arith. 138 (2009), 101–107.
- [2] J.-M. De Koninck and F. Luca, *Analytic Number Theory*, Grad. Stud. Math. 134, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [3] P. Erdős, *On the normal number of prime factors of $p-1$ and some related problems concerning Euler's ϕ -function*, Quart. J. Math. 6 (1935), 205–213.
- [4] P. Erdős, C. Pomerance, and E. Schmutz, *Carmichael's lambda function*, Acta Arith. 58 (1991), 363–385.
- [5] L. Hajdu, *Arithmetic progressions in linear combinations of S -units*, Period. Math. Hungar. 54 (2007), 175–181.
- [6] M. Jarden and W. Narkiewicz, *On sums of units*, Monatsh. Math. 150 (2007), 327–332.
- [7] E. Landau, *Neuer Beweis des Primzahlsatzes und Beweis des Primidealsatzes*, Math. Ann. 56 (1903), 645–670.

Paul Pollack
Department of Mathematics
University of Georgia
Boyd Graduate Studies Research Center
Athens, GA 30602, U.S.A.
E-mail: pollack@uga.edu